Baseline output:

```
Precision = 0.243110
Recall = 0.544379
AER = 0.681684
```

Threshold -t 0.5 output:

```
Precision = 0.834483
Recall = 0.340237
AER = 0.511387
```

Best Guess output:

```
Precision = 0.574202
Recall = 0.730769
AER = 0.375826
```

```
In [ ]: import re
        paths = {"full a": "hansards.a",
                 "full_e": "hansards.e",
                 "full f": "hansards.f",
                 "dev a": "dev.a",
                 "dev e": "dev.e",
                 "dev f": "dev.f"}
        # Proof of concept with shortened (first 37) list
        with open(paths["dev_a"], "r", encoding="utf-8") as dev_a:
             dev a sentences = dev a.readlines()
        with open(paths["dev_e"], "r", encoding="utf-8") as dev_e:
            dev e sentences = dev e.readlines()
             for i, line in enumerate(dev e sentences):
                 dev_e_sentences[i] = line.split()
        with open(paths["dev_f"], "r", encoding="utf-8") as dev_f:
            dev f sentences = dev f.readlines()
             for i, line in enumerate(dev f sentences):
                 dev f sentences[i] = line.split()
        with open(paths["full_e"], "r", encoding="utf-8") as full_e:
            full_e_sentences = full_e.readlines()
            for i, line in enumerate(full_e_sentences):
                full e sentences[i] = line.split()
```

```
with open(paths["full_f"], "r", encoding="utf-8") as full f:
             full f sentences = full f.readlines()
             for i, line in enumerate(full f sentences):
                 full f sentences[i] = line.split()
        dev alignments = []
        for sentence in dev a sentences:
             new sentence = []
             for word in sentence.split():
                m = re.search('(\d+)\D(\d+)', word)
                 new sentence.append((m[1], m[2]))
             dev alignments.append(new sentence)
         dev_a_sentences = dev_alignments
        # print(dev a sentences[0])
        # print(full_f_sentences[0])
         # print(full e sentences[0])
In [ ]: with open("fast_sentences.txt", "w", encoding="utf-8") as f_out:
             for (f, e) in zip(full f sentences, full e sentences):
                 line = f"{' '.join(f)} ||| {' '.join(e)}\n"
                f out.write(line)
```

Algo 1:

```
from collections import defaultdict
In [ ]:
        dev pair count, dev e count = defaultdict(int), defaultdict(int) # I
        for n, alignments in enumerate(dev a sentences): # Step through sent
            for alignment in alignments: # Step through observed alignment p
                dev pair count[(dev f sentences[n][int(alignment[0])], dev e
                dev e count[dev e sentences[n][int(alignment[1])]] += 1 # In
        p fe = {word pair: dev_pair_count[word_pair]/dev_e_count[word_pair[1]
        #print(p fe)
        # """
        # Honestly not sure at all how the Algo 1 pseudocode was supposed to
        # in hansards.a, not only do the alignments look terrible at a glance
        # """
        # p fe = defaultdict(float)
        # pair count = defaultdict(int) # Initialze all counts to 0
        # e count = defaultdict(int)
        # for n, a in enumerate(dev a sentences):
              for i, f in enumerate(dev f sentences[n], 1):
        #
                                                                          # S
        #
                  for j, e in enumerate(dev_e_sentences[n], 1):
        #
                      if int(a[i][1]) == j:
                          pair_count[(f, e)] += 1 # Increment count of align
        #
        #
                          e count[e] += 1
                                                     # Increment marginal col
```

```
# for word_pair in pair_count:
# p_fe[word_pair] = pair_count[word_pair]/e_count[word_pair[1]]
```

Algo 2:

```
In [ ]: from timeit import default_timer as timer
        theta = defaultdict(lambda:0.000000000000000001) # A common choice
        while k < 8: # Until parameters converge or some other criterion, su
            k += 1
            timer start = timer()
            full pair count, full e count = defaultdict(int), defaultdict(int)
            for n, F in enumerate(full_f_sentences): # E-Step: Compute expec
                for f in F:
                    Z = 0 # Z is commonly used to denote a normalization term
                    for e in full e sentences[n]:
                         Z += theta[(f, e)]
                    for e in full_e_sentences[n]:
                         c = theta[(f, e)] / Z # Compute expected count
                         full pair count[(f, e)] += c # Increment count of al
                         full e count[e] += c # Increment marginal count of E
            theta = {word_pair: full_pair_count[word_pair]/full_e_count[word]
            #print("('très', 'very'):", theta[('très', 'very')])
            timer stop = timer()
            time = timer stop - timer start
            #print("epoch", k, "took", round(time), "seconds")
```

```
theta_0 = (lambda:0.1), 10 epoch limit, sampling word
pair ('très', 'very'). Total runtime: 12min 43sec
Results nearly identical to theta 0 = (lambda:1)
('très', 'very'): 0.027585138264210947
epoch 1 took 77.6112612 seconds
('très', 'very'): 0.225722140356126
epoch 2 took 82.6098117 seconds
('très', 'very'): 0.4422172475438449
epoch 3 took 76.6076099 seconds
('très', 'very'): 0.540104671629061
epoch 4 took 75.42177760000004 seconds
('très', 'very'): 0.5835515176657871
epoch 5 took 77.22606769999993 seconds
('très', 'very'): 0.6033351981591198
epoch 6 took 74.98604260000002 seconds
('très', 'very'): 0.6119744755813445
epoch 7 took 72.43497400000001 seconds
('très', 'very'): 0.6152246452183321
```

epoch 8 took 76.34132110000007 seconds ('très', 'very'): 0.6159095087835013 epoch 9 took 72.46883460000004 seconds ('très', 'very'): 0.6154325496303655 epoch 10 took 77.4239576 seconds

theta_0 = (lambda:1), 10 epoch limit, sampling word pair ('très', 'very'). Total runtime: 13min 8 epochs seems to be the end of rapid improvement, the guess even goes down marginally in epoch 10. ('très', 'very'): 0.027585138264210947 epoch 1 took 73.8355653 seconds ('très', 'very'): 0.225722140356126 epoch 2 took 84.44004360000001 seconds ('très', 'very'): 0.44221724754384495 epoch 3 took 77.45663670000002 seconds ('très', 'very'): 0.540104671629061 epoch 4 took 77.74768819999997 seconds ('très', 'very'): 0.583551517665787 epoch 5 took 77.1224856 seconds ('très', 'very'): 0.6033351981591198 epoch 6 took 80.14680129999999 seconds ('très', 'very'): 0.6119744755813448 epoch 7 took 82.47481409999995 seconds ('très', 'very'): 0.615224645218332 epoch 8 took 76.8060847999999 seconds ('très', 'very'): 0.6159095087835013 epoch 9 took 74.31741080000006 seconds ('très', 'very'): 0.6154325496303653 epoch 10 took 74.46382360000007 seconds

theta_0 = (lambda:0.0000000000000000001), 10 epoch
limit, sampling word pair ('très', 'very').
stopped early after epoch 2, since results were
identical to theta_0 = (lambda:0.1) and theta_0 =
(lambda:1)
Am I missing something, that my lambda doesn't
matter? Maybe it'll be obvious when I start looking
for AER.
('très', 'very'): 0.027585138264210954
epoch 1 took 76 seconds

('très', 'very'): 0.225722140356126 epoch 2 took 78 seconds