Advanced Quantum Mechanics

William Royce

May 29, 2024

Abstract

The abstract (no more than 250 words) makes it possible to assess the interest of a document and makes it easier to identify it in a bibliographic search in databases where the document is referenced.

It is recommended that the summary briefly addresses:

- Main objectives and theme or motivations for the work;
- Methodology used (when necessary for understanding the report);
- Results, analyzed from a global point of view;
- Conclusions and consequences of the results, and link to the objectives of the work.

As this report template is aimed at work that focuses mainly on software development, some of these components may be less emphasized, and information on the work's analysis, design, and implementation may be added.

The abstract should not contain references.

Keywords: Keyword 1 · Keyword 2 · Keyword 3 · Keyword 4

ii Abstract

Contents

1	War	e Mechanics and the Schrödinger Equation	1
	1.1	Historical Foundations of Quantum Physics	1
		1.1.1 Black-body Radiation	1
		1.1.2 Photoelectric Effect	2
		1.1.3 Compton Scattering	3
		1.1.4 Atomic Spectra	3
	1.2	Wave Mechanics	4
		1.2.1 Maxwell's Wave Equation	5
		1.2.2 Schrödinger's Equation	6
	1.3	Postulates of Quantum Theory	7
2	Qua	ntum Mechanics in One Dimension	9
	2.1	Wave Mechanics of Unbound Particles	10
		2.1.1 Particle Flux and conservation of probability	10
		2.1.2 Free Particle	10
		2.1.3 Potential Step	10
		2.1.4 Potential Barrier	10
		2.1.5 The Rectangular Potential Well	10
	2.2	Wave Mechanics of Bound Particles	10
		2.2.1 The Rectangular Potential Well (Continued)	10
		2.2.2 The δ -Function Potential Well	10
		2.2.3 The δ -Function Model of a Crystal	10
	2.3	Wentzel, Kramers and Brillouin (WKB) Method	10
		2.3.1 Semi-Classical Approximation to Leading Order	10
		2.3.2 Next to Leading Order Correction	10
		2.3.3 Connection Formulae, Boundary Conditions and Quantization Rules	10
3	Ope	eator Methods in Quantum Mechanics	11
	3.1	Operators	11
		3.1.1 Matrix representations of operators	11
		3.1.2 Time-evolution operator	
		3.1.3 Uncertainty principle for non-commuting operators	
		3.1.4 Time-evolution of expectation values	11
	3.2	01	11
	3.3	Quantum harmonic oscillator	11
4	Qua	ntum Mechanics in More Than One Dimension	13
	4.1	Rigid diatomic molecule	13
	4.2	Angular momentum	13
		4.2.1 Commutation relations	13
		4.2.2 Eigenvalues of angular momentum	13
		4.2.3 Representation of the angular momentum states	13
5	Spin		15
	5.1	Spinors, spin operators, Pauli matrices	15
	5.2		15
	5.3	Spin precession in a magnetic field	15

iv Abstract

	5.4	Addition of angular momenta	15
		5.4.1 Addition of two spin 1/2 degrees of freedom	15
		5.4.2 Addition of angular momentum and spin	15
		5.4.3 Addition of two angular momenta $J=1$	15
6	Mot	cion in a Magnetic Field	17
	6.1	Classical mechanics of a particle in a field	17
	6.2	Quantum mechanics of a particle in a field	17
	6.3	Gauge invariance and the Aharonov-Bohm effect	
	6.4	Free electron in a magnetic field	
7	App	proximation Methods for Stationary States	19
	$7.\overline{1}$	Time-independent perturbation theory	19
		7.1.1 The perturbation series	19
		7.1.2 First order perturbation theory	
		7.1.3 Second order perturbation theory	
	7.2	Degenerate perturbation theory	
	7.3	Variational method	
8	Sym	nmetry in Quantum Mechanics	21
	8.1	Observables as generators of transformations	
	8.2	Consequences of symmetries: multiplets	
	8.3	Rotational symmetry in quantum mechanics	
		8.3.1 Scalar operators	
		8.3.2 Vector operators	
	8.4	The Wigner-Eckart theorem for scalar operators	
		8.4.1 Consequences of the Wigner-Eckart theorem (for scalars)	
	8.5	The Wigner-Eckart theorem for vector operators	
	0.0	8.5.1 Selection rules for vector operator matrix elements	
		8.5.2 The Land'e projection formula	
	8.6	Magnetic dipole moments	
	0.0	8.6.1 g-factors	
		8.6.2 Combining magnetic moments	
9	Idor	ntical Particles	23
J	9.1	Quantum statistics	
	9.2	Space and spin wavefunctions	
	9.3	Physical consequences of particle statistics	
	9.4	Many-body systems	
	3.4	9.4.1 Non-interacting Fermi gas	
		9.4.2 Non-interacting Bose gas	
10	1 1 + 0	mic Structure	25
τÛ		The non-relativistic hydrogen atom	
	10.1		
	10.9	10.1.1 The Zeeman effect	
	10.2	The "real" hydrogen atom	
		10.2.1 Relativistic correction to the kinetic energy	
		10.2.2 Spin-orbit coupling	25 25
		10.2.4 Info: Lamb shift	
		10.4.4 mm. Lamb Simt	-20

		10.2.5	Hyperfine structure	25
	10.3	Multi-	electron atoms	25
		10.3.1	Central field approximation	25
		10.3.2	Spin-orbit coupling	25
			Info: jj coupling scheme	
				25
11				27
			+2ion	
			2 molecule	
	11.3	From 1	molecules to solids	27
12	Tim	e-Dep	endent Perturbation Theory	2 9
	12.1	Time-o	lependent potentials: general formalism	29
		12.1.1	Dynamics of a driven two-level system	29
		12.1.2	Paramagnetic resonance	29
	12.2	Time-o	lependent perturbation theory	29
				29
		12.3.1	Harmonic perturbations: Fermi's Golden Rule	29
			Info: Harmonic perturbations: second-order transitions	29
13	Scat	tering	Theory	31
10		_	·	31
				31
1 1	Rad	· _ 4 ·	Transitions	33
14				
14		Coupli	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field	33
14		Coupli	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field	
14		Coupli 14.1.1	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field	33
14		Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field	33 33
14		Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field	33 33 33 33
14	14.1	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field	33 33 33 33 33
14	14.114.2	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selection	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules	33 33 33 33 33
14	14.114.2	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selection Lasers	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules	33 33 33 33 33 33
14	14.114.2	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selection Lasers 14.3.1	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser	33 33 33 33 33 33
	14.1 14.2 14.3	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selection Lasers 14.3.1 14.3.2	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser Gain mechanism	33 33 33 33 33 33 33
	14.1 14.2 14.3	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selectic Lasers 14.3.1 14.3.2	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser Gain mechanism ory: From Phonons to Photons	33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33
	14.1 14.2 14.3	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selection Lasers 14.3.1 14.3.2 d Theo Quanti	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser Gain mechanism ory: From Phonons to Photons ization of the classical atomic chain	33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 35
	14.1 14.2 14.3	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selectic Lasers 14.3.1 14.3.2 d Theo Quanti 15.1.1	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser Gain mechanism ory: From Phonons to Photons ization of the classical atomic chain Info: Classical chain	33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 35 35
	14.1 14.2 14.3 Fiel 15.1	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selectic Lasers 14.3.1 14.3.2 d Theo Quanti 15.1.1 15.1.2	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser Gain mechanism Ory: From Phonons to Photons ization of the classical atomic chain Info: Classical chain Info: Quantum chain	33 33 33 33 33 33 33 35 35 35
	14.1 14.2 14.3 Fiel 15.1	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selection Lasers 14.3.1 14.3.2 d Theo Quanti 15.1.1 15.1.2 Quanti	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser Gain mechanism ory: From Phonons to Photons ization of the classical atomic chain Info: Classical chain Info: Quantum chain um electrodynamics	33 33 33 33 33 33 33 35 35 35 35 35
	14.1 14.2 14.3 Fiel 15.1	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selection Lasers 14.3.1 14.3.2 d Theorem Quanti 15.1.1 15.1.2 Quanti 15.2.1	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser Gain mechanism ory: From Phonons to Photons ization of the classical atomic chain Info: Classical chain Info: Quantum chain um electrodynamics Info: Classical theory of the electromagnetic field	33 33 33 33 33 33 33 35 35 35 35 35
	14.1 14.2 14.3 Fiel 15.1	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selectic Lasers 14.3.1 14.3.2 d Theo Quanti 15.1.1 15.1.2 Quanti 15.2.1 15.2.2	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser Gain mechanism ory: From Phonons to Photons ization of the classical atomic chain Info: Classical chain Info: Quantum chain um electrodynamics Info: Classical theory of the electromagnetic field Quantum field theory of the electromagnetic field	33 33 33 33 33 33 33 35 35 35 35 35 35 3
	14.1 14.2 14.3 Fiel 15.1	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selectic Lasers 14.3.1 14.3.2 d Theo Quanti 15.1.1 15.1.2 Quanti 15.2.1 15.2.2 15.2.3	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser Gain mechanism ory: From Phonons to Photons ization of the classical atomic chain Info: Classical chain Info: Quantum chain um electrodynamics Info: Classical theory of the electromagnetic field Quantum field theory of the electromagnetic field Fock states	33 33 33 33 33 33 33 35 35 35 35 35 35 3
	14.1 14.2 14.3 Fiel 15.1	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selectic Lasers 14.3.1 14.3.2 d Theo Quanti 15.1.1 15.1.2 Quanti 15.2.1 15.2.2 15.2.3 15.2.4	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser Gain mechanism ory: From Phonons to Photons ization of the classical atomic chain Info: Classical chain Info: Quantum chain um electrodynamics Info: Classical theory of the electromagnetic field Quantum field theory of the electromagnetic field Fock states Coherent states	33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 35 35 35 35 35 3
	14.1 14.2 14.3 Fiel 15.1	Coupli 14.1.1 14.1.2 14.1.3 14.1.4 Selectic Lasers 14.3.1 14.3.2 d Theo Quanti 15.1.1 15.1.2 Quanti 15.2.1 15.2.2 15.2.3 15.2.4	ng of matter to the electromagnetic field Quantum fields Spontaneous emission Absorption and stimulated emission Einstein's A and B coefficients on rules Operating principles of a laser Gain mechanism ory: From Phonons to Photons ization of the classical atomic chain Info: Classical chain Info: Quantum chain um electrodynamics Info: Classical theory of the electromagnetic field Quantum field theory of the electromagnetic field Fock states Coherent states	33 33 33 33 33 33 33 35 35 35 35 35 35 3

•	A	1 /	
V1	Δ	hsti	ract
v ı	11	LU CU	Laco

A Proofs and Formula Deri A.0.1 Probability Cur	rivations rrent	A.1 A.1
List of Tables		

List of Figures

Wave Mechanics and the Schrödinger Equation

The formulation of a consistent theory of statistical mechanics, electrodynamics and special relativity during the latter half of the 19th century and the early part of the 20th century had been a triumph of "unification". However, the undoubted success of these theories gave an impression that physics was a mature, complete, and predictive science. Nowhere was confidence expressed more clearly than in the famous quote made at the time by Lord Kelvin: There is nothing new to be discovered in physics now. All that remains is more and more precise measurement. However, there were a number of seemingly unrelated and unsettling problems that challenged the prevailing theories.

1.1 Historical Foundations of Quantum Physics

1.1.1 Black-body Radiation

In 1860, Gustav Kirchhoff introduced the concept of a "black body", an object that absorbs all electromagnetic radiation that falls upon it – none passes through and none is reflected. Since no light is reflected or transmitted, the object appears black when it is cold. However, above absolute zero, a black body emits thermal radiation with a spectrum that depends on temperature. To determine the spectrum of radiated energy, it is helpful to think of a black body as a thermal cavity at a temperature, T. The energy radiated by the cavity can be estimated by considering the resonant modes. In three-dimensions, the number of modes, per unit frequency per unit volume is given by

$$N(\nu) d\nu = \frac{8\pi\nu^2}{c^3} d\nu$$
, (1.1)

where, as usual, c is the speed of light.

The amount of radiation emitted in a given frequency range should be proportional to the number of modes in that range. Within the framework of classical statistical mechanics, each of these modes has an equal chance of being excited, and the average energy in each mode is k_BT (equipartition), where k_B is the Boltzmann constant. The corresponding energy density is therefore given by the Rayleigh-Jeans law,

$$\rho(\nu, T) = \frac{8\pi\nu^2}{c^3} k_B T. \tag{1.2}$$

This result predicts that $\rho(\nu, T)$ increases without bound at high frequencies, ν — the ultraviolet (UV) catastrophe. However, such behaviour stood in contradiction with

experiment which revealed that the high-frequency dependence is quite benign. To resolve difficulties presented by the UV catastrophe, Planck hypothesized that, for each mode ν , energy is quantized in units of $h\nu$, where h denotes the Planck constant. In this case, the energy of each mode is given by

$$\langle \varepsilon(\nu) \rangle = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nh\nu e^{-nh\nu/k_B T}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu e^{-nh\nu/k_B T}} = \frac{h\nu}{e^{h\nu/k_B T} - 1},$$
(1.3)

leading to the anticipated suppression of high frequency modes. From this result one obtains the celebrated Planck radiation formula,

$$\rho(\nu, T) = \frac{8\pi\nu^2}{c^3} \left\langle \varepsilon(\nu) \right\rangle = \frac{8\pi\nu^2}{c^3} \frac{h\nu}{e^{h\nu/k_B T} - 1}.$$
 (1.4)

This result conforms with experiment, and converges on the Rayleigh-Jeans law at low frequencies, $h\nu/k_BT\to 0$. Planck's result suggests that electromagnetic energy is quantised: light of wavelength $\lambda=c/\nu$ is made up of quanta each of which has energy $h\nu$. The equipartition law fails for oscillation modes with high frequencies, $h\nu\gg k_BT$.

A quantum theory for the specific heat of matter, which takes into account the quantization of lattice vibrational modes, was subsequently given by Debye and Einstein.

1.1.2 Photoelectric Effect

We turn now to the second ground-breaking experiment in the development of quantum theory. When a metallic surface is exposed to electromagnetic radiation, above a certain threshold frequency, the light is absorbed and electrons are emitted (see figure, right). In 1902, Philipp Eduard Anton von Lenard observed that the energy of individual emitted electrons increases with the frequency of the light. This was at odds with Maxwell's wave theory of light, which predicted that the electron energy would be proportional to the intensity of the radiation.

In 1905, Einstein resolved this paradox by describing light as composed of discrete quanta (photons), rather than continuous waves. Based upon Planck's theory of black-body radiation, Einstein theorized that the energy in each quantum of light was proportional to the frequency. A photon above a threshold energy, the "work function" W of the metal, has the required energy to eject a single electron, creating the observed effect. In particular, Einstein's theory was able to predict that the maximum kinetic energy of electrons emitted by the radiation should vary as

$$K.E._{max} = h\nu - W. \tag{1.5}$$

Later, in 1916, Millikan was able to measure the maximum kinetic energy of the emitted electrons using an evacuated glass chamber. The kinetic energy of the photoelectrons

was found by measuring the potential energy of the electric field, eV, needed to stop them. As well as confirming the linear dependence of the kinetic energy on frequency, by making use of his estimate for the electron charge, e, established from his oil drop experiment in 1913, he was able to determine Planck's constant to a precision of around 0.5%. This discovery led to the quantum revolution in physics and earned Einstein the Nobel Prize in 1921.

1.1.3 Compton Scattering

In 1923, Compton investigated the scattering of high energy X-rays and γ -ray from electrons in a carbon target. By measuring the spectrum of radiation at different angles relative to the incident beam, he found two scattering peaks. The first peak occurred at a wavelength which matched that of the incident beam, while the second varied with angle. Within the framework of a purely classical theory of the scattering of electromagnetic radiation from a charged particle - Thomson scattering - the wavelength of a low-intensity beam should remain unchanged.

Compton's observation demonstrated that light cannot be explained purely as a classical wave phenomenon. Light must behave as if it consists of particles in order to explain the low-intensity Compton scattering. If one assumes that the radiation is comprised of photons that have a well defined momentum as well as energy, $p = \frac{h\nu}{c} = \frac{h}{\lambda}$, the shift in wavelength can be understood: The interaction between electrons and high energy photons (ca. keV) results in the electron being given part of the energy (making it recoil), and a photon with the remaining energy being emitted in a different direction from the original, so that the overall momentum of the system is conserved. By taking into account both conservation of energy and momentum of the system, the Compton scattering formula describing the shift in the wavelength as function of scattering angle θ can be derived,

$$\Delta \lambda = \lambda' - \lambda = \frac{h}{m_e c} (1 - \cos \theta). \tag{1.6}$$

The constant of proportionality $h/m_e c = 0.002426$ nm, the Compton wavelength, characterizes the scale of scattering. Moreover, as $h \to 0$, one finds that $\Delta \lambda \to 0$ leading to the classical prediction.

1.1.4 Atomic Spectra

The discovery by Rutherford that the atom was comprised of a small positively charged nucleus surrounded by a diffuse cloud of electrons led naturally to the consideration of a planetary model of the atom. However, a classical theory of electrodynamics would predict that an accelerating charge would radiate energy leading to the eventual collapse of the electron into the nucleus. Moreover, as the electron spirals inwards, the emission would gradually increase in frequency leading to a broad continuous spectra. Yet, detailed studies of electrical discharges in low-pressure gases revealed that atoms emit light at discrete

frequencies. The clue to resolving these puzzling observations lay in the discrete nature of atomic spectra. For the hydrogen atom, light emitted when the atom is thermally excited has a particular pattern: Balmer had discovered in 1885 that the emitted wavelengths follow the empirical law, $\lambda = \lambda_0(1/4 - 1/n^2)$ where $n = 3, 4, 5, \ldots$ and $\lambda_0 = 3645.6 \text{ Å}$. Neils Bohr realized that these discrete values of the wavelength reflected the emission of individual photons having energy equal to the energy difference between two allowed orbits of the electron circling the nucleus (the proton), $E_n - E_m = h\nu$, leading to the conclusion that the allowed energy levels must be quantised and varying as $E_n = -\frac{hcR_H}{n^2}$, where $R_H = 109678 \, \text{cm}^{-1}$ denotes the Rydberg constant.

How could the quantum $h\nu$ restricting allowed radiation energies also restrict the allowed electron orbits? In 1913 Bohr proposed that the angular momentum of an electron in one of these orbits is quantised in units of Planck's constant,

$$L = m_e v r = n\hbar, \qquad \hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}.$$
 (1.7)

But why should only certain angular momenta be allowed for the circling electron? A heuristic explanation was provided by de Broglie: just as the constituents of light waves (photons) are seen through Compton scattering to act like particles (of definite energy and momentum), so particles such as electrons may exhibit wave-like properties. For photons, we have seen that the relationship between wavelength and momentum is $p = h/\lambda$. de Broglie hypothesized that the inverse was true: for particles with a momentum p, the wavelength is

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p}, \quad \text{i.e.} p = \hbar k$$
 (1.8)

where k denotes the wavevector of the particle. Applied to the electron in the atom, this result suggested that the allowed circular orbits are standing waves, from which Bohr's angular momentum quantization follows. The de Broglie hypothesis found quantitative support in an experiment by Davisson and Germer, and independently by G. P. Thomson in 1927. Their studies of electron diffraction from a crystalline array of Nickel atoms confirmed that the diffraction angles depend on the incident energy (and therefore momentum).

1.2 Wave Mechanics

de Broglie's doctoral thesis, defended at the end of 1924, created a lot of excitement in European physics circles. Shortly after it was published in the Autumn of 1925, Pieter Debye, a theorist in Zurich, suggested to Erwin Schrödinger that he give a seminar on de Broglie's work. Schrödinger gave a polished presentation, but at the end, Debye remarked that he considered the whole theory rather childish: Why should a wave confine itself to a circle in space? It wasn't as if the circle was a waving circular string; real waves in space diffracted and diffused; in fact they obeyed three-dimensional wave equations, and that was what was needed. This was a direct challenge to Schrödinger, who spent some weeks in the Swiss mountains working on the problem, and constructing his equation.

1.2 Wave Mechanics 5

1.2.1 Maxwell's Wave Equation

For a monochromatic wave in vacuum, with no currents or charges present, Maxwell's wave equation,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \frac{1}{c^2} \ddot{\mathbf{E}} = 0, \tag{1.9}$$

admits the plane wave solution, $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}$, with the linear dispersion relation $\omega = c|\mathbf{k}|$ and c the velocity of light. Here, (and throughout the text) we adopt the convention, $\ddot{\mathbf{E}} = \partial_t^2 \mathbf{E}$. We know from the photoelectric effect and Compton scattering that the photon energy and momentum are related to the frequency and wavelength of light through the relations $E = h\nu = \hbar\omega$, $p = \frac{h}{\lambda} = \hbar k$. The wave equation tells us that $\omega = c|\mathbf{k}|$ and hence $E = c|\mathbf{p}|$. If we think of $e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}$ as describing a particle (photon) it would be more natural to write the plane wave in terms of the energy and momentum of the particle as $E_0 e^{i(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} - Et)/\hbar}$. Then, one may see that the wave equation applied to the plane wave describing particle propagation yields the familiar energymomentum relationship, $E^2 = (c\mathbf{p})^2$ for a massless relativistic particle.

This discussion suggests how one might extend the wave equation from the photon (with zero rest mass) to a particle with rest mass m_0 . We require a wave equation that, when it operates on a plane wave, yields the relativistic energy-momentum invariant, $E^2 = (c\mathbf{p})^2 + (m_0c^2)^2$. Writing the plane wave function $\phi(\mathbf{r},t) = Ae^{i(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-Et)/\hbar}$, where A is a constant, we can recover the energy-momentum invariant by adding a constant mass term to the wave operator,

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{\partial_t^2}{c^2} - \frac{m_0 c^2}{\hbar^2}\right) e^{i(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} - Et)/\hbar} = -\frac{((c\mathbf{p})^2 - E^2 + m_0^2 c^4)}{(\hbar c)^2} e^{i(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} - Et)/\hbar} = 0.$$
 (1.10)

This wave equation is called the **Klein-Gordon equation** and correctly describes the propagation of relativistic particles of mass m_0 . However, its form is inappropriate for non-relativistic particles, like the electron in hydrogen.

Continuing along the same lines, let us assume that a non-relativistic electron in free space is also described by a plane wave of the form $\Psi(\mathbf{r},t) = Ae^{i(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-Et)/\hbar}$. We need to construct an operator which, when applied to this wave function, just gives us the ordinary non-relativistic energy-momentum relation, $E = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}$. The factor of \mathbf{p}^2 can be recovered from two derivatives with respect to \mathbf{r} , but the only way we can get E is by having a single differentiation with respect to time, i.e.

$$i\hbar\partial_t\Psi(\mathbf{r},t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\Psi(\mathbf{r},t).$$
 (1.11)

This is Schrödinger's equation for a free non-relativistic particle. One remarkable feature of this equation is the factor of i which shows that the wavefunction is complex.

How, then, does the presence of a spatially varying scalar potential affect the propagation of a de Broglie wave? This question was considered by Sommerfeld in an attempt to generalize the rather restrictive conditions in Bohr's model of the atom. Since the electron orbit was established by an inverse square force law, just like the planets around the Sun, Sommerfeld couldn't understand why Bohr's atom had only circular orbits as opposed to Keplerlike elliptical orbits. (Recall that all of the observed spectral lines of hydrogen were accounted for by energy differences between circular orbits.

de Broglie's analysis of the allowed circular orbits can be formulated by assuming that, at some instant, the spatial variation of the wavefunction on going around the orbit includes a phase term of the form $e^{ipq/\hbar}$, where here the parameter q measures the spatial distance around the orbit. Now, for an acceptable wavefunction, the total phase change on going around the orbit must be $2\pi n$, where n is integer. For the usual Bohr circular orbit, where $p = |\mathbf{p}|$ is constant, this leads to quantization of the angular momentum $L = pr = n\hbar$.

Sommerfeld considered a general Keplerian elliptical orbit. Assuming that the de Broglie relation $p=h/\lambda$ still holds, the wavelength must vary as the particle moves around the orbit, being shortest where the particle travels fastest, at its closest approach to the nucleus. Nevertheless, the phase change on moving a short distance Δq should still be $p\Delta q/\hbar$. Requiring the wavefunction to link up smoothly on going once around the orbit gives the **Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition**

$$\oint p \, \mathrm{d}q = nh, \tag{1.12}$$

where \oint denotes the line integral around a closed orbit. Thus only certain *elliptical* orbits are allowed. The mathematics is non-trivial, but it turns out that every allowed elliptical orbit has the same energy as one of the allowed circular orbits. That is why Bohr's theory gave the correct energy levels. This analysis suggests that, in a varying potential, the wavelength changes in concert with the momentum.

1.2.2 Schrödinger's Equation

Following Sommerfeld's considerations, let us then consider a particle moving in one spatial dimension subject to a "roller coaster-like" potential. How do we expect the wavefunction to behave? As discussed above, we would expect the wavelength to be shortest where the potential is lowest, in the minima, because that's where the particle is going the fastest. Our task then is to construct a wave equation which leads naturally to the relation following from (classical) energy conservation, $E = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x)$. In contrast to the free particle case discussed above, the relevant wavefunction here will no longer be a simple plane wave, since the wavelength (determined through the momentum via the de Broglie relation) varies with the potential. However, at a given position x, the momentum is determined by the "local wavelength". The appropriate wave equation is the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation,

$$i\hbar\partial_t \Psi(x,t) = -\frac{\hbar^2 \partial_x^2}{2m} \Psi(x,t) + V(x)\Psi(x,t), \qquad (1.13)$$

with the generalization to three-dimensions leading to the Laplacian operator ∇^2 in place of ∂_x^2 (cf. Maxwell's equation).

So far, the validity of this equation rests on plausibility arguments and hand-waving. Why should anyone believe that it really describes an electron wave? Schrödinger's test of his equation was the hydrogen atom. He looked for Bohr's "stationary states": states in which the electron was localized somewhere near the proton, and having a definite energy. The time dependence would be the same as for a plane wave of definite energy, $e^{-Et/\hbar}$; the spatial dependence would be a time-independent function decreasing rapidly at large distances from the proton. From the solution of the stationary wave equation for the Coulomb potential, he was able to deduce the allowed values of energy and momentum. These values were exactly the same as those obtained by Bohr (except that the lowest allowed state in the "new" theory had zero angular momentum): impressive evidence that the new theory was correct.

1.3 Postulates of Quantum Theory

Since there remains no "first principles" derivation of the quantum mechanical equations of motion, the theory is underpinned by a set of "postulates" whose validity rest on experimental verification. Needless to say, quantum mechanics remains perhaps the most successful theory in physics.

• **Postulate 1**. The state of a quantum mechanical system is completely specified by a function $\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)$ that depends upon the coordinates of the particle(s) and on time. This function, called the wavefunction or state function, has the important property that $|\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)|^2 d\mathbf{r}$ represents the probability that the particle lies in the volume element $d\mathbf{r} \equiv d^d\mathbf{r}$ located at position \mathbf{r} at time t.

The wavefunction must satisfy certain mathematical conditions because of this probabilistic interpretation. For the case of a single particle, the net probability of finding it at some point in space must be unity leading to the normalization condition, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} = 1.$ It is customary to also normalize many-particle wavefunctions to unity. The wavefunction must also be single-valued, continuous, and finite.

• Postulate 2. To every observable in classical mechanics there corresponds a linear, Hermitian operator in quantum mechanics.

If we require that the expectation value of an operator \hat{A} is real, then it follows that \hat{A} must be a Hermitian operator. If the result of a measurement of an operator \hat{A} is the number a, then a must be one of the eigenvalues, $\hat{A}\Psi=a\Psi$, where Ψ is the corresponding eigenfunction. This postulate captures a central point of quantum mechanics – the values of dynamical variables can be quantized (although it is still possible to have a continuum of eigenvalues in the case of unbound states).

• Postulate 3. If a system is in a state described by a normalized wavefunction Ψ , then the average value of the observable corresponding to \hat{A} is given by

$$\left\langle \hat{A} \right\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^* \hat{A} \Psi \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \,.$$
 (1.14)

If the system is in an eigenstate of \hat{A} with eigenvalue a, then any measurement of the quantity A will yield a. Although measurements must always yield an eigenvalue, the state does not have to be an eigenstate of \hat{A} initially. An arbitrary state can be expanded in the complete set of eigenvectors of \hat{A} ($\hat{A}\Psi_i = a_i\Psi_i$) as $\Psi = \sum_i^n c_i\Psi_i$, where n may go to infinity. In this case, the probability of obtaining the result a_i from the measurement of \hat{A} is given by $P(a_i) = |\langle \Psi_i | \Psi \rangle|^2 = |c_i|^2$. The expectation value of \hat{A} for the state Ψ is the sum over all possible values of the measurement and given by

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \sum_{i} a_i |\langle \Psi_i | \Psi \rangle|^2 = \sum_{i} |c_i|^2.$$
 (1.15)

Finally, a measurement of Ψ which leads to the eigenvalue a_i , causes the wavefunction to "collapses" into the corresponding eigenstate Ψ_i . (In the case that a_i is degenerate, then Ψ becomes the projection of Ψ onto the degenerate subspace). Thus, measurement affects the state of the system.

• **Postulate 4**. The wavefunction or state function of a system evolves in time according to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t),$$
 (1.16)

where \hat{H} is the Hamiltonian of the system. If Ψ is an eigenstate of \hat{H} , it follows that $\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)=\Psi(\mathbf{r},0)e^{-iEt/\hbar}$.

Chapter 2

Quantum Mechanics in One Dimension

Following the rules of quantum mechanics, we have seen that the state of a quantum particle, subject to a scalar potential $V(\mathbf{r},t)$, is described by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation,

$$i\hbar\partial_t \Psi(\mathbf{r},t) = -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} \Psi(\mathbf{r},t) + V(\mathbf{r},t)\Psi(\mathbf{r},t).$$
(2.1)

As with all second order linear differential equations, if the potential $V(\mathbf{r},t)$ is time-independent, the time-dependence of the wavefunction can be separated from the spatial dependence. Setting $\Psi(\mathbf{r},t) = T(t)\psi(\mathbf{r})$, and separating the variables, the Schrödinger equation takes the form,

$$\frac{\left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} \psi(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r})\right)}{\psi(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{i\hbar \partial_t T(t)}{T(t)} = \text{const.} = E.$$
 (2.2)

Since we have a function of only \mathbf{r} set equal to a function of only t, they both must equal a constant. In the equation above, we call the constant E (with some knowledge of the outcome). We now have an equation in t set equal to a constant, $i\hbar\partial_t T(t) = ET(t)$, which has a simple general solution, $T(t) = Ce^{-iEt/\hbar}$, where C is some constant. The corresponding equation in \mathbf{r} is then given by the stationary, or **time-independent Schrödinger equation**,

$$-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} \psi(x) + V(x)\psi(x) = E\psi(x).$$
(2.3)

The full time-dependent solution is given by $\Psi(\mathbf{r},t) = e^{-iEt/\hbar}\psi(\mathbf{r})$ with definite energy, E. Their probability density $|\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)|^2 = |\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2$ is constant in time – hence they are called stationary states! The operator

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V(\mathbf{r})$$
(2.4)

defines the **Hamiltonian** and the stationary wave equation can be written as the eigenfunction equation, $\hat{H}\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$, i.e. $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ is an eigenstate of \hat{H} with eigenvalue E.

To explore its properties, we will first review some simple and, hopefully, familiar applications of the equation to one-dimensional systems. In addressing the one-dimensional

geometry, we will divide our consideration between potentials, V(x), which leave the particle free (i.e. unbound), and those that bind the particle to some region of space.

2.1 Wave Mechanics of Unbound Particles

- 2.1.1 Particle Flux and conservation of probability
- 2.1.2 Free Particle
- 2.1.3 Potential Step
- 2.1.4 Potential Barrier
- 2.1.5 The Rectangular Potential Well
- 2.2 Wave Mechanics of Bound Particles
- 2.2.1 The Rectangular Potential Well (Continued)
- 2.2.2 The δ -Function Potential Well
- 2.2.3 The δ -Function Model of a Crystal
- 2.3 Wentzel, Kramers and Brillouin (WKB) Method
- 2.3.1 Semi-Classical Approximation to Leading Order
- 2.3.2 Next to Leading Order Correction
- 2.3.3 Connection Formulae, Boundary Conditions and Quantization Rules

Operator Methods in Quantum Mechanics

- 3.1 Operators
- 3.1.1 Matrix representations of operators
- 3.1.2 Time-evolution operator
- 3.1.3 Uncertainty principle for non-commuting operators
- 3.1.4 Time-evolution of expectation values
- 3.2 The Heisenberg picture
- 3.3 Quantum harmonic oscillator

Quantum Mechanics in More Than One Dimension

- 4.1 Rigid diatomic molecule
- 4.2 Angular momentum
- 4.2.1 Commutation relations
- 4.2.2 Eigenvalues of angular momentum
- 4.2.3 Representation of the angular momentum states

Chapter 5

Spin

- 5.1 Spinors, spin operators, Pauli matrices
- 5.2 Relating the spinor to the spin direction
- 5.3 Spin precession in a magnetic field
- 5.4 Addition of angular momenta
- 5.4.1 Addition of two spin 1/2 degrees of freedom
- 5.4.2 Addition of angular momentum and spin
- 5.4.3 Addition of two angular momenta J=1

Spin

Chapter 6

Motion in a Magnetic Field

- 6.1 Classical mechanics of a particle in a field
- 6.2 Quantum mechanics of a particle in a field
- 6.3 Gauge invariance and the Aharonov-Bohm effect
- 6.4 Free electron in a magnetic field

Approximation Methods for Stationary States

- 7.1 Time-independent perturbation theory
- 7.1.1 The perturbation series
- 7.1.2 First order perturbation theory
- 7.1.3 Second order perturbation theory
- 7.2 Degenerate perturbation theory
- 7.3 Variational method

Symmetry in Quantum Mechanics

8.1	Observables as generators of transformations
8.2	Consequences of symmetries: multiplets
8.3	Rotational symmetry in quantum mechanics
8.3.1	Scalar operators
8.3.2	Vector operators
8.4	The Wigner-Eckart theorem for scalar operators
8.4.1	Consequences of the Wigner-Eckart theorem (for scalars)
8.5	The Wigner-Eckart theorem for vector operators
8.5.1	Selection rules for vector operator matrix elements
8.5.2	The Land'e projection formula
8.6	Magnetic dipole moments
8.6.1	g-factors
8.6.2	Combining magnetic moments

Chapter 9

Identical Particles

- 9.1 Quantum statistics
- 9.2 Space and spin wavefunctions
- 9.3 Physical consequences of particle statistics
- 9.4 Many-body systems
- 9.4.1 Non-interacting Fermi gas
- 9.4.2 Non-interacting Bose gas

24 Identical Particles

Atomic Structure

10.1	The non-relativistic hydrogen atom
10.1.1	The Zeeman effect
10.2	The "real" hydrogen atom
10.2.1	Relativistic correction to the kinetic energy
10.2.2	Spin-orbit coupling
10.2.3	Darwin term
10.2.4	Info: Lamb shift
10.2.5	Hyperfine structure
10.3	Multi-electron atoms
10.3.1	Central field approximation
10.3.2	Spin-orbit coupling
10.3.3	Info: jj coupling scheme
10.3.4	Zeeman effect

From Molecules to Solids

- 11.1 The H+2ion
- 11.2 The H2 molecule
- 11.3 From molecules to solids

Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory

- 12.1 Time-dependent potentials: general formalism
- 12.1.1 Dynamics of a driven two-level system
- 12.1.2 Paramagnetic resonance
- 12.2 Time-dependent perturbation theory
- 12.3 "Sudden" perturbation
- 12.3.1 Harmonic perturbations: Fermi's Golden Rule
- 12.3.2 Info: Harmonic perturbations: second-order transitions

Scattering Theory

- 13.1 Basics
- 13.2 The Born approximation

Radiative Transitions

- 14.1 Coupling of matter to the electromagnetic field
- 14.1.1 Quantum fields
- 14.1.2 Spontaneous emission
- 14.1.3 Absorption and stimulated emission
- 14.1.4 Einstein's A and B coefficients
- 14.2 Selection rules
- 14.3 Lasers
- 14.3.1 Operating principles of a laser
- 14.3.2 Gain mechanism

Field Theory: From Phonons to Photons

- 15.1 Quantization of the classical atomic chain
- 15.1.1 Info: Classical chain
- 15.1.2 Info: Quantum chain
- 15.2 Quantum electrodynamics
- 15.2.1 Info: Classical theory of the electromagnetic field
- 15.2.2 Quantum field theory of the electromagnetic field
- 15.2.3 Fock states
- 15.2.4 Coherent states
- 15.2.5 Non-classical light

Bibliography

APPENDIX A

Proofs and Formula Derivations

A.0.1 Probability Current

Consider the expression

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathcal{V}} P(\mathbf{r}, t) \, dV = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \Psi^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \, dV;$$
 (A.1)

apart from the factor $i\hbar$, this is the rate of change of the probability of finding the particle in a closed region (V):