

## Problem 1 (HW 3 Problem 3)

Let  $R = \{R_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  be a Bessel process with dimension  $d \geq 2$  starting at  $r > 0$ , and define  $m = \inf_{t \in [0, \infty)} R_t$ .

- (i) Show that if  $d = 2$ , then  $m = 0$  a.e.
  - (ii) Show that if  $d \geq 3$ , then  $m$  has the beta distribution  $P(m \leq c) = (c/r)^{d-2}$  for  $0 \leq c \leq r$ .
  - (iii) Show that if  $r \geq 0$  and  $d \geq 3$ , then  $P(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R_t = \infty) = 1$ .
- (i) Let  $T_l = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid R_t = l\}$  and  $S_L = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid R_t = L\}$  where  $l < r < L$ , and  $\tau = T_l \wedge S_L \wedge n$ . By similar argument in the proof of Proposition 3.3.22 in BMSC,

$$P\left(S_L < \infty, \forall L \geq 0 \text{ and } \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} S_L = \infty\right) = 1$$

Applying Ito's rule to  $\log R_t$  and by Proposition 3.3.21,

$$\log R_\tau = \log r + \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{R_s} dB_s$$

because  $\log$  is  $C^2$  over  $(l, L)$ . Since  $\tau$  is a bounded stopping time and  $\frac{1}{R_s}$  is bounded over  $(0, \tau)$ , by optional sampling theorem

$$0 = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\tau \frac{1}{R_s} dB_s\right] = \mathbb{E}[\log R_\tau] - \log r$$

This implies that

$$\log r = \mathbb{E}[\log R_\tau] = \log l \cdot P(T_l \leq S_L \wedge n) + \log L \cdot P(S_L \leq T_l \wedge n) + \mathbb{E}[(\log R_n)1_{\{n < S_L \wedge T_l\}}]$$

Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $P(n < S_l \wedge T_k) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\log R_n$  is bounded in  $[\log l, \log L]$ , we have

$$\log r = \log l \cdot P(T_l \leq S_L) + \log L \cdot P(S_L \leq T_l)$$

Combined with the fact that  $P(T_l \leq S_L) + P(S_L \leq T_l) = 1$ , we have

$$P(T_l \leq S_L) = \frac{\log L - \log r}{\log L - \log l}$$

Let  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $P(T_l < \infty) = 1$ . Note that  $P(m \leq l) \geq P(T_l < \infty) = 1$ , so by letting  $l \rightarrow 0$ ,  $P(m \leq 0) = 1$ . Since  $m \geq 0$  by definition, we have  $m = 0$  almost surely.

- (ii) By exactly the same argument but applying Ito's rule to  $R_t^{2-d}$ , we obtain

$$P(T_l \leq S_L) = \frac{L^{2-d} - r^{2-d}}{L^{2-d} - l^{2-d}}$$

Let  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $P(T_l < \infty) = (\frac{l}{r})^{d-2}$  and thus  $P(m \leq l) \geq (\frac{l}{r})^{d-2}$ . Notice that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $P(m \leq l) \leq P(T_{l+\epsilon} < \infty)$ . By continuity,  $P(T_{l+\epsilon} < \infty) \rightarrow P(T_l < \infty)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This shows  $P(m \leq l) = (\frac{l}{r})^{d-2}$ .

- (iii) Define events  $E_n = \{R_t > n, \forall t \geq T_{n^3}\}$ . By strong Markov property and the result in (ii),

$$P(E_n^c) = P(R_t \leq n \text{ for some } t > T_{n^3}) = P(m \leq n \mid R_0 = n^3) = (1/n^2)^{d-2}$$

Thus,  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty P(E_n^c) < \infty$ , and by Borel-Cantelli lemma,  $P(E_n^c, i.o.) = 0$ . This shows that there exists  $N$  such that for  $n \geq N$ ,  $P(E_n) = 1$ . Again, since  $P(T_{n^3} < \infty) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R_t = \infty$  almost surely.

## Problem 2 (HW 3 Problem 5)

Let  $W = \{W_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  be a standard, one-dimensional Brownian motion, and let  $T$  be a stopping time of  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{T}] < \infty$ . Prove the Wald identities  $\mathbb{E}[W_T] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[W_T^2] = \mathbb{E}[T]$ .

Note that  $W$  is a martingale, so  $\{W_{T \wedge t}, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  is also a martingale. Thus,  $\mathbb{E}[W_{T \wedge t}] = \mathbb{E}[W_0] = 0$ . Also  $W^2 - t$  is a martingale, so  $\{W_{T \wedge t}^2 - T \wedge t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  is also a martingale. Thus,  $\mathbb{E}[W_{T \wedge t}^2 - T \wedge t] = 0$  implies that  $\mathbb{E}[W_{T \wedge t}^2] = \mathbb{E}[T \wedge t]$ . By BDG inequality with  $p = 1$ , there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |W_t| \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle W \rangle_T^{1/2} \right] = C \mathbb{E} \left[ \sqrt{T} \right] < \infty$$

Also,  $\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{T}] < \infty$  implies  $P(T < \infty) = 1$ , so  $W_{T \wedge t} \rightarrow W_T$  a.e.. Thus, using  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |W_t|$  as dominating function of  $W_{T \wedge t}$ , by DCT we have  $W_{T \wedge t} \rightarrow W_T$  in  $L^1$ . This shows  $\mathbb{E}[W_T] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[W_{T \wedge t}] = 0$ .

Since  $W_{T \wedge t} \rightarrow W_T$  in  $L^1$ ,  $W_{T \wedge t}$  is a martingale with last element  $W_T$ , i.e.,  $W_{T \wedge t} = \mathbb{E}[W_T | \mathcal{F}_t]$ . By Jensen's inequality for conditional expectation,

$$W_{T \wedge t}^2 = (\mathbb{E}[W_T | \mathcal{F}_t])^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[W_T^2 | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

Taking expectation on both sides,  $\mathbb{E}[W_{T \wedge t}^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[W_T^2]$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Also, by Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[W_T^2] \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[W_{T \wedge t}^2] \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[W_{T \wedge t}^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[W_T^2]$$

Thus, all inequalities become equalities and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[W_{T \wedge t}^2] = \mathbb{E}[W_T^2]$ . By MCT,  $\mathbb{E}[T \wedge t] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[T]$ . Thus, by taking limit on both sides of  $\mathbb{E}[W_{T \wedge t}^2] = \mathbb{E}[T \wedge t]$ , we obtain the Wald identities.

## Problem 3 (HW 3 Problem 7)

Suppose  $X = X(0) + M + A$  is a continuous semimartingale; and  $H, \{H^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  progressively measurable and locally bounded (e.g., continuous-path) processes. Assume that there exists a progressively measurable process  $K \geq 0$ , so that

- $H^{(n)}(t, \omega) \rightarrow H(t, \omega)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$
- $|H^{(n)}(t, \omega)| \leq K(t, \omega)$ , for every  $(t, n) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{N}$
- $\int_0^T K^2(t, \omega) d\langle M \rangle_t(\omega) + \int_0^T K(t, \omega) dA^{(\pm)}(t, \omega) < \infty$

hold for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Show that  $I_T^X(H^{(n)}) \rightarrow I_T^X(H)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in probability.

Let  $V_t = X(0) + A_t$ , then by the usual DCT, we have  $I_T^V(H^{(n)}) \rightarrow I_T^V(H)$  a.e. because  $V_t$  is of bounded variation. Thus, it suffices to show that  $I_T^M(H^{(n)}) \rightarrow I_T^M(H)$ . For each  $j \geq 1$ , define a stopping time

$$T_j = \inf \left\{ s \geq 0 \mid \int_0^s K_u^2 d\langle M \rangle_u \geq j \right\} \wedge t$$

By the third property,  $P(T_j = T) \rightarrow 1$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, fix  $j$  and  $n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(|I_T^M(H^{(n)}) - I_T^M(H)| > \delta) &= P(|I_T^M(H^{(n)}) - I_T^M(H)| > \delta, T_j = T) + P(|I_T^M(H^{(n)}) - I_T^M(H)| > \delta, T_j < T) \\ &\leq P(|I_{T_j}^M(H^{(n)}) - I_{T_j}^M(H)| > \delta) + P(T_j < T) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mathbb{E}[|I_{T_j}^M(H^{(n)}) - I_{T_j}^M(H)|^2] + P(T_j < T) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mathbb{E}[I_{T_j}^{\langle M \rangle}((H^{(n)} - H)^2)] + P(T_j < T) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $I_{T_j}^{(M)}((H^{(n)} - H)^2)$  is a Lebesgue integral, so by the usual DCT on  $(H^{(n)} - H)^2$ , it converges to 0 a.e.. Using  $I_{T_j}^{(M)}(K^2) \leq j$  as dominating function, by usual DCT on  $I_{T_j}^{(M)}((H^{(n)} - H)^2)$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[I_{T_j}^{(M)}((H^{(n)} - H)^2)] \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, by taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|I_T^M(H^{(n)}) - I_T^M(H)| > \delta) \leq P(T_j < T)$  for all  $j$ . Take  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|I_T^M(H^{(n)}) - I_T^M(H)| > \delta) = 0$ .

## Problem 4 (HW 3 Problem 8)

Let  $T$  be a stopping time of the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^W\}$  with  $P(T < \infty) = 1$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for the validity of the Wald identity  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\mu W_T - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 T)] = 1$ , where  $\mu$  is a given real number, is that  $P^{(\mu)}(T < \infty) = 1$ . In particular, if  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu b < 0$ , then this condition holds for the stopping time  $S_b \triangleq \inf\{t \geq 0; W_t - \mu t = b\}$ .

Notice that  $\{T < \infty\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{T \leq k\}$ . Since  $P^{(\mu)}$  is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^W)$ , it is continuous from below, i.e.,  $P^{(\mu)}(T < \infty) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P^{(\mu)}(T \leq k)$ . Since  $\{T \leq k\} \in \mathcal{F}_k^W$ , by BMSC,  $P^{(\mu)}(T \leq k) = \mathbb{E}[1_{\{T \leq k\}} Z_k]$ , where  $Z_t = \exp(\mu W_t - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 t)$  is a continuous martingale. Thus,

$$P^{(\mu)}(T < \infty) = 1 \iff \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[1_{\{T \leq k\}} Z_k] = 1$$

Notice that by optional sampling theorem,  $Z_{T \wedge t}$  is also a continuous martingale because  $T \wedge t$  is a bounded stopping time. Since  $\mathbb{E}[Z_{T \wedge t}] = \mathbb{E}[Z_t] = 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$1 = \mathbb{E}[Z_0] = \mathbb{E}[Z_{T \wedge t}] = \mathbb{E}[1_{\{T \leq t\}} Z_T] + \mathbb{E}[1_{\{T > t\}} Z_t] = \mathbb{E}[1_{\{T \leq t\}} Z_T] + 1 - \mathbb{E}[1_{\{T \leq t\}} Z_t]$$

This implies that  $\mathbb{E}[1_{\{T \leq t\}} Z_T] = \mathbb{E}[1_{\{T \leq t\}} Z_t]$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Therefore,

$$P^{(\mu)}(T < \infty) = 1 \iff \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[1_{\{T \leq k\}} Z_T] = 1$$

Notice that  $1_{\{T \leq k\}} Z_T$  is nonnegative and increasing in  $k$ , so by MCT,  $\mathbb{E}[1_{\{T \leq k\}} Z_T] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[1_{\{T < \infty\}} Z_T]$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $P(T < \infty) = 1$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[1_{\{T < \infty\}} Z_T] = \mathbb{E}[Z_T]$ . Thus,

$$P^{(\mu)}(T < \infty) = 1 \iff \mathbb{E}[Z_T] = 1$$

and the desired necessary and sufficient statement follows.

Note that under measure  $P^{(\mu)}$ ,  $\tilde{W}_t = W_t - \mu t$  is a Brownian motion. Thus,  $S_b = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid \tilde{W}_t = b\}$  and by the known result from the usual Brownian motion,  $P^{(\mu)}(S_b < \infty) = 1$ . It suffices to show  $P(S_b < \infty) = 1$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} P(S_b \in dt) &= \mathbb{E}[1_{\{S_b \in dt\}}] = \mathbb{E}[1_{\{S_b \in dt\}}] = \mathbb{E}^{P^{(\mu)}}[1_{\{S_b \in dt\}} e^{-\mu W_t + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 t}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{P^{(\mu)}}[1_{\{S_b \in dt\}} e^{-\mu \tilde{W}_t - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 t}] = e^{-\mu b - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 t} \mathbb{E}^{P^{(\mu)}}[1_{\{S_b \in dt\}}] = e^{-\mu b - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 t} P^{(\mu)}(S_b \in dt) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$P(S_b \leq t) = \int_0^t e^{-\mu b - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 t} P^{(\mu)}(S_b \in dt)$$

By letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$P(S_b < \infty) = e^{-\mu b} \mathbb{E}^{P^{(\mu)}}[e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu^2 S_b}]$$

Again by the result for usual Brownian motion,  $\mathbb{E}^{P^{(\mu)}}[e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu^2 S_b}] = e^{-|\mu b|}$ , so

$$P(S_b < \infty) = e^{-\mu b - |\mu b|} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu b < 0 \\ e^{-2\mu b} & \text{if } \mu b \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

## Problem 5 (HW 3 Problem 12)

Suppose  $M, N$  are local martingales with continuous paths with  $M_0 = N_0 = 0$ ,  $\langle M \rangle \equiv \langle N \rangle =: A$ ,  $A_\infty = \infty$ , and  $\langle M, N \rangle \equiv 0$ . Show that the Brownian Motions  $\beta, \gamma$  in the DDS representations of these two local martingales  $M_t = \beta_{A_t}$ ,  $N_t = \gamma_{A_t}$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$  are independent.

Define a stopping time  $T_s$  for each  $s \geq 0$  by  $T_s = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid A_t \geq s\}$ . Then we have  $\beta_s = M_{T_s}$  and  $\gamma_s = N_{T_s}$ . By the proof of DDS theorem, we know that  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are adapted to the same filtration, denoted by  $\mathcal{G}_s = \mathcal{F}_{T_s}$ . Since  $\langle M, N \rangle \equiv 0$ , by the uniqueness of Doob-Meyer decomposition,  $M_t N_t$  is a continuous local martingale. By Problem 5.24 in Chapter 1 of BMSC,  $M_{t \wedge T_n} N_{t \wedge T_n}$  is a uniformly integrable martingale for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . By optional sampling theorem, for any  $s \leq u \leq n$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\beta_u \gamma_u \mid \mathcal{G}_s] = \mathbb{E}[M_{T_u} N_{T_u} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_s}] = \mathbb{E}[M_{T_u \wedge T_n} N_{T_u \wedge T_n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_s}] = M_{T_s \wedge T_n} N_{T_s \wedge T_n} = M_{T_s} N_{T_s} = \beta_s \gamma_s$$

Thus,  $\beta_u \gamma_u$  is a  $\mathcal{G}$ -martingale and  $\langle \beta_u, \gamma_u \rangle \equiv 0$ . By Levy's characterization of Brownian motion,  $(\beta, \gamma)$  is a 2-dimensional Brownian motion w.r.t.  $\mathcal{G}$ , and hence  $\beta$  is independent of  $\gamma$ .