Table 1: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

	Crash	NSTR	Delay	Success	Total
Buffer Overflow	0	67829	575	452~(0.66%)	68856
WU-FTPd	0	89010	1709	1089 (1.19%)	91808
Compare/Compute	0	45192	450	262~(0.57%)	45904

Table 2: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

	Crash	NSTR	Delay	Success	Total
Buffer Overflow	0	45097	1503	1406 (2.93%)	48006
WU-FTPd	0	55589	5035	3384 (5.29%)	64008
Compare/Compute	0	29906	899	$1199 \ (3.75\%)$	32004

Table 3: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

	Crash	NSTR	Delay	Success	Total
Buffer Overflow	0	1962	20	10 (0.50%)	1992
WU-FTPd	0	2565	62	29 (1.09%)	2656
Compare/Compute	0	1311	9	8 (0.60%)	1328

Table 4: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

	Crash	NSTR	Delay	Success	Total
Buffer Overflow	0	927	6	3~(0.32%)	936
WU-FTPd	0	1202	32	$14 \ (1.12\%)$	1248
Compare/Compute	0	616	2	6~(0.96%)	624

Table 5: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

	Crash	NSTR	Delay	Success	Total
Buffer Overflow	0	294464	6273	3103 (1.02%)	303840
Compare/Compute	0	196958	2912	$2690 \ (1.33\%)$	202560

Table 6: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

	Crash	NSTR	Delay	Success	Total
Buffer Overflow	0	67072	926	450~(0.66%)	68448
WU-FTPd	0	84419	4836	2009 (2.20%)	91264
Compare/Compute	0	44444	323	$865 \ (1.90\%)$	45632