Table 1: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

| | Crash | NSTR | Delay | Success | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Buffer Overflow | 0 | 67829 | 575 | 452 (0.66%) | 68856 |
| WU-FTPd | 0 | 89010 | 1709 | 1089 (1.19%) | 91808 |
| Compare/Compute | 0 | 45192 | 450 | 262 (0.57%) | 45904 |

Table 2: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

| | Crash | NSTR | Delay | Success | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Buffer Overflow | 0 | 45097 | 1503 | 1406 (2.93%) | 48006 |
| WU-FTPd | 0 | 55589 | 5035 | 3384 (5.29%) | 64008 |
| Compare/Compute | 0 | 29906 | 899 | 1199 (3.75%) | 32004 |

Table 3: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

| | Crash | NSTR | Delay | Success | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Buffer Overflow | 0 | 1386 | 20 | 10 (0.71%) | 1416 |
| WU-FTPd | 0 | 1797 | 62 | 29 (1.54%) | 1888 |
| Compare/Compute | 0 | 927 | 9 | 8 (0.85%) | 944 |

Table 4: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

| | Crash | NSTR | Delay | Success | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Buffer Overflow | 0 | 360 | 0 | 0 (0.00%) | 360 |
| WU-FTPd | 0 | 472 | 1 | 7 (1.46%) | 480 |
| Compare/Compute | 0 | 239 | 0 | 1 (0.42%) | 240 |

Table 5: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

| | Crash | NSTR | Delay | Success | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Buffer Overflow | 0 | 134954 | 3906 | 1972 (1.40%) | 140832 |
| Compare/Compute | 0 | 90489 | 1814 | 1585 (1.69%) | 93888 |

Table 6: Logical fault injection simulation campaigns results

| | Crash | NSTR | Delay | Success | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Buffer Overflow | 0 | 6816 | 0 | 0 (0.00%) | 6816 |
| WU-FTPd | 0 | 8700 | 25 | 363 (3.99%) | 9088 |
| Compare/Compute | 0 | 4517 | 0 | 27 (0.59%) | 4544 |