

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Available online at www.sciencedirect.com |  |
| **ScienceDirect** |
| AASRI Procedia 7 ( 2014 ) 114 – 119 |

2013 2nd AASRI Conference on Power and Energy Systems

ESD Reliability Improvement of an HV nLDMOS by the Bulk FODs Engineering

Shen-Li Chen\* and Min-Hua Lee

*Department of Electronic Engineering, National United University, MiaoLi City 36003, Taiwan*

**Abstract**

The high voltage (HV) lateral double-diffused MOS (LDMOS) has a much lower on-resistance, a higher tolerance to breakdown voltage and a higher output power used for automotive ICs and high-frequency communication modules. However, its shortcomings are evident, including a high trigger voltage (Vt1), low holding voltage (Vh), low ESD discharge capability per unit length and multi-fingers unable to fully turn-on, which are serious impacted the ESD reliability capability. In this paper, the HV-nLDMOS device with adding field-oxide-devices (FODs) in the bulk area to make the trigger voltage effectively decreased, and in order to increase the ESD capability is investigated. Furthermore, the influence of bulk P+ area which was replaced by FODs in the bulk region on snapback parameters in a 0.25-�m 60-V high voltage processis evaluated. After that, the ESD capability has grate increased while the device with adding any FOD structures in the 0.25-�m 60-V high voltage process. The It2 value is > 7A and to be increased > 111.74% than that of a reference group. Noteworthy, this structure may make the trigger voltage (Vt1) too low to operate normally. Therefore, it should be careful considered that the problem of maximum FOD occupied ratio while using this methodology.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B. V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license © 2013. Published by Elsevier B.V.

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/). Selection and/or peer review under responsibility of American Applied Science Research Institute Peer-review under responsibility of Scientific Committee of American Applied Science Research Institute


*Keywords:* Electrostatic discharge (ESD), Field oxide device (FOD), Latch-up (LU), Lateral-diffused MOS (LDMOS), Trigger voltage (Vt1), Secondary breakdown current (It2), Turned-on resistance (Ron)

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +886-37-381525; fax: +886-37-362809.

*E-mail address:* jackchen@nuu.edu.tw.

2212-6716 © 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B. V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

Peer-review under responsibility of Scientific Committee of American Applied Science Research Institute doi: 10.1016/j.aasri.2014.05.038



*Shen-Li Chen and Min-Hua Lee / AASRI Procedia 7 ( 2014 ) 114 – 119*  115

**1. Introduction**

With the rapid process technologies development, high voltage control circuits or high power driver devices/circuits are widely used in automotive ICs, high-frequency communication modules, power switches, power management circuits, LED illuminations and LCD drivers [1-4]. The power devices can sustain a high voltage and current, and can be integrated with low voltage logic circuits that not only minimized the chip area but also lowdown the production costs and power consumptions. In order to provide better reliability quality on an HV BCD process for the customer [5-9], the wafer foundries enhance the device electricity and reliability on the layout and design due to the extreme operating environment. There are many kinds of solutions to increase the reliability on source, drain, N-well and NBL [5-6] in the HV device, such as a source-side embedded with the bulk zone [7], and the stripe source-side and bulk electrode are far separated by an FOD. Then, is there any other solutions?

**2. Layout Design of DUTs**

In this paper, the FOD structures will be applied to the source- and bulk-sides of the HV devices whose experimental structure and schematic layout are shown in Figs 1~ 3. This experiment, we aim to increase the Rbulk value of an nLDMOS from source-to-bulk side, hoping not only to reduce the trigger voltage (Vt1) and increase ESD robustness, but also to have no impact on the device area. Therefore, under the minimum design rules in this paper, some FODs which are extended into the contact region of the bulk-side, from only one contact replaced shown in Fig. 2(a), gradually increasing the extending contact numbers shown in Figs 2(b)~ 3(a), and finally the contact numbers of an end-to-end in the bulk region have only two contacts shown in Fig. 3(b). It is in order to observe the FOD structure of bulk-side effects on an HV LDMOS device.

In the design of an HV nLDMOS device, a layout symmetrical idea is considered, therefore, for this case whose contact number is set to be 164 in the bulk side. Then, the FODs extend into the bulk region, the upside and lower part of the entire bulk-side can have a contact at least. Therefore, after calculating, while the contacts number is equal to 2, 8, 12, 30, 52, 162 in the bulk side can be replaced by the FODs. However, the total contacts number of the bulk region is equal to 164 on planning, which was due to it not only needs to conform with the distance rule of a contact to the oxide definition (OD) boundary and the distance rule of a contact to the y-direction, but also the contacts number can not be too few. Therefore, the experimental planning groups with adding FODs structure in the bulk region are listed in Table 1. Here, the devices under testing were fabricated by a TSMC 0.25-�m 60-V BCD process. The channel length (L) is kept to be 2-�m, channel width of each finger (Wf) is 100-�m, finger numbers M= 6, and the total channel width (Wtot) is kept a constancy, 600-�m.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| B | S | G | D |

**Poly**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| P+ | N+ | N+ |

HVPB

HVNW   
HVPW

NBL

P-sub

Fig. 1. Cross-sectional view of an HV nLDMOS as FODs adding in the bulk region.


|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 116 | *Shen-Li Chen and Min-Hua Lee / AASRI Procedia 7 ( 2014 ) 114 – 119* | | | |
| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | |  |  | | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Next finger** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | B | S | D | S | B | S | D | | | | | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  |  |  |  |  | | |  |  | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Next finger** |  | |  | B | S | D | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | S | B | S | | | | D | | | | |
| N+ implant:  P+ implant: | | N+ implant:  P+ implant: | |
|  | FOD: Bulk-side without diffusion | FOD: Bulk-side without diffusion | | |
|  | (a) | (b) | | |

Fig. 2. Schematic layout of an HV nLDMOS as FODs adding in the bulk region (# of contact replaced by FODs in bulk region is (a) 1; and (b)2).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Next finger** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | B | S | D | S | B | S | D | | |   N+ implant:  P+ implant: | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  |  |  |  |  | | |  |  | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Next finger** |  | |  | B | S | D | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | S | B | S | | | | D | | | |   N+ implant:  P+ implant: |
| FOD: Bulk-side without diffusion | FOD: Bulk-side without diffusion |
| (a) | (b) |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 1. Experimental groups of the HV nLDMOS as FODs adding in the bulk region. | | | | | | | |
| Sample no. | 1 | Total Co 2 | ntact # in B 3 | ulk: 164 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Contact # be replaced | 1 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 30 | 52 | 162 |

Fig. 3. Schematic layout of an HV nLDMOS as FODs adding in the bulk region (# of contact replaced by FODs in bulk region is (a) 8; and (b)162).



*Shen-Li Chen and Min-Hua Lee / AASRI Procedia 7 ( 2014 ) 114 – 119*  117

**3. Testing Equipment System**

A transmission-line-pulse (TLP) system for experimental testing is controlled by the LabVIEW software. It managed the subsystem electrical machine such as the ESD pulse generator, the high-frequency digital oscilloscope and the digital power electric meter instruments to achieve the automatic measurement. This machine can provide a continuous step-high square wave to device, and short raise time of the continuous square wave can also simulate transient noise of ESD. This HBM-like system has used the short square wave with 100 ns pulse widths and 10 ns rising/falling times to evaluate the voltage and current response of device.

**4. Measurement Results and Discussion**

As the FOD structures are applied to bulk region, the TLP data can be obtained and shown in Fig. 4(a) and Table 2. From these data, it can be clearly seen that when the component is added FODs in the bulk side, the It2 value will increase rapidly as the total area of FODs in the bulk side is larger. Due to the power limitation of TLP equipment, the It2 value of component reaches 7-A (the equivalent HBM immunity greater than 10-kV), we labeled it as > 7-A on Table 2. Meanwhile, it can find that when the contacts number of bulk side was replaced by FODs and was greater than 30, that is, the total area ratio of FODs in the bulk side was greater than 93/100, it will appear a secondary-breakdown phenomenon shown in Fig. 4(b). As the area ratio of FODs in the bulk side is enhanced more, the bulk-to-source resistance (Rbulk) will be greater, which will result in the trigger voltage (Vt1) smaller, and the trigger voltage decreased as the area ratio of FODs increased. When the area ratio of FODs is reached 93/100, the trigger voltage has down to about 60-V, thus making the other fingers easier to turn on, and then that will cause many snapback conduction phenomena, and the It2 value became higher.

Our proposed structure can effectively enhance ESD capability of element, but the trigger voltage of device might be less than 60-V, which may cause internal circuit performance abnormal. Therefore, we must notice the area limitation in FODs in the bulk region to enhance device ESD ability. The Vt1, Vh versus FOD area ratio relationship is shown in Fig. 5(a). Here, the Vh values seem to be a U-shaped distribution. When the occupied area ratio of FODs structure is 86/100, the holding voltage (Vh) is existed a lowest value. Nevertheless, the Vh was increased gradually again as the FOD area ratio was > 86/100. Therefore, the FOD occupied area ratio in the bulk region will be judged in the ESD ability and latch-up immunity considerations. In Fig. 5(b), with a suitable ratio of FODs adding, nLDMOS structures posses a stronger It2 value, improved about 1.12% ~ >117.74% as compared with the reference group. So, it can be concluded that an FOD adding in the bulk region is good for ESD robustness.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1E-8  8 | 1E-7 | **Leakage Current (A)** | | | 1E-3 |
| 1E-6 | 1E-5 | 1E-4 |
| **Wo FOD(Ref. DUT)** |

**BFOD1**  
 **BFOD2**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 6 | **BFOD8**  **BFOD12** |



**BFOD30**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Current(A)** | 4 | **BFOD52** |
| **BFOD162** |
| 2 |

0

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 |
| **Voltage(V)** | |

(a)



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 118 | *Shen-Li Chen and Min-Hua Lee / AASRI Procedia 7 ( 2014 ) 114 – 119*  **Leakage Current (A)** | | | | | |
| 1E-8  2 | 1E-7 | 1E-6 | 1E-5 | 1E-4 | 1E-3 |

**Wo FOD(Ref. DUT)**  
 **BFOD1**  
 **BFOD2**  
 **BFOD8**  
 **BFOD12**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Current(A)** | **BFOD30**  **BFOD52**  **BFOD162** |

0

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 |
| **Voltage(V)** | |

(b)

Fig. 4. (a) Normal; and (b) magnified diagram of snapback I-V curves & leakage currents of nLDMOS DUTs as FODs adding in the bulk side (red # indicated contacts be replaced by FODs).

Table 2. Snapback key parameters of nLDMOS DUTs as FODs adding in the bulk side (� was averaged by 5 DUTs and red # indicated contacts be replaced by FODs).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  | FOD in Bulk | | |  | Vt1(V) |  | Vh(V) | It2(A) | | **FOD** | | | | | |
| (mean ��) | | **Area Ratio** | | | | | |
|  |  |  |  | Wo FOD | | |  | 86.156 |  | 15.629 | 3.306�0.323 | | 0 | | | | | |
| **(Ref. DUT)** | | |
| BFOD**1** | | | 84.269 | 13.345 | 3.228�0.206 | | 49/100 | | | | | |
| BFOD**2** | | | 82.586 | 13.304 | 3.343�0.229 | | 59/100 | | | | | |
| BFOD**8** | | | 66.317 | 12.878 | 6.820�0.865 | | 81/100 | | | | | |
| BFOD**12** | | | 63.174 | 12.442 | >7 | | 86/100 | | | | | |
| BFOD**30** | | | 60.388 | 12.931 | >7 | | 93/100 | | | | | |
| BFOD**52** | | | 58.401 | 13.114 | >7 | | 95/100 | | | | | |
| BFOD**162** | | | 58.355 | 13.496 | >7 | | 98/100 | | | | | |
| **Vt1(V)** | 85 | 0 3 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | **Vt1**  **Vh** | 17 | **Vh(V)** | **It2 (A)** | 8  4 3 2 1  7  6  5 | | | | | | |
| 80 | 16 |
| 15 |
| 75 |
| 70 | 14 |
| 100 |
| 65 |
| 13 |
| 60 |
| 12 |
| 0 3 | | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
| **FOD Area Ratio (1/100)** | | | **FOD Area Ratio (1/100)** | | | | | | | |
| (a) | | | (b) | | | | | | | |

Fig. 5. The relationship diagram of (a) Vt1, Vh ; (b) It2 values vs. FOD area ratio as FODs adding in the bulk side.

*Shen-Li Chen and Min-Hua Lee / AASRI Procedia 7 ( 2014 ) 114 – 119*  119

**5. Conclusion**

This paper proposed an HV nLDMOS device with FODs structures in the bulk side to observe ESD reliability. With the FOD structure in the bulk region can effectively enhance the anti-ESD ability of these 60-V nLDMOS devices. The It2 average value can be improved about 1.12% ~ >111.74% as compared with the reference group value. Moreover, we should also pay attention to the trigger voltage of a DUT might not be too small and less than the operation voltage 60-V as with increasing FOD structure occupied area. Therefore, with these FODs adding in the bulk region can extremely effective enhance the ESD ability; however, we should take care about the maximum FODs area limitation.

**Acknowledgements**

In this work, authors would like to thank the National Chip Implementation Center in Taiwan for providing the process information and fabrication platform. And, authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of the National Science Council of Taiwan, through grant number NSC 102-2221-E-239-015.

**References**

[1] D. Gruner, R. Sorge, O. Bengtsson, A. Al Tanany, G. Boeck. Analysis, Design, and Evaluation of LDMOS FETs for RF Power Applications up to 6 GHz. IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques 2010; 58(12): 4022-4030.

[2] V. Malandruccolo, M. Ciappa, W. Fichtner, H. Rothleitner. In situ defect-screening of integrated LDMOS for critical automotive applications. 22nd International Symposium on Power Semiconductor Devices & IC's 2010: 285-288.

[3] Yongqiang Zhou, Wanrong Zhang, Lixin Wang, Hongyun Xie, Chunbao Ding. A 230 watts RF LDMOS high power amplifier for WCDMA application. IEEE 13th International Conference on Communication Technology 2011: 298-301.

[4] Yong-Keon Choi, Il-Yong Park, Hee-Sung Oh, Wook Lee, Nam-Joo Kim, Kwang-Dong Yoo.

Implementation of low Vgs (1.8V) 12V RF-LDMOS for high-frequency DC-DC converter applications. 24th International Symposium on Power Semiconductor Devices and ICs 2012: 125-128.

[5] Jian-Hsing Lee, S.H. Chen, Y.T. Tsai, D.B. Lee, F.H. Chen, W.C. Liu, C.M. Chung, S.L. Hsu, J.R. Shih, A.Y. Liang, K. Wu. The Influence of NBL Layout and LOCOS Space on Component ESD and System Level ESD for HV-LDMOS. 19th International Symposium on Power Semiconductor Devices and IC's 2007: 173-176.

[6] Wen-Yi Chen, Ming-Dou Ker, Yeh-Ning Jou, Yeh-Jen Huan, Geeng-Lih Lin. Source-side engineering to increase holding voltage of LDMOS in a 0.5um 16-V BCD technology to avoid latch-up failure. IEEE International Symposium on the Physical and Failure Analysis of Integrated Circuits 2009: 41-44.

[7] Jian-Hsing Lee, Hung-Der Su, Chien-Ling Chan, D. Yang, J.F. Chen, K.M. Wu. The influence of the layout on the ESD performance of HV-LDMOS. 22nd International Symposium on Power Semiconductor Devices & IC's 2010: 303-306.

[8] V. Fathipour, M. Malakoutian, S. Fathipour, M. Fathipour. Analysis of a source hetrojunction LDMOS device with strained silicon channel. *19th Iranian Conference on Electrical Engineering* 2011: 1-5.

[9] M. Shrivastava, H. Gossner. A Review on the ESD Robustness of Drain-Extended MOS Devices. IEEE Transactions onDevice and Materials Reliability 2012; 12(4): 615-625.