

MAT3006*: Real Analysis

Homework 11

李肖鹏 (1160101114)

Due date: April. 24, 2020

Extra Problem 1. Recall the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t(x, t) = u_{xx}(x, t) & x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x) & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

whose solution is given by

$$u(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(x - y, t) \phi(y) dy$$

where $\Gamma(x, t)$ is the fundamental solution of heat equation given by

$$\Gamma(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0$$

which is the solution of heat equation with $\phi(x)$ equal to delta function $\delta(x)$.

(i) Prove for any fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Gamma(x - y, t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Gamma(x - y, t), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t > 0$$

For each fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Gamma(x - y, t) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{4\pi}} t^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} t^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} t^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \left[-\frac{1}{2t} + \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Gamma(x - y, t) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} t^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \frac{x - y}{2t} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Gamma(x - y, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} t^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} t^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \frac{1}{2t} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} t^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \left[-\frac{1}{2t} + \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see the desired equality holds.

(ii) Suppose $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ from now on, and prove $u(x, t)$ satisfies the equation $u_t(x, t) = u_{xx}(x, t)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0$.

By part (i), we have known

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma_t(x-y, t) \phi(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma_{xx}(x-y, t) \phi(y) dy$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$u_t(x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(x-y, t) \phi(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma_t(x-y, t) \phi(y) dy \quad (1)$$

$$u_{xx}(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(x-y, t) \phi(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma_{xx}(x-y, t) \phi(y) dy \quad (2)$$

For $u_t(x, t)$, we can fixed each x , then denote $f(y, t) = \Gamma(x-y, t) \phi(y)$, which is define on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$. First, for each fixed t , $f(y, t) = C_1 e^{-C_2(y-C_3)^2} \phi(y)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, where $C_1 > 0$, $C_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C_2 \geq 0$ are independent of y . This is because $|f(y, t)| \leq C_1 |\phi(y)|$ and $\phi(y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Second, for each fixed y , $\frac{f}{\partial t}$ obviously exists for $t > 0$. Finally, for a fixed $t_0 > 0$, for all $t \in (t_0/2, 3t_0/2)$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right| \leq e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{6t_0}} \left[C_1 t_0^{-3/2} + C_2 t_0^{-5/2} (x-y)^2 \right] |\phi(y)| = g(y) |\phi(y)|$$

for some constant $C_1, C_2 > 0$. Notice that $g(y) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ because $x^n e^{-x^2} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, since $\phi(y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $g(y) |\phi(y)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, by differentiation across integral sign, (1) is proved.

For $u_{xx}(x, t)$, we need to use differentiation across integral sign twice. This time we fixed each t , then denote $f(y, x) = \Gamma(x-y, t) \phi(y)$, which is defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. First, for each fixed x , $f(y, x) = C_1 e^{-C_2(y-C_3)^2} \phi(y)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, which is exactly the same as the $u_t(x, t)$ case. Second, for each fixed y , $\frac{f}{\partial x}$ obviously exists for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Finally, for a fixed $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, if $x_0 = y$, then for all $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} -(x-y)^2 &= -(x-x_0+x_0-y)^2 \\ &\leq -(x-x_0)^2 - (x_0-y)^2 + 2|x-x_0||x_0-y| \\ &\leq -(x_0-y)^2 + 2\delta|x_0-y| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can find a dominating function ($C_1, C_2 > 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right| &\leq C_1 e^{-C_2(x-y)^2} |x-y| |\phi(y)| \\ &\leq C_1 e^{-C_2(x-y)^2} (\delta + |x_0-y|) |\phi(y)| \\ &\leq C_1 e^{-C_2(x_0-y)^2 + 2C_2\delta|x_0-y|} (\delta + |x_0-y|) |\phi(y)| \\ &= h(y) |\phi(y)| \in L_y^1(\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

because $h(y) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. This is enough to show that

$$u_{xx}(x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma_x(x-y, t) \phi(y) dy$$

Again, denote $f(y, x) = \Gamma_x(x-y, t) \phi(y)$, then for fixed x , $f(y, x) = C_1(x-y) e^{-C_2(x-y)^2} \phi(y)$, so $f(y, x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Second, for each fixed y , $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ obviously exists. Finally, for all $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right| \leq e^{-C_1(x_0-y)^2 + 2C_1\delta|x_0-y|} [C_2 + C_3(|x_0-y| + \delta)^2] |\phi(y)| = h(y) |\phi(y)| \in L_y^1(\mathbb{R})$$

because $h(y) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, we have shown that

$$u_{xx}(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma_{xx}(x - y, t) \phi(y) dy$$

This finishes the proof of $u(x, t)$ satisfying heat equation (without initial condition).

(iii) Prove $\|u(\cdot, t) - \phi(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0+$.

Notice that we have already known $\Gamma(x - y, t)\phi(y)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, by change of variable with $y = x + \sqrt{4t}z$ eliminating y , we obtain

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \phi(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2} \phi(x + \sqrt{4t}z) dz$$

Therefore, by generalized Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\|u(x, t) - \phi(x)\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2} \|\phi(x + \sqrt{4t}z) - \phi(x)\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R})} dz$$

Notice that $\|\phi(x + \sqrt{4t}z) - \phi(x)\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2\|\phi(x)\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R})}$, so we can see

$$\|u(x, t) - \phi(x)\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2\|\phi(x)\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2} dz = 2\|\phi(x)\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$$

By continuity of L^1 -norm, $\|\phi(x + \sqrt{4t_k}z) - \phi(x)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for any sequence $t_k \rightarrow 0+$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that the dominant function is given by

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2} \|\phi(x)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$$

Therefore, by DCT, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\cdot, t_k) - \phi(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2} \|\phi(x + \sqrt{4t_k}z) - \phi(x)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} dz = 0$$

This is enough to show $\|u(\cdot, t) - \phi(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0+$.

(iv) Prove that $|u(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, all $t > 0$. Give physical interpretation of this result.

Since $e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \leq 1$ for any x, y and $t > 0$, we obtain

$$|u(x, t)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \phi(y) dy \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} |\phi(y)| dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(y)| dy$$

Thus, $|u(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, all $t > 0$. The physical interpretation is that if the initial energy $\|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ is finite, then as time tends to infinity, the temperature will decrease to zero uniformly over different position with speed no slower than $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$.

Extra Problem 2. Prove that step functions are not dense in $L^\infty(0, 1)$.

Consider $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} I_{(\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2n-1})}(x)$, where $I_{(\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2n-1})}(x)$ is the indicator function on interval $(\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2n-1})$. Then it is obvious that $f(x) \in L^\infty(0, 1)$ because $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$. Suppose there exists a sequence of step functions $f_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} c_i^{(k)} I_{(a_i^{(k)}, b_i^{(k)})}(x)$ s.t. all $(a_i^{(k)}, b_i^{(k)})$ are pairwise disjoint,

$c_i^{(k)} \neq 0$ and $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L^\infty(0,1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. However, consider for each fixed k , we can find $L^k = \min_{i=1}^{N_k} a_i^{(k)}$.

If $L^k = 0$, and WLOG, suppose the minimum is attained at $i = 1$, then $|f_k(x)| = |c_1^{(k)}| > 0$ on $(0, b_1^{(k)})$ where $b_1^{(k)} > 0$. In this way we can find large enough n s.t. $\frac{1}{2n-1} < b_1^{(k)}$, then $|f_k(x) - f(x)| = |c_1^{(k)}|$ on $(\frac{1}{2n+1}, \frac{1}{2n})$. However, on interval $(\frac{1}{2n+2}, \frac{1}{2n+1})$, $|f_k(x) - f(x)| = |c_1^{(k)} - 1|$. Therefore, $\|f_k - f\|_\infty \geq \max\{|c_1^{(k)}|, |c_1^{(k)} - 1|\} \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

If $L^k > 0$, and WLOG, suppose the minimum is attained at $i = 1$, then $f_k(x) = 0$ on $(0, a_1^k)$. Similarly, we can find n large s.t. $\frac{1}{2n-1} < a_1^k$, then $f(x) = 1$ on $(\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2n-1})$. This implies that $\|f_k - f\|_\infty \geq 1$.

Thus, for all k , for whatever L^k , we always have $\|f_k - f\|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then f_k cannot converge to f in $L^\infty(0,1)$.

Extra Problem 3. Let $f(x)$ be measurable and bounded on \mathbb{R} and periodic with period $T > 0$. Let $g \in L^1(0, a)$, where $0 < a < \infty$. Prove that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$,

$$\int_0^a f(x/\epsilon)g(x) dx \rightarrow \langle f \rangle \int_0^a g(x) dx, \quad \langle f \rangle = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(y) dy$$

First consider $g = I_{(b,c)}$ where $0 \leq b < c \leq a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a f(x/\epsilon)g(x) dx &= \int_b^c f(x/\epsilon) dx \\ &= \epsilon \int_0^{(c-b)/\epsilon} f(z) dz && (z = (x-b)/\epsilon) \\ &= (c-b) \frac{1}{m} \int_0^m f(z) dz && (m = (c-b)/\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Since $m = nT + r$ where $0 \leq r < T$, and $\left| \int_{nT}^{nT+r} f(z) dz \right| \leq M$ for some constant M and for all r , we have

$$\int_0^a f(x/\epsilon)g(x) dx = (c-b) \left[\frac{n}{m} \int_0^T f(z) dz + \frac{1}{m} \int_{nT}^{nT+r} f(z) dz \right]$$

Note that $\frac{n}{m} = \frac{m-r}{Tm} = \frac{1-r/m}{T} \rightarrow \frac{1}{T}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$, $m \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\int_0^a f(x/\epsilon)g(x) dx \rightarrow (c-b) \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(z) dz = \langle f \rangle \int_0^a I_{(b,c)}(x) dx = \langle f \rangle \int_0^a g(x) dx$$

Thus, by linearity, it is easy to see if g is a step function on $(0, a)$, the desired result holds as well. Now consider general $g \in L^1(0, a)$, since step function is dense in $L^1(0, a)$, there exists $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ in $L^1(0, a)$. For arbitrary fixed δ , there exists N_1 s.t. for all $n \geq N_1$,

$$\left| \langle f \rangle \int_0^a g_n(x) dx - \langle f \rangle \int_0^a g(x) dx \right| < \frac{\delta}{3}$$

Since $f(x)$ is bounded on $(0, a)$, we can find N_2 s.t. for all $n \geq N_2$,

$$\left| \int_0^a f(x/\epsilon)g_n(x) dx - \int_0^a f(x/\epsilon)g(x) dx \right| < \frac{\delta}{3}$$

Take $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, we have proved

$$\left| \int_0^a f(x/\epsilon) g_N(x) dx - \langle f \rangle \int_0^a g_N(x) dx \right| < \frac{\delta}{3}$$

By triangular inequality,

$$\left| \int_0^a f(x/\epsilon) g(x) dx - \langle f \rangle \int_0^a g(x) dx \right| < \delta$$

Since this is true for arbitrary $\delta > 0$, this is enough to prove the desired result.

Extra Problem 4.

(i) For all measurable subset $A \subset [0, 2\pi]$, prove that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_A \cos(tx) dx = 0$$

Consider any sequence t_k s.t. $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_A \cos(t_k x) dx = 0$$

Note that

$$\int_A \cos(t_k x) dx = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(t_k x) I_A(x) dx$$

Since A is a bounded set, $I_A(x) \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$. Also, $|\cos(t_k x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ and for any $c \in [0, 2\pi]$,

$$\int_0^c \cos(t_k x) dx = \frac{1}{t_k} \sin(t_k x) \Big|_0^c = \frac{\sin(ct_k)}{t_k} \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by generalized Riemann-Lebesgue theorem, $\int_0^{2\pi} \cos(t_k x) I_A(x) dx \rightarrow 0$.

(ii) Let $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Define $E = \{x \in [0, 2\pi] \mid \sin(t_k x) \text{ converges as } k \rightarrow \infty\}$. Prove $m(E) = 0$.

Similar to the proof of Egorov's theorem, let $f_k(x) = \sin(t_k x)$ and $f(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x)$. Denote

$$E_{k,l}^i = \{x \in [0, 2\pi] \mid |f_{k+l}(x) - f_k(x)| < 1/i\}$$

Then we can write $E = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{k,l}^i$. It is easy to see $E_{k,l}^i$ is measurable because f_k is continuous function. Thus, E is also measurable. Notice that

$$\int_E \sin^2(t_k x) dx = \int_E \frac{1 - \cos(2t_k x)}{2} dx$$

For LHS, since $|\sin^2(t_k x)| \leq 1$ and $m(E) \leq 2\pi$, we can use DCT to obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \sin^2(t_k x) dx = \int_E f^2(x) dx$$

Similarly, since $|f(x) \sin(t_k x)| \leq 1$, by DCT again,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f(x) \sin(t_k x) dx = \int_E f^2(x) dx$$

Now we need to prove $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f(x) \sin(t_k x) dx = 0$ by Riemann-Lebesgue theorem. We know $|f(x)| \in L^1(E)$ and $\sin(t_k x)$ is uniformly bounded by 1. Thus, it suffices to show $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^c \sin(t_k x) dx = 0$ for all $c \in [0, 2\pi]$. This is trivial by using the same argument in part (i). Therefore, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \sin^2(t_k x) dx = 0$$

Now consider RHS, by part (i),

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \frac{1 - \cos(2t_k x)}{2} dx = \frac{m(E)}{2} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_E \cos(2t_k x) dx = \frac{m(E)}{2}$$

This shows that $\frac{m(E)}{2} = 0$, i.e., $m(E) = 0$.

Extra Problem 5. Suppose $f \in L^1(0, 1)$. Let $g(x) = \int_x^1 \frac{f(t)}{t} dt$, $0 < x \leq 1$. Prove that $g \in L^1(0, 1)$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} xg(x) = 0$ and $\int_0^1 g(x) dx = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$.

Notice that $g(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{f(t)}{t} I_E(t, x) dt$ for $E = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \leq x \leq t \leq 1\}$. Apply nonnegative version of Fubini's theorem to $\frac{|f(t)|}{t} I_E(t, x)$ on $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 |g(x)| dx \leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{|f(t)|}{t} I_E(t, x) dt dx = \int_0^1 \frac{|f(t)|}{t} \int_0^1 I_E(t, x) dx dt = \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt < \infty$$

This implies that $g \in L^1(0, 1)$. The above result also implies that $\frac{|f(t)|}{t} I_E(t, x)$ is in $L^1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$. Then, $\frac{f(t)}{t} I_E(t, x)$ is in $L^1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ and we can apply L^1 -version of Fubini's theorem to it, i.e.,

$$\int_0^1 g(x) dx = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{f(t)}{t} I_E(t, x) dt dx = \int_0^1 \frac{f(t)}{t} \int_0^1 I_E(t, x) dx dt = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$$

Now take arbitrary sequence $a_n > 0$ s.t. $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, let $g_n(t) = \frac{a_n}{t} I_E(a_n, t)$, then for each fixed $c \in [0, 1]$, $|g_n(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \geq a_n$. Since $g_n(t) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $[0, 1]$, by DCT, $\int_0^c g_n(t) dt \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f(t) \in L^1(0, 1)$, by generalized Riemann-Lebesgue theorem, we have $\int_0^1 f(t) g_n(t) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $a_n g(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} xg(x) = 0$.

Extra Problem 6. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Prove that

(i) $(f * g)(x)$ is uniformly continuous in x on \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $F = (f * g)(x)$, consider

$$|F(x+h) - F(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [f(x+h-y) - f(x-y)] g(y) dy \right| \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|f(u+h) - f(u)\|_{L_u^1} \rightarrow 0$$

as $|h| \rightarrow 0$ by continuity of L^1 -norm and finiteness of $\|g\|_{L^\infty}$. Thus, for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ s.t. when $|h| < \delta$, $\|f(u+h) - f(u)\|_{L_u^1} < \frac{\epsilon}{\|g\|_{L^\infty}}$, so $|F(x+h) - F(x)| < \epsilon$ and this proves the uniform continuity of F .

(ii) If $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $(f * g)(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Since simple function with bounded support is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, there exists $f_k \rightarrow f$ in L^1 , where f_k is simple function with bounded support. This shows

$$|(f * g)(x)| \leq \|f - f_k\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k(x-y) g(y) dy \right|$$

Similarly, we can find a sequence of simple function g_k with bounded support and $g_n \rightarrow g$ in L^1 . Then,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k(x-y)g(y) dy \right| \leq \|f_k\|_{L^\infty} \|g - g_n\|_{L^1} + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k(x-y)g_n(y) dy \right|$$

Since f_k is simple function, it must be in L^∞ space, and $f_k(x-y)g_n(y) = 0$ for large enough $|x|$. This is because if the radius of the support of f_k is r_k and the radius of support of g_n is R_n , then if $|x| > r_k + R_n$, either $|y| > R_n$ or $|x-y| > r_k$, so either $f_k(x-y) = 0$ or $g_n(y) = 0$. This implies that

$$|(f * g)(x)| \leq \|f - f_k\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f_k\|_{L^\infty} \|g - g_n\|_{L^1} + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k(x-y)g_n(y) dy \right|$$

First take $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides, we have

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |(f * g)(x)| \leq \|f - f_k\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f_k\|_{L^\infty} \|g - g_n\|_{L^1}$$

Then take $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides, since LHS is independent of n , we have

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |(f * g)(x)| \leq \|f - f_k\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^\infty}$$

Finally, take $k \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides, since LHS is independent of k , we obtain $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |(f * g)(x)| \leq 0$, i.e., $(f * g)(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Extra Problem 7. Consider Fourier transform:

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx$$

Prove that if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then $\hat{f}(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Since step function is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and a step function is a linear combination of characteristic functions of bounded intervals in \mathbb{R} , there exists $f_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} c_j^k I_{(a_j^k, b_j^k)}$ s.t. $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left| \hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}_k(\xi) \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x) - f_k(x)| dx \rightarrow 0$$

Also notice that as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left| \hat{f}_k(\xi) \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |c_j^k| \left| \int_{a_j^k}^{b_j^k} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |c_j^k| \frac{1}{\pi |\xi|} \rightarrow 0$$

Thus, for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we can find a large enough K s.t. $|\hat{f}(\xi) - \hat{f}_K(\xi)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and then find a large M , s.t. for all $|\xi| > M$, $|\hat{f}_K(\xi)| < \epsilon/2$. Then by triangular inequality, for all $|\xi| > M$, $|\hat{f}(\xi)| < \epsilon$. Since for each ϵ we can find such M , $\hat{f}(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Extra Problem 8. Let $f(x)$ be nonnegative measurable on $[0, 1]$. Prove that if there exists constant $A < \infty$ s.t. $\int_0^1 f^k(x) dx = A$ for all $k \geq 1$, then $f(x) = I_E(x)$ a.e. on $[0, 1]$ for some $E \subset [0, 1]$.

Let $g(x) = f(x)(1 - f(x))$, then we have

$$\int_0^1 g^2(x) dx = \int_0^1 f^2 dx - 2 \int_0^1 f^3 dx + \int_0^1 f^4 dx = A - 2A + A = 0$$

Thus, $g(x) = 0$ a.e. on $[0, 1]$. Denote $F = \{x \in [0, 1] \mid g(x) = 0\}$, then $m(F) = 1$, and over the set F , $f(x) = 1$ or $f(x) = 0$. Thus, let $E = \{x \in [0, 1] \mid f(x) = 1\}$, and we can see that $f(x) = I_E(x)$ on set F . Thus, $f(x) = I_E(x)$ a.e. on $[0, 1]$.

Extra Problem 9. Suppose $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0)$ exists. Prove that $\frac{f(x)}{x} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

By definition of derivative and assumption,

$$f'(0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x)}{x} = c$$

for some finite constant c . Thus, there exists $\delta > 0$ s.t. for all $|x| < \delta$, $|f(x)/x - c| < 1$, so $|f(x)/x| < 1 + |c|$. This shows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right| dx &= \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right| dx + \int_{-\infty}^{-\delta} \left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right| dx + \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right| dx \\ &\leq 2\delta(1 + |c|) + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{-\infty}^{-\delta} |f(x)| dx + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} |f(x)| dx \\ &\leq 2\delta(1 + |c|) + \frac{2}{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\frac{f(x)}{x} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Extra Problem 10. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $a > 0$. Define $F(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x/a + n)$. Prove the series converges absolutely for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $F \in L^1([0, a])$ and F is periodic with period a .

Let $G(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x/a + n)|$, then consider

$$\int_0^a G(x) dx = \int_0^a \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x/a + n)| dx = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a |f(x/a + n)| dx$$

where the last equality is due to integration term by term for nonnegative function. Since $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, by change of variable, let $u = x/a + n$,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^a |f(x/a + n)| dx = a \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} |f(u)| du = a \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u)| du < \infty$$

This implies that $G(x) \in L^1(0, a)$, and since $|F(x)| \leq G(x)$, so $F \in L^1(0, a)$. Notice that

$$F(x + a) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x/a + n + 1) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x/a + n) = F(x)$$

so $F(x)$ is periodic with period a . Similarly $G(x)$ is also periodic with period a . Since $G \in L^1(0, a)$, G is a.e. finite on $(0, a)$. By periodicity and countable subadditivity, G is a.e. finite on \mathbb{R} . This implies that the series $F(x)$ is convergent absolutely for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.