

Part II Classical Field Theory

William Royce

January 17, 2025

Part II Physics, The University of Cambridge

Preface

Classical field theory deals with the general idea of a quantity that is a function of time and space, which can be used to describe wave-like physical phenomena such as sound and light, or other continuous phenomena such as fluid flow. Classical field theory, which concerns the generation and interaction of fields, is a logical precursor to quantum field theory and can be used to describe phenomena such as gravity and electromagnetism.

In this course, hopefully, you acquire information and skills that can be used in a variety of places in theoretical physics, principally in quantum field theory, particle physics, electromagnetic theory, fluid mechanics and general relativity. As you may know, it is usually in courses on such subjects that the techniques, tools, and results we shall develop here are introduced – if only in bits and pieces as needed. As explained below, it seems better to give a unified, systematic development of the tools of classical field theory in one place. If you want to be a theoretical/mathematical physicist you must see this stuff at least once. If you are just interested in getting a deeper look at some fundamental/foundational physics and applied mathematics ideas, this is a good place to do it.

The traditional physics curriculum supports a number of classical¹ field theories. In particular, there is (i) the “Newtonian theory of gravity”, based upon the Poisson equation for the gravitational potential and Newton’s laws, and (ii) electromagnetic theory, based upon Maxwell’s equations and the Lorentz force law. Both of these field theories appear in introductory physics courses as well as in upper level courses. Einstein provided us with another important classical field theory – a relativistic gravitational theory – via his general theory of relativity. This subject takes some investment in geometrical technology to adequately explain. It does, however, also typically get a course of its own. These courses (Newtonian gravity, electrodynamics, general relativity) are traditionally used to cover a lot of the concepts and methodology of classical field theory. The other field theories that are important (e.g., Dirac (Section 8), Yang-Mills, Klein-Gordon) typically arise, physically speaking, not as classical field theories but as quantum field theories, and it is usually in a course in quantum field theory that these other field theories are described. So, in a typical physics curriculum, it is through such courses that a student normally gets exposed to the tools and results of classical field theory. This course reflects an alternative approach to learning classical field theory, which I will now try to justify

The traditional organisation of material just described, while natural in some ways, overlooks the fact that field theories have many fundamental features in common – features which are most easily understood in the classical limit – and one can get a really good feel for what is going on in “the big picture” by exploring these features in a general, systematic way. Indeed, many of the basic structures appearing in classical field theory (Lagrangians (Section 1), field equations (Section 3), symmetries, conservation laws (Section 4), gauge transformations (Subsection 4.3), etc.) are of interest in their own right, and one should

¹Here, and in all that follows, the term “classical” is to mean “not quantum”, e.g., as in “the classical limit”. Sometimes people use “classical” to also mean non-relativistic; we shall definitely not be doing that here. Indeed, every field theory we shall consider is “relativistic”. We shall define a field to be classical if it satisfies the following criteria: the state of the field at a given time is represented by furnishing, for each point in space, a finite set of numbers (e.g. a single real number or a tensor or a spinor, depending on the type of field); the field can in principle be observed without disturbing it.

try to master them in general, not just in the context of a particular example (e.g., electromagnetism, Section 3.8), and not just in passing as one studies the quantum field. Moreover, once one has mastered a sufficiently large set of such field theoretic tools, one is in a good position to discern how this or that field theory differs from its cousins in some key structural way. This serves to highlight physical and mathematical ingredients that make each theory special.

From a somewhat more pragmatic point of view, let me point out that most quantum field theory texts rely quite heavily upon one's facility with the techniques of classical field theory. This is, in part, because many quantum mechanical structures have analogues in a classical approximation to the theory. By understanding the “lay of the land” in the classical theory through a course such as this one, one gets a lot of insight into the associated quantum field theories. It is hard enough to learn quantum field theory without having to also assimilate at the same time concepts that are already present in the much simpler setting of classical field theory. So, if you are hoping to learn quantum field theory some day, this class should help out quite a bit.

Contents

1	Basic Lagrangian Mechanics	1
1.1	Hamilton's Principle	1
1.2	Derivation of the Equations of Motion	2
1.3	Symmetry and Conservation Laws; Canonical Momenta	6
1.3.1	Translational Invariance \leftrightarrow Conservation of Linear Momentum	6
1.3.2	Rotational Invariance \leftrightarrow Conservation of Angular Momentum	7
1.3.3	Time Invariance \leftrightarrow Conservation of Energy	8
1.4	Examples	9
1.4.1	Rotating Disc with String	9
1.4.2	Driven Inverted Pendulum	11
2	Hamilton's Equations of Motion	15
2.1	Velocity-Dependent Potentials	16
2.2	Many Particle System	17
2.3	Liouville's theorem	19
2.4	Poisson Brackets and the Analogy with Quantum Commutators	21
2.4.1	Quantum Variational Principle	22
2.4.2	Canonical Transformations	23
2.5	Lagrangian Dynamics of a Charged Particle	24
2.5.1	Gauge Invariance	27
2.6	Relativistic Particle Dynamics	28
2.6.1	Relativistic Lagrangians	29
2.6.2	Relativistic Particle in Electromagnetic Field	30
2.6.3	Lagrangian vs. Hamiltonian Methods	32
2.6.4	Hamilton's Principle for Covariant Action	32
3	Classical Fields	33
3.1	Waves in One Dimension	33
3.2	Multidimensional Space	36
3.3	Relativistic Scalar Field	37
3.4	Natural units	38
3.5	Fourier Analysis	39
3.6	Multi-Component Fields	40
3.6.1	Transverse Waves on a String	41
3.7	Complex Scalar Field	42
3.8	Electromagnetic Field	45
3.8.1	Gauge Invariance	48
4	Symmetries and Conservation Laws	49
4.1	Noether's Theorem	49
4.1.1	Example: Transverse Oscillations on a String	50
4.2	Global Phase Symmetry	52
4.3	Local Phase (Gauge) Symmetry	55
4.4	Electromagnetic Interaction	56
4.5	Stress-Energy (-Momentum) Tensor	58
4.5.1	Longitudinal waves in 1D	59
4.5.2	Relativistic Scalar Field	60

4.5.3	Electromagnetic Field	60
4.5.4	General Relativity	61
4.6	Conserved Current for Action Symmetry	62
4.7	Angular Momentum and Spin	64
4.7.1	Angular Momentum of a Real Scalar Field	66
4.8	Quantum Fields	68
5	Broken Symmetry	71
5.1	Self-Interacting Scalar Field	71
5.2	Spontaneously Broken Global Symmetry	72
5.2.1	Broken Symmetry in the Theory of Two Interacting Fields	74
5.3	Spontaneously Broken Local Symmetry	76
5.4	Higgs Mechanism	77
6	Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena	81
6.1	Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena	81
6.2	Ising Model for Ferromagnetism	83
6.2.1	Universality	87
6.2.2	Fully-Connected Uniform Ising Model	87
6.3	The Heisenberg Model	92
6.4	Ginzburg-Landau Theory of Second Order Phase Transitions	94
6.4.1	Free Energy Expansion	95
6.4.2	Minimum Free Energy	97
6.4.3	Uniaxial Ferromagnetic in Magnetic Field	98
6.4.4	Inhomogeneous Systems	100
6.4.5	Ginzburg Criterion	101
6.4.6	Planar Spins and $U(1)$ Symmetry	102
6.4.7	Complex Order Parameters	102
6.4.8	Nematic Liquid Crystal	105
6.5	First Order Phase Transitions	106
7	Propagators and causality	109
7.1	Simple Harmonic Oscillator	110
7.1.1	Damped Oscillator	111
7.2	General Features of Causal Propagators	113
7.3	Schrödinger Propagator	114
7.4	Free Quantum Particle	114
7.5	Linear Response	116
7.6	Kramers-Kronig Relations	117
8	Dirac Field [<i>not examinable</i>]	119
8.1	Dirac Lagrangian and Hamiltonian	121
8.2	Global and Local Phase Symmetry	122
8.3	Stress-Energy Tensor, Angular Momentum and Spin	122
8.4	Massless Relativistic Particles	124
8.5	Dirac Equation in an External Field	125
8.6	The Non-Relativistic Low-Energy Limit	126
8.7	Further work: $\mathcal{O}(v^2/c^2)$ Corrections	127

A	Appendix	A.1
A.1	Reminder - Some Results from the Calculus of Variations	A.1
A.2	Spectral (Fourier) Analysis	A.2
A.3	Statistical Mechanics of N Non-Interacting Spins	A.4
B	Appendix: Euler-Lagrange Equations	B.1
B.1	Functionals	B.1
B.2	Functional Derivatives	B.2
B.3	First Integral	B.2
B.4	Hamilton's Principle	B.3
C	Appendix: Contour Integration	C.1
C.1	Analytic Functions of a Complex Variable	C.1
C.1.1	Complex differentiability	C.1
C.1.2	The Cauchy–Riemann equations	C.1
C.1.3	Analytic Functions	C.2
C.1.4	Consequences of the Cauchy–Riemann equations	C.3
C.1.5	Taylor series for analytic functions	C.3
C.2	Zeros, Poles and Essential Singularities	C.4
C.2.1	Zeros of Complex Functions	C.4
C.2.2	Poles of Complex Functions	C.4
C.2.3	Laurent Series and Essential Singularities	C.5
C.3	Contour integrals	C.5
C.4	Cauchy's theorem	C.7
C.4.1	Deforming Contours	C.8
C.5	Residues	C.9
C.6	Calculus of Residues	C.10
C.7	Cauchy's formula for $f(z)$	C.12
C.8	Applications of the Calculus of Residues	C.13
C.9	Multi-Valued Functions and Branch Cuts	C.16
D	Appendix: Equations	D.1
D.1	Basic Lagrangian Mechanics 1	D.1
D.2	Hamilton's Equations of Motion 2	D.1
D.2.1	Poisson Brackets	D.1
D.2.2	Lagrangian Dynamics of a Charged Particle	D.2
D.2.3	Relativistic Particle Dynamics	D.2
D.3	Classical Fields 3	D.3
D.3.1	Waves in One Dimension	D.3
D.4	Symmetries and Conservation Laws 4	D.3
D.5	Broken Symmetry 5	D.3
D.6	Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena 6	D.3
D.7	Propagators and causality 7	D.3

List of Tables

7.1 Examples of conjugate forces $\phi(t)$ for dynamical variables $q(t)$ with zero average, $\langle q \rangle = 0$, such that the corresponding perturbation of the potential energy is $-q\phi$ 109

List of Figures

1.1	An example of a constraint, restricting the motion of a particle (which may be subject to external forces, such as gravity) along a specific path: the bead on a wire.	3
1.2	Examples of dynamical systems with holonomic constraints (in each case their expression is in the frame). In each case the number of coordinates is reduced (for the pulley and rolling cylinder Cartesians are sufficient, the circular wire requires plane polar coordinates and rolling on the inside of a cone, spherical polar coordinates; the last case has two free generalised coordinates left).	5
1.3	A uniform circular disc mounted so that it can rotate without friction. A point mass m is fixed at point P. A light elastic string with force constant k is also attached to P, runs over the the circumference of the disc and hangs down vertically to Q. At the bottom end of the string a mass $m/2$ is attached to Q.	10
1.4	Driven inverted pendulum, consisting of a bob at the far end of a rod freely hinged to a support that vibrates vertically.	11
5.1	The form of the ‘potential’ $V(\varphi)$ that leads to spontaneous symmetry breaking.	72
5.2	Summary of interactions between particles described by the Standard Model.	79
6.1	Spontaneous magnetisation in a ferromagnet below the critical temperature T_c	82
6.2	Magnetisation M as a function of applied magnetic field B , for various values of temperature.	82
6.3	Graphic solution of the self-consistent mean-field equation (6.10) for the Ising model, illustrating the qualitatively different behaviour for $T > T_c$, $T < T_c$, and $T = T_c$	84
6.4	Left panel: Dependence of the function $-F(m)$ on $m \in (-1, 1)$ for $J = 1$ and different values of $k_B T = 0.7, 1.0, 1.3$ (red, blue, green). Right panel: same function and parameter values with the addition of a magnetic field of strength $B = 0.05$	90
6.5	Free energy density f as a function of (uniform) m , for various values of temperature T . The curves are vertically offset for better visibility.	97
6.6	Caption	105
6.7	Behaviour of the Free energy when (a) a cubic term is included; and (b) in the case where the coefficient of the quartic term is negative.	106
7.1	Closing the contour in the upper and the lower half-planes makes a difference on whether the poles are enclosed.	112
7.2	The complex energy plane, illustrating the pole positions and integration contours.	115
7.3	The actual linear response function $\alpha(t)$ and its antisymmetric modification $v(t)$, introduced in Eq. (7.40).	117
C.1	The paths for contour integration around half the unit circle, \mathcal{C}_1 clockwise (above the real axis) and \mathcal{C}_2 anticlockwise (below the real axis).	C.6

- C.2 A simply-connected domain \mathbf{R} is a region without holes; any closed curve encircles points only in \mathbf{R} . A simple closed curve is a continuous closed curve of finite length that does not intersect itself. C.7
- C.3 We can deform a contour without changing the value of the integral as long as we don't move the contour across a singularity. C.8
- C.4 Cauchy's theorem does not hold if there is a singularity inside \mathcal{C} . For the example of $f(z) = 1/z$, if \mathcal{C} is a contour anticlockwise around the unit circle, $\oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz \neq 0$. In this case $f(z)$ has a singularity, a simple pole, at $z = 0$. . C.9
- C.5 Shrinking the contour \mathcal{C} to a circle of radius ε about z_0 and substituting $z = z_0 + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}$ C.10
- C.6 For analytic $f(z)$ in a region \mathbf{R} except for a finite number of poles, a simple closed curve \mathcal{C} encircling the poles in an *anticlockwise* direction has a contour integral given by the residue theorem. This can be shown by expressing this integral as the sum of the integral around \mathcal{C} and integrals encircling each of the poles *clockwise*. C.12
- C.7 The contour \mathcal{C} used for evaluating (C.51), a circle of radius 1 in the complex plane. One of the simple poles of the integral, z_- , lies within \mathcal{C} , but z_+ is outside it. C.13
- C.8 The contour \mathcal{C} , used to compute (C.55), is as shown a contour from $-R$ to $+R$ along the real axis (\mathcal{C}_0) and then along a semicircle of radius R in the upper half-plane (\mathcal{C}_R). The integrand has two simple poles, but only the one at $z = +i$ is enclosed by \mathcal{C} C.14
- C.9 The contour \mathcal{C} , used to compute (C.61), is as shown a contour from $-R$ to $+R$ along the real axis (\mathcal{C}_0) and then along a semicircle of radius R in the upper half-plane (\mathcal{C}_R). The integrand has two double poles, but only the one at $z = +ia$ is enclosed by \mathcal{C} C.15
- C.10 The contour \mathcal{C} , used to compute (C.66), is as shown a contour from $-R$ to $+R$ along the real axis (\mathcal{C}_0) and then along a semicircle of radius R in the upper half-plane (\mathcal{C}_R). The integrand has four simple poles, but only the ones at $z_1 = e^{\pi i/4}$ and $z_2 = e^{3\pi i/4}$ are enclosed by \mathcal{C} . Alternatively, we could use the contour \mathcal{C}' enclosing quarter of a circle and so only the pole at $z_1 = e^{\pi i/4}$ C.15
- C.11 On \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 we can always choose θ to be in some range so that $\ln z$ is continuous and single-valued. However, this is not possible for \mathcal{C}_3 which encircles the origin. C.16
- C.12 We introduce a *branch cut* – no curve is permitted to cross the cut. The *canonical* (standard) branch cut for $\ln z$ is along the real axis from $-\infty$ to the origin. C.17
- C.13 If we go around a small curve \mathcal{C}_1 encircling $z = 1$ then $f(z)$ changes sign. The same applies to a small curve \mathcal{C}_2 encircling $z = -1$. However, going around \mathcal{C}_3 that encircles both branch points, and $f(z)$ does not change. . . C.18
- C.14 We can introduce a branch cut that goes from $z = -1$ to $z = +1$; the simplest choice is to put the cut on the real axis. We could instead introduce two separate branch cuts: one from each branch point to infinity. (Actually these are both parts of a single branch cut that happens to pass through the point at infinity; we could smoothly deform this cut until it lies along the real axis.) C.19

C.15	There are simple poles at z_1, z_2 and a branch point at $z = 0$. We choose a branch cut along the positive real axis. We use a <i>keyhole contour</i> \mathcal{C} to avoid the branch point and the branch cut.	C.20
------	---	------

CHAPTER 1

Basic Lagrangian Mechanics

The initial purpose of Lagrangian mechanics is to express the relevant equations of motion, essentially Newton's laws, in a form involving a set q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n of generalised position coordinates, and their first time-derivatives $\dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, \dots, \dot{q}_n$. The n -component vector $\{\mathbf{q}\}$ can represent any physical system or process, as long as this set of numbers completely describes the state of the system (n is the number of degrees of freedom). In the most straightforward case, these can be the 3 Cartesian coordinates of a material point (a "particle"), but, say, the spherical polar set (r, θ, ϕ) is just as good. Just as $\{\mathbf{q}\}$ is the generalised coordinate vector, so is $\{\dot{\mathbf{q}}\}$ the generalised velocity, both explicit functions of time. It is assumed that simultaneous knowledge of $\{\mathbf{q}(t)\}$ and $\{\dot{\mathbf{q}}(t)\}$ completely defines the mechanical state of the system. Mathematically, this means that the complete set of $\{\mathbf{q}(t)\}$ and $\{\dot{\mathbf{q}}(t)\}$ also determines the accelerations $\{\ddot{\mathbf{q}}(t)\}$. The mathematical relations that relate accelerations with coordinates and velocities are what one calls the equations of motion.

In many cases, not all n degrees of freedom are completely free. A system may have *constraints*; for example $q_1 = \text{const.}$, $q_2 = \text{const.}$, \dots , $q_r = \text{const.}$ could represent the r constraints and q_{r+1}, \dots, q_n the remaining independent coordinates, such that the system now has $n - r$ degrees of freedom. Most often the choice of generalised coordinates $\{\mathbf{q}\}$ is dictated by the nature of the constraints. For instance, if a particle is constrained to move on the surface of an expanding balloon of radius $R = a\sqrt{t}$, we might use spherical polar coordinates, scaled such that $q_1 = r/a\sqrt{t}$, $q_2 = \theta$, $q_3 = \phi$; in that case the single constraint is expressed as $q_1 = 1$ (it would look a lot more complicated if we tried to express it in Cartesians). The Lagrangian formalism is developed, partially, to enable one to deal efficiently with the sometimes complicated constraints imposed on the evolution of physical systems. Constraints are called **holonomic** if they are of the form $g(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, t) = 0$. We shall shortly return to their treatment, but first, let us revise some basic starting points.

1.1 Hamilton's Principle

A very general formulation of the equations of motion of mechanical (and many other) systems is given by Hamilton's *Principle of Least Action*. It states that every mechanical system can be characterised by a certain function

$$L(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n; \dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, \dots, \dot{q}_n; t) \equiv L(q, \dot{q}; t). \quad (1.1)$$

Theorem 1 (Hamilton's Principle). *The actual motion of a system from A to B is that which makes the integral $S = \int_A^B L dt$ a minimum.*

The function $L(q, \dot{q}; t)$ is called the Lagrangian of the given system and the integral S defined in Theorem 1 is called the **action**. Later in this course we shall have some deeper

insights into what this object, the action functional $S[\mathbf{q}(t)]$, represents and why it has to be minimal. For the time being let us take this as an axiom.

The principle of least action implies that, with a sufficient command of mathematics, in particular the calculus of variations, the solution of any mechanical problem is achieved by the following recipe:

‘Minimise $S = \int_A^B L dt$ for fixed starting and finishing (representative) points, $A = (\mathbf{q}_A, t_A)$ to $B = (\mathbf{q}_B, t_B)$, taking proper account of all the constraints.’

Remark. *There is no worldline whose action is a true maximum, that is, for which $\delta^2 S < 0$ or more generally for which $S - S_0 < 0$ for every adjacent curve.*

Proof. Here follows an intuitive proof by contradiction for the Lagrangian $L = T - V$ with T positive definite. Consider an actual worldline for which it is claimed that S is a true maximum. Now modify this worldline by adding wiggles somewhere in the middle. These wiggles are to be of very high frequency and very small amplitude so that they increase the kinetic energy T compared to that along the original worldline with only a small change in the corresponding potential energy V . The Lagrangian $L = T - V$ for the region of wiggles is larger for the new curve and so is the overall time integral S . The new worldline has greater action than the original worldline, which we claimed to have maximum action. Therefore S cannot be a true maximum for any actual worldline. \square

1.2 Derivation of the Equations of Motion

First, let’s examine the “standard derivation” based on d’Alembert’s principle: Consider N particles that are subject to the total force F_i with momentum p_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 3N$). Then if we construct a vector $(\mathbf{F} - \dot{\mathbf{p}})$, by Newton’s law this vector will always be perpendicular to the instantaneous line of motion. In other words, the scalar product is zero:

$$\sum_i (F_i - \dot{p}_i) \delta x_i = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

That’s almost trivially true for an arbitrary set of coordinate variations δx_i because Newton’s second law ($\mathbf{F}^{\text{total}} = m\ddot{\mathbf{r}}$ for each particle) makes each $(F_i - \dot{p}_i) = 0$. Conversely, if (1.2) is true for any δx_i , that implies Newton’s law. However, we shall only be interested in sets of displacements δx_i consistent with the constraints. Constraints relate some of the δx_i to each other hence now we do not have arbitrary δx_i any more. Constraints exert their own so-called “internal” forces on each particle: see the reaction force \mathbf{R} exerted by the wire in Fig. 1.1. By definition of the constraint, these internal forces are perpendicular to the line of motion, that is $\sum_i F_i^{\text{internal}} \delta x_i = 0$. Therefore, writing $F_i = F_i^{\text{internal}} + F_i^{\text{external}}$ leads to d’Alembert’s principle, which states

$$\sum_i (F_i^{\text{external}} - \dot{p}_i) \delta x_i = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

for all δx_i consistent with the constraints. Let us try rewriting this in an arbitrary set of generalised coordinates $\{\mathbf{q}\}$ to which the Cartesians $\{\mathbf{r}\}$ could be transformed via matrices $\partial q_i / \partial x_j$. The aim is to present Eq. (1.3) as a generalised scalar product




Fig. 1.1: An example of a constraint, restricting the motion of a particle (which may be subject to external forces, such as gravity) along a specific path: the bead on a wire.

$\sum_j (\text{something}) \delta q_j = 0$, so that we can say this is true for arbitrary sets of variations δq_i of the reduced number $(n - r)$ of generalised coordinate that are not subject to the constraints.

The coordinate transformation in the first term, involving the external force, is easy:

$$\sum_i F_i \delta x_i = \sum_{i,j} F_i \underbrace{\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j}}_{\equiv Q_j} \delta q_j \equiv \sum_j Q_j \delta q_j. \quad (1.4)$$

One must take great care over precisely what partial differentials mean. In the following, $\partial/\partial q_j$ means evaluating $(\partial/\partial q_j)$ with the other components $q_{i \neq j}$, all velocities \dot{q}_i and time t held constant.

It is clear that $\partial x_i / \partial q_j$ should mean $(\partial x_i / \partial q_j)_{\text{all other } q, t}$; holding the \dot{q}_i constant only becomes relevant when we differentiate a velocity w.r.t. q_j – a velocity component changes with \mathbf{q} for fixed $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$ because the conversion factors from the \dot{q}_j to the \dot{r}_i change with position. Similarly, $\partial/\partial t$ means $(\partial/\partial t)_{\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}}$, e.g. $\partial x_i / \partial t$ refers to the change in position, for *fixed* \mathbf{q} and $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$, due to the prescribed motion of the q -coordinate system.

Dealing with the second term, involving the rate of change of momentum, is a bit harder – it takes a certain amount of algebra to manipulate it into the required form. First, by definition of momentum in Cartesians:

$$\sum_i \dot{p}_i \delta x_i = \sum_{i,j} m_i \dot{v}_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j} \delta q_j. \quad (1.5)$$

We shall need

$$v_i \equiv \dot{x}_i = \sum_j \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j} \dot{q}_j + \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial t}, \quad \text{whence} \quad \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} = \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j}. \quad (1.6)$$

Now we are in a position to start work on the second term. The relevant product is

$$\dot{v}_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(v_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j} \right) - v_i \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j} \right). \quad (1.7)$$

Further transforming the second term in (1.7):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} \left(\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j} \right) \dot{q}_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j} \right) \quad (\text{summed over } k) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \left[\left(\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_k} \right) \dot{q}_k + \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial t} \right] \quad (\text{commutative property of partial derivatives}) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial q_j} \right)_{\text{other } q, \dot{q}, t} \quad \text{given (1.6)} \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Using (1.6) on the first term and (1.8) on the second term of (1.7) we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i \dot{p}_i \delta x_i &= \sum_{i,j} \left[\frac{d}{dt} \left(m_i v_i \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - m_i v_i \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial q_j} \right] \delta q_j \\ &= \sum_j \left[\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_j} \right] \delta q_j,\end{aligned}\tag{1.9}$$

which is equal to $\sum_j Q_j \delta q_j$, from Eq. (1.4). Here the total kinetic energy of the system has been defined from the Cartesian representation $T = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i v_i^2$. The last equation is a consequence of D'Alembert's principle. Since the components δq_j allowed by the constraints are all independent, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_j} = Q_j.\tag{1.10}$$

In many systems the external forces are gradients of a scalar potential; in Cartesians:

$$F_i^{\text{external}} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i}, \quad \text{where} \quad V = V(\mathbf{x}, t),\tag{1.11}$$

(i.e. V is independent of the particle velocities), so that

$$Q_j = -\sum_i \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial q_j} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_j}.\tag{1.12}$$

Therefore, substituting this vector \mathbf{Q} into the r.h.s. of Eq. (1.10) and using the fact that it does not depend on $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$, we can write

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_j} = 0},\tag{1.13}$$

where $L \equiv T - V$, but by construction it is a function of the generalised coordinates q, \dot{q} and not the original Cartesians. We discover that this function is exactly the *Lagrangian* of the system, the one used in the definition of the action in Hamilton's principle. Indeed, (1.13) is the differential equation that one obtains by the calculus of variations (See Appendix B) from the condition $\delta S = 0$ for the minimum of the action.

Now let's reverse the argument. Define a function $L = T - V$. In Cartesian coordinates, an N-particle system moving in a potential $V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{3N})$ has

$$p_i \equiv m_i v_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} \left(\frac{1}{2} m_i v_i^2 \right) = \frac{\partial T}{\partial v_i} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_i},\tag{1.14}$$

and

$$F_i = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i},\tag{1.15}$$

provided that V is independent of velocities, so the equations of motion $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{F}$ are

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = 0.\tag{1.16}$$

Therefore the motion obeys Hamilton's principle of least action. But Hamilton's principle is a statement independent of any particular coordinate system; it is true in any coordinate

system. Therefore write down the Euler-Lagrange equations for Hamilton's principle in our new q coordinate system (in which constraints are of the kind $q_j = \text{const.}$):

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = 0, \quad (1.17)$$

a much more memorable way to derive Lagrange's equations.

But what about the constraints? We must invent some local potentials near the actual path of the system, whose gradients are perpendicular to the actual path and just right to keep the system on the constrained trajectory, see Fig. 1.1. The important thing is that they will have no gradient along the local "directions" of the q_i s, allowed by the constraints, and will therefore not affect the dynamics for those q_i s.

1.2.0.1 What is Lagrangian Mechanics Good For?

Lagrangian mechanics will do nothing that Newtonian mechanics won't do. It's just a reformulation of the same physics. In fact, it will do slightly less, because some problems (notoriously, the motion of a bicycle) have what is called non-holonomic constraints. One thing that one can say for the Lagrangian formulation is that it involves scalars (T and V) instead of vectors (forces, couples) which makes it less confusing to use in messy problems. Several examples will be treated in the lectures and, more particularly, in the examples classes. Figure 1.2 gives a few simple examples of dynamical systems with constraints. Use them to practise: in each case first write the full potential (in all cases it's gravity) and kinetic energies (don't forget the moment of inertia for the rotating cylinder), then implement the constraint (for this you need to have the appropriate choice of coordinates) and write the resulting Lagrangian, as well as the dynamical equation(s).




Fig. 1.2: Examples of dynamical systems with holonomic constraints (in each case their expression is in the frame). In each case the number of coordinates is reduced (for the pulley and rolling cylinder Cartesians are sufficient, the circular wire requires plane polar coordinates and rolling on the inside of a cone, spherical polar coordinates; the last case has two free generalised coordinates left).

1.2.0.2 A Few More Remarks

So far we have assumed forces depend only on position: $F_i = -\partial V(\mathbf{x}, t)/\partial x_i$. For *some velocity-dependent* forces one can still use Lagrange's equations; it's possible if you can find a $V(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}, t)$ such that

$$F_i = -\frac{\partial V(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}, t)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial V(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}, t)}{\partial \dot{x}_i} \right). \quad (1.18)$$

To derive this condition you should repeat the steps between Eqs. (1.4) and (1.13), only now allowing a contribution from V in the $\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i}\right)$ terms of the Euler-Lagrange equations. The hard bit is to find a V that satisfies such a condition. A bit later we shall examine the case of magnetic forces, which is a good example of such a potential.

There is also an issue of uniqueness of the Lagrangian function. Clearly the condition of zero variation $\delta[S] = 0$ can be maintained if we:

- add any constant to V ;
- multiply L by any constant;
- add a total time derivative, $f = \frac{d}{dt}g(q, \dot{q}, t)$;

etc. Usually the convention is to take $L = T - V$, with no “additives”, which then corresponds to the “classical action S ”.

1.3 Symmetry and Conservation Laws; Canonical Momenta

Symmetry is one of the most powerful tools used in theoretical physics. Noether’s theorem states that every continuous symmetry of the action of a physical system with conservative forces has a corresponding conservation law. In this section we will show how symmetries of L correspond to important conservation laws. This theme will be taken further later in this course, and in subsequent solid state and particle physics courses.

When L does not depend explicitly on one of the q_i then Lagrange’s equations show that the corresponding $\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i}\right)$ is zero, directly from Eq. (1.13). Hence we can define an object, $p_i \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i}$, which is conserved for such a system and q_i is called an *ignorable* (or *cyclic*) coordinate. The object p_i is generally referred to as the *canonical momentum* corresponding to the coordinate q_i . For a particle moving in Cartesian coordinates this would be the “ordinary” momentum component: for each x_i this is just $p_i = m\dot{x}_i$. However, in generalised coordinates, the physical meaning of each component of the canonical momentum may be very different. In particular, since a generalised coordinate can have any dimensions, the dimensions of the corresponding canonical momentum need not be those of ordinary momentum.

1.3.1 Translational Invariance \leftrightarrow Conservation of Linear Momentum

Suppose L does not depend on the position of the system as a whole, i.e. we can move the position of every particle by the *same* vector \mathbf{c} without changing L . That is, if we move the whole system by \mathbf{c} , $\mathbf{x}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{c}$ (in Cartesian coordinates!), then the Lagrangian is unchanged,

$$L(\mathbf{x}_i, \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i, t) \rightarrow L(\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{c}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i, t) \approx L + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i} \cdot \mathbf{c}. \quad (1.19)$$

This is the case for example when $V = \sum_{i \neq j} V_{\text{pair}}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)$ in $L = T - V$ includes only pair interactions. (N.B. In this section and the next we sum over particles, i is an index of summation over the particles. Also, V in $L = T - V$ now includes the mutual potential energies that impose e.g. the constraints that keep rigid bodies rigid.) Therefore if L does not change for any \mathbf{c} then

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i} = 0, \quad \text{and so, by Lagrange's equations,} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i} \right) = 0, \quad (1.20)$$

i.e. if L is independent of the position of the system $\sum_i \mathbf{p}_i = \sum_i \partial L / \partial \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i$ is conserved (constant), where $\mathbf{p}_i = \partial L / \partial \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i$ is the momentum conjugate to \mathbf{x}_i .

Now if V depends on particle positions only, and $T = \sum_j m_j \dot{\mathbf{x}}_j^2 / 2$, then $\mathbf{p}_i = m \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i$ is the canonical momentum of the i^{th} particle and $\sum \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i} = \sum m_i \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i$ is just the total momentum of the system. Hence translation invariance implies that the total (linear) momentum is conserved. This is often stated as:

$$\text{Homogeneity of space} \implies \text{conservation of linear momentum.} \quad (1.21)$$

N.B.: This is clearly not true for velocity-dependent potentials, e.g. for charged ions moving in magnetic fields.

1.3.2 Rotational Invariance \leftrightarrow Conservation of Angular Momentum

Suppose L is independent of the orientation of the system. In particular, suppose L is invariant under rotation of the whole system about the z -axis; then, proceeding as before and using cylindrical coordinates (r_i, ϕ_i, z_i) , we now use the invariance of L under $\phi_i \rightarrow \phi_i + \delta\phi$. This implies

$$\sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_i} \delta\phi = 0 \implies \sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi_i} = 0 \quad (1.22)$$

$$\implies \sum_i \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_i} \right) = 0 \implies \sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_i} = \text{const.} \quad (1.23)$$

Again, when V depends on particle positions only,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\phi}_j} \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i (\dot{r}_i^2 + r_i^2 \dot{\phi}_i^2 + \dot{z}_i^2) \\ &= m_j r_j^2 \dot{\phi}_j \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

$$= \text{angular momentum of } j^{\text{th}} \text{ particle about } z\text{-axis} \quad (1.25)$$

Thus if the system is invariant under rotations about the z -axis then the total angular momentum about the z -axis is conserved. The logic works similarly for a rotation about any axis. The corresponding relation between symmetry and conservation law is sometimes stated as

$$\text{Isotropy of space} \implies \text{conservation of angular momentum.} \quad (1.26)$$

1.3.3 Time Invariance \leftrightarrow Conservation of Energy

This is a bit more complicated and it also gives us a chance to explore a very useful mathematical result called Euler's homogeneous function theorem. If L does not depend explicitly on time, i.e.

$$L = L(q_i \dot{q}_i) \implies \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = 0, \quad (1.27)$$

then the total time derivative of the Lagrangian is

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = \sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i + \sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \ddot{q}_i \quad (1.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_i \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) \dot{q}_i + \sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \ddot{q}_i \quad (\text{using the E-L equation (1.17)}) \\ &= \sum_i \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \dot{q}_i \right) \quad (\text{assembling the total derivative from both terms}), \end{aligned} \quad (1.29)$$

or, combining the total time derivatives from l.h.s. and r.h.s. into one expression, we have

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} H, \quad \text{where} \quad H = \sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \dot{q}_i - L = \text{a constant, } E \quad (1.30)$$

We shall now identify the new function H , the **Hamiltonian**, as the total energy of the system. Assume the generalised kinetic energy, T , is given by:

$$T = \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{2} c_{ij} \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j, \quad (1.31)$$

where we can generically choose $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$ (by symmetry of dummy indices of summation). The coefficients c_{ij} might be functions of q_1, \dots, q_n but not $\dot{q}_1, \dots, \dot{q}_n$ or time. This quadratic form is also called a homogeneous second-order polynomial function of $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$. Assume also that the potential energy, V , is given by:

$$V = V(q_1, \dots, q_n), \quad (1.32)$$

(velocity-independent) and $L = T - V$ as usual (with no explicit time-dependence as we agreed). Then:

$$\sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \dot{q}_i = \sum_i \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \left(\sum_{j,k} \frac{1}{2} c_{jk} \dot{q}_j \dot{q}_k \right) \quad \text{since} \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = 0 \quad (1.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_i \dot{q}_i \left(\sum_{j,k} \left[\frac{1}{2} c_{jk} \delta_{jk} \dot{q}_k + \frac{1}{2} c_{jk} \dot{q}_j \delta_{ik} \right] \right) \quad \text{since} \quad \frac{\partial \dot{q}_j}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = \delta_{ij} \\ &= \sum_i \dot{q}_i \left(\sum_k \frac{1}{2} c_{ik} \dot{q}_k + \sum_j \frac{1}{2} c_{ji} \dot{q}_j \right) \\ &= \sum_i \dot{q}_i \left(\sum_j c_{ij} \dot{q}_j \right) \quad \text{renaming the dummy index } k \rightarrow j \\ &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j \\ &= 2T \quad \text{given (1.31)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.34)$$

In effect, what we have just proven is that for any homogeneous quadratic function $T = T(q_i)$, the following property holds:

$$\sum_i q_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_i} = 2T \quad (1.35)$$

(an aspect of Euler's more general theorem; guess how this would change for linear, or cubic functions). Returning to our Hamiltonian, we have $H = 2T - L = 2T - (T - V) = T + V \equiv \text{total energy} = E$, a constant from Eq. (1.30).

If we take a function f that is a homogeneous second-order polynomial of variables a_i : that is, $f = \sum_{ij} c_{ij} a_i a_j$ with some coefficients c_{ij} . (Without loss of generality, $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$.) For this case, Euler's homogeneous function theorem states that

$$f = \sum_{ij} c_{ij} a_i a_j \implies \sum_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_k} a_k = 2f. \quad (1.36)$$

This holds, as one can verify directly:

$$\sum_k a_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_k} = \sum_k a_k \sum_{ij} c_{ij} [\delta_{ik} a_j + \delta_{jk} a_i] = 2 \sum_{kj} a_k c_{kj} a_j = 2f. \quad (1.37)$$

From which we apply to the kinetic energy $T = \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{2} c_{ij} \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j$ as before to similarly imply

$$\sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \dot{q}_i = \sum_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \dot{q}_i = 2T. \quad (1.38)$$

For the Hamiltonian, this implies $H = 2T - L = 2T - (T - V) = T + V$ in which we again recognise the total energy. Time-translation invariance implies that the total energy is conserved.

$$\text{Time invariance} \implies \text{conservation of energy}. \quad (1.39)$$

1.4 Examples

1.4.1 Rotating Disc with String

A uniform circular disc of mass $2m$ and radius a is mounted on an axle through its centre so that it can rotate without friction in a vertical plane (see Fig. 1.3). A point mass m is fixed at point P on the lower edge of the disc as shown in the figure. A light elastic string with force constant k is also attached to P, runs over the the circumference of the disc without friction, passes the topmost point and hangs down vertically to Q. At the bottom end of the string a mass $m/2$ is attached to Q.

Let us firstly write down the Lagrangian for the system in terms of angle of rotation θ of the disc and the extension x of the string with respect to its natural length.

The kinetic energy of the system is

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{1}{2} I_{\text{disc}} \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m a^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{4} m (\dot{x} + a \dot{\theta})^2 \\ &= \frac{5}{4} m a^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{4} m \dot{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m a \dot{x} \dot{\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.40)$$




Fig. 1.3: A uniform circular disc mounted so that it can rotate without friction. A point mass m is fixed at point P. A light elastic string with force constant k is also attached to P, runs over the the circumference of the disc and hangs down vertically to Q. At the bottom end of the string a mass $m/2$ is attached to Q.

This arises from kinetic energy terms arising from the rotational energy of the disc, the kinetic energy of the mass attached to the disk, and the kinetic energy of the mass on the string moving at speed $\dot{x} + a\dot{\theta}$. The potential energy is straightforward to obtain

$$V = -mga \cos \theta - \frac{1}{2}mg(x + a\theta) + \frac{1}{2}kx^2, \quad (1.41)$$

so the Lagrangian, $L = T - V$, is

$$L = \frac{5}{4}ma^2\dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{4}m\dot{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2}ma\dot{x}\dot{\theta} + mga \cos \theta + \frac{1}{2}mg(x + a\theta) - \frac{1}{2}kx^2. \quad (1.42)$$

Inserting this Lagrangian into the Euler-Lagrange equations, we arrive at the equations of motion:

$$\frac{1}{2}m\ddot{x} + \frac{1}{2}ma^2\ddot{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}mg - kx \quad (1.43)$$

$$\frac{5}{2}ma^2\ddot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}ma\ddot{x} = -mga \sin \theta + \frac{1}{2}mga. \quad (1.44)$$

In equilibrium, $\ddot{\theta} = \ddot{x} = 0$, so the equilibrium position is $x_0 = mg/2k$ and $\sin \theta_0 = 1/2$ so $\theta_0 = \pi/6$. We will now consider a small perturbation from this equilibrium position, and write $\theta = \theta_0 + \delta\theta$, $x = x_0 + \delta x$. Taylor expanding $\sin \theta$ about θ_0 , $\sin \theta \simeq 1/2 + \delta\theta\sqrt{3}/2$.¹ If we then assume that $\delta\theta$ and δx vary with time as $e^{i\omega t}$, $\ddot{x} = -\omega^2\delta x$ and $\ddot{\theta} = -\omega^2\delta\theta$. We can then write the equations of motion in a matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 + k & -\frac{1}{2}ma\omega^2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}ma\omega^2 & -\frac{5}{2}ma^2\omega^2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}mga \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta\theta \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (1.46)$$

We thus require the determinant of the matrix in the left hand side of this expression to be zero:

$$\left(k - \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}mga - \frac{5}{2}ma^2\omega^2\right) - \frac{1}{4}m^2a^2\omega^4 = 0 \quad (1.47)$$

$$m^2\omega^4 - m\omega^2 \left(\frac{5k}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}mg}{4a}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{3}mgk}{2a} = 0. \quad (1.48)$$

¹Writing $\theta = \theta_0 + \delta\theta$ and Taylor expanding about this equilibrium position,

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta &= \sin(\theta_0 + \delta\theta) = \sin \theta_0 + \left. \frac{d(\sin \theta)}{d\theta} \right|_{\theta_0} \delta\theta + \mathcal{O}(\delta\theta^2) \\ &= \sin \theta_0 + \cos \theta_0 \delta\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (1.45)$$

which gives us $\sin \theta \simeq 1/2 + \delta\theta\sqrt{3}/2$.

Now, we can write a general quadratic equation as $(x-x_1)(x-x_2) = x^2 - x(x_1+x_2) + x_1x_2 = 0$ where $x_{1,2} = m\omega_{1,2}^2$. So, $x_1 + x_2 = 5k/2 + \sqrt{3}mg/4a$, $x_1x_2 = \sqrt{3}mgk/2a$. In the limit of k being either very large or very small, we expect the frequencies of the two modes to be greatly different. So:

$$k \gg mg/a \implies m(\omega_2)^2 \simeq 5k/2, \quad m(\omega_1)^2 \simeq \frac{\sqrt{3}mgk}{2a} \frac{2}{5k} = \frac{\sqrt{3}mg}{5a} \quad (1.49)$$

$$k \ll mg/a \implies m(\omega_2)^2 \simeq \frac{\sqrt{3}mg}{4a}, \quad m(\omega_1)^2 \simeq \frac{\sqrt{3}mgk}{2a} \frac{4a}{\sqrt{3}mg} = 2k. \quad (1.50)$$

1.4.2 Driven Inverted Pendulum

The system consists of a bob of mass m at the far end of a light rod of length ℓ whose near end is freely hinged to a support that vibrates vertically with amplitude a and frequency $\omega/2\pi$.




Fig. 1.4: Driven inverted pendulum, consisting of a bob at the far end of a rod freely hinged to a support that vibrates vertically.

The Lagrangian is most easily obtained by first writing down the position and velocity of the mass:

$$x = l \sin \theta, \quad y = \ell \cos \theta + a \cos \omega t \quad (1.51)$$

$$\dot{x} = \dot{\theta} \ell \cos \theta, \quad \dot{y} = -\dot{\theta} \ell \sin \theta - a\omega \sin \omega t. \quad (1.52)$$

The Lagrangian is therefore

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m \underbrace{(\dot{\theta}^2 \ell^2 + a^2 \omega^2 \sin^2 \omega t + 2a\omega \ell \dot{\theta} \sin \theta \sin \omega t)}_{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2} - mg \underbrace{(\ell \cos \theta + a \cos \omega t)}_y. \quad (1.53)$$

Inserting this into the Euler-Lagrange equation, the equation of motion is therefore

$$\ell^2 \ddot{\theta} + a\omega^2 \ell \sin \theta \cos \omega t - g\ell \sin \theta = 0. \quad (1.54)$$

The motion must consist of a “slow” ($\tau \lesssim 2\pi\sqrt{\ell/g}$) motion and a small but fast forced wobble at frequency $\omega/2\pi \gg 1/\tau$ (and possibly harmonics). Write

$$\theta = \theta_1 + C \cos \omega t + S \sin \omega t, \quad (1.55)$$

where θ_1 , S and C vary slowly.

Substitute this expression into the equation of motion, keeping only first order terms in the small quantities C and S . You can then isolate the “slow” terms and those that vary at frequency $\omega/2\pi$. Differentiating,

$$\dot{\theta} = \dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{C} \cos \omega t - C\omega \sin \omega t + \dot{S} \sin \omega t + S\omega \cos \omega t \quad (1.56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\theta} = \ddot{\theta}_1 + \ddot{C} \cos \omega t - 2\dot{C}\omega \sin \omega t - C\omega^2 \cos \omega t \\ + \ddot{S} \sin \omega t + 2\dot{S}\omega \cos \omega t - S\omega^2 \sin \omega t. \end{aligned} \quad (1.57)$$

We will next expand $\sin \theta$ using a double angle formula:²

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta &= \sin \theta_1 \cos(C \cos \omega t + S \sin \omega t) + \cos \theta_1 \sin(C \cos \omega t + S \sin \omega t) \\ &\simeq \sin \theta_1 + \cos \theta_1 (C \cos \omega t + S \sin \omega t), \end{aligned} \quad (1.59)$$

where the approximation holds for small C , S . We are only interested in the parts of the motion that are slowly varying with time, so we will keep only terms which are either constant or have frequency ω . Therefore, $\cos \omega t \sin \theta \simeq \cos \omega t \sin \theta_1 + \frac{1}{2}C \cos \theta_1$ where we have kept the constant part of $\cos^2 \omega t = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos 2\omega t)$ and ignored the term proportional to $\cos \omega t \sin \omega t$. Considering first the constant terms in the equation of motion (i.e. the non-sinusoidal terms):

$$\ell^2 \ddot{\theta}_1 - g\ell \sin \theta_1 + \frac{1}{2}a\omega^2 \ell C \cos \theta_1 = 0. \quad (1.60)$$

Next we consider the coefficients of the $\cos \omega t$ and $\sin \omega t$ terms in the equation of motion:

$$\ell^2 (\ddot{C} + 2\dot{S}\omega - C\omega^2) + a\omega^2 \ell \sin \theta_1 - g\ell C \cos \theta_1 = 0 \quad (1.61)$$

$$\ell^2 (\ddot{S} - 2\dot{C}\omega - S\omega^2) - g\ell S \cos \theta_1 = 0. \quad (1.62)$$

Because C and S vary slowly with time we will set their time derivatives equal to zero, and the above pair of equations become

$$-C\ell^2 \omega^2 - g\ell C \cos \theta_1 = -a\omega^2 \ell \sin \theta_1 \quad (1.63)$$

$$-S\ell^2 \omega^2 - g\ell S \cos \theta_1 = 0. \quad (1.64)$$

These equations can be solved to find $S = 0$ and

$$C = \frac{a\omega^2 \sin \theta_1}{\omega^2 \ell + g \cos \theta_1}. \quad (1.65)$$

At this point, we make use of $\omega^2 \gg g/\ell$, to infer that $C \simeq a \sin \theta_1 / \ell$. Finally, we substitute these expressions for C and S into the equation arising from considering the coefficients of the constant terms in the equation of motion:

$$\ddot{\theta}_1 + \sin \theta_1 \left(-\frac{g}{\ell} + \frac{a\omega^2}{2\ell} \frac{a \cos \theta_1}{\ell} \right) = 0. \quad (1.66)$$

$$\sin(\alpha + \beta) = \sin \alpha \cos \beta + \cos \alpha \sin \beta. \quad (1.58)$$

When the inverted pendulum is upright, $\theta = 0$ and $\cos \theta_1 \simeq 1$, $\sin \theta_1 \simeq \theta_1$. The above equation then becomes that for a simple harmonic oscillator:

$$\ddot{\theta}_1 + \theta_1 \left(\frac{a^2 \omega^2}{2\ell^2} - \frac{g}{\ell} \right) = 0, \quad (1.67)$$

from which the period of oscillation is easily found. The upright position is stable if the term in brackets is positive (compare this to a standard SHM equation $\ddot{\theta} + \omega^2 \theta = 0$ which comes about due to a restoring force like $-kx$ for a mass on a spring, say. If the position $\theta = 0$ is stable, the force acting when we move away from this position must be a restoring force). Consequently,

$$\frac{a^2 \omega^2}{2\ell^2} > \frac{g}{\ell} \quad \implies \quad \omega^2 > \frac{2g\ell}{a^2}. \quad (1.68)$$

CHAPTER 2

Hamilton's Equations of Motion

We have already defined the i^{th} component of generalised (canonical) momentum as

$$p_i \equiv \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right)_{\text{other } \dot{q}, \mathbf{q}, t} \quad (2.1)$$

In Cartesians and for $V = V(\mathbf{r})$, $p_i = m_i v_i$.

Now try to re-write the equations of motion in terms of \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{p} instead of \mathbf{q} and $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$. This operation is fully analogous to what is called the *Legendre transformation* in thermodynamics, when we change from one potential depending on a given variable to another, depending on its conjugate (like $T dS \rightarrow -S dT$ or $-p dV \rightarrow V dp$).

The Euler-Lagrange equations say

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) \equiv \dot{p}_i = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} \right)_{\text{other } q, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t}, \quad (2.2)$$

but that's not quite what we want, for it refers to $L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t)$, not $L(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$. We proceed thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta L &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \delta t + \sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} \delta q_i + \sum_i \underbrace{\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i}}_{p_i} \delta \dot{q}_i \\ &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \delta t + \sum_i \dot{p}_i \delta q_i + \sum_i p_i \delta \dot{q}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Hence, using a Legendre transformation we see

$$\delta \left(L - \sum_i p_i \dot{q}_i \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \delta t + \sum_i \dot{p}_i \delta q_i - \sum_i \dot{q}_i \delta p_i. \quad (2.4)$$

This last equation suggests that the expression in brackets on the l.h.s. is a function of (t, q, p) . It is clear that every pair of a generalised velocity \dot{q}_i and its canonical momentum p_i have the same status as conjugate variables in thermodynamics. (Of course, historically, people developed the underlying maths behind Lagrangian and Hamiltonian dynamics first; the physical concepts of thermodynamics were then easy to formalise.)

Defining the Hamiltonian as in Eq. (1.30):

$$H \equiv \left(\sum_i p_i \dot{q}_i - L \right) \Big|_{\dot{q}_i = \dot{q}_i(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)}, \quad (2.5)$$

then (2.4) implies

$$\dot{p}_i = - \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \right)_{\text{other } q, \mathbf{p}, t} \quad \dot{q}_i = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \right)_{\text{other } p, \mathbf{q}, t}, \quad (2.6)$$

which are **Hamilton's equations of motion**. Notice the importance of completing the transformation in Eq. (2.5) and writing H as a function of $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t$ only. None of the \dot{q}_i should appear explicitly; rather, they should be replaced by inverting Eq. (2.1) that defines p_i .

We have already shown that, provided L does not depend explicitly on time, T has the form (1.31) and V does not depend on velocities, then $H = T + V$, the total energy, and it is a constant of the motion. This does not imply that the right-hand sides of Hamilton's equations are zero! They are determined by the functional form of the dependence of H on the p_i and q_i .

Note also that p_i and q_i are now on an equal footing. In Hamilton's equations q_i can be anything, not necessarily a position coordinate. For example we could interchange the physical meaning of what we regard as p_i with q_i , and q_i with $-p_i$, and Hamilton's equations would still work.

Advantages of the Hamiltonian formulation over the Lagrangian formulation include:

- The Lagrangian formulation leads to N second order differential equations while the Hamiltonian formulation leads to $2N$ first order differential equations, which may be easier to solve.
- The q_i in the Lagrangian formulation must be position co-ordinates, whereas in the Hamiltonian formulation the q_i and p_i are on an equal footing and the q_i need not be position co-ordinates. This also means that canonical transformations with mixed momenta and position can be used to greatly simplify Hamilton's equations.
- The Hamiltonian formulation can lead to easily identifiable constants of motion (for example, if H is independent of t then energy is conserved). The presence of a conserved quantity immediately simplifies Hamilton's equations, whereas no immediate simplification would occur in the Lagrangian formulation.
- There is a simple relationship between the (classical) Hamiltonian formulation and quantum mechanics.

2.1 Velocity-Dependent Potentials

Let us consider a velocity-dependent potentials that depend only linearly on the velocities, i.e.

$$V(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = V_1(\mathbf{q}) + \sum_i a_i(\mathbf{q}) \dot{q}_i. \quad (2.7)$$

Given the definition of the Lagrangian $L = T - V$ we immediately obtain the conjugate momenta

$$p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - a_i. \quad (2.8)$$

Then,

$$\dot{q}_i p_i = -a_i \dot{q}_i + \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = 2T - a_i \dot{q}_i \quad (2.9)$$

where we have assumed that the kinetic energy T depends quadratically on the \dot{q}_i : if $T = k_i \dot{q}_i^2$ for some coefficients k_i , then $\partial T / \partial \dot{q}_i = 2k_i \dot{q}_i$ so $\dot{q}_i \partial T / \partial \dot{q}_i = 2k_i \dot{q}_i^2 = 2T$. The Hamiltonian is then

$$H = \dot{q}_I p_i - L = 2T - a_i \dot{q}_i - T + V = T + V_1. \quad (2.10)$$

If we understand H as the total energy of the Lagrangian system, hence as a function of q and \dot{q} , we realise that the velocity-dependent part of the potential does not appear explicitly. The total energy of the system can be obtained by simply measuring the kinetic energy and the position-dependent part of the potential, $V_1(\mathbf{q})$.

However, this does not mean that Hamilton's equations of motion are independent of the velocity-dependent part of the potential! Before we are allowed to use H in Hamilton's equations, we *must* complete the Legendre transformation from the Lagrangian formalism (L as a function of \mathbf{q} and $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$) to the Hamiltonian formalism (H as a function of \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{p}). In order to do so, we ought to invert the expression for the conjugate momenta above

$$p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - a_i = 2k_i \dot{q}_i - a_i \quad \implies \quad \dot{q}_i = \frac{p_i + a_i}{2k_i} \quad (2.11)$$

and obtain \dot{q}_i as a function of p_i . We then substitute the new expression wherever \dot{q}_i appears in H . This completes the Legendre transform and we arrive at an expression for H in terms of \mathbf{q} , \mathbf{p} and other constants, but without any \dot{q}_i . Ipso facto, we are finally allowed to describe the dynamics of the system using Hamilton's equations:

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \quad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}, \quad (2.12)$$

and we clearly see that velocity-dependent part of the potential explicitly appears in the Hamiltonian formulation through the change of variable from \dot{q} to the conjugate momenta \mathbf{p} .

2.2 Many Particle System

A system consists of one point-like particle with a mass M and position vector \mathbf{R} and n particles with the same mass m and position vectors \mathbf{R}_α , with $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$. All interactions are described by the potential energy $U(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}_\alpha)$.

The Lagrangian is just the potential energy U subtracted from the kinetic energy of the mass M and the sum of the kinetic energies of the n particles with mass m :

$$L = \frac{1}{2} M \dot{\mathbf{R}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\mathbf{R}}_i^2 - U. \quad (2.13)$$

The fact that the centre of mass of the system is fixed gives us the holonomic constraint $M\mathbf{R} + \sum_{i=1}^n m\mathbf{R}_i = \mathbf{0}$ (taking, for definiteness, the centre of mass of the system to be at the origin). If we switch to the relative co-ordinate system $\mathbf{r}_\alpha = \mathbf{R}_\alpha - \mathbf{R}$ the constraint

can be written:

$$\begin{aligned}
M\mathbf{R} + \sum_i^n m(\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{r}_i) &= \mathbf{0} \\
(M + nm)\mathbf{R} + m \sum_i \mathbf{r}_i &= \mathbf{0} \\
\implies \mathbf{R} &= \frac{-m}{M + nm} \sum_i \mathbf{r}_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Given the form of the Lagrangian (2.13), we want to find expressions for \dot{R}^2 and $\sum_i \dot{R}_i^2$:

$$\dot{R}^2 = \frac{m^2}{(M + nm)^2} \left(\sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \right)^2 \tag{2.15}$$

$$\sum_i \dot{R}_i^2 = \sum \left(\dot{\mathbf{R}} + \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \right)^2 = \sum \left(\dot{R}^2 + \dot{r}_i^2 + 2\dot{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \right). \tag{2.16}$$

Substituting first Eq. (2.16), the Lagrangian (2.13) is now

$$L = \frac{1}{2}M\dot{R}^2 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}m \sum \dot{R}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m \sum \dot{r}_i^2 + m \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \cdot \dot{\mathbf{R}}}_{\frac{1}{2}m \sum \dot{R}_i^2, (2.16)} - U. \tag{2.17}$$

We can move the \dot{R}^2 terms outside of the sums, and arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{R}^2(M + nm) + \frac{1}{2}m \sum \dot{r}_i^2 - \underbrace{\frac{m^2}{M + nm} \left(\sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \right)^2}_{\text{given (2.14)}} - U \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{m^2}{M + nm} \left(\sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m \sum \dot{r}_i^2 - U \quad \text{given (2.16)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

The conjugate momenta are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{p}_\alpha &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha} = m \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha} - \frac{m^2}{M + nm} \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha} \left(\sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\beta \right) \\
&= m \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \delta_{i\alpha} - \frac{m^2}{M + nm} \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\beta \underbrace{\left(\sum \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right)}_{=1} \\
&= m\dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha - \frac{m^2}{M + nm} \sum_\beta \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\beta.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Summing the above expression (2.19) for the conjugate momenta over α ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum \mathbf{p}_\alpha &= m \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha - \frac{nm^2}{M + nm} \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha \\
&= \frac{(Mm + nm^2) \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha - nm^2 \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha}{M + nm} \\
&= \frac{mM}{M + nm} \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Thus we can rearrange (2.19) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha &= \frac{\mathbf{p}_\alpha}{m} + \frac{1}{m} \frac{m^2}{M + nm} \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{p}_\alpha}{m} + \frac{m}{M + nm} \underbrace{\frac{M + nm}{Mm} \sum \mathbf{p}_\beta}_{\text{given (2.20)}} \\
&= \frac{\mathbf{p}_\alpha}{m} + \frac{\sum \mathbf{p}_\beta}{M}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

The Hamiltonian is then

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \sum_i \mathbf{p}_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i - L \\
&= \frac{\sum p_i^2}{m} + \frac{(\sum \mathbf{p}_i)^2}{M} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} m \sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \frac{(\sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i)^2}{M + nm}}_{(2.16)} + U,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

but from (2.20)

$$\left(\sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha \right)^2 = \left(\frac{M + nm}{Mm} \right)^2 \left(\sum \mathbf{p}_\alpha \right)^2, \tag{2.23}$$

so

$$\frac{m^2}{2} \frac{(\sum \dot{\mathbf{r}})^2}{M + nm} = \frac{(\sum \mathbf{p}_\alpha)^2}{2} \frac{M + nm}{M^2}. \tag{2.24}$$

We next want to evaluate $\sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}^2$ from (2.21):

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha^2 &= \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_\alpha}{m} + \frac{\sum \mathbf{p}_\beta}{M} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{p_\alpha^2}{m^2} + \frac{(\sum \mathbf{p}_\beta)^2}{M^2} + \frac{2\mathbf{p}_\alpha \cdot \sum \mathbf{p}_\beta}{mM}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

$$\sum \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha^2 = \frac{\sum p_\alpha^2}{m^2} + \frac{n(\sum \mathbf{p}_\alpha)^2}{M^2} + \frac{2(\sum \mathbf{p}_\alpha)^2}{mM}. \tag{2.26}$$

Inserting all of these terms into the earlier expression (2.22) for the Hamiltonian, a little algebra leads us to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{\sum p_i^2}{m} + \frac{(\sum \mathbf{p}_i)^2}{M} - \frac{m}{2} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\sum p_\alpha^2}{m^2} + \frac{n(\sum \mathbf{p}_\alpha)^2}{M^2} + \frac{2(\sum \mathbf{p}_\alpha)^2}{mM} \right)}_{\text{given (2.26)}} + \underbrace{\frac{M + nm}{2M^2} (\sum \mathbf{p}_\alpha)^2}_{\text{given (2.23)}} + U \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2m} \right) \sum p_i^2 + \left(\frac{1}{M} - \frac{nm}{2M^2} - \frac{1}{M} + \frac{M + nm}{2M^2} \right) (\sum \mathbf{p}_\alpha)^2 + U \\
H &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_\alpha \mathbf{p}_\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2M} \left(\sum_\alpha \mathbf{p}_\alpha \right)^2 + U.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

2.3 Liouville's theorem

Phase space is defined as the space spanned by the canonical coordinates and conjugate momenta, e.g. (x, y, z, p_x, p_y, p_z) for a single particle (a 6D space) and $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, p_1,$

$p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ for a system of n particles. This defines phase space to be a $6n$ -dimensional space. A single point in phase space represents the state of the whole system, i.e. the positions and velocities of all its particles. It is called a **representative point**. If there are constraints acting on the system, the representative points are confined to some lower dimensional subspace. The representative points move with velocities \mathbf{v} where:

$$\mathbf{v} = \{\dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2, \dots, \dot{q}_n, \dot{p}_1, \dot{p}_2, \dots, \dot{p}_n\} = \left\{ \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_1}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_n}, -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_1}, -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_2}, \dots, -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_n} \right\}. \quad (2.28)$$

Liouville's theorem is a very powerful result concerning the evolution in time of ensembles of systems. We can regard the initial state of the ensemble of systems as corresponding to a distribution or density of representative points in phase space. Then Liouville's theorem states that:

Theorem 2 (Liouville's theorem). *The density in phase space evolves as an incompressible fluid.*

Proof. The proof is a simple application of Hamilton's equations and the n -dimensional divergence theorem. The n -dimensional divergence theorem states that for an n -dimensional vector function of n variables $\mathbf{V}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \sum_i \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial x_i} d\tau = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \sum_i V_i dS_i, \quad (2.29)$$

where $d\tau$ is an n -dimensional volume element and $d\mathbf{S}$ is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional element of surface area. This theorem and its proof are the obvious generalisation the divergence theorem (the Gauss theorem) in 3D:

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} d\tau = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{V} \cdot d\mathbf{S}. \quad (2.30)$$

To prove Liouville's theorem, suppose that the representative points are initially confined to some (n -dimensional) volume \mathcal{V} with surface \mathcal{S} . The points move with velocity \mathbf{v} given by Eq. (2.28). Therefore, at the surface, the volume occupied by the points is changing at a rate $dV = \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V &= \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

by (2.30). However,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} &= \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \dot{p}_i \\ &= \sum_i \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial q_i \partial p_i} - \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_i \partial q_i} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

where to get the final line we have used Hamilton's equations of motion (2.6). So the volume occupied by the ensemble's representative points does not change. \square

2.4 Poisson Brackets and the Analogy with Quantum Commutators

Suppose $f = f(q_i, p_i, t)$, i.e. f is a function of the dynamical variables \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} . Then using Hamilton's equations (2.6),

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \dot{p}_i \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \quad (2.33)$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}, \quad (2.34)$$

which we can rewrite for notational convenience as

$$\boxed{\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H\} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}}, \quad (2.35)$$

where

$$\boxed{\{f, g\} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i}}, \quad (2.36)$$

is called the **Poisson Bracket** of the functions f and g and was first introduced into mechanics by Simon Poisson in 1809 (Note the use of summation convention in Eq. (2.36)). The *canonical* Poisson bracket relations are

$$\{q_i, p_i\} = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \{q_i, q_j\} = \{p_i, p_j\} = 0. \quad (2.37)$$

Given two functions f and g that depend on phase space and time, their Poisson bracket $\{f, g\}$ is another function that depends on phase space and time. The following rules hold for any three functions f, g, h of phase space and time:

$$\text{Anticommutativity} \quad \{f, g\} = -\{g, f\} \quad (2.38)$$

$$\text{Bilinearity} \quad \{af + bg, h\} = a\{f, h\} + b\{g, h\} \quad (2.39)$$

$$\{h, af + bg\} = a\{h, f\} + b\{h, g\} \quad (2.40)$$

$$\text{Leibniz's Rule} \quad \{fg, h\} = \{f, h\}g + f\{g, h\} \quad (2.41)$$

$$\text{Jacobi Identity} \quad \{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0 \quad (2.42)$$

Also, if a function k is constant over phase space (but may depend on time), then $\{f, k\} = 0$ for any f .

Eq. (2.35) is remarkably similar to the Ehrenfest theorem in Quantum Mechanics

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [\hat{O}, \hat{H}] \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial \hat{O}}{\partial t} \right\rangle, \quad (2.43)$$

for the variation of expectation values of (Hermitian) operators \hat{O} , where \hat{H} is of course the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian operator and $[\hat{O}, \hat{H}]$ represents the commutator $\hat{O}\hat{H} - \hat{H}\hat{O}$.

It is easy to check that $\{f, g\}$ shares many of the above properties with the commutator:

$$\{f, g\} = -\{g, f\}, \quad \{f, f\} = 0 \quad \text{etc.} \quad (2.44)$$

Also, if in Eq. (2.33) $\partial f/\partial t = 0$ (no explicit t dependence) and $\{f, H\} = 0$ then $df/dt = 0$, i.e. f is a constant of the motion.

This suggests we can relate classical and quantum mechanics by formulating classical mechanics in terms of Poisson Brackets and then associating these with the corresponding Quantum Mechanical commutator

$$\begin{aligned} \{A, B\} &\leftrightarrow \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \\ \text{classical} &\leftrightarrow \text{quantum} \\ H &\leftrightarrow \hat{H}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

For example, we now instead of the canonical relations (2.37) have

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_j] = \delta_{ij}. \quad (2.46)$$

Classically

$$\{q_i, p_j\} = \sum_k \left(\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial q_k} \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial q_k} \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial p_k} \right) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{q, p\} = 1. \quad (2.47)$$

Quantum Mechanically,

$$\left[q, -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right] \Psi = -q i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \Psi + i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q} (q\Psi) = i\hbar \Psi, \quad (2.48)$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} \left[q, -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right] = 1 = \{q, p\}. \quad (2.49)$$

Therefore we confirm the identification of $-i\hbar \partial/\partial q$ with the **canonical momentum operator** \hat{p} conjugate to \hat{q} .

2.4.1 Quantum Variational Principle

In QM we associate a wave vector $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p}/\hbar$ with a particle of momentum \mathbf{p} (de Broglie relation), and frequency $\omega = H/\hbar$ with its total energy $E = H$ (Einstein relation). Thus we can write Hamilton's principle for the classical motion of the particle as

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} \int L dt = \int \left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}} - \frac{H}{\hbar} \right) dt = \int (\mathbf{k} \cdot d\mathbf{q} - \omega dt) = \text{stationary}, \quad (2.50)$$

i.e. the wave-mechanical phase shall be stationary (because multiplication by a constant factor does not alter the condition for the minimum of S). This is the condition for constructive interference of waves; what the Hamilton principle really says is that the particle goes where the relevant de Broglie waves reinforce. If we move a little away from the classical path, the waves do not reinforce so much and the particle is less likely to be found there. If we imagine taking the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, the wavefunction falls off so rapidly away from the classical path that the particle will *never* deviate from it.

We see that classical mechanics is the “geometrical optics” limit of QM: the “rays” correspond to the classical paths and quantum effects (like diffraction) are due the finite

frequency and wave number of waves of given energy and momentum, i.e the finite value of \hbar .

Conversely, if we have a classical theory for a physical system, which works for macroscopic systems of that kind, we can get a wave-mechanical description that reduces to this classical theory as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ by making the Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} the same function of $-i\hbar \partial/\partial q_i$ and q_i as the classical Hamiltonian H is of p_i and q_i .

N.B.: This is not necessarily the only or the correct QM description! There may be other bits of physics (terms in H) which vanish as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ but are important, e.g., the electron spin.

2.4.2 Canonical Transformations

Another advantage of the Hamiltonian formulation of dynamics is that we have considerable freedom to redefine the generalised coordinates and momenta, which can be useful for solving the equations of motion. For example, as we already saw, we can redefine p_i as q_i and q_i as $-p_i$. This is an example of a much more general change of variables known as a *canonical transformation*. This is a transformation of the form

$$Q_j = Q_j(\{q_i\}, \{p_i\}), \quad P_j = P_j(\{q_i\}, \{p_i\}), \quad (2.51)$$

that preserves the form of Hamilton's equations of motion (2.6):

$$\dot{P}_j = - \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q_j} \right)_{\text{other } Q, \mathbf{P}, t}, \quad \dot{Q}_j = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial P_j} \right)_{\text{other } P, \mathbf{Q}, t}. \quad (2.52)$$

The condition for a transformation to be canonical is very simple: the transformed variables have to satisfy the canonical Poisson bracket relations (2.37)

$$\{Q_j, P_j\} = 1, \quad \{Q_j, Q_k\} = \{P_j, P_k\} = \{Q_j, P_k\} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq k, \quad (2.53)$$

with respect to the original generalised coordinates and momenta. We prove this for a single coordinate and momentum; the generalization to many variables is straightforward. For any function $Q(q, p)$, not explicitly time-dependent, we have

$$\dot{Q} = \{Q, H\} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q}. \quad (2.54)$$

Expressing H in terms of Q and some other function $P(q, p)$,

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \quad (2.55)$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}. \quad (2.56)$$

Inserting these in Eq. (2.54) and rearranging terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{Q} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \{Q, P\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

Similarly for P we find

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{P} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q} \{Q, P\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.58}$$

Hence the necessary and sufficient condition to preserve Hamilton's equations is $\{Q, P\} = 1$.

2.4.2.1 Canonical Transformations using Matrices

We can write the first two of Hamilton's equations (2.6) in matrix form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix},\tag{2.59}$$

and note that simply from the chain rule our co-ordinate transformation means that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{Q} \\ \dot{P} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \end{pmatrix},\tag{2.60}$$

and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{Q} \\ \dot{P} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \end{pmatrix}.\tag{2.61}$$

Performing one of the matrix multiplications, we then note that for Hamilton's equations to be obeyed in the Q, P co-ordinates we require

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \\ -\frac{\partial P}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix}\tag{2.62}$$

The elements of this matrix equation then tell us that

$$1 = \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} = \{Q, P\}_{q,p}\tag{2.63}$$

as required.

2.5 Lagrangian Dynamics of a Charged Particle

The Lorentz force is an example of a velocity dependent force. Another example is the “fictitious” Coriolis force found in rotating (non-inertial) frames. A deeper treatment of these forces leads to special relativity in the case of electromagnetism and general relativity in the case of inertial forces.

In this section we examine how the Lorentz force and basic electromagnetism can be incorporated into the Lagrangian formalism without explicit mention of special relativity.

In the following sections we sketch the much more powerful ideas involved in the relativistic approach.

The derivation of Lagrange's equations of motion (1.13) is valid provided the external forces satisfy

$$F_i = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \dot{x}_i} \right). \quad (2.64)$$

The second term (with the derivative w.r.t. velocity) is *not* usually present for conventional (i.e. potential, $\mathbf{F} = -\nabla V$) forces. For the Lorentz force problem, a particle of charge e in fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} experiences a velocity dependent force \mathbf{F} ,

$$\mathbf{F} = e(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}), \quad (2.65)$$

and we can in fact take

$$V = e(\phi - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}), \quad (2.66)$$

where \mathbf{A} is the magnetic vector potential such that $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi - \partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t$.

The potential (2.66), when plugged into (2.64), gives the correct expression for the force (2.65). To verify this, we need to perform a calculation:

$$F_i = e(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})_i \stackrel{?}{=} -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \dot{x}_i} \right). \quad (2.67)$$

We will need the result of vector analysis¹

$$[\mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})]_i = v_j \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_i} - v_j \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_j}, \quad (2.71)$$

The rest is a simple manipulation (summation over repeated indices implied)

$$F_i = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial v_i} \right) \quad (2.72)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} e(\phi - v_j A_j) + \frac{d}{dt} (-e A_i) \\ &= -e \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} + e v_j \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_i} - e \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_j} v_j - e \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} \quad \text{since} \quad \frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \underbrace{\frac{dx_i}{dt}}_{v_i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \\ &= e(\mathbf{E} + [\mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})])_i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.73)$$

Having satisfied our sense of caution to some extent, we can now write the Lagrangian, as usual,

$$L = T - V = \frac{1}{2} m v^2 - e(\phi - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}). \quad (2.74)$$

¹which follows from

$$[\mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})]_i = \epsilon_{ijk} v_j (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})_k \quad (2.68)$$

$$= \epsilon_{ijk} v_j \epsilon_{kpq} \frac{\partial A_q}{\partial x_p} \quad (2.69)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\delta_{ip} \delta_{jq} - \delta_{iq} \delta_{jp}) v_j \frac{\partial A_q}{\partial x_p} \quad \text{since} \quad \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{pqk} \equiv (\delta_{ip} \delta_{jq} - \delta_{iq} \delta_{jp}) \\ &= v_j \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_i} - v_j \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.70)$$

The components of the canonical momentum \mathbf{p} are obtained by the familiar

$$p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_i} = mv_i + eA_i. \quad (2.75)$$

The first term mv_i is (the i^{th} component of) the mechanical momentum. Hence, for a charged particle in an electromagnetic field,

$$\text{canonical momentum} = \text{mechanical momentum} + e\mathbf{A}. \quad (2.76)$$

Knowing p_i we can write down the Hamiltonian H , formally following our previous definitions,

$$H = \mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}} - L \quad (2.77)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (m\mathbf{v} + e\mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + e(\phi - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + e\phi \quad (\text{total energy}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2m}(\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A})^2 + e\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.78)$$

where \mathbf{p} is the *canonical* (and not the mechanical!) momentum (2.75).

Suppose we reverse the argument and formally start from the Lagrangian (2.74), looking for the equations of motion by minimisation of the corresponding action:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \equiv \nabla L = e\nabla(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}) - e\nabla\phi. \quad (2.79)$$

Another useful formula from vector analysis says:

$$\nabla(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{b} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{a}), \quad (2.80)$$

for any two vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} . Remembering that ∇L in (2.79) is evaluated at constant \mathbf{v} , we find for its r.h.s.

$$\nabla L = e(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{A} + e[\mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})] - e\nabla\phi. \quad (2.81)$$

The l.h.s. of (2.79) is the total time-derivative of the canonical momentum $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v} + e\mathbf{A}$. The *total time-derivative* of \mathbf{A} , which may be a function of time and position, is given by

$$\frac{d\mathbf{A}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{A}. \quad (2.82)$$

Substituting this and (2.81) into (2.79) we find that the awkward $(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)$ term cancels and the equation of motion becomes

$$\frac{d(m\mathbf{v})}{dt} = -e\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - e\nabla\phi + e[\mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})]. \quad (2.83)$$

The force on the r.h.s. is thus made up of two parts. The first (the first two terms) does not depend on the particle velocity; the second part is proportional to $|\mathbf{v}|$ and is perpendicular to it. The first force, per unit of particle charge e , is *defined* as the **electric field**,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}, \quad (2.84)$$

and the force proportional to the velocity, per unit charge, is *defined* as the **magnetic flux density**

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}, \quad (2.85)$$

which returns the familiar expression for the full Lorentz force (2.65).

2.5.1 Gauge Invariance

The equation of motion of a physical particle is determined by the physically observable fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} . How unique are the potentials ϕ and \mathbf{A} which determine these fields and contribute to the Lagrangian function? It turns out that they are not unique at all. . .

If we add the gradient of an arbitrary scalar function $f(\mathbf{x}, t)$ to the vector potential \mathbf{A} , i.e.

$$A'_i = A_i + \underbrace{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}}_{\nabla f} \implies \mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{B}, \quad (2.86)$$

the magnetic flux density \mathbf{B} will not change, because $\nabla \times \nabla f \equiv 0$. To have the electric field unchanged as well, we must simultaneously subtract the partial time-derivative of f from the scalar potential:

$$\phi' = \phi - \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}. \quad (2.87)$$

We can see this simply upon direct substitution and noticing that the Lagrangian is invariant up to a total time derivative df/dt (See Subsection 1.2.0.2) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 - e(\phi - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}) \\ L' &= L + e \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f \right] \\ &= L + \frac{df}{dt}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.88)$$

The invariance of all electromagnetic processes with respect to the above transformation of the potentials by an arbitrary function f is called **gauge invariance**. As always, the discovery of an additional symmetry is an indication of much deeper underlying physics and you will meet gauge invariance, and its consequences, many times in the future.

The Lagrangian is thus gauge invariant—up to a total derivative. Recalling that adding a total derivative to L preserves the equations of motion, we again find that physical processes (i.e., the results of the equations of motion) are gauge invariant.

But how are we to deal with such non-uniqueness of the electromagnetic potentials and, accordingly, the Lagrangian? In some cases, one may take advantage of the non-uniqueness of the electromagnetic potentials by choosing a particular gauge in which the problem simplifies. Sometimes this is called *gauge fixing*. Because an arbitrary scalar function f in the gauge transformation is governing the invariance transformation, one is free to choose *any* additional condition, an equation relating the potentials ϕ and \mathbf{A} , that, starting from some ϕ and \mathbf{A} , can be satisfied by a gauge transformation with a suitable f – but only one such condition. For instance, we may choose to formulate electrodynamics with no scalar electric potential, $\phi = 0$, the *Weyl Gauge* or *temporal gauge*. From this condition we can easily determine the form of the function f from $\phi' = \phi_0 + \partial_t f = 0$ as $f = -\int_0^t \phi_0 dt + \tilde{f}(x)$, where $\tilde{f}(x)$ is of course simply a time independent constant of integration. However the condition $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ is not a valid gauge choice because it represents three conditions, $A_i = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), and this cannot be met by choosing a scalar function f . We can at most choose $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$ for some constant vector \mathbf{n} . Vector potentials satisfying such a condition are said to be in an *axial gauge*, with gauge vector \mathbf{n} .

Alternatively, since one can add an arbitrary gradient to \mathbf{A} , we could enforce the condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$. Potentials satisfying this condition are said to be in the *Coulomb gauge*. Such a gauge leads to a convenient form of wave equation for \mathbf{A} , used in the theory of electromagnetic waves.

In relativistic dynamics, a commonly used gauge choice is

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0, \quad (2.89)$$

which defines the *Lorenz gauge*.² Notice that in this gauge there remains a residual ambiguity: we can still vary the electromagnetic potentials, while maintaining (2.89), using any function f that satisfies the wave equation

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 f = 0. \quad (2.90)$$

2.6 Relativistic Particle Dynamics

The 4-index or **covariant notation** is widely used in theoretical physics; this subsection contains a brief (and not very rigorous) introduction. Consider:

$$x^\mu : (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) = (ct, x, y, z) \quad \text{a **contravariant** 4-vector and} \quad (2.91)$$

$$x_\mu : (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = (ct, -x, -y, -z) \quad \text{a **covariant** 4-vector.} \quad (2.92)$$

Only (implicit) summations involving one raised and one lowered suffix are allowed, thus:

$$ds^2 = dx^\mu dx_\mu = c^2 dt^2 - dr^2, \quad (2.93)$$

is valid (and is of course Lorentz invariant), but neither $x^\mu x^\mu$ nor $x_\mu x_\mu$ is allowed. The *proper time* is related to the passage of time in a given reference frame by

$$d\tau = \frac{1}{\gamma(v)} dt. \quad (2.94)$$

If $\phi = \phi(x^\mu)$ then $d\phi = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\mu} dx^\mu$ is invariant, hence:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\mu} \quad \text{is a covariant 4-vector and} \quad (2.95)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \quad \text{is a covariant operator.} \quad (2.96)$$

The operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$ is often simply written as ∂_μ . Similarly the contravariant operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} = \partial^\mu$. The “metric tensor”:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) \quad (2.97)$$

²Note the Danish Lorenz \neq the Dutch Lorentz.

can be used to raise or lower indices, for example:

$$x_\mu = g_{\mu\nu}x^\nu, \quad \text{etc.} \quad (2.98)$$

Finally we remark that in General Relativity $g^{\mu\nu}$ becomes a function of the mass distribution.

2.6.1 Relativistic Lagrangians

To derive the Lagrangian for a relativistic particle, we will consider two routes. The first begins with the equation of motion

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -\nabla V, \quad (2.99)$$

and views \mathbf{p} as the spatial component of the 4-momentum $p^\mu = m dx^\mu/d\tau$, but of course the relativistic momentum is more complicated and the simple recipe “ $L = T - V$ ” can be readily checked *not* to work.

Two possible routes to the relativistic Lagrangian are instructive. First let us try guessing that $\gamma(v)m\mathbf{v}$ is the canonical momentum, which then requires

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \gamma(v)m\mathbf{v}. \quad (2.100)$$

Integrating this with respect to v (using explicitly that $\gamma = [1 - v^2/c^2]^{-1/2}$) then gives

$$L(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = -\frac{1}{\gamma(v)}mc^2 - f(\mathbf{r}). \quad (2.101)$$

The equations of motion Eq. (2.100) are correctly recovered from the Euler-Lagrange equations (1.13) if the “constant of integration” $f(\mathbf{x})$ is identified as $V(\mathbf{x})$,

$$\boxed{L(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = -\frac{1}{\gamma(v)}mc^2 - V(\mathbf{r}).} \quad (2.102)$$

You can recover the familiar non-relativistic limit by expanding L in powers of v/c , and discarding the constant $-mc^2$. It is not clear that this approach recovers relativistic covariance. It is expressed in a particular reference frame (i.e., with time t) and leaves it unclear what 4-vector, if any, ∇V should relate to. It is nevertheless a method to generate relativistically correct equations of motion in this reference frame.

The second approach is more elegant in that it makes Lorentz invariance manifest. However, it turns out to run into subtleties with multiple interacting particles. We shall therefore focus on the single-particle case. In this approach, we write the action S starting in a reference frame where motion is non-relativistic, and then rewrite it in a manifestly Lorentz-invariant (i.e. frame-independent) manner. By its Lorentz-invariance, all observers then agree on this form for the action. To start, recall that one can write the Lagrangian Action as

$$S = \int L dt = \int (\mathbf{p} \cdot d\mathbf{r} - H dt). \quad (2.103)$$

Now $(H/c, \mathbf{p}) = p^\mu$, the (contravariant) 4-momentum, assuming that this is a 4-vector when we use the canonical momentum \mathbf{p} , and hence we can write this in terms of four-vectors as

$$S = - \int p^\mu dx_\mu = - \int p_\mu dx^\mu = - \int m \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} dx_\mu = -mc^2 \int d\tau. \quad (2.104)$$

where in the second step we again assumed that the canonical momentum is the spatial component of the 4-momentum. Now $p_\mu dx^\mu$ is frame-independent, and in the comoving frame of a free particle it evaluates to $mc^2 d\tau$, where τ is the proper time. All observers thus agree on this form for the action, and for a free particle it obviously matches $S = - \int mc^2 dt / \gamma(v)$ which we got before.

The result is that for a free relativistic particle, the trajectory from one point in space-time (=event) to another fixed event is that which maximises the elapsed proper time. Because the equations of motion are only obeyed *after* maximising, it should be clarified that the proper time is to be evaluated on the basis of (2.94) $d\tau = dt / \gamma(v)$ – that is the form for which we showed that the Euler-Lagrange equations gave the right results. From $c^2 d\tau^2 = c^2 dt^2 - d\mathbf{x}^2$ this happens when a Lorentz frame exists where $d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ throughout, i.e., where the particle is at rest.

2.6.2 Relativistic Particle in Electromagnetic Field

To cope with EM interactions relativistically, we generalise the non-relativistic contribution to the action, $-\int V dt$, to a four-vector EM potential interaction contribution, $-e \int (\phi dt - \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{r}) = -e \int A_\mu dx^\mu$, where the scalar electric potential ϕ has been absorbed as the timelike component $\phi/c = A_0 = A_t$ of the EM 4-potential and a factor of charge e has been introduced so that $A^\mu = (\phi/c, \mathbf{A})$.

From Eq. (2.74), and using $\mathbf{v} dt = d\mathbf{x}$, we have the contribution

$$\int L_{\text{EM}} dt = -e \int (\phi - \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}) dt = -e \int (\phi dt - \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x}) = -e \int A_\mu dx^\mu. \quad (2.105)$$

Combining this with the free-particle relativistic action, the action becomes

$$S = \underbrace{- \int mc^2 d\tau}_{(2.104)} - \underbrace{\int e A_\mu dx^\mu}_{(2.105)}. \quad (2.106)$$

$$\text{free particle} + \text{interaction with field}. \quad (2.107)$$

Now we have to be very careful to distinguish between the mechanical momentum $\mathbf{p}^{\text{mechanical}} = \gamma m \mathbf{v}$ and the canonical momentum $\mathbf{p}^{\text{canonical}} = \partial L / \partial \mathbf{v}$. Writing out carefully the Lagrangian corresponding to the expression for the action S gives:

$$L = - \frac{1}{\gamma(v)} mc^2 - e(\phi - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}), \quad (2.108)$$

from which

$$\mathbf{p}^{\text{canonical}} = \gamma m \mathbf{v} + e \mathbf{A}. \quad (2.109)$$

This gives an elegant form for the action of a particle interacting with an electromagnetic field,

$$S = - \int p_\mu^{\text{canonical}} dx^\mu, \quad (2.110)$$

exactly as in the free particle case, except that the canonical momentum has to be written in terms of the velocity and potential using

$$p_\mu^{\text{canonical}} = \gamma(v)m \frac{dx_\mu}{dt} + eA_\mu. \quad (2.111)$$

2.6.2.1 Relativistic Rotating Disc

Starting from the assumption that we have

$$L = \int \left(-\frac{c^2 dm_0}{\gamma} \right), \quad (2.112)$$

and that for a rotating disc,

$$dm_0 = \rho_0 r dr d\theta, \quad (2.113)$$

where ρ_0 is a constant rest mass per unit area, we aim to find the Lagrangian for a disk of radius a rotating rigidly at angular velocity ω .

The θ integral is trivial to perform (2π), and remembering that $v = r\omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= 2\pi c^2 \rho_0 \int_0^a -r \left(1 - \frac{r^2 \omega^2}{c^2} \right)^{1/2} dr \\ &= 2\pi \rho_0 c^2 \left[\frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \frac{r^2 \omega^2}{c^2} \right) \frac{c^2}{\omega^2} \right]_0^a \\ &= \frac{2\pi \rho_0 c^4}{3\omega^2} \left[\left(1 - \frac{a^2 \omega^2}{c^2} \right)^{3/2} - 1 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.114)$$

We then find the angular momentum via $J = \partial L / \partial \omega$. Let us define $\alpha = 2\pi \rho_0 c^4 / 3$ and $\beta^2 = a^2 / c^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\omega^2} \left[(1 - \beta^2 \omega^2)^{3/2} - 1 \right] \right) \\ &= -\frac{2\alpha}{\omega} \left[(1 - \beta^2 \omega^2)^{3/2} - 1 \right] + \frac{\alpha}{\omega^2} \left[-2\omega \beta^2 \frac{3}{2} (1 - \beta^2 \omega^2)^{1/2} \right] \\ &= \frac{2\alpha}{\omega^3} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2 \omega^2}{2} \right) (1 - \beta^2 \omega^2)^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.115)$$

But the mass of the disk is $M_0 = \rho_0 \pi a^2$, so remembering the original definitions of α and β ,

$$J = \frac{4M_0 c^2}{3a^2 \omega^3} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{a^2 \omega^2}{2c^2} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{a^2 \omega^2}{c^2}} \right], \quad (2.116)$$

which gives the expected result $J = \frac{1}{2} M_0 a^2 \omega$ in the non-relativistic limit.

2.6.3 Lagrangian vs. Hamiltonian Methods

Something you might like to check is how the Hamiltonian comes out from the relativistic Lagrangian above; it is of course a time-like quantity and not in any sense frame invariant. Hamilton's equations, because they are equations of *motion*, involve time and hence the particular frame of reference quite explicitly.

Although the Lagrangian is not itself frame independent either, the Lagrangian formulation is frame-invariant. The quantity

$$S[x^\mu(t)] = \int L dt = - \int p_\mu dx^\mu, \quad (2.117)$$

is a functional of the path $x^\mu(t)$ which is frame-invariant, as is the variational condition $\delta S = 0$.

2.6.4 Hamilton's Principle for Covariant Action

In Eq. (2.104), and implicitly in Eqs. (2.110) and (2.111), we use $\frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} dx^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dx_\mu}{d\tau} d\tau = c^2 d\tau$, which holds thanks to $u^\mu u_\mu = c^2$,³ where $u^\mu = dx^\mu/d\tau$ is the 4-velocity. Parameterising $x^\mu(t)$ using the coordinate time t and thus using $d\tau = dt/\gamma$ in $S = \int L dt$, we get a Lagrangian $L(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{x}/dt, t)$ [cf. Eq. (2.108)] that yields the correct equations of motion. This approach has the advantage of adhering to $u^\mu u_\mu = c^2$ throughout and making it manifest that a particle has $n = 3$ degrees of freedom. Unless said otherwise, we use this approach henceforth.

Nonetheless, it may be useful to mention another approach: we can use $S = \int L(x^\mu, u^\mu) d\tau$, with coordinates x^μ and velocities u^μ parameterised by τ , and view $u^\mu u_\mu = c^2$ as a constraint for after the calculus of variations. (The constraint restores the correct $n = 3$ degrees of freedom from the apparent $n = 4$ in x^μ .) Correct equations of motion follow from $L = -mc\sqrt{u^\mu u_\mu} + L_{\text{EM}}$. Since $-mc\sqrt{u^\mu u_\mu} d\tau$ reduces to $-mc^2 d\tau$ for trajectories respecting the constraint, this choice features a Lagrangian with the desired non-relativistic limit.⁴ As long as only the right equation motions are required, however, we can replace $-mc\sqrt{u^\mu u_\mu}$ with other choices. To see this, we write $L = f(u^\mu u_\mu) + L_{\text{EM}}$ with some one-variable function f . The relevant variation to consider is

$$\delta \int f(u^\mu u_\mu) d\tau = \int f'(u^\mu u_\mu) \delta(u^\mu u_\mu) d\tau. \quad (2.119)$$

We see that as long as $f'(c^2) = -m/2$, we get the same (and hence the correct) equation of motion as from $f(u^\mu u_\mu) = -mc\sqrt{u^\mu u_\mu}$.

³Since proper time is an affine parameter, the length of the 4-velocity is constant,

$$u^\mu u_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\tau} = \left(\frac{ds}{d\tau} \right)^2 = c^2. \quad (2.118)$$

⁴The same reduction also implies the correct the equation of motion, by the reparameterisation invariance of $-mc\sqrt{\frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dx_\mu}{d\tau}} d\tau$ under $\tau \rightarrow \tau(\sigma)$ and the option $\sigma = \tau$, i.e., a parameterisation solving the constraint and yielding the correct equations of motion as shown.

CHAPTER 3

Classical Fields

Much of modern theoretical physics is, one way or another, field theory, the first example of which is the Maxwell approach to electromagnetism. Our next step is into Lagrangians depending on “fields” rather than “particle coordinates”. For simplicity we will start with a non-relativistic case, picking up electromagnetism as a relativistic example towards the end.

A classical field is an assignment of some physical quantities to each point of space (and time). A classical field theory can be viewed as a dynamical system with an infinite number of degrees of freedom labeled by spatial location and described by partial differential equations (PDEs). In mathematical terms, a classical field is a cross section of some fiber bundle which obeys some given PDEs. Of course, there is much, much more to the story, but this is at least a good first pass at a definition. By contrast, a *mechanical system* is a dynamical system with a finite number of degrees of freedom that is described by ODEs.

One place where classical fields naturally occur in physics is when non-rigid extended bodies such as bodies of water, elastic solids, strings under tension, portions of the atmosphere, and so forth, are described using a classical (as opposed to quantum) continuum approximation to their structure. Another place where classical fields arise – and this place is where we will focus most of our attention – is in a more or less fundamental description of matter and its interactions. Here the ultimate description is via quantum field theory, but the classical approximation sometimes has widespread macroscopic validity (e.g., Maxwell theory) or the classical approximation can be very useful for understanding the structure of the theory.

3.1 Waves in One Dimension

The basic idea is a very simple adaptation of the standard Lagrangian problem. Consider for example the longitudinal modes of an elastic rod (i.e. sound waves in one dimension). Each material point x has a displacement $\varphi(x, t)$; the *dynamical variables* are the φ , one for each value of x , the coordinate values x playing the role of labels on these (infinite number of) physical degrees of freedom.

We can write the kinetic energy as

$$T = \int \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx, \quad (3.1)$$

where ρ is the mass per unit length, and the (elastic) potential energy as

$$V = \int \kappa \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx, \quad (3.2)$$

where κ is (Young's Modulus) \times (cross-sectional area). Indeed, if we modelled this rod as a set of point masses connected by springs, each of potential energy $\frac{1}{2}K(\Delta x)^2$, we would express the total potential energy as a sum of Hookean contributions for each spring, stretched by the relative amount measured by the local displacements $\varphi(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \sum_{\{x\}} \frac{1}{2} K [\varphi(x + \delta x) - \varphi(x)]^2 \\ &\approx \sum_{\{x\}} \frac{1}{2} K \left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \delta x \right]^2 = \sum_{\{x\}} \frac{1}{2} K \cdot \delta x \left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right]^2 \delta x \\ &\Rightarrow \int \frac{1}{2} \kappa \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

after transforming the discrete sum into a continuum integral and setting $\kappa = \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} K \cdot \delta x$. We can now write down the Lagrangian and action, both as functionals of the **field** $\varphi(x, t)$, respectively

$$L = T - V = \int \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] dx \equiv \int \mathcal{L} dx, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\text{and, } S = \int L dt = \int \mathcal{L} dx dt, \quad (3.5)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the **Lagrangian density**. We use the term “field” here in the general sense of a function of space and time. The Lagrangian density is a function of the field φ and its derivatives:

$$\mathcal{L} \left(\varphi, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right)^2. \quad (3.6)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for $\delta S = 0$, which hold for all degrees of freedom, will now appear as a *field equation* that holds for all x . The Euler-Lagrange equations from the condition of minimal action $\delta S = 0$ for this type of problem are a straightforward generalisation of the case where we had variables depending on t only. For brevity, write

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \dot{\varphi}, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = \varphi'. \quad (3.7)$$

For a small variation of the field, $\delta \varphi$, we have from Eq. (3.5)

$$\delta S = \int \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} \delta \varphi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi'} \delta \varphi' + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \delta \dot{\varphi} \right)}_{\delta \mathcal{L}} dx dt. \quad (3.8)$$

But

$$\int \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi'} \delta \varphi' dx = \int \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta \varphi dx = \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi'} \delta \varphi \right]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi'} \right) \delta \varphi dx. \quad (3.9)$$

Just as in the ordinary Lagrangian problem, there are conditions which require the integrated term to vanish. In this case, for the action integral to exist we require the displacement φ , and hence also $\delta \varphi$, to vanish at $x = \pm \infty$. Similarly, for the motion in the time interval $[t_1, t_2]$,

$$\int \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \delta \dot{\varphi} dt = \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \delta \varphi \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} - \int \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \right) \delta \varphi dt. \quad (3.10)$$

We are interested in minimising the action for given initial and final configurations $\varphi(x, t_1)$ and $\varphi(x, t_2)$, so $\delta\varphi(x, t_{1,2}) = 0$ and again the integrated term vanishes, giving

$$\delta S = \int \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi'} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \right) \right] \delta \varphi \, dx \, dt. \quad (3.11)$$

This has to vanish for any $\delta\varphi(x, t) = 0$ satisfying the boundary conditions, so we obtain the Euler-Lagrange equation of motion for the field $\varphi(x, t)$:

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi'} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \right) = 0.} \quad (3.12)$$

Applying this to our example, we have (3.6)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \rho \dot{\varphi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \varphi'^2, \quad (3.13)$$

and so we obtain

$$0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \kappa \varphi' - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho \dot{\varphi} = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

which is just the one dimensional wave equation, as we should have expected. Indeed, writing it in a more familiar format, we recognise both the equation and its solution:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\kappa}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2}, \quad \varphi \propto e^{i(\omega t - kx)}, \quad (3.15)$$

with dispersion relation

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\rho}} k, \quad (3.16)$$

since $\ddot{\varphi} = c^2 \varphi''$, where the wave speed is given by $c = \omega/k = \sqrt{\kappa/\rho}$.

We can define a **canonical momentum density**, by analogy with $p_i = \partial L / \partial \dot{q}_i$, as

$$\boxed{\pi(x, t) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}},} \quad (3.17)$$

where $\pi(x, t) = \rho \dot{\varphi}$ in our example. This is sensibly analogous to our previous ideas about momentum, in particular, $p = \int \pi \, dx = \rho \int \dot{\varphi} \, dx$ recovers the total mechanical momentum.

In analogy to a translation-invariant system (where L does not explicitly depend on q_i) we expect that the absence of explicit φ dependence in L to imply some (more complicated) momentum conservation law. For $\partial L / \partial \varphi = 0$, our generalised Euler-Lagrange equation (3.12) reads

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \pi(x, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} J(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad J(x, t) = \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \varphi'. \quad (3.18)$$

Since $\pi(x, t)$ was the density of canonical momentum, we can interpret $J(x, t)$ as the current of canonical momentum, and Eq. (3.18) just expresses the conservation of overall canonical momentum. In fact, we see that the Euler-Lagrange equation (3.12) or (3.18) for the equilibrium trajectory $\varphi(x, t)$ is the equation for momentum conservation, or the balance of local forces. If you think about balls and springs, we have arrived at the obvious result that the springs cause exchange of momentum between particles (i.e. current of momentum) but conserve momentum overall.

Again in close analogy with particle mechanics, we can define the **Hamiltonian density** \mathcal{H} ,

$$\boxed{\mathcal{H}(\varphi, \varphi', \pi) = \pi \dot{\varphi} - \mathcal{L}}, \quad (3.19)$$

where $\dot{\varphi}$ is replaced by π as an independent variable. In the case of the elastic rod, this gives

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\pi^2}{2\rho} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa\varphi'^2, \quad (3.20)$$

which (since the kinetic energy is a homogeneous quadratic function of $\dot{\varphi}$) is just the total energy density.

3.2 Multidimensional Space

Consider now the extension of this to several dimensions of space, but keeping the physical field $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ as a scalar for the present. We have

$$S = \iint \cdots \int \mathcal{L}\left(\varphi, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \nabla \varphi\right) dt dx_1 \cdots dx_d, \quad (3.21)$$

and the Euler-Lagrange equation for $\delta S = 0$ gives us

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial \varphi / \partial t)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial \varphi / \partial x_1)} + \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial \varphi / \partial x_d)}, \quad (3.22)$$

or if one wants to be more succinct about the spatial derivatives,

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial \varphi / \partial t)} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\nabla \varphi)} \right)}. \quad (3.23)$$

Note that we still have just one such equation, for the single physical field $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ – the result of having several spatial coordinates is the multicomponent gradient on the r.h.s. The momentum density is also a scalar function, the definition (3.17) remains valid.

The condition of momentum conservation in the case when no external forces are applied, $\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \varphi = 0$, now resembles the so-called continuity equation:

$$\dot{\pi}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, \quad \text{with the vector } \mathbf{J} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\nabla \varphi)}. \quad (3.24)$$

Now it should be fairly obvious from the r.h.s. of Eq. (3.23) that we have in fact put time t and space \mathbf{x} on the same footing, and we can simply regard time t as one of the coordinate variables x^μ (i.e., $x^0 = t$ for now instead of $x^0 = ct$) to give

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial \varphi / \partial x^\mu)} \right) \equiv \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial [\partial_\mu \varphi]}, \quad (3.25)$$

(recall that ∂_μ is shorthand for $\partial / \partial x^\mu$) as the Euler-Lagrange equation for the minimal-action condition. Here we are assuming Greek indices to run over time and space and repeated indices in the same expression are summed, just as in relativity. However it should be stressed that our equations (3.25) are in no way particular to Special Relativity – though of course they very naturally encompass it, as we now explore in more detail.

3.3 Relativistic Scalar Field

In Special Relativity the action S is a Lorentz invariant quantity and Eq. (3.21) involves an integration with respect to the invariant space-time volume element $d^4x = c dt dx dy dz$ (we now use $x^0 = ct$). It follows that the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} is also a Lorentz invariant (scalar) function

If we require that the Euler-Lagrange equation of motion for the field be linear and at most a second-order differential equation, this limits \mathcal{L} to the general form

$$\mathcal{L} = \alpha(\partial^\mu\varphi)(\partial_\mu\varphi) + \beta\partial^\mu\partial_\mu\varphi + \gamma\varphi\partial^\mu\partial_\mu\varphi + \delta\varphi + \epsilon\varphi^2 + \eta, \quad (3.26)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon$ and η are *constants*. Since η does not influence the field equations we are free to choose it such that $\delta\varphi + \epsilon\varphi^2 + \eta = \epsilon(\varphi + \delta/2\epsilon)^2$. We can furthermore rewrite \mathcal{L} as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \alpha(\partial^\mu\varphi)(\partial_\mu\varphi) + \beta\partial^\mu\partial_\mu\varphi + \gamma\varphi\partial^\mu\partial_\mu\varphi + \epsilon\left(\varphi + \frac{\delta}{2\epsilon}\right)^2 \\ &= \alpha(\partial^\mu\varphi)(\partial_\mu\varphi) + \partial^\mu\beta\partial_\mu\varphi + \underbrace{\gamma\varphi\partial^\mu\partial_\mu\varphi + \gamma(\partial^\mu\varphi)(\partial_\mu\varphi)}_{\partial^\mu(\gamma\varphi\partial_\mu\varphi)} - \gamma(\partial^\mu\varphi)(\partial_\mu\varphi) + \epsilon\left(\varphi + \frac{\delta}{2\epsilon}\right)^2 \\ &= (\alpha - \gamma)(\partial^\mu\varphi)(\partial_\mu\varphi) + \partial^\mu(\beta\partial_\mu\varphi + \gamma\varphi\partial_\mu\varphi) + \epsilon\left(\varphi + \frac{\delta}{2\epsilon}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

The total derivative term gives a (4D) surface contribution to the action. There are different approaches to justify discarding this. Here, we motivate it by the goal of obtaining a spacetime *local* field equation, i.e., one that relates $\varphi(x^\mu)$ and its derivatives, but not $\varphi(x^\mu)$ at distant points in space-time. We hence discard the total derivative term.¹ We also note that the field equation is unaffected by an overall rescaling of \mathcal{L} , so we choose $\alpha - \gamma = \frac{1}{2}$. We are also free to relabel $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \delta/2\epsilon$ and denote $\epsilon = -m^2/2$. (We shall justify the negative sign shortly.) This leads us to the most general acceptable Lagrangian density for a real scalar field with linear dynamics:

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial^\mu\varphi)(\partial_\mu\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2.} \quad (3.28)$$

To get a finite action, the boundary conditions are $\varphi = 0$ at spatial infinity. The field equation corresponding to Eq. (3.28) is the **Klein-Gordon** equation:

$$\boxed{\partial^\mu\partial_\mu\varphi + m^2\varphi = 0.} \quad (3.29)$$

To get the first term, write $(\partial^\mu\varphi)(\partial_\mu\varphi) = g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\nu\varphi)(\partial_\mu\varphi)$ and note that both derivatives contribute to the right hand side of Eq. (3.25) because of the summation convention.

Writing out the Lagrangian density (3.28) in more detail,

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2c^2}\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2,} \quad (3.30)$$

¹Some surface contributions we can also discard by the spatial boundary conditions required for a finite action, but the temporal boundary conditions do not fix $\partial_t\varphi$.

we see that the momentum density (3.17) is

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \quad (3.31)$$

and so the Klein-Gordon Hamiltonian density (3.19) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \pi \dot{\varphi} - \mathcal{L} = \pi \cdot c^2 \pi - \frac{c^2}{2} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} c^2 \pi^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

This quantity is positive-definite if and only if the coefficient of φ^2 is positive. If the coefficient were negative, there would be field configurations with arbitrarily large negative energy, and the system would have no stable ground state. This justifies our decision to write the coefficient $(-\epsilon)$ as $m^2/2$.

3.4 Natural units

In dealing with relativistic systems it is convenient to use units such that $c = 1$. Then lengths are measured in the same units as times (the time it takes light to travel that distance), and mass in the same units as energy (the energy released by annihilating that mass). In these units Eqs. (3.30) and (3.32) become

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2, \quad (3.33)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2, \quad (3.34)$$

since now $\pi = \partial \varphi / \partial t$.

However, these units are still not optimal since \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{H} are supposed to have the dimensions of energy density, which in $c = 1$ units ($[L] = [T]$) are $[M][T]^{-3}$. That would mean that φ has to have dimensions $[M]^{1/2}[T]^{-1/2}$. Since in practice we are dealing with fields that represent phenomena on the subatomic scale, it is simplest to couple the dimensions of energy/mass and time as well, by using units in which $\hbar = c = 1$. Since $[\hbar] = [E][T]$ this means that time is measured in units of inverse energy or mass (the energy of a quantum whose angular frequency is the inverse of that time). These are called *natural units*, at least by particle physicists. For them the natural scale of energy is measured in giga-electron-volts, GeV. Then the magic formula for converting to everyday units is

$$\hbar c = 0.2 \text{ GeV fm}, \quad (3.35)$$

where 1 fm (femtometre) is 10^{-15} m.

In natural units, $[T] = [E]^{-1} = [M]^{-1}$ and the dimensions of \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{H} are $[M]^4$, so φ has simply dimensions of mass. You can check that every term in Eq. (3.34) has dimension $[M]^4$, provided the constant m is itself interpreted as a mass.

We shall usually employ natural units in this section from now on. With a little practice, it is straightforward to reinsert the correct number of factors of \hbar and c to convert any given expression into SI units.

3.5 Fourier Analysis

Consider first, for simplicity, solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation that depend only on x and t . They satisfy the 1-dimensional version of Eq. (3.29), i.e. (in natural units)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} + m^2 \varphi = 0. \quad (3.36)$$

We can express any real field $\varphi(x, t)$ as a Fourier integral:

$$\varphi(x, t) = \int dk N(k) \left[a(k) e^{i(kx - \omega t)} + a^*(k) e^{-i(kx - \omega t)} \right], \quad (3.37)$$

where $N(k)$ is a convenient normalising factor for the Fourier transform $a(k)$, which we choose to satisfy $N(-k) = N(k)$ for later convenience. The frequency $\omega(k)$ is obtained by solving the equation of motion: the Klein-Gordon equation (3.29) gives $\omega^2 = k^2 + m^2$ and therefore²

$$\omega = +\sqrt{k^2 + m^2}. \quad (3.40)$$

This restricts the frequencies to those that lie on shell, where we choose the positive root because Eq. (3.37) includes $+\omega$ and $-\omega$ explicitly. The Hamiltonian

$$H = \int \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \varphi'^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 \right)}_{\mathcal{H}, (3.34)} dx \quad (3.41)$$

takes a simpler form in terms of the Fourier amplitudes $a(k)$. We can write e.g.

$$\varphi^2 = \int dk N(k) [\dots] \int dk' N(k') [\dots], \quad (3.42)$$

and use

$$\int dx e^{i(k \pm k')x} = 2\pi \delta(k \pm k') \quad (3.43)$$

to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \varphi^2 dx = 2\pi \int dk dk' N(k) N(k') & \left[a(k) a(k') \delta(k+k') e^{-i(\omega+\omega')t} + a^*(k) a^*(k') \delta(k+k') e^{i(\omega+\omega')t} \right. \\ & \left. + a(k) a^*(k') \delta(k-k') e^{-i(\omega-\omega')t} + a^*(k) a(k') \delta(k-k') e^{i(\omega-\omega')t} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Noting that $\omega(-k) = \omega(k)$, since $\omega(k)$ is an even function of k , and with the choice $N(-k) = N(k)$, this gives

$$\int \varphi^2 dx = 2\pi \int dk [N(k)]^2 \left[a(k) a(-k) e^{-2i\omega t} + a^*(k) a^*(-k) e^{2i\omega t} + a(k) a^*(k) + a^*(k) a(k) \right]. \quad (3.45)$$

²The Klein-Gordon equation in natural units is $\partial^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi + m^2 \varphi = 0$, let us solve this with Fourier methods.

$$\varphi(x) = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik \cdot x} \varphi(k), \quad (3.38)$$

and using orthogonality of the complex exponentials and the fourier transform of a derivative gives the dispersion relation

$$(-i\omega)^2 - (i\mathbf{k})^2 + m^2 = 0 \implies \omega^2 = k^2 + m^2, \quad (3.39)$$

since in natural units $k^\mu = (\omega, \mathbf{k})$ and so $k \cdot x = \omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}$.

Similarly,

$$\int \varphi'^2 dx = 2\pi \int dk [kN(k)]^2 [a(k)a(-k)e^{-2i\omega t} + a^*(k)a^*(-k)e^{2i\omega t} + a(k)a^*(k) + a^*(k)a(k)], \quad (3.46)$$

while,

$$\int \dot{\varphi}^2 dx = 2\pi \int dk [\omega(k)N(k)]^2 [-a(k)a(-k)e^{-2i\omega t} - a^*(k)a^*(-k)e^{2i\omega t} + a(k)a^*(k) + a^*(k)a(k)]. \quad (3.47)$$

and hence, using $k^2 = \omega^2 - m^2$ and substituting these relations into Eq. (3.41),

$$H = 2\pi \int dk [N(k)\omega(k)]^2 [a(k)a^*(k) + a^*(k)a(k)], \quad (3.48)$$

or, choosing

$$N(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot 2\omega(k)}, \quad (3.49)$$

$$H = \int dk N(k) \frac{1}{2} \omega(k) [a(k)a^*(k) + a^*(k)a(k)], \quad (3.50)$$

i.e. the integrated *density of modes* $N(k)$ times the *energy per mode* $\frac{1}{2}\omega(k)|a(k)|^2$. Hence each normal mode of the system behaves like an independent harmonic oscillator with amplitude $a(k)$.

In 3 spatial dimensions we write

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int d^3\mathbf{k} N(\mathbf{k}) [a(\mathbf{k})e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)} + a^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}], \quad (3.51)$$

and use

$$\int d^3\mathbf{r} e^{i(\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{k}')\cdot\mathbf{r}} = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{k}'). \quad (3.52)$$

Therefore we should choose

$$N(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega(\mathbf{k})} \quad (3.53)$$

to obtain an integral with the usual relativistic phase space (density of states) factor:

$$H = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega(\mathbf{k})} \omega(\mathbf{k}) |a(\mathbf{k})|^2. \quad (3.54)$$

3.6 Multi-Component Fields

We now look at examples where the field itself has a more complicated structure. In principle, there is no significant difference and the analogies we have been observing will continue to hold, if each component of the physical field is regarded as an independent scalar variable as was studied above. Let us consider first an example with an intuitively clear 2-dimensional vector field.

3.6.1 Transverse Waves on a String

Instead of longitudinal modes of a rod, consider small transverse displacements $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = (\varphi_y, \varphi_z)$ of a flexible elastic string stretched along the x -axis at constant tension F . Then the kinetic energy is

$$T = \frac{1}{2}\rho \int \left[\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_z}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] dx, \quad (3.55)$$

and the elastic potential energy is³

$$\begin{aligned} V &= F \left[\int ds - \int dx \right] = F \int \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_z}{\partial x} \right)^2} - 1 \right] dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}F \int \left[\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_z}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

for small displacements (and small displacement gradients). Therefore the Lagrangian density just becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=y,z} \left[\rho \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial t} \right)^2 - F \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (3.58)$$

The action is to be minimised with respect to variations in both φ_y and φ_z , so we now get Euler-Lagrange conditions for each component:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial \varphi_j / \partial t)} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial \varphi_j / \partial x)} \right), \quad (3.59)$$

which in this case give identical wave equations for $j = y$ and z :

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial x} \right). \quad (3.60)$$

Thus transverse waves propagate with velocity $\sqrt{F/\rho}$, independent of the direction of the displacement vector $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$, i.e. independent of their *polarisation*.

For a multi-component field in multidimensional space we again have to regard each component of the vector field $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ as a separate field giving us an Euler-Lagrange condition for each of these components:

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial \varphi_j / \partial t)} \right) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi_j)} \right)}. \quad (3.61)$$

For example, in the case of elastic waves in a three-dimensional medium, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ represents the displacement of a material point in the medium and Eq. (3.61) leads to the **acoustic wave equation**. For a general medium, the wave velocity now depends on the polarization, but the details of this would take us too far into the theory of elasticity.

3

$$\int ds = \int \sqrt{dx^2 + d\boldsymbol{\varphi}^2} = \int \sqrt{dx^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial x} dx \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_z}{\partial x} dx \right)^2} = \int \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_z}{\partial x} \right)^2} dx. \quad (3.56)$$

3.6.1.1 Example: 2D Vector Field and Lagrangian Multiplier Field

We will use a Lagrange multiplier field $\lambda \equiv \lambda(x, y)$ to extremise $S = \int dx dy |Q(x, y)|^\alpha$, where Q is a 2D vector field, subject to the constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{Q} = R(x, y)$. We will thus consider extremising

$$S' = \int dx dy \mathcal{L} = \int dx dy \left[(Q_x + Q_y)^{\alpha/2} + \lambda(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{Q} - R) \right]. \quad (3.62)$$

The generalised form of the Euler-Lagrange equations (3.59) appropriate here is

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Q_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_x Q_i)} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_y Q_i)} \right) = 0. \quad (3.63)$$

Remembering that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{Q} = \partial_x Q_x + \partial_y Q_y$, the equation for Q_x is

$$\alpha Q_x (Q_x + Q_y)^{\alpha/2-1} - \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (3.64)$$

with an entirely equivalent equation for Q_y . Using the relation $Q^{\alpha-2} = (Q^{\alpha/2-1})^2$, this is

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} = \alpha Q_x Q^{\alpha-2}, \quad (3.65)$$

with an equivalent formula for y .

3.7 Complex Scalar Field

Suppose φ is a complex scalar field, i.e. $\varphi^* \neq \varphi$. We can always decompose it into

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_1 + i\varphi_2), \quad \varphi_j \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.66)$$

Then, writing φ_1 and φ_2 as Fourier integrals as in Eq. (3.37),

$$\varphi(x, t) = \int dk N(k) \left[a(k) e^{i(kx - \omega t)} + b^*(k) e^{-i(kx - \omega t)} \right], \quad (3.67)$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_1 + ia_2), \quad b^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_1^* + ia_2^*) \neq a^*. \quad (3.68)$$

The Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}[\varphi_1] + \mathcal{L}[\varphi_2], \quad (3.69)$$

can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}}_{|\dot{\varphi}|^2} - \underbrace{\frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}}_{|\varphi'|^2} - m^2 \underbrace{\varphi^* \varphi}_{|\varphi|^2}. \quad (3.70)$$

and we can study the theory of the two real fields φ_j . But by the linear transformation between (φ, φ^*) and (φ_1, φ_2) being invertible, we can also view φ and φ^* as *formally independent variables* when considering variations and taking derivatives. With this in

mind, we can from Eq. (3.70) thus consider the canonical momentum densities (3.17) conjugate to φ and φ^* as

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = \frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial t} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^* = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}^*} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}, \quad (3.71)$$

and the Hamiltonian density (3.19) now becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi \dot{\varphi} + \pi^* \dot{\varphi}^* - \mathcal{L} = \pi^* \pi + \frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} + m^2 \varphi^* \varphi \quad (3.72)$$

$$= |\pi|^2 + |\varphi'|^2 + m^2 |\varphi|^2. \quad (3.73)$$

Using the Fourier expansion of φ and integrating over all space (left as an exercise!), we find

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int dx \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int dk N(k) \omega(k) \left[a(k) a^*(k) + a^*(k) a(k) + b(k) b^*(k) + b^*(k) b(k) \right] \\ &= \int dk N(k) \omega(k) \left[|a(k)|^2 + |b(k)|^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.74)$$

Therefore the positive and negative frequency Fourier components of the field contribute to the energy with the same (positive) sign.

In 3 spatial dimensions Eq. (3.70) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \nabla \varphi^* \cdot \nabla \varphi - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi \\ &= \partial_\mu \varphi^* \partial^\mu \varphi - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (3.75)$$

and the Fourier decomposition of the field is

$$\varphi = \int d^3 \mathbf{k} N(\mathbf{k}) \left[a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + b^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} \right] \quad (3.76)$$

where $N(\mathbf{k})$ is given by Eq. (3.53) and for brevity we have introduced the wave 4-vector $k^\mu = (\omega/c, \mathbf{k})$ in the exponents, so that

$$k \cdot x = k^\mu x_\mu = \omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}. \quad (3.77)$$

Then in place of (3.74) we have

$$H = \int d^3 \mathbf{k} N(\mathbf{k}) \omega(\mathbf{k}) \left[|a(\mathbf{k})|^2 + |b(\mathbf{k})|^2 \right]. \quad (3.78)$$

3.7.0.1 Lagrangian Density for Schrödinger Equation

We can show now that if Ψ and Ψ^* are taken as independent classical fields, the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\hbar}{2i} \left(\Psi \frac{\partial \Psi^*}{\partial t} - \Psi^* \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla \Psi^* - V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi^* \Psi \quad (3.79)$$

leads to the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi + V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi. \quad (3.80)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation (3.23) for Ψ is

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Psi} - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\nabla \Psi)} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial \Psi / \partial t)} \right) = 0, \quad (3.81)$$

which gives

$$\frac{\hbar}{2i} \frac{\partial \Psi^*}{\partial t} - V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi^* + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi^* - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-\frac{\hbar}{2i} \Psi^* \right) = 0, \quad (3.82)$$

and hence

$$-i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi^*}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi^* + V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi^*, \quad (3.83)$$

which is the complex conjugate of the Schrödinger equation. Similarly the Euler-Lagrange equation for Ψ^* is

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Psi^*} - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\nabla \Psi^*)} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial \Psi^* / \partial t)} \right) = 0, \quad (3.84)$$

which gives

$$-\frac{\hbar}{2i} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} - V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2i} \Psi \right) = 0, \quad (3.85)$$

and hence the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi + V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi. \quad (3.86)$$

The momentum density (3.71) conjugate to Ψ is

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\Psi}} = \frac{1}{2} i\hbar \Psi^*, \quad (3.87)$$

while that conjugate to Ψ^* is

$$\pi^* = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\Psi}^*} = -\frac{1}{2} i\hbar \Psi. \quad (3.88)$$

Thus the Hamiltonian density (3.72) is

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi \dot{\Psi} + \pi^* \dot{\Psi}^* - \mathcal{L} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla \Psi^* + V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi^* \Psi. \quad (3.89)$$

The integral of this over all space is

$$H = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \mathcal{H} = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla \Psi^* + V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi^* \Psi \right). \quad (3.90)$$

Integrating the first term by parts, the integrated piece is zero since the field must vanish at infinity, so

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\nabla^2 \Psi) \Psi^* + V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi^* \Psi \right) \\ &= \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \Psi^* \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r}) \right) \Psi, \end{aligned} \quad (3.91)$$

which is the usual expression for the expectation value of the energy.

3.8 Electromagnetic Field

Finally, let us have a brief look at the electromagnetic field, although this is a much more difficult and involved subject! The starting point has to be the four-potential $A_\mu = (\phi/c, \mathbf{A})$ in terms of which the physical fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} can be found (with a bit of effort) amongst the components of the electromagnetic field strength tensor

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha \quad \text{or} \quad F_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x/c & E_y/c & E_z/c \\ -E_x/c & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ -E_y/c & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ -E_z/c & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.92)$$

Note that $F_{\alpha\beta}$ is antisymmetric (and therefore has zero diagonal elements). This is an example of a physical variable expressed by a second-rank tensor field. Now one needs to construct the appropriate Lagrangian density from it.

As we already discussed for the relativistic scalar field, given that the Lagrangian action S is a frame invariant scalar, it follows we should expect the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} to be a scalar also. This rather limits the possibilities of how we could construct \mathcal{L} from the traceless second-rank tensor $F_{\alpha\beta}$.

We want \mathcal{L} to be a scalar, and to give us Euler-Lagrange equations which are linear in the physical fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} (and hence $F_{\alpha\beta}$). Therefore, we need \mathcal{L} to be at most quadratic in these components and the only possible form is $\mathcal{L}_0 = a F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}$ (a linear term, if it existed, would have to be the trace of $F_\alpha^\alpha = 0$, which is zero by construction). This is the first level of approximation, corresponding to Maxwell electromagnetism. Extensions could arise, for instance, by bringing in spatial gradients: squared powers of $\partial_\mu F^{\alpha\beta}$ would lead to a variety of effects such as spatial dispersion, optical rotation, etc. Let us stay on the most basic level here.⁴

In view of what we found for the single relativistic particle, it is evidently prudent to anticipate the coupling of the free field $F^{\alpha\beta}$ to the electric current distribution, characterised by the four-current J^μ , defined as $\rho(dx^\mu/dt)$ with ρ the charge density. The timelike component of J^μ is just the charge density (with a factor c), while the spacelike components are $\rho\mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{J}$, the density of electric current. This corresponds to the external force term in the potential energy of the elastic Lagrangians in the preceding examples and, in general, leads to a non-zero l.h.s. in the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations, such as (3.12) and (3.61).

In the presence of charges, the appropriate term can be anticipated from what we found for a relativistic particle, Eq. (2.105): we can write $L_{\text{EM}} = -\int d^3x [\rho\phi - \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{A}]$, where $\rho = e\delta[\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}(t)]$ is the charge density for the particle, and $\mathbf{J} = \dot{\mathbf{x}}\rho$ is the corresponding current density. In terms of the 4-current $J^\mu = (c\rho, \mathbf{J})$ we thus have $L_{\text{EM}} = -\int d^3x J^\mu A_\mu$. Being written in terms of charge and current densities, this form holds also for more general charge distributions.

⁴We could also have a “ θ term” $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\gamma\delta}$, with the totally antisymmetric tensor ϵ . The θ term turns out to be a total derivative hence won’t impact the equations of motion in vacuum. However, it can appear and have interesting effects in materials called “topological insulators” – you may want to look up “axion electrodynamics”, if interested. Here we focus on Maxwell electromagnetism and omit these extensions.

In the electromagnetic field case this then leads us to consider the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = aF_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta} - J^\mu A_\mu. \quad (3.93)$$

Another important constraint in electromagnetism, which we have already discussed in Subsection 2.5.1, is gauge invariance: from the gauge transformation in Eqs. (2.86) and (2.87) we can let $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu f$ (in 4-vector notation), where f is any scalar function, without altering the physical fields $F_{\alpha\beta}$. By $\partial_\mu \partial_\nu f = \partial_\nu \partial_\mu f$, the field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ is manifestly gauge invariant, as from the definition Eq. (3.92) any such symmetric contributions vanish. Evidently our Lagrangian density (3.93) with the coupling to an external current is not gauge invariant, but if you compute the corresponding change in the action S you find

$$\Delta S = - \int J^\mu (\partial_\mu f) d^4x = + \int f (\partial_\mu J^\mu) d^4x + (\text{boundary current terms}), \quad (3.94)$$

and the r.h.s. vanishes if the current J^μ is conserved, that is if the 4-gradient $\partial_\mu J^\mu = \partial\rho/\partial t - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$ (and if the current does not flow out through the boundaries). Therefore coupling the vector potential to conserved currents leaves the Lagrangian Action (but not \mathcal{L}) gauge invariant. Conversely, demanding gauge invariance from the action implies current conservation.

Now we have to check that all this really works, that is, it leads to real electromagnetism. For instance, let us check that we do get Maxwell's equations for the field components using the Euler-Lagrange equations for A_μ (We already know that the Lorentz force is reproduced correctly from the Euler Lagrange equations for particles!). Clearly, they have to satisfy the minimal-action condition, i.e. to be the relevant Euler-Lagrange equations. All we need to do is to rewrite the canonical form in an appropriate way.

Let us take the four-potential A_μ as the basic field variable of the Euler-Lagrange equations (3.61) for $\delta S = 0$. We have then

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} \right), \quad (3.95)$$

and the l.h.s. immediately gives $-J^\alpha$, the external “force”. For the r.h.s. we need to calculate the derivative

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} aF_{\delta\gamma} F^{\delta\gamma} = aF^{\delta\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} F_{\delta\gamma} + aF_{\delta\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} F^{\delta\gamma}. \quad (3.96)$$

By the tensor generalisation of $X_\alpha Y^\alpha = X^\alpha Y_\alpha$ (for any 4-vectors X, Y) we see that the

two terms are equal. Evaluating the derivative we find thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} a F_{\delta\gamma} F^{\delta\gamma} &= 2a F^{\delta\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} F_{\delta\gamma} \\
&= 2a F^{\delta\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} (\partial_\delta A_\gamma - \partial_\gamma A_\delta) \quad \text{given} \quad (3.92) \\
&= 2a F^{\delta\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} \partial_\delta A_\gamma - \underbrace{2a F^{\gamma\delta} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} \partial_\delta A_\gamma}_{\delta \leftrightarrow \gamma} \\
&= 4a F^{\delta\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} \partial_\delta A_\gamma \quad \text{given} \quad -F^{\gamma\delta} = F^{\delta\gamma} \\
&= 4a F^{\delta\gamma} \delta_\delta^\mu \delta_\gamma^\alpha \quad \text{since} \quad \frac{\partial(\partial_\delta A_\gamma)}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} = \delta_\delta^\mu \delta_\gamma^\alpha \\
&= 4a F^{\mu\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.97}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations therefore reduce to the 4-vector relation

$$J^\alpha + 4a \partial_\mu F^{\mu\alpha} = 0, \tag{3.98}$$

or if you prefer it follows from definition (3.92) that

$$J^\alpha + 4a (\partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\alpha - \partial^\alpha \partial_\mu A^\mu) = 0. \tag{3.99}$$

With a suitable choice of the constant, $a = -1/4\mu_0$, these are just the inhomogeneous pair of Maxwell equations (recall here that $c^2 = 1/\epsilon_0\mu_0$)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \epsilon_0\mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mu_0 \mathbf{J}. \tag{3.100}$$

The important continuity equation is then obtained by covariant differentiation of (3.98):

$$\partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = 0 = \partial_\nu J^\nu = \mu_0 \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \right), \tag{3.101}$$

meaning that the charge is conserved.⁵ This can also be interpreted in terms of Eq. (3.94): The equations of motion follow from $\delta S = 0$ under any δA_μ , including $\delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu f$ and by Eq. (3.94) this implies $\partial_\nu J^\nu = 0$.

The other pair of Maxwell equations is automatically satisfied by the definition of \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} in terms of the potentials: $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ implies $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ while $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi - \partial_t \mathbf{A}$

⁵Recall that $F^{\mu\nu}$ is antisymmetric and therefore vanishes whenever both indices are contracted with a symmetric tensor, $\partial_\mu \partial_\nu$ in this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\nu \partial_\mu F^{\nu\mu} \quad \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \\
&= \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^{\nu\mu} \\
&= -\partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \\
\implies \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.102}$$

implies $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial_t \mathbf{B}$. They can also be expressed covariantly using $*F^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}F_{\gamma\delta}$ [which has $E_i/c \rightarrow -B_i$ and $B_i \rightarrow -E_i/c$ in its matrix compared with Eq. (3.92)]:

$$\partial_\beta *F^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\partial_\beta(\partial_\gamma A_\delta - \partial_\delta A_\gamma) = 0, \quad (3.103)$$

or equivalently, the other pair of Maxwell equations is actually contained in the definition of the antisymmetric tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$:

$$\partial^\lambda F^{\mu\nu} + \partial^\nu F^{\lambda\mu} + \partial^\mu F^{\nu\lambda} = 0, \quad (3.104)$$

(the so-called *Bianchi identity*). When written out explicitly, this gives $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \dot{\mathbf{B}} = 0$.

To sum up much of what we have covered regarding the electromagnetic field, the action for the EM field plus charged relativistic particles is given by

$$S = \sum_{\text{particles}} \left[- \int mc^2 d\tau(t) - \int e A_\mu dx^\mu(t) \right] - \frac{1}{4\mu_0} \int F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} d^4x, \quad (3.105)$$

free particles	coupling to EM field	free EM field
Section 2.6	Section 2.5	here

and the condition $\delta S = 0$ gives both the motion of the particles in the field and the dynamics of the field due to the particles. This means that the full relativistic electromagnetic interactions between the particles (retardation, radiation and all) are included, excluding of course quantum mechanical effects.

3.8.1 Gauge Invariance

The gauge transformations (2.86) and (2.87) of the EM potentials are simply expressed in covariant form as

$$A'_\mu = A_\mu + \partial_\mu f. \quad (3.106)$$

The invariance of electromagnetism with respect to this transformation allows us to impose one constraint on A_μ , for example the axial gauge condition $n^\mu A_\mu = 0$, which now includes the choice $\phi = 0$ or $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$, according to the choice of the arbitrary 4-vector n^μ .

We also already mentioned the Lorenz gauge, where the condition (2.89) in covariant notation becomes $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$,⁶ which is manifestly Lorentz invariant. Furthermore this choice leaves Eq. (3.99) as simply the wave equation $\partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\alpha$ in the absence of charges. The residual ambiguity (2.90) in this gauge similarly takes the form $\partial_\mu \partial^\mu f = 0$.

We shall have a good deal more to say about gauge invariance after we have considered in more detail the relationship between symmetries and conservation laws in the next chapter.

⁶Since in natural units,

$$\partial_\mu = (\partial_t, \nabla) \quad \text{and} \quad A^\mu = (\phi, \mathbf{A}) \quad \text{so} \quad \partial_\mu A^\mu = \underbrace{\frac{d\phi}{dt} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}}_{(2.89)} = 0. \quad (3.107)$$

CHAPTER 4

Symmetries and Conservation Laws

The relationship between symmetries and conserved quantities, and the effects of symmetry breaking, are amongst the most important in theoretical physics. We start with the simplest case of the scalar (Klein-Gordon) field, then add electromagnetism. Finally we introduce the transition from classical to quantum fields, which clarifies the interpretation of conserved quantities such as energy and charge.

4.1 Noether's Theorem

Let us try to find a current and a density that satisfy the continuity equation for the complex Klein-Gordon field. We use an important general result called *Noether's theorem* (Emmy Noether, 1918), which tells us that there is a *conserved current* associated with every *continuous symmetry* of the Lagrangian.

A symmetry transformation is a mapping $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi' = \mathcal{F}(\varphi)$ with some function \mathcal{F} . It is a symmetry if the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} is invariant under it. If the symmetry is continuous, then we can consider infinitesimal transformations such that

$$\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \delta\varphi, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\delta\varphi$ is infinitesimal (and set by \mathcal{F}). This being a symmetry, it keeps \mathcal{L} unchanged:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\varphi}\delta\varphi + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\varphi'}\delta\varphi' + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\varphi}}\delta\dot{\varphi} \\ &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\varphi}\delta\varphi + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}\delta(\partial_\mu\varphi) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\delta\varphi' = \delta\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\delta\varphi, \quad \delta\dot{\varphi} = \delta\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta\varphi, \quad \delta\partial_\mu\varphi = \partial_\mu\delta\varphi. \quad (4.3)$$

The covariant expressions hold also for 3 spatial dimensions.

Using the Euler-Lagrange equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\varphi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\varphi'}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\varphi}}\right) = \partial_\mu\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}, \quad (4.4)$$

then implies that

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \left[\partial_\mu\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}\right]\delta\varphi + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}\partial_\mu(\delta\varphi) = \partial_\mu\left[\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}\delta\varphi\right] = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

We thus find that the *Noether current* J^μ below is conserved:

$$J^\mu = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}\delta\varphi, \quad \partial_\mu J^\mu = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

since the second equation is nothing but the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial J^0}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

for a charge density $J^0 = \rho$ and current density \mathbf{J} included in $J^\mu = (J^0, \mathbf{J})$.

If the Lagrangian involves several fields $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$, the symmetry may involve changing them all: invariance w.r.t. $\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi_j + \delta\varphi_j$ then implies the existence of a conserved **Noether current**

$$J^\mu = \sum_j \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi_j)} \delta\varphi_j. \quad (4.8)$$

In general the transformation may mix the different fields, so that

$$\delta\varphi_j = \varepsilon \sum_k t_{jk} \varphi_k, \quad (4.9)$$

where ε is a small parameter and t_{jk} are constants. Then, dividing out ε , the Noether current is

$$J^\mu = \sum_{j,k} t_{jk} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi_j)} \varphi_k. \quad (4.10)$$

An observation that will be useful later is that we can always modify the current as

$$J^\mu \rightarrow J'^\mu = J^\mu + \partial_\nu B^{\nu\mu} \quad (4.11)$$

provided $B^{\nu\mu} = -B^{\mu\nu}$. In this case $\partial_\mu \partial_\nu B^{\nu\mu} = 0$, and hence $\partial_\mu J'^\mu = 0$. Therefore J'^μ is an equally good conserved current.

Associated to J^μ , we can define a *conserved charge* Q which is the integral of $\rho = J^0$ over all space:

$$Q = \int \rho d^3\mathbf{x} \quad (4.12)$$

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \int \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} d^3\mathbf{x} = - \int \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} d^3\mathbf{x} = - \int_{\infty \text{ sphere}} \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

And Q is the same for J^μ and J'^μ above.

4.1.1 Example: Transverse Oscillations on a String

Consider a string with mass per unit length σ , tension F , stretched along the z -axis and free to execute small transverse oscillations, with displacements φ_x and φ_y in the x - and y -directions respectively. The Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma \left[\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2}F \left[\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (4.14)$$

Under the transformation

$$\varphi_x \rightarrow \varphi_x \cos \theta - \varphi_y \sin \theta \quad (4.15)$$

$$\varphi_y \rightarrow \varphi_x \sin \theta + \varphi_y \cos \theta, \quad (4.16)$$

where θ is a constant, (which is a rotation about the z -axis) we have

$$\dot{\varphi}_x^2 \rightarrow \dot{\varphi}_x^2 \cos^2 \theta + \dot{\varphi}_y^2 \sin^2 \theta - 2\dot{\varphi}_x \dot{\varphi}_y \cos \theta \sin \theta \quad (4.17)$$

$$\dot{\varphi}_y^2 \rightarrow \dot{\varphi}_x^2 \sin^2 \theta + \dot{\varphi}_y^2 \cos^2 \theta + 2\dot{\varphi}_x \dot{\varphi}_y \cos \theta \sin \theta, \quad (4.18)$$

so that

$$\dot{\varphi}_x^2 + \dot{\varphi}_y^2 \rightarrow \dot{\varphi}_x^2 + \dot{\varphi}_y^2, \quad (4.19)$$

and similarly for the second $\partial_z \varphi_j$ term in \mathcal{L} . Therefore \mathcal{L} is invariant under rotation about the z -axis.

For infinitesimal transformations with $\theta = \varepsilon$ small, we have

$$\varphi_x \rightarrow \varphi_x - \varepsilon \varphi_y, \quad \varphi_y \rightarrow \varepsilon \varphi_x + \varphi_y, \quad (4.20)$$

i.e. $\delta \varphi_x = -\varepsilon \varphi_y$ and $\delta \varphi = +\varepsilon \varphi_x$.

The corresponding Noether current (4.8) is

$$J^\mu \propto \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \varphi_x)} \delta \varphi_x + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \varphi_y)} \delta \varphi_y, \quad (4.21)$$

i.e. $J^\mu = (\rho, J^x, J^y, J^z)$ where (dividing out ε)

$$\rho = -\sigma \frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial t} \varphi_y + \sigma \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial t} \varphi_x \quad (4.22)$$

$$J^z = F \frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial z} \varphi_y - F \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial z} \varphi_x, \quad (4.23)$$

and $J^x = J^y = 0$. The conservation equation (4.6) $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$ gives

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial J^z}{\partial z} \quad (4.24)$$

so, defining the charge (4.12)

$$Q = \int_a^b dz \rho, \quad (4.25)$$

where a and b are the ends of the string, we see that

$$\dot{Q} = \int_a^b dz \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = J^z(b) - J^z(a) = 0, \quad (4.26)$$

assuming the displacements (or the transverse velocities) vanish at the ends. Therefore Q is indeed a conserved charge.

The transverse momentum densities (3.17) are

$$\pi_x = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}_x} = \sigma \dot{\varphi}_x, \quad \pi_y = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}_y} = \sigma \dot{\varphi}_y, \quad (4.27)$$

and so,

$$\rho = \varphi_x \pi_y - \varphi_y \pi_x, \quad (4.28)$$

which we recognise as the z -component of angular momentum per unit length of the string. Thus Q is the total z -component of angular momentum, which is conserved because the dynamics of the system are invariant with respect to rotations about the z -axis.

4.2 Global Phase Symmetry

As an important example, consider the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian density for a complex field, from Section 3.7 Eq. (3.75):

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \varphi^* \partial^\mu \varphi - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi. \quad (4.29)$$

This is invariant under a *global phase change* in φ :

$$\varphi \rightarrow e^{-i\varepsilon} \varphi \simeq \varphi - i\varepsilon \varphi \quad (4.30)$$

$$\varphi^* \rightarrow e^{+i\varepsilon} \varphi^* \simeq \varphi^* + i\varepsilon \varphi^*, \quad (4.31)$$

i.e. $\delta\varphi \propto -i\varphi$, $\delta\varphi^* \propto +i\varphi^*$. By “global” we mean that the phase change ε is the same at all points in space-time. Since $e^{-i\varepsilon} \in U(1)$ (the group of 1×1 unitary matrices) this is a global $U(1)$ symmetry.

The corresponding Noether current is reminiscent of the probability current from QM; in fact it is the probability current for the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$J^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi)} \frac{\delta \varphi}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\delta \varphi^*}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi^*)} = -i(\partial^\mu \varphi^*) \varphi + i\varphi^* (\partial^\mu \varphi). \quad (4.32)$$

In this case the associated charge (4.12) is

$$Q = -i \int \left(\frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial t} \varphi - \varphi^* \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x}. \quad (4.33)$$

Inserting the Fourier decomposition (3.76) for the field,

$$\varphi = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} N(\mathbf{k}) \left[a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + b^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} \right], \quad (4.34)$$

we find (another exercise!)

$$Q = \int d^3 \mathbf{k} N(\mathbf{k}) \left[|a(\mathbf{k})|^2 - |b(\mathbf{k})|^2 \right]. \quad (4.35)$$

Therefore the positive and negative frequency Fourier components of the field contribute to the charge with *opposite* signs, in contrast to their contributions with the *same* (namely positive) sign to the energy, cf. Eq. (3.78). We shall see that, when a complex classical field is quantised, the quanta can be either *particles* (quanta with positive energy and positive charge) or *antiparticles* (quanta with positive energy and negative charge).

4.2.0.1 Worked Example: Klein-Gordon Lagrangian

The Klein-Gordon Lagrangian density for a complex scalar field φ is (3.75)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \nabla \varphi^* \cdot \nabla \varphi - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi. \quad (4.36)$$

The momentum density (3.71) conjugate to φ is

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = \frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial t}. \quad (4.37)$$

Similarly the momentum density conjugate to φ^* is

$$\pi^* = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}^*} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}. \quad (4.38)$$

We must treat φ and φ^* as independent fields, so the corresponding Hamiltonian density (3.72) is

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi \dot{\varphi} + \pi^* \dot{\varphi}^* - \mathcal{L} = \pi^* \pi + \nabla \varphi^* \cdot \nabla \varphi + m^2 \varphi^* \varphi. \quad (4.39)$$

Introducing the Fourier representation,

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega} \left[a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + b^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{+ik \cdot x} \right] \quad (4.40)$$

$$\varphi^*(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega'} \left[a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{-ik' \cdot x} + b(\mathbf{k}') e^{+ik' \cdot x} \right], \quad (4.41)$$

where (natural units) $k \cdot x = \omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ etc. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^* \varphi = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k} d^3\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^6 4\omega\omega'} & \left[a(\mathbf{k}) a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{-i(k-k') \cdot x} + b^*(\mathbf{k}) a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{+(k+k') \cdot x} \right. \\ & \left. + a(\mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{k}') e^{-i(k+k') \cdot x} + b^*(\mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{k}') e^{+i(k-k') \cdot x} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

where for example

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3\mathbf{x} a(\mathbf{k}) a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{-i(k-k') \cdot x} &= \int d^3\mathbf{x} a(\mathbf{k}) a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{-i[(\omega-\omega')t - (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{x}]} \\ &= (2\pi)^3 a(\mathbf{k}) a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{-i(\omega-\omega')t} \delta^3(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}') \quad \text{given (3.52)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

and therefore

$$\int d^3\mathbf{x} \frac{d^3\mathbf{k} d^3\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^6 4\omega\omega'} a(\mathbf{k}) a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{-i(k-k') \cdot x} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 4\omega^2} a(\mathbf{k}) a^*(\mathbf{k}), \quad (4.44)$$

where we have used the fact that $\omega = \omega'$ when $\mathbf{k} = \pm \mathbf{k}'$. Proceeding similarly with the other terms, we have

$$\int d^3\mathbf{x} \varphi^* \varphi = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 4\omega^2} \left[|a(\mathbf{k})|^2 + |b(\mathbf{k})|^2 + a(\mathbf{k}) b(-\mathbf{k}) e^{-2i\omega t} + b^*(\mathbf{k}) a^*(-\mathbf{k}) e^{+2i\omega t} \right]. \quad (4.45)$$

For the other terms in \mathcal{H} , we need

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2(2\pi)^3} \left[-ia(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + ib^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{+ik \cdot x} \right] \quad (4.46)$$

$$\nabla \varphi = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega} \mathbf{k} \left[ia(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} - ib^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{+ik \cdot x} \right]. \quad (4.47)$$

Proceeding as above, we find

$$\int d^3\mathbf{x} \pi^* \pi = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{4(2\pi)^3} \left[|a(\mathbf{k})|^2 + |b(\mathbf{k})|^2 - a(\mathbf{k})b(-\mathbf{k})e^{-2i\omega t} - b^*(\mathbf{k})a^*(-\mathbf{k})e^{+2i\omega t} \right] \quad (4.48)$$

$$\int d^3\mathbf{x} \nabla \varphi^* \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3 4\omega^2} k^2 \left[|a(\mathbf{k})|^2 + |b(\mathbf{k})|^2 + a(\mathbf{k})b(-\mathbf{k})e^{-2i\omega t} + b^*(\mathbf{k})a^*(-\mathbf{k})e^{+2i\omega t} \right] \quad (4.49)$$

Adding all the terms in H together and using the dispersion relation $k^2 = \omega^2 - m^2$, the time-independent terms add while the time-dependent terms cancel, giving

$$H = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \mathcal{H} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2(2\pi)^3} \left[|a(\mathbf{k})|^2 + |b(\mathbf{k})|^2 \right]. \quad (4.50)$$

The Lagrangian density has a symmetry under global phase changes of φ : $\varphi \rightarrow e^{-i\varepsilon}\varphi$. For ε small, $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi - i\varepsilon\varphi$ and $\varphi^* \rightarrow \varphi^* + i\varepsilon\varphi^*$. Writing \mathcal{L} in covariant form,

$$\mathcal{L} = (\partial_\mu \varphi^*)(\partial^\mu \varphi) - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi, \quad (4.51)$$

the Noether current is

$$\begin{aligned} J_\mu &\propto \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial^\mu \varphi)} \delta \varphi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial^\mu \varphi^*)} \delta \varphi^* \\ &= -i\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi^*)\varphi + i\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi)\varphi^*. \end{aligned} \quad (4.52)$$

In terms of components we have $J_\mu = (J_0, \mathbf{J})$ and $\partial^\mu J_\mu = 0$ means that

$$\frac{\partial J_0}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}. \quad (4.53)$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} d^3\mathbf{x} \frac{\partial J_0}{\partial t} = - \int_{\mathcal{V}} d^3\mathbf{x} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = - \int_{\mathcal{S}=\partial\mathcal{V}} d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{J}, \quad (4.54)$$

where the last integral is over the surface of \mathcal{V} . Extending \mathcal{V} to the whole of space, this surface integral must be zero since the field has to vanish at infinity. Therefore the charge Q defined by

$$Q = \int_{\text{all space}} d^3\mathbf{x} J_0 \quad (4.55)$$

has the property

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \int_{\text{all space}} d^3\mathbf{x} \frac{\partial J_0}{\partial t} = 0, \quad (4.56)$$

i.e. $Q = \text{const.}$

In this case, dividing out the constant ε , we have

$$J_0 = -i \frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial t} \varphi + i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^* \quad (4.57)$$

and so

$$Q = -i \int_{\text{all space}} d^3\mathbf{x} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial t} \varphi - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^* \right) = \text{const.} \quad (4.58)$$

We use the Fourier representations of φ (4.40) and $\dot{\varphi}$ (4.46) to obtain

$$\int d^3\mathbf{x} \frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial t} \varphi = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 4\omega^2} \left[i|a(\mathbf{k})|^2 - i|b(\mathbf{k})|^2 - ia(\mathbf{k})b(-\mathbf{k})e^{-2i\omega t} + ib^*(\mathbf{k})a^*(-\mathbf{k})e^{+2i\omega t} \right] \quad (4.59)$$

$$\int d^3\mathbf{x} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^* = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 4\omega^2} \left[-i|a(\mathbf{k})|^2 + i|b(\mathbf{k})|^2 - ia(\mathbf{k})b(-\mathbf{k})e^{-2i\omega t} + ib^*(\mathbf{k})a^*(-\mathbf{k})e^{+2i\omega t} \right] \quad (4.60)$$

When we subtract these expressions, the time-independent terms add while the time-dependent terms cancel, giving

$$Q = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega} \left[|a(\mathbf{k})|^2 - |b(\mathbf{k})|^2 \right]. \quad (4.61)$$

The interpretation of these results is that the positive and negative frequency Fourier components of the field contribute to the energy with the same sign, in proportion to their amplitudes squared, but they contribute to the charge with the opposite sign. After second quantisation, the quanta of the field are particles and antiparticles, associated with the positive and negative frequency Fourier components respectively. Both particles and antiparticles have positive energy, but they have opposite charges, which is consistent with the above results.

4.3 Local Phase (Gauge) Symmetry

Suppose now that we make a *local* $U(1)$ phase change transformation (also called a $U(1)$ *gauge* transformation) in a complex scalar field φ , i.e. we allow the phase ε to be a function of the space-time coordinates x^μ . This is not a symmetry of the free-field Klein-Gordon Lagrangian (4.29) $\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \varphi^* \partial^\mu \varphi - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi$ because

$$\varphi \rightarrow e^{-i\varepsilon(x)} \varphi \implies \partial^\mu \varphi \rightarrow e^{-i\varepsilon(x)} [(\partial^\mu \varphi) - i(\partial^\mu \varepsilon) \varphi], \quad (4.62)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &\rightarrow [\partial_\mu \varphi^* + i(\partial_\mu \varepsilon) \varphi^*][\partial^\mu \varphi - i(\partial^\mu \varepsilon) \varphi] - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi \\ &= \mathcal{L} - i(\partial_\mu \varepsilon)[(\partial^\mu \varphi^*) \varphi - \varphi^* (\partial^\mu \varphi)] + (\partial_\mu \varepsilon)(\partial^\mu \varepsilon) \varphi^* \varphi \neq \mathcal{L}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.63)$$

Notice that the second term on the r.h.s. is proportional to the current $J^\mu = -i[(\partial^\mu \varphi^*) \varphi - \varphi^* (\partial^\mu \varphi)]$.

In the presence of an electromagnetic field this term will cancel with the change in the interaction term $-eJ^\mu A_\mu$ if we make a simultaneous gauge transformation to the so-called $U(1)$ *gauge field* A_μ

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \varepsilon / e, \quad (4.64)$$

i.e. we choose the scalar function f in Eq. (3.106) to be ε/e . And in fact the last term will also cancel if we introduce the electromagnetic interaction through the so-called *covariant derivative*

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu, \quad (4.65)$$

for then the combined effect of the phase change in φ and the gauge change in A_μ will be such that

$$D_\mu \varphi \rightarrow [\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu + i(\partial_\mu \varepsilon)]e^{-i\varepsilon}\varphi = e^{-i\varepsilon}D_\mu \varphi. \quad (4.66)$$

In other words, the covariant derivative of φ , $D_\mu \varphi$, transforms in the same way as φ itself (hence the name “covariant”), so that the “gauged Lagrangian”

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{KG}} = (D_\mu \varphi)^*(D^\mu \varphi) - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi \quad (4.67)$$

is symmetric and remains unchanged, it is *gauge invariant* (We added a KG subscript, for Klein-Gordon, for later use.).

This is a profound result. The transformation of A_μ is as in electromagnetism: This is precisely how the 4-potential transforms if we choose the gauge function f in Eq. (3.106) to be ε/e . Even the covariant derivative should be familiar. Its spatial component is $\propto (-i\nabla - e\mathbf{A})$. Recalling $\hbar = 1$, this is how the (quantum mechanical form of the) total mechanical momentum ($\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}$) enters Hamiltonian in Eq. (2.78), and hence this is how one includes electromagnetism in the Klein-Gordon equation.

We are thus led to identify the gauge field A_μ as the electromagnetic 4-potential and e as the charge of ϕ . This is a profound identification: the electromagnetic field enters as an essential requirement for $U(1)$ gauge invariance, for the theory to remain invariant under local phase transformations of a complex (i.e. charged) field. Furthermore, the covariant derivative is a prescription for how ϕ and electromagnetism should be coupled. Eq. (4.65) is sometimes called minimal substitution and the corresponding coupling is called *minimal coupling*.

Recalling again that in quantum mechanics the *canonical* 4-momentum operator is $i\partial^\mu$, we see that the covariant derivative operator D^μ represents the *mechanical* 4-momentum.

4.4 Electromagnetic Interaction

Expanding Eq. (4.67), using the covariant derivative (4.65) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{KG}} &= (D_\mu \varphi)^*(D^\mu \varphi) - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi \\ &= (\partial_\mu \varphi^* - ieA_\mu \varphi^*)(\partial^\mu \varphi + ieA^\mu \varphi) - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi \\ &= \underbrace{\partial_\mu \varphi^* \partial^\mu \varphi - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi}_{(3.29)} + \underbrace{ieA_\mu [(\partial^\mu \varphi^*)\varphi - \varphi^*(\partial^\mu \varphi)]}_{-eA_\mu J_{\text{KG}}^\mu, (4.32)} + e^2 A_\mu A^\mu \varphi^* \varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.68)$$

The first two terms on the r.h.s. are those of the free-field Klein-Gordon equation (3.29). The third is the expected interaction term $eA_\mu J_{\text{KG}}^\mu$ where J_{KG}^μ is the free-field current (4.32). In addition, we now have a surprising extra term, quadratic in the electromagnetic potential, which is required to preserve gauge invariance.

The combined charged scalar + electromagnetic field Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{EM}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{KG}} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu \varphi)^*(D^\mu \varphi) - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi, \quad (4.69)$$

in units where $\mu_0 = 1$ for simplicity (so-called Heaviside-Lorentz units). It is instructive to first inspect the Euler-Lagrange equations for the electromagnetic field

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\mu} = \partial_\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\nu A_\mu)} \quad (= -\partial_\nu F^{\nu\mu}). \quad (4.70)$$

The right hand side, using the same steps as for Eq. (3.96-3.97), equals $-\partial_\nu F^{\nu\mu}$. The left hand side now equals

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\mu} = -J_{\text{KG}}^\mu, \quad J_{\text{KG}}^\mu = ie[\varphi^* D^\mu \varphi - (D^\mu \varphi)^* \varphi]. \quad (4.71)$$

We hence find

$$\partial_\nu F^{\nu\mu} = J_{\text{KG}}^\mu, \quad \partial_\mu J_{\text{KG}}^\mu = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^{\nu\mu} = 0. \quad (4.72)$$

The Klein-Gordon current J_{KG}^μ thus serves as the source for Maxwell's equations, and by the antisymmetry of $F^{\nu\mu}$, it is conserved. Note that we did not use the Euler-Lagrange equations for ϕ , but only for A_μ . Hence $\partial_\mu J_{\text{KG}}^\mu$ holds for *any* ϕ (provided A_μ satisfy the field equations), not only the solutions of the ϕ equations of motion. We say that J_{KG}^μ is conserved “off-shell”, and not only by Noether's theorem (hence for solutions of the ϕ equations of motion, or “on shell”). Note also that J_{KG}^μ is gauge invariant, as befits a physically meaningful current.

It is also instructive to now apply Noether's theorem to deduce the conserved current associated with invariance of the combined charged scalar + electromagnetic field Lagrangian density under the infinitesimal local phase + gauge transformation

$$\varphi \rightarrow \varphi - ie\varepsilon\varphi, \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \varepsilon, \quad (4.73)$$

the Noether current (4.8) is

$$J^\mu = \underbrace{-ie \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \varphi)} \varepsilon \varphi + ie \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \varphi^*)} \varepsilon \varphi^*}_{J_{\text{KG}}^\mu \varepsilon} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\nu)} \partial_\nu \varepsilon}_{-F^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (4.74)$$

Evaluating the derivatives in Eq. (4.74), we find

$$J^\mu = ie[\varphi^* (D^\mu \varphi) - (D^\mu \varphi)^* \varphi] \varepsilon + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\nu)} \partial_\nu \varepsilon = J_{\text{KG}}^\mu \varepsilon + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\nu)} \partial_\nu \varepsilon. \quad (4.75)$$

In the two terms we recognise the terms from Eqs. (4.70) and (4.71). Hence,

$$J^\mu = \underbrace{(\partial_\mu F^{\nu\mu})}_{J_{\text{KG}}^\mu} \varepsilon - F^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \varepsilon = \partial_\nu (F^{\nu\mu} \varepsilon). \quad (4.76)$$

By the antisymmetry of $F^{\nu\mu}$ this is again conserved, $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$. However this J^μ is inextricably tied to the gauge function $\varepsilon(x^\mu)$. Since $\varepsilon(x^\mu)$ is arbitrary, we can always choose it to vanish in any region of interest, and hence to make $J^\mu = 0$. Equivalently, with $B^{\nu\mu} = F^{\nu\mu} \varepsilon$, the entirety of the current consists of the arbitrarily addable part in Eq. (4.11), hence is equivalent to $J^\mu = 0$. Local $U(1)$ symmetry thus does not seem to lead to a physically meaningful current.

The local $U(1)$ symmetry, however, also implies a global $U(1)$ symmetry where ε is constant. In that case, by Eq. (4.75), we simply have $J^\mu \propto J_{\text{KG}}^\mu$. Hence the Klein-Gordon

current J_{KG}^μ is also the Noether current associated to the global $U(1)$ symmetry of \mathcal{L}_{KG} . One extra feature from gauge invariance is that J_{KG}^μ is conserved also “off-shell”, cf. Eq. (4.72).

That making $U(1)$ symmetry local gave no new current (i.e., beyond J_{KG}^μ from global $U(1)$ symmetry) is because gauge “symmetry” is really just a redundancy – arbitrariness – in how we describe physics: observables are gauge invariant, hence $\varepsilon(x)$ is arbitrary. Coming from this arbitrariness, it is not surprising that J^μ is also just an arbitrarily addable part. Redundancy, rather than symmetry, is indeed how gauge “symmetry” is viewed in modern physics.

4.5 Stress-Energy (-Momentum) Tensor

We next consider symmetry transformations changing $x \rightarrow x' \neq x$ (where x and x' are 4-vectors with their index suppressed). To keep things concrete and simple, we start with space-time translations, i.e., $x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu + \varepsilon^\mu$.

The fields transform as $\varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi'(x) = \varphi(x - \varepsilon)$. This corresponds to the translation of the field configuration by ε^μ .¹ We thus consider “active” transformations, where we change the field configuration while using the original coordinates (instead of changing the coordinate system as we would for “passive” transformations). The derivative $\partial_\mu \varphi$ also transforms as $\partial_\mu \varphi(x) \rightarrow \partial_\mu \varphi'(x) = \partial_\mu \varphi(x - \varepsilon)$ (This would be more complicated if we considered Lorentz transformations).

The system is invariant under space-time translations if

$$\mathcal{L}(\varphi'(x), \partial_\mu \varphi'(x)) = \mathcal{L}(x - \varepsilon), \quad (4.77)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(x)$ is the value of the \mathcal{L} at x^μ when evaluated at field configuration φ . For Eq. (4.77) to hold, \mathcal{L} must not have explicit x^μ dependence. Eq. (4.77) implies a space-time-translation-invariant action:

$$S' = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\varphi'(x), \partial_\mu \varphi'(x)) = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(x - \varepsilon) = \int d^4(x - \varepsilon) \mathcal{L}(x - \varepsilon) = S. \quad (4.78)$$

We next deduce the conserved current for this symmetry, generalising the steps leading to Eq. (4.6). To this end we study Eq. (4.77) to first order in ε . Using $\varphi' = \varphi - \varepsilon^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi$, we find $\delta\varphi = -\varepsilon^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi$, using which we can retrace our steps leading to Eq. (4.6) to find

$$-\partial_\nu \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\nu \varphi)} \varepsilon^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi \right] = -\varepsilon_\mu \partial^\mu \mathcal{L}, \quad (4.79)$$

where now the r.h.s. is not zero because the r.h.s. of Eq. (4.77) is not \mathcal{L} but $\mathcal{L}(x - \varepsilon)$. [In $\partial^\mu \mathcal{L}$ the derivatives are in the sense of $\mathcal{L}(x)$ as a function of x (through φ) and not due to an explicit x^μ dependence.] Writing $\varepsilon_\mu \partial^\mu \mathcal{L} = \varepsilon_\mu \partial_\nu g^{\nu\mu} \mathcal{L}$ and using that ε^μ is arbitrary, we find

$$\partial_\nu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\nu \varphi)} \partial^\mu \varphi - g^{\nu\mu} \mathcal{L} \right) = 0. \quad (4.80)$$

¹Recall how in 1D $f(x - a)$, with $a > 0$, is a shift of f ’s graph to the *right*.

Hence the **stress-energy tensor**, $T^{\mu\nu}$ below, is conserved:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi)} \partial^\nu \varphi - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}, \quad \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (4.81)$$

As in the case of the Noether current, for multi-component fields we have simply to add the contributions of the components:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \sum_j \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi_j)} \partial^\nu \varphi_j - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}. \quad (4.82)$$

Sometimes $T^{\mu\nu}$ is called the **energy-momentum tensor**, a name due to the conserved quantities associated with space-time translation invariance. In particular T^{00} is the Hamiltonian density

$$T^{00} = \sum_l \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_t \varphi_l)} \partial^t \varphi_l - \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}. \quad (4.83)$$

The components T^{0j} ($j = 1, 2, 3$) are the spatial components of the conserved four momentum density $p^\nu = T^{0\nu}$, and the components $T^{j\nu}$ are the corresponding current densities. The expression Eq. (4.82) is sometimes called *canonical* stress-energy tensor, to distinguish from its symmetrised variants obtained by additions generalising Eq. (4.11).

4.5.1 Longitudinal waves in 1D

Although we have used relativistic notation, these results are not limited to covariant systems, as long as the Lagrangian density is invariant under translations in space and time. For longitudinal waves on an elastic rod, for example, we saw that

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \rho (\dot{\varphi})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa (\varphi')^2, \quad (4.84)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T_{tt} &= \rho (\dot{\varphi})^2 - \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}, & T_{tx} &= \rho \dot{\varphi} \varphi', \\ T_{xx} &= \kappa (\varphi')^2 + \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}, & T_{xt} &= \kappa \dot{\varphi} \varphi'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.85)$$

As expected from Eq. (4.83), T^{tt} is the Hamiltonian density, i.e. the wave energy per unit length in the rod. Furthermore from Eq. (4.81)

$$\frac{\partial T^{tt}}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial T^{xt}}{\partial x}, \quad (4.86)$$

as can easily be verified using the equation of motion, so that $T^{xt} = -\kappa \dot{\varphi} \varphi'$ must represent the flow of wave energy along the rod. Similarly

$$\frac{\partial T^{tx}}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial T^{xx}}{\partial x}, \quad (4.87)$$

where $T^{tx} = -T_{tx}$ is the momentum density in the wave and $T^{xx} = T_{xx}$ is the associated flow of momentum (which in this case coincides again with the energy (Hamiltonian) of the system, as per (4.85)). This implies $T^\nu_\nu = T^t_t + T^x_x = \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H} = 0$, suggestive of a larger symmetry.

4.5.2 Relativistic Scalar Field

In the case of a relativistic scalar field, we saw that the field must satisfy the Klein-Gordon equation, with Lagrangian density (3.28):

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial^\mu \varphi)(\partial_\mu \varphi) - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \varphi^2. \quad (4.88)$$

We can first lower one of the indices using the metric,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu \varphi)(\partial_\nu \varphi) - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \varphi^2. \quad (4.89)$$

It then follows from Eq. (4.81) that

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial(\partial_\alpha \varphi)}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi)} (\partial_\beta \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\alpha \varphi) \frac{\partial(\partial_\beta \varphi)}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi)} \right) \partial^\nu \varphi - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta} \delta_\alpha^\mu (\partial_\beta \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\alpha \varphi) \delta_\beta^\mu \right) \partial^\nu \varphi - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\beta} (\partial_\beta \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\mu} (\partial_\alpha \varphi) \right) \partial^\nu \varphi - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.90)$$

thence the stress-energy tensor is

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\partial^\mu \varphi)(\partial^\nu \varphi) - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}. \quad (4.91)$$

4.5.3 Electromagnetic Field

For the free electromagnetic field (in units where $\mu_0 = \epsilon_0 = c = 1$) we have

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{4}g^{\alpha\gamma}g^{\beta\delta}(\partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha)(\partial_\gamma A_\delta - \partial_\delta A_\gamma), \quad (4.92)$$

and the stress-energy tensor is

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\lambda)} \partial^\nu A_\lambda - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} \\ &= -F^{\mu\lambda} \partial^\nu A_\lambda + \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \\ &= -F_\lambda^\mu \partial^\nu A^\lambda + \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.93)$$

While this is indeed a conserved tensor, it is not in a convenient form since it is gauge invariant only up to a total derivative, and cannot be expressed in terms of the field strengths \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} . Notice, however, that using the freedom in Eq. (4.11), but now for a tensor, we are free to redefine $T^{\mu\nu}$ by adding any tensor of the form $\partial_\lambda \Omega^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ where $\Omega^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ is antisymmetric with respect to the indices λ and μ , for then $\partial_\mu \partial_\lambda \Omega^{\lambda\mu\nu} = 0$ (this is true for any stress-energy tensor, not only in the case of an electromagnetic field). Let us choose

$$\Omega^{\lambda\mu\nu} = -F^{\lambda\mu} A^\nu. \quad (4.94)$$

so that the added terms are

$$\partial_\lambda \Omega^{\lambda\mu\nu} = -(\partial_\lambda F^{\lambda\mu}) A^\nu - F^{\lambda\mu} \partial_\lambda A^\nu. \quad (4.95)$$

By virtue of the free-field Maxwell equations (4.72) in vacuum, the first term on the r.h.s. vanishes (in the absence of sources $J_{\text{KG}}^\mu = 0$), and therefore

$$\partial_\lambda \Omega^{\lambda\mu\nu} = -F^{\lambda\mu} \partial_\lambda A^\nu = F^{\mu\lambda} \partial_\lambda A^\nu = F^\mu{}_\lambda \partial^\lambda A^\nu. \quad (4.96)$$

Our redefined stress-energy tensor is thus

$$T^{\mu\nu} = -F^\mu{}_\lambda F^{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (4.97)$$

which is now expressed in terms of the field strengths and therefore gauge invariant. Notice that it is also now a symmetric tensor, which means for example that $T^{01} = T^{10}$, i.e. the density of the x -component of the field momentum is equal to the flow of energy in the x -direction. In terms of the field strengths, we have explicitly

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{B}^2), \quad T^{00} = \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2), \quad T^{0j} = (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B})_j. \quad (4.98)$$

You may recognise that the term on the right is none other than the j -component of the Poynting vector, which is indeed the directional energy flux density of an electromagnetic field.

4.5.4 General Relativity

In general relativity, the element of space-time that is invariant under general coordinate transformations is $d^4x \sqrt{-g}$ where g is the determinant of the metric tensor, $g = \det(g_{\mu\nu})$, which is of course -1 for the Minkowski metric (2.97). The invariant action integral therefore becomes

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}. \quad (4.99)$$

There is then a very general and powerful way of defining the stress-energy tensor, which is to say that it measures the response of the action to small changes in the metric, according to the equation

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} T_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.100)$$

that is,

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L})}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} + \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} \mathcal{L}. \quad (4.101)$$

Now from the properties of determinants and the inverse matrix,

$$\frac{\partial(\det M)}{\partial M_{jk}} = \det M (M^{-1})_{kj}, \quad (4.102)$$

and (even in general relativity)

$$g_{\mu\lambda} g^{\nu\lambda} = \delta_\mu^\nu, \quad (4.103)$$

so $(g^{-1})^{\nu\mu} = g^{\mu\nu}$ and

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}} \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} = g g^{\mu\nu}. \quad (4.104)$$

This is almost what we need: differentiating (4.103) gives $g_{\mu\lambda} dg^{\nu\lambda} = -dg_{\mu\lambda} g^{\nu\lambda}$, so $dg_{\mu\nu} = -g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\sigma\nu} dg^{\sigma\lambda}$ and

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} = -g g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4.105)$$

Thus we finally obtain for the stress-energy tensor the general expression

$$T_{\mu\nu} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} - g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}. \quad (4.106)$$

You can check that (4.106) agrees with the results we obtained earlier for the Klein-Gordon and electromagnetic fields. Notice that this tensor is *manifestly symmetric* (since $g_{\mu\nu}$ is) and we automatically obtain the symmetric, gauge-invariant form (4.97) in the electromagnetic case.

4.6 Conserved Current for Action Symmetry

Consider a real scalar field with the action

$$S = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi) (\partial^\mu \varphi) - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^4 \right]. \quad (4.107)$$

Let us show that the action is invariant under the *dilatation* transformation $\varphi(x) \rightarrow \alpha \varphi(\alpha x)$, where α is a real constant, and find the corresponding conserved current.

Making the transformation

$$\varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi'(x) = \alpha \varphi(\alpha x), \quad (4.108)$$

we have

$$\partial_\mu \varphi' = \alpha \frac{\partial \varphi(\alpha x)}{\partial x^\mu} = \alpha^2 \frac{\partial \varphi(\alpha x)}{\partial (\alpha x^\mu)}, \quad (4.109)$$

and analogously for $\partial^\mu \varphi'$ by simply raising the appropriate indices. So, the action (4.107) transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} S[\varphi'] &= \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi') (\partial^\mu \varphi') - \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\varphi')^4 \right] \\ &= \int d^4x \alpha^4 \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi(\alpha x)}{\partial (\alpha x^\mu)} \frac{\partial \varphi(\alpha x)}{\partial (\alpha x_\mu)} - \frac{1}{4} \lambda [\varphi(\alpha x)]^4 \right] \\ &= \int d^4x' \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi(x')}{\partial (x'^\mu)} \frac{\partial \varphi(x')}{\partial (x'_\mu)} - \frac{1}{4} \lambda [\varphi(x')]^4 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.110)$$

where in the last line we have defined $x' = \alpha x$. But since x' is just a variable of integration, we can replace it by x to see that this is identical to $S[\varphi]$, and so the action is invariant under dilatation.

Under a small change in the field $\delta\varphi$, we have (4.2)

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} \delta \varphi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial^\mu \varphi)} \delta (\partial^\mu \varphi). \quad (4.111)$$

As in the preceding derivation of the Noether current, we may use the Euler-Lagrange equation of motion (4.4)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} = \partial^\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial^\mu \varphi)} \right), \quad (4.112)$$

and $\delta(\partial^\mu \varphi) = \partial^\mu(\delta\varphi)$ to write this as (similarly to Eq. (4.5))

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \left(\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \varphi)} \right) \delta\varphi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \varphi)} \partial_\mu(\delta\varphi) = \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \varphi)} \delta\varphi \right). \quad (4.113)$$

In the case of a symmetry of \mathcal{L} (cf. (4.5)), the l.h.s. would be zero and this would already define a conserved current. However, a dilatation of this system leaves the *action but not \mathcal{L} itself invariant*, so we need to evaluate the l.h.s. explicitly and express it as a 4-divergence $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$ for some new J^μ we shall discover.

In the case of the infinitesimal dilatation transformation, we have $\alpha = 1 + \varepsilon$ for small ε , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\varphi &= \underbrace{(1 + \varepsilon)\varphi(x + \varepsilon x)}_{\varphi'(x)} - \varphi(x) \\ &= \varepsilon(\varphi + x^\nu \partial_\nu \varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (4.114)$$

where we have worked to first order in ε and Taylor expanded φ' about x .

Let us now work with the first term in Eq. (4.111),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} \delta\varphi &= -\lambda \varphi^3 \varepsilon (\varphi + x^\nu \partial_\nu \varphi) \\ &= -\varepsilon (\lambda \varphi^4 + x^\nu (\lambda \varphi^3 \partial_\nu \varphi)) \\ &= -\varepsilon (4 + x^\nu \partial_\nu) \frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^4, \end{aligned} \quad (4.115)$$

in which we recognise the potential like term from the action (4.107), and have used $S = \int \mathcal{L} d^4x$ to be able take the derivatives.

For the second term in Eq. (4.111),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\partial^\mu \varphi) &= \partial^\mu(\delta\varphi) \\ &= \varepsilon \partial^\mu \varphi + \varepsilon \partial^\mu (x^\nu \partial_\nu \varphi) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \quad \text{given (4.114)} \\ &= \varepsilon \partial^\mu \varphi + \varepsilon \partial^\mu (x_\nu \partial^\nu \varphi) \quad \text{since } x^\nu \partial_\nu = x_\nu \partial^\nu \\ &= \varepsilon \partial^\mu \varphi + \varepsilon \partial^\mu \varphi + \varepsilon x^\nu \partial_\nu \partial^\mu \varphi \quad \text{since } \partial^\mu x_\nu = \delta_\nu^\mu \\ &= \varepsilon (2 + x^\nu \partial_\nu) \partial^\mu \varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (4.116)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial^\mu \varphi)} \delta(\partial^\mu \varphi) &= (\partial_\mu \varphi) \varepsilon (2 + x^\nu \partial_\nu) \partial^\mu \varphi \\
&= 2\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi)(\partial^\mu \varphi) + \varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi) x^\nu \partial_\nu \partial^\mu \varphi \\
&= 2\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi)(\partial^\mu \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi) x^\nu \partial_\nu (\partial^\mu \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi) x^\nu \partial^\mu \partial_\nu \varphi \\
&= 2\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi)(\partial^\mu \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi) x^\nu \partial_\nu (\partial^\mu \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(\partial_\nu \varphi) x^\mu \partial^\nu \partial_\mu \varphi \quad \nu \leftrightarrow \mu \\
&= 2\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi)(\partial^\mu \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi) x^\nu \partial_\nu (\partial^\mu \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(\partial^\mu \varphi) g_{\mu\nu} x^\mu \partial^\nu \partial_\mu \varphi \\
&= 2\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi)(\partial^\mu \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(\partial_\mu \varphi) x^\nu \partial_\nu (\partial^\mu \varphi) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(\partial^\mu \varphi) x_\nu \partial^\nu \partial_\mu \varphi \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(4 + x_\nu \partial^\nu)(\partial^\mu \varphi)(\partial_\mu \varphi), \tag{4.117}
\end{aligned}$$

in which we notice another part of \mathcal{L}^2 and useful similarities to the result (4.115).

Collecting the results (4.115) and (4.117) and substituting into Eq. (4.111) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \mathcal{L} &= \varepsilon(4 + x^\nu \partial_\nu) \frac{1}{2}(\partial^\mu \varphi)(\partial_\mu \varphi) + \varepsilon(4 + x^\nu \partial_\nu) \left(-\frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^4 \right) \\
&= \varepsilon(4 + x^\nu \partial_\nu) \mathcal{L} \\
&= \varepsilon \partial_\mu (x^\mu \mathcal{L}) = \varepsilon \partial^\mu (x_\mu \mathcal{L}) \quad \text{since} \quad \partial_\mu x^\mu = \delta_\mu^\mu = 4. \tag{4.118}
\end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together, we see from Eq. (4.113) that

$$\varepsilon \partial^\mu (x_\mu \mathcal{L}) = \partial^\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial^\mu \varphi)} [\varphi + x^\nu \partial_\nu \varphi] \right), \tag{4.119}$$

and therefore a conserved current, J_μ , such that $\partial^\mu J_\mu = 0$, may be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\mu &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial^\mu \varphi)} [\varphi + x^\nu \partial_\nu \varphi] - x_\mu \mathcal{L} \\
&= (\partial_\mu \varphi)(\varphi + x^\nu \partial_\nu \varphi) - x_\mu \mathcal{L}. \tag{4.120}
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that the stress-energy tensor is (4.81)

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial^\mu \varphi)} \partial_\nu \varphi - g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}, \tag{4.121}$$

we may write this *dilatation current* in the alternative form

$$J_\mu = x^\nu T_{\mu\nu} + \varphi \partial_\mu \varphi. \tag{4.122}$$

4.7 Angular Momentum and Spin

Having found that the stress-energy tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ is conserved and (when properly defined) symmetric, we can construct a conserved tensor of higher rank as follows:

$$M^{\lambda\mu\nu} = x^\mu T^{\lambda\nu} - x^\nu T^{\lambda\mu}, \quad M^{\lambda\mu\nu} = -M^{\lambda\nu\mu}. \tag{4.123}$$

²Notice that in general any quantity Q with mass dimension (in natural units) p changes by $\delta Q = \varepsilon(p + x^\nu \partial_\nu)Q$ under a small dilatation.

This is then conserved provided $T^{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric

$$\partial_\lambda M^{\lambda\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu} - T^{\nu\mu} = 0. \quad (4.124)$$

Recalling that T^{0j} is the density of the j^{th} component of momentum, we see that for example $M^{012} = xT^{02} - yT^{01}$ is the density of the z -component of angular momentum, and so we define the *total angular momentum tensor* of the field as

$$J^{\mu\nu} = \int d^3\mathbf{r} M^{0\mu\nu}, \quad J^{\mu\nu} = -J^{\nu\mu}. \quad (4.125)$$

While we constructed $M^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ by inspection, we could have obtained it more systematically, starting from symmetries. The symmetry here is Lorentz-invariance (which includes rotation invariance, associated to angular momentum cf. Section 1.3). Generalising our steps that led to the canonical $T^{\mu\nu}$ would not quite get us to $M^{\lambda\mu\nu}$, but rather to $M^{\lambda\mu\nu} + S^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ where $S^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ (nonzero when $T^{\mu\nu} \neq T^{\nu\mu}$) is analogous to spin angular momentum. By redefining $T^{\mu\nu}$ by adding a suitable $\partial_\lambda \Omega^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ to achieve $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\nu\mu}$ [or equivalently using the definition Eq. (4.106)] we can (roughly speaking) absorb spin into $M^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ which then describes total angular momentum.³

Let us open a parenthesis here to remark how we have arrived at a conserved angular momentum. At the beginning of Section 4.5 we introduced the conserved stress-energy tensor as a consequence of invariance to small space-time displacements. In general, this symmetry alone does not warrant conservation of *angular* momentum. However, we considered systems that have a larger symmetry: invariance with respect to general coordinate transformations. These include rotations and thus lead to conservation of the total angular momentum. In this section we see that this additional conservation is directly related to whether the stress-energy tensor is symmetric or not in (some of) its space-time indices.

The components of the more familiar total angular momentum vector \mathbf{J} are then given by

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} J^{jk}, \quad i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (4.126)$$

The other non-zero components of $J^{\mu\nu}$ are of the form

$$J^{0j} = -J^{j0} = \int d^3\mathbf{r} M^{00j} = t \int d^3\mathbf{r} T^{0j} - \int d^3\mathbf{r} x^j T^{00} = tP_j - R_j E, \quad (4.127)$$

where \mathbf{P} is the total momentum of the field, E the total energy, and \mathbf{R} the position of the centre-of-energy. Thus the conservation of this quantity implies that

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{V}t + \text{const.}, \quad (4.128)$$

where $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{P}/E$ is the velocity of the centre-of-energy, i.e. the velocity of the zero-momentum frame (in units where $c = 1$). This is just Newton's first law: the centre-of-energy of an isolated system moves with constant velocity.

Since in general the centre-of-energy is moving, the total angular momentum includes both “orbital” and “intrinsic” parts, where the intrinsic or *spin* angular momentum (also called spin but distinct from $S^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ above) is defined in the zero-momentum frame. We can

³If interested, you may want to read about the Belinfante-Rosenfeld stress-energy tensor.

make a covariant definition of the spin by using the 4-velocity $U^\mu = P^\mu / \sqrt{P_\nu P^\nu} = \gamma(1, \mathbf{V})$, where $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - V^2}$. Then we define the *spin 4-vector* as

$$S^\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}U_\nu J_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (4.129)$$

where $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor.⁴ Then in the zero-momentum frame $U_\nu = (1, \mathbf{0})$, $S^0 = 0$ and

$$S^i = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{i0jk}J_{jk} = J^i. \quad (4.130)$$

Notice that S^μ is always orthogonal to the 4-velocity, $S^\mu U_\mu = 0$, so in fact the spin only has 3 independent components in any frame, whereas $J^{\mu\nu}$ has the extra components (4.127), which are mixed with those of \mathbf{J} in different frames. To highlight the distinct origins of S^μ and $S^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ note that S^μ can be nonzero for the scalar field, where $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\nu\mu}$ for the canonical $T^{\mu\nu}$ [Eq. (4.91)] and $S^{\lambda\mu\nu} = 0$. There is no covariant way of separating the total angular momentum into orbital and spin contributions. J is a 4-tensor and S is a 4-vector: to take their difference “ $L = J - S$ ” does not make sense, any more than subtracting apples from oranges.

4.7.1 Angular Momentum of a Real Scalar Field

Recalling from Eq. (4.126), the angular momentum 3-vector \mathbf{J} has components $J_i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}J^{jk}$, where

$$J^{\mu\nu} = \int d^3\mathbf{r} M^{0\mu\nu} \quad (4.125) \quad (4.131)$$

$$M^{0\mu\nu} = x^\mu T^{0\nu} - x^\nu T^{0\mu}, \quad (4.123) \quad (4.132)$$

$T^{\mu\nu}$ being the relevant stress-energy tensor. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} J_i &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk} \int d^3\mathbf{r} M^{0jk} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \underbrace{(x^j T^{0k} - x^k T^{0j})}_{(4.132)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} (\epsilon_{ijk} x^j T^{0k} - \epsilon_{ikj} x^j T^{0k}) \quad \text{relabelling } j \leftrightarrow k \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} \int d^3\mathbf{r} x^j T^{0k}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.133)$$

For a real scalar Klein-Gordon field we have (4.91)

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\partial^\mu \varphi)(\partial^\nu \varphi) - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}, \quad (4.134)$$

and therefore (remembering the index $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$)

$$\begin{aligned} T^{0k} &= T^{k0} = (\partial^0 \varphi)(\partial^k \varphi) - g^{0k} \mathcal{L} \\ &= -\dot{\varphi} \nabla_k \varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (4.135)$$

⁴ $\epsilon = +1$ for any even permutation of indices 0123, -1 for any odd permutation, 0 otherwise.

since $g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$, so

$$J_i = - \int d^3\mathbf{r} \dot{\varphi} \underbrace{\epsilon_{ijk} x^j \nabla_k \varphi}_{(\mathbf{r} \times \nabla \varphi)_i}, \quad (4.136)$$

i.e. in vector notation

$$\mathbf{J} = - \int d^3\mathbf{r} \dot{\varphi} (\mathbf{r} \times \nabla \varphi). \quad (4.137)$$

The Fourier representation of a real scalar field (3.51) with $N(\mathbf{k}) = 1/(2\pi)^3 2\omega(\mathbf{k})$ (3.53) is

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2(2\pi)^3 \omega} \left[a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + a^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{+ik \cdot x} \right]. \quad (4.138)$$

We can obtain \mathbf{r} from the Fourier representation by operating with $\mp i \nabla^{(k)}$ on the \pm ve frequency parts, respectively, where $\nabla^{(k)} = (\partial/\partial k_x, \partial/\partial k_y, \partial/\partial k_z)$ is the gradient operator in k -space. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\varphi} &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2(2\pi)^3} i \left[-a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + a^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{+ik \cdot x} \right] \\ \nabla \varphi &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2(2\pi)^3 \omega} i \mathbf{k} \left[a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} - a^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{+ik \cdot x} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.139)$$

remembering $k \cdot x = \omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}$. Integrating by parts the expression for $\dot{\varphi} \mathbf{r}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\varphi} \mathbf{r} &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2(2\pi)^3} i \left[-a(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{r} e^{-ik \cdot x} + a^*(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{r} e^{+ik \cdot x} \right] \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2(2\pi)^3} \left[\left(\nabla^{(k)} a(\mathbf{k}) \right) e^{-ik \cdot x} + \left(\nabla^{(k)} a^*(\mathbf{k}) \right) e^{+ik \cdot x} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.140)$$

Thus, proceeding as in Section 3.5, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J} &= - \int d^3\mathbf{r} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}'}{2(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2(2\pi)^3 \omega} i \left[\left(\nabla a(\mathbf{k}') e^{-ik' \cdot x} + \nabla a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{+ik' \cdot x} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\nabla a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} - \nabla a^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{+ik \cdot x} \right) \right] \times \mathbf{k} \\ &= i \int d^3\mathbf{r} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}'}{2(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2(2\pi)^3 \omega} \mathbf{k} \times \left[a(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a(\mathbf{k}') e^{-i(k+k') \cdot x} + a(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{-i(k-k') \cdot x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - a^*(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a(\mathbf{k}') e^{i(k-k') \cdot x} - a^*(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{i(k+k') \cdot x} \right] \\ &= i \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}'}{2(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2(2\pi)^3 \omega} (2\pi)^3 \mathbf{k} \times \left[a(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a(\mathbf{k}') e^{-i(\omega+\omega')t} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \right. \\ &\quad + a(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{-i(\omega-\omega')t} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \\ &\quad - a^*(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a(\mathbf{k}') e^{i(\omega-\omega')t} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \\ &\quad \left. - a^*(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a^*(\mathbf{k}') e^{i(\omega+\omega')t} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \right] \\ \mathbf{J} &= i \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{4(2\pi)^3 \omega} \mathbf{k} \times \left[a(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a(-\mathbf{k}) e^{-2i\omega t} + a(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a^*(\mathbf{k}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - a^*(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a(\mathbf{k}) - a^*(\mathbf{k}) \nabla a^*(-\mathbf{k}) e^{2i\omega t} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.141)$$

The third line follows from Eq. (3.52), using the relation

$$\int d^3\mathbf{r} e^{i(\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{k}')\cdot\mathbf{r}} = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{k}'). \quad (4.142)$$

The time-independent terms are equal by integration by parts, where we assume the boundary terms vanish. The two time-dependent terms cannot contribute since \mathbf{J} is a conserved quantity.⁵ Therefore in the Fourier representation,

$$\mathbf{J} = -i \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2(2\pi)^3\omega} a^*(\mathbf{k}) (\mathbf{k} \times \nabla^{(k)}) a(\mathbf{k}). \quad (4.144)$$

4.8 Quantum Fields

Although this is a course on classical field theory, it is worth making a short excursion into the quantum mechanics of fields, which in fact clarifies many of their properties, especially in the relativistic case. The transition from classical to quantum fields is through a procedure called “second quantisation”.

First quantisation was the procedure of replacing the classical dynamical variables q and p by quantum operators \hat{q} and \hat{p} such that

$$[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i \quad (\hbar = 1). \quad (4.145)$$

Second quantisation means replacing the field variable $\varphi(x, t)$ and its conjugate momentum density $\pi(x, t)$ by operators such that

$$[\hat{\varphi}(x, t), \hat{\pi}(x', t)] = i\delta(x - x'). \quad (4.146)$$

N.B.: x and x' are not dynamical variables but *labels* for the field values at different points. Compare (and contrast)

$$[\hat{q}_j, \hat{p}_k] = i\delta_{jk} \quad (j, k = x, y, z). \quad (4.147)$$

The field (wavefunction) φ satisfying the Klein-Gordon equation (3.29) is replaced by a *field operator* $\hat{\varphi}$, satisfying the same equation. The Fourier representation of a real field becomes

$$\hat{\varphi}(x, t) = \int dk N(k) [\hat{a}(k) e^{i(kx - \omega t)} + \hat{a}^\dagger(k) e^{-i(kx - \omega t)}]. \quad (4.148)$$

Note that $\hat{\varphi}$ is hermitian but the Fourier conjugate operator \hat{a} is *not*. Keeping track of the order of operators, the Hamiltonian operator is

$$\hat{H} = \int dk N(k) \frac{1}{2} \omega(k) [\hat{a}(k) \hat{a}^\dagger(k) + \hat{a}^\dagger(k) \hat{a}(k)]. \quad (4.149)$$

⁵To see this in detail, integrate the first term by parts (noting that $\mathbf{k} \times \nabla^{(k)} \omega = 0$ and $\nabla^{(k)} \times \mathbf{k} = 0$) to obtain

$$\int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{4(2\pi)^3\omega} a(-\mathbf{k}) (\mathbf{k} \times \nabla^{(k)}) a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-2i\omega t} = - \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{4(2\pi)^3\omega} a(\mathbf{k}) (\mathbf{k} \times \nabla^{(k)}) a(-\mathbf{k}) e^{-2i\omega t}. \quad (4.143)$$

Changing the variable of integration to $-\mathbf{k}$ (noting that $\mathbf{k} \times \nabla^{(k)}$ is invariant under this operation) we get minus the original integral, so it must be zero. Similarly for the other time-dependent term, which is just the complex conjugate of this one.

Comparing this with the simple harmonic oscillator,

$$\hat{H}_{\text{SHO}} = \frac{1}{2}\omega(\hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}), \quad (4.150)$$

we see that $\hat{a}^\dagger(k)$ and $\hat{a}(k)$ must be the ladder operators for the mode of wave number k . They add/remove one quantum of excitation of the mode. These quanta are the particles corresponding to that field

$$\implies \hat{a}^\dagger(k) = \text{the creation operator}, \quad \hat{a}(k) = \text{the annihilation operator} \quad (4.151)$$

for Klein-Gordon particles.

The ladder operators of the simple harmonic oscillator satisfy

$$[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1. \quad (4.152)$$

The analogous commutation relation for the creation and annihilation operators is

$$\begin{aligned} N(k)[\hat{a}(k), \hat{a}^\dagger(k')] &= \delta(k - k') \\ \implies [\hat{a}(k), \hat{a}^\dagger(k')] &= 2\pi \cdot 2\omega(k)\delta(k - k'), \end{aligned} \quad (4.153)$$

or in 3 spatial dimensions

$$[\hat{a}(\mathbf{k}), \hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}')] = (2\pi)^3 \cdot 2\omega(\mathbf{k})\delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'). \quad (4.154)$$

On the other hand

$$[\hat{a}(\mathbf{k}), \hat{a}(\mathbf{k}')] = [\hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}), \hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}')] = 0. \quad (4.155)$$

The commutators of the creation and annihilation operators correspond to the field commutation relation⁶

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{r}, t), \underbrace{\hat{\pi}(\mathbf{r}', t)}_{\partial\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{r}', t)/\partial t}] &= \int d^3\mathbf{k} d^3\mathbf{k}' N(\mathbf{k})N(\mathbf{k}')[-i\omega(\mathbf{k}')] \\ &\quad \times [\hat{a}(\mathbf{k})e^{-ik\cdot x} + \hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{k})e^{ik\cdot x}, \hat{a}(\mathbf{k}')e^{-ik'\cdot x'} - \hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}')e^{ik'\cdot x'}] \\ &= i \int d^3\mathbf{k} N(\mathbf{k})\omega(\mathbf{k})[e^{-ik\cdot(x-x')} + e^{ik\cdot(x-x')}], \end{aligned} \quad (4.156)$$

where $x^\mu = (ct, \mathbf{r})$ and $x'^\mu = (ct, \mathbf{r}')$. Hence

$$[\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{r}, t), \hat{\pi}(\mathbf{r}', t)] = i\delta^3(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (4.157)$$

as expected. On the other hand

$$[\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{r}, t), \hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{r}', t)] = [\hat{\pi}(\mathbf{r}, t), \hat{\pi}(\mathbf{r}', t)] = 0. \quad (4.158)$$

The fact that the field operator has positive- and negative-frequency parts now appears quite natural:

- The *positive* frequency part $\hat{a}(\mathbf{k})e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}$ *annihilates* particles;

⁶Using the expression for the conjugate Klein-Gordon momentum density (3.71) to replace $\pi(x, t)$ by an operator $\hat{\pi}(x, t) = \partial\hat{\varphi}(x, t)/\partial t$. ??

- The *negative* frequency part $\hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{k})e^{-i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}$ *creates* particles;

and $\pm\hbar\omega$ is the energy released/absorbed in the annihilation/creation process.

The hermitian field describes particles that are identical to their antiparticles, e.g. π^0 mesons. For a complex (non-hermitian) field, the negative-frequency part of $\hat{\varphi}$ *creates antiparticles*. To see this, consider the second-quantised version of Eq. (4.35) for a complex field $\hat{\varphi}$:

$$\hat{Q} = \int d^3\mathbf{k} N(\mathbf{k}) [\hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{k})\hat{a}(\mathbf{k}) - \hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k})\hat{b}(\mathbf{k})]. \quad (4.159)$$

Comparing with the energy

$$\hat{H} = \int d^3\mathbf{k} N(\mathbf{k})\omega(\mathbf{k}) [\hat{a}^\dagger(\mathbf{k})\hat{a}(\mathbf{k}) + \hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k})\hat{b}(\mathbf{k})], \quad (4.160)$$

we see that the particles created by \hat{a}^\dagger and \hat{b}^\dagger have the same energy $\hbar\omega$ but *opposite charge*: for example, they could be π^+ and π^- mesons.

To summarise, in quantum field theory:

- The object that satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation is the field operator $\hat{\varphi}$;
- The Fourier decomposition of $\hat{\varphi}$ has a *positive frequency* part that *annihilates a particle* (with energy $\hbar\omega$ and charge +1) AND a *negative frequency* part that *creates an antiparticle* (with energy $\hbar\omega$ and charge -1);
- Similarly, $\hat{\varphi}^\dagger$ creates a particle or annihilates an antiparticle.

Broken Symmetry

We have seen that symmetries can have profound implications (e.g., conserved currents), but sometime the breaking of symmetry can be even more interesting. We introduce here the important phenomenon of *spontaneous* symmetry breaking, focusing on the $U(1)$ symmetry in the simple context of the scalar Klein-Gordon field. We consider only the classical case, but all the features of interest remain valid after second quantisation.

5.1 Self-Interacting Scalar Field

Let us consider the effect of adding terms of higher order in the field φ to the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian density (3.75). The simplest addition that preserves the global phase symmetry is a quartic term $-\frac{1}{2}\lambda(\varphi^*\varphi)^2$ (with $-\lambda/2$ chosen for convenience, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$):

$$\mathcal{L} = (\partial^\mu \varphi^*)(\partial_\mu \varphi) - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \lambda (\varphi^* \varphi)^2. \quad (5.1)$$

As was argued earlier for the quadratic term $-m^2 \varphi^* \varphi$, the coefficient λ must be *positive* in order for the Hamiltonian to be *positive-definite*, otherwise no state of lowest energy will exist.

Notice that λ is a dimensionless quantity: this is easy to see in natural units, since then \mathcal{L} and $(\varphi^* \varphi)^2$ both have dimensions $[M]^4$. Any higher powers, $(\varphi^* \varphi)^p$ with $p > 2$, would have coefficients with dimensions of inverse powers of mass, $[M]^{4-2p}$. One can argue on rather general grounds that such terms should be negligible since the relevant mass scale should be large.

The equation for the conjugate momentum density (3.71), $\pi = \partial \varphi^* / \partial t$, remains unchanged and the Hamiltonian density is

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi^* \pi + \nabla \varphi^* \cdot \nabla \varphi + V(\varphi), \quad (5.2)$$

where the “potential” is

$$V(\varphi) = m^2 \varphi^* \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \lambda (\varphi^* \varphi)^2. \quad (5.3)$$

The equation of motion (cf. Eq. (3.29)) becomes

$$\partial^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi + m^2 \varphi + \lambda (\varphi^* \varphi) \varphi = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

We can think of the extra term as a self-interaction of the field, with strength λ .




Fig. 5.1: The form of the ‘potential’ $V(\varphi)$ that leads to spontaneous symmetry breaking.

5.2 Spontaneously Broken Global Symmetry

The Hamiltonian density (5.2) with the potential (5.3) implies that the state of the field with minimum energy is that in which $\varphi = 0$ everywhere (assuming that the coefficient m^2 of the quadratic term is non-negative). Note, however, that in the presence of the quartic term in the potential our previous argument for the positive sign of the quadratic term (see Section 3.3) is no longer valid: the Hamiltonian is *always* bounded from below as long as $\lambda > 0$. Let us therefore study the effect of a negative quadratic term in the potential, i.e.

$$V(\varphi) = -m^2\varphi^*\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\varphi^*\varphi)^2. \quad (5.5)$$

The state of minimum energy will now be one in which φ has a constant value φ_0 such that $V(\varphi_0)$ is a minimum, i.e.

$$\varphi_0^*\varphi_0 = \frac{m^2}{\lambda}, \quad (5.6)$$

which describes a circle in the complex φ plane,

$$\varphi_0 = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}e^{i\theta}, \quad (5.7)$$

where θ is arbitrary.

Thus the system does not have a unique state of minimum energy but an infinite number of equivalent ones on a manifold corresponding to different values of θ : it is said to be *degenerate*. However, if we take any particular example of the system and reduce its energy somehow to the minimum value, it will be in a state with a particular value of θ . The situation is like that of a thin rod initially balanced vertically on its tip on a horizontal plane: when it falls under gravity, it will lie at a particular angle on the plane, although all angles have equal energy. The dynamics and the initial state are symmetrical with respect to rotations about the vertical axis, but the final minimum-energy state is not: the rotational symmetry has been *spontaneously broken*.¹

Similarly, in the presence of the quartic interaction, the Klein-Gordon field will undergo spontaneous symmetry breaking by choosing some particular minimum-energy state, with

¹More precisely, for the rod to fall, we need an infinitesimally small asymmetry in the initial conditions and/or in the dynamics. Spontaneous symmetry breaking can be thought of as the amplification of this to macroscopic scales.

a particular global value of θ . And since the dynamics has phase symmetry we may as well choose to label that state as $\theta = 0$ (like measuring angles with respect to the fallen rod). Thus we define $\varphi_0 = m/\sqrt{\lambda}$ and measure variations of the field with respect to this “ground-state” value: $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \chi$ (for instance, if we are interested in fluctuations of the system about the symmetry broken ground state φ_0). In terms of the “dynamical” field χ , the potential is

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V(\varphi_0) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda[\varphi_0(\chi^* + \chi) + \chi^*\chi]^2 \\ &= V(\varphi_0) + \frac{1}{2}m^2(\chi^* + \chi)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\chi^3), \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

where we wrote $V(\varphi) = f(y)$ with $y = |\varphi|^2$, expanded $f(y)$ to second order about its minimum at $y_0 = |\varphi_0|^2$, and used $f'(y_0) = 0$ (from y_0 minimising f), the fact that $\lambda\varphi_0^2 = m^2$ to cancel a lot of terms). Resolving χ into its real and imaginary parts as in Eq. (3.66):

$$\chi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi_1 + i\chi_2), \quad (5.9)$$

where χ_1 and χ_2 are real, we have

$$V = V(\varphi_0) + m^2\chi_1^2 + \mathcal{O}(\chi^3), \quad (5.10)$$

and the Lagrangian (5.1) and Hamiltonian (5.2) reduce to, respectively:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial^\mu\chi_1)(\partial_\mu\chi_1) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial^\mu\chi_2)(\partial_\mu\chi_2) - V(\varphi_0) - m^2\chi_1^2 + \mathcal{O}(\chi^3) \quad (5.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\chi_1}{\partial t}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\chi_1 \cdot \nabla\chi_1 + m^2\chi_1^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\chi_2}{\partial t}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\chi_2 \cdot \nabla\chi_2 + V(\varphi_0) + \mathcal{O}(\chi^3), \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where we immediately recognise the separate contributions pertaining to the fields χ_1 and χ_2 .

Equation (5.10) displays many interesting features:

1. The phase symmetry breaking is now explicit: there is a quadratic χ_1^2 term for the real part χ_1 of χ but not for the imaginary part χ_2 ;
2. The quadratic χ_1^2 term for the real part φ_1 is positive even though we started off with a negative quadratic term $-m^2|\varphi|^2$ in Eq. (5.5).
3. Comparing with the original Klein-Gordon equation (3.29), we see that the quadratic term corresponds to the dispersion relation

$$\omega = \sqrt{k^2 + 2m^2} \quad (5.13)$$

for the field χ_1 . This means that, after second-quantisation, the quanta of the field will be particles of mass (in natural units) $\sqrt{2}m$;

4. On the other hand the field χ_2 has no quadratic term and therefore its dispersion relation is $\omega = k$, i.e. its quanta have zero mass, like those of the electromagnetic field (photons), except that their spin is zero instead of one.

This last point is an example of *Goldstone's theorem*: for every spontaneously broken (global) continuous symmetry there is a field with massless quanta, which is therefore called a *Goldstone field*.

To gain a better picture of how the Goldstone field emerges, it is useful to parameterise φ using its absolute value and phase, $\varphi = \rho e^{i\theta}$, where in terms of the previous parameterisation $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \chi$, for small χ we have $\rho = \varphi_0 + \chi_1/\sqrt{2}$ and $\rho\theta = \chi_2/\sqrt{2}$.

$$\partial_\mu \varphi = e^{i\theta} [\partial_\mu + i(\partial_\mu \theta)] \rho \implies (\partial_\mu \varphi^*)(\partial^\mu \varphi) = (\partial_\mu \rho)(\partial^\mu \rho) + \rho^2 (\partial_\mu \theta)(\partial^\mu \theta). \quad (5.14)$$

Since $V(\varphi) = V(\rho)$, a homogeneous rotation of the fields (i.e., a constant θ) does not cost energy. However, introducing inhomogeneity in θ does, through the $\rho^2 (\partial_\mu \theta)(\partial^\mu \theta) \approx \varphi_0^2 (\partial_\mu \theta)(\partial^\mu \theta)$ term, translating to $\varphi_0^2 [(\partial_t \theta)^2 + (\nabla \theta)^2]$ in \mathcal{H} . The larger φ_0 , the more costly it is to introduce θ inhomogeneities. This reflects the increasing *rigidity* of the configuration with increasing φ_0 .

5.2.1 Broken Symmetry in the Theory of Two Interacting Fields

Consider the theory of two interacting real scalar fields with the Lagrangian density

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \varphi_1)(\partial^\mu \varphi_1) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \varphi_2)(\partial^\mu \varphi_2) - \frac{1}{2}M_{11}\varphi_1^2 - M_{12}\varphi_1\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2}M_{22}\varphi_2^2 \\ - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_{11}\varphi_1^4 - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{12}\varphi_1^2\varphi_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_{22}\varphi_2^4. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Recall from Section 3.4 that the dimensions of \mathcal{L} in natural units are $[M]^4$ and those of a scalar field are $[M]$. Thus M_{ij} must have dimensions $[M]^2$ and Λ_{ij} must be dimensionless.

Let us first consider what constraints are imposed on M_{ij} and Λ_{ij} by the requirement that the energy be bounded from below. The energy of the system is given by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^3\mathbf{r} \mathcal{H}, \quad (5.16)$$

where the Hamiltonian density (3.19) is

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi_1 \dot{\varphi}_1 + \pi_2 \dot{\varphi}_2 - \mathcal{L}, \quad (5.17)$$

and from definition (3.17) it follows $\pi_i = \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \dot{\varphi}_i = \dot{\varphi}_i$, so that

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}(\pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2 + \nabla \varphi_1 \cdot \nabla \varphi_1 + \nabla \varphi_2 \cdot \nabla \varphi_2) + V(\varphi_1, \varphi_2), \quad (5.18)$$

where the “potential” V is

$$\begin{aligned} V(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \frac{1}{2}M_{11}\varphi_1^2 + M_{12}\varphi_1\varphi_2 + \frac{1}{2}M_{22}\varphi_2^2 \\ + \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_{11}\varphi_1^4 + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{12}\varphi_1^2\varphi_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_{22}\varphi_2^4. \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

Clearly \mathcal{H} will be bounded from below as long as V does not diverge to $-\infty$ at large values of φ_1 and/or φ_2 .

As long as the Λ_{ij} 's do not satisfy special conditions (which we shall determine), there will be no constraints on the M_{ij} 's, since the quartic terms in V will always dominate at large φ_i . Assuming this to be the case, write $\varphi_2^2 = \alpha\varphi_1^2$. Then we require

$$\Lambda_{11} + 2\Lambda_{12}\alpha + \Lambda_{22}\alpha^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall \alpha \geq 0. \quad (5.20)$$

Hence $\Lambda_{11} \geq 0$, $\Lambda_{22} \geq 0$, and the roots

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \left(-\Lambda_{12} \pm \sqrt{\Lambda_{12}^2 - \Lambda_{11}\Lambda_{22}} \right) / \Lambda_{22} \quad (5.21)$$

are either complex or both negative. Hence we require $\Lambda_{12} \geq \sqrt{\Lambda_{11}\Lambda_{22}}$ or $\sqrt{\Lambda_{11}\Lambda_{22}} \geq \Lambda_{12} \geq -\sqrt{\Lambda_{11}\Lambda_{22}}$. So, in summary the conditions are

$$\Lambda_{11} \geq 0, \quad \Lambda_{22} \geq 0, \quad \Lambda_{12} \geq -\sqrt{\Lambda_{11}\Lambda_{22}}. \quad (5.22)$$

There are two special cases where we get conditions on the M_{ij} 's, as follows. First, if $\Lambda_{12} = -\sqrt{\Lambda_{11}\Lambda_{22}}$, $\Lambda_{11} = 0$ or $\Lambda_{22} = 0$ there is a “flat direction” along which the quartic term vanishes. This direction corresponds to $\alpha = \sqrt{\Lambda_{11}/\Lambda_{22}}$ in the first case, $\alpha = 0$ in the second, and $\alpha = \infty$ in the third. The quadratic term must be non-negative along the flat direction, which means that in this case (only) we require

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11}\sqrt{\Lambda_{22}} + M_{22}\sqrt{\Lambda_{11}} \pm 2M_{12}(\Lambda_{11}\Lambda_{22})^{1/4} &\geq 0 && \text{if } \Lambda_{12} = -\sqrt{\Lambda_{11}\Lambda_{22}} \\ M_{11} &\geq 0 && \text{if } \Lambda_{11} = 0 \\ M_{22} &\geq 0 && \text{if } \Lambda_{22} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if both Λ_{11} and Λ_{22} are zero then we have two flat directions and we require both $M_{11} \geq 0$ and $M_{22} \geq 0$ if the potential is to be bounded from below.

A second special case is when all the Λ_{ij} 's are zero: then we require that the quadratic term should not go to $-\infty$ in any direction. Setting $\varphi_2 = \beta\varphi_1$, we require

$$M_{11} + 2M_{12}\beta + M_{22}\beta^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall \beta. \quad (5.23)$$

Hence $M_{11} \geq 0$, $M_{22} \geq 0$, and the roots

$$\beta_{\pm} = \left(-M_{12} \pm \sqrt{M_{12}^2 - M_{11}M_{22}} \right) / M_{22} \quad (5.24)$$

are complex or coincident, which means $M_{12}^2 \leq M_{11}M_{22}$,

For the symmetry to be spontaneously broken, we require the origin $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = (0, 0)$ to be a point of unstable equilibrium. Assuming that the Λ_{ij} 's are non-zero and satisfy the previous conditions, there will then be a pair of points of stable equilibrium elsewhere, say at (v_1, v_2) and $(-v_1, -v_2)$. In the state of minimum energy the field must choose one of these, breaking the symmetry.

Near the origin, the quartic terms in V will be negligible, so the conditions that the origin be a point of stable equilibrium will be just those discussed when the Λ_{ij} 's are all zero. To break the symmetry requires the converse conditions, i.e.

$$M_{11} < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad M_{22} < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad M_{12}^2 > M_{11}M_{22}. \quad (5.25)$$

5.3 Spontaneously Broken Local Symmetry

Recall that the global $U(1)$ symmetry of the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian with respect to global (space-time independent) phase changes can be promoted to local (space-time dependent) phase symmetry by introducing a vector field A^μ that undergoes a compensating gauge transformation. The full Lagrangian density then takes the form (4.69)

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu\varphi)^*(D^\mu\varphi) - V(\varphi), \quad (5.26)$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu$. As in the previous subsection, the presence of negative quadratic and positive quartic terms in V will trigger spontaneous symmetry breaking and a non-zero value of φ satisfying Eq. (5.6) in the state of minimum energy.

To elucidate the consequences we again write $\varphi = \rho e^{i\theta}$. The symmetry under local $U(1)$ transformations implies that now θ inhomogeneities becomes costless. However, now

$$\partial_\mu\varphi = e^{i\theta}[\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu + i(\partial_\mu\theta)]\rho = e^{i\theta}\left(\partial_\mu + ieA'_\mu\right)\rho, \quad A'_\mu = A_\mu + \frac{1}{e}\partial_\mu\theta, \quad (5.27)$$

hence we could entirely absorb the θ degree of freedom into the combination A'_μ . This new gauge field is in fact gauge invariant since $\theta \rightarrow \theta - \varepsilon$ and $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu\varepsilon/e$ under a gauge transformation, c.f. Eqs. (4.62) and (4.64). Furthermore, A'_μ and A_μ yield the same $F_{\mu\nu}$ since A'_μ is like a gauge transformed A_μ . Writing out Eq. (5.26), using that ∂_μ , and $eA'_\mu\rho$ are both real,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + e^2\rho^2 A'_\mu A'^\mu + (\partial_\mu\rho)(\partial^\mu\rho) - V(\rho) \\ &\approx -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + e^2\varphi_0^2 A'_\mu A'^\mu + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\chi_1)(\partial^\mu\chi_1) - m^2\chi_1^2 - V(\varphi_0), \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

where in the second line we used that the minimum-energy configuration has $\varphi = \varphi_0$ and can be taken to have $A_\mu, \theta = 0$, and we worked to second order in small quantities.

We see that only the ρ field remains; θ seems to have exited the problem. However, it did not disappear without a trace: the vector field A'_μ is now gauge invariant and in Eq. (5.28) comes with a quadratic term $e^2\varphi_0^2 A'_\mu A'^\mu$ suggestive of a mass $\propto e\varphi_0^2$.

To check whether a mass has indeed appeared, we inspect the equations of motion. The LHS below follows the same logic as in EM, c.f. Eqs. (3.98) and (3.99), but the RHS is now different,

$$-\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 2e^2\varphi_0^2 A'^\nu. \quad (5.29)$$

Using that $\partial_\nu\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$ by the antisymmetry of $F^{\mu\nu}$, this now implies $\partial_\nu A'^\nu = 0$. For a massless gauge field this is the Lorentz gauge; here it follows from Eq. (5.29) and is *not* a gauge choice for A'_μ (indeed, A'_μ is gauge invariant). Using $\partial_\nu A'^\nu = 0$, Eq. (5.29) becomes

$$\left(\partial_\mu\partial^\mu + 2e^2\varphi_0^2\right)A'^\nu = 0, \quad (5.30)$$

which are four Klein-Gordon equations (one for each value of ν) with mass $m_A = \sqrt{2}e\varphi_0 = em\sqrt{2/\lambda}$. Note that unlike the mass $m_\chi = \sqrt{2}m$ for χ_1 [cf. (5.13)], the mass m_A depends on λ . Hence m_χ and m_A are two independent energy scales.

This means that the dispersion relation for the vector field has become

$$\omega = \sqrt{k^2 + 2e^2 m^2 / \lambda}, \quad (5.31)$$

and correspondingly the quanta of the vector field have acquired a non-zero mass equal to $em\sqrt{2/\lambda}$.

Let us re-emphasise the two main features in Eq. (5.28): firstly, the field θ is absent: to put Eq. (5.27) in colourful terms, the vector field has *eaten* the Goldstone field. Secondly, as a consequence, the vector field *acquired a mass*. This mechanism of vector-field mass generation is the *Higgs mechanism*, and the χ_1 field that remains is called the *Higgs field*. In the following section we shall see in detail how this generation of mass has come to pass, through a phenomenon known as the *Higgs mechanism*.

It is useful to contrast global and local $U(1)$ symmetry breaking: Local/gauge symmetry means we have a vector field which allows us to make a different phase change at every space-time point, and thus to remove θ (more precisely, absorb it in A'_μ). For a global symmetry there is no vector field, and we can make only a constant global phase change. So θ cannot be removed.

5.4 Higgs Mechanism

Let us examine what happens to the dynamical part of the scalar field when the local phase symmetry is spontaneously broken. As in the case of global symmetry breaking, we write

$$\varphi = \varphi_0 + \chi = \varphi_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi_1 + i\chi_2). \quad (5.32)$$

When χ is non-zero, the covariant derivative of φ becomes

$$D_\mu \varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\partial_\mu \chi_1 + i\partial_\mu \chi_2) + ie\varphi_0 A_\mu + \dots, \quad (5.33)$$

where the dots represent terms of higher order in the fields. We see that the term involving χ_2 can be removed by a redefinition of the vector field

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}e\varphi_0} \partial_\mu \chi_2, \quad (5.34)$$

which is just a gauge transformation, so it leaves the field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ unchanged. The Lagrangian density then takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + e^2\varphi_0^2 A_\mu A^\mu + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \chi_1)(\partial^\mu \chi_1) - V(\varphi) + \dots \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{e^2 m^2}{\lambda} A_\mu A^\mu + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \chi_1)(\partial^\mu \chi_1) - m^2 \chi_1^2 - V(\varphi_0) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

As we saw before, there is now a term quadratic in the vector field, which corresponds to its quanta having a mass, and a similar term for the dynamical scalar field χ_1 . However, unlike the case of the broken *global* phase symmetry, there are no terms involving the field χ_2 : it has been absorbed in a redefinition of the vector field. In more colourful terms, the

vector field has *eaten* the Goldstone field and thereby acquired a mass. This is called the *Higgs mechanism*.

Another way to see that the field χ_2 can be removed by a gauge transformation is to recall that such a transformation is equivalent to a change of phase of the scalar field. Since χ_2 is the imaginary part of the field φ , it can be removed by a phase change

$$\varphi \rightarrow e^{-i\theta} \quad \text{where} \quad \theta = \sin^{-1}(\chi_2/|\varphi|), \quad (5.36)$$

which makes φ real everywhere. Local phase/gauge symmetry means we are allowed to make a different phase change at every space-time point, so χ_2 can always be removed in this way. In the absence of a vector field, on the other hand, we can only make a constant global phase change, so χ_2 cannot be removed and remains a physical field.

The Higgs mechanism can also be applied more generally when the Lagrangian density of a system has several local gauge symmetries, some of which are broken spontaneously by nonzero values of scalar fields in the state of minimum energy. For each gauge symmetry there is a vector field whose quanta are massless if the symmetry is unbroken but massive if it is broken. The masses are acquired by ‘eating’ the Goldstone components of the scalar fields, which would otherwise have massless quanta themselves. The remaining, uneaten components of the scalar fields have quanta that should be observable as massive *Higgs bosons*.

In the Standard Model of particle physics, the electromagnetic and weak nuclear interactions are described by a unified theory with four distinct gauge symmetries, three of which are broken spontaneously by components of a pair of complex scalar fields. Thus three of the four associated vector fields have massive quanta (the W^+ , W^- and Z^0 bosons) while one remains massless (the photon). Correspondingly, three of the four (real and imaginary) components of the scalar fields are eaten and one physical scalar Higgs boson remains. In the Standard Model, the Higgs field also couples to the fundamental fermions (i.e. the quarks and leptons), and through these interactions the fermions also acquire mass (see Figure 5.2).

After many years of experimental searches at high-energy particle colliders, on the 4th July 2012 the discovery of the Higgs boson,² simultaneously by the ATLAS and CMS experiments, was announced at CERN.

The Higgs mechanism has its origins tracing back to superconductivity. In superconductors, the way in which A^μ absorbs θ has tangible fingerprints. For example vortices – topological defects in θ – bind magnetic flux quantised in units of $h/(2e)$. Thus, θ has not quite disappeared; it is there, rigidly intertwined with A_μ through A'_μ .

Finally we comment on how the Higgs mechanism relates to the notion that gauge “symmetry” is a redundancy, rather than a symmetry (see Section 4.4): if it was never a symmetry to begin with, gauge “symmetry” also cannot be broken. From this redundancy perspective, all $\rho e^{i\theta}$ are the same state; $\theta(x)$ is just our gauge choice for its description. Hence unlike in global $U(1)$ symmetry breaking, the ground state is unique. The Higgs mechanism however prevails since we have described it entirely in terms of gauge-invariant quantities [cf. Eq. (5.28)]: the key ingredients are the nonzero $\rho_0 = \varphi_0$ “ground-state

²<http://press.web.cern.ch/press-releases/2013/03/new-results-indicate-particle-discovered-cern-higgs-boson>




Fig. 5.2: Summary of interactions between particles described by the Standard Model.

radius” (in the complex plane of φ), the radial fluctuations $\delta\rho \sim \chi_1$, and the gauge-invariant vector field A'_μ .³

In identifying all $\rho e^{i\theta}$ as the same state, we tacitly assumed that $\theta(x)$ is a smooth field, and hence can be viewed as a gauge function for a gauge transformation. This is *not* the case for topological defects such as vortices. (The ground state, unless a sufficiently large value of the magnetic flux is prescribed, has no vortices: vortices, and the associated flux quanta, cost energy.) This shows that even with the redundancy perspective, it remains useful to consider θ as a field, but we should be careful to ultimately describe the physics using only gauge-invariant quantities. Vortices in θ (and the associated flux quanta) are gauge-invariant objects.

This redundancy perspective notwithstanding, relating the Higgs mechanism to symmetry breaking, and thinking of $\theta(x)$ as the would-be Goldstone mode, continues to be a useful approach, and it is indeed the traditional view that you may frequently encounter in the literature.

³With a more careful definition of symmetry breaking one could also show that even if we tried to view gauge symmetry as a symmetry, its spontaneous breaking would never occur. If interested, you may want to read about Elitzur’s theorem and the Frohlich–Morchio–Strocchi mechanism.

CHAPTER 6

Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena

Many of the ideas developed so far in this course (Hamiltonian formalism, classical field theory, spontaneous symmetry breaking, etc) have application in another very important area of physics: critical phenomena and phase transitions. In this section we introduce some of the basic ideas, then discuss the simple Ising model for ferromagnetism and finally describe the Ginzburg-Landau Theory of second order phase transitions. This has some similarities with the Higgs mechanism discussed in the previous section.

6.1 Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena

It is rare in physics to find examples of interacting many-particle systems which admit to a full and accessible microscopic description or exact mathematical treatment. More useful is a *hydrodynamic* (i.e. effective) description of the collective long-wavelength behaviour which subsumes information at the microscopic scale (for example, fluid dynamics).

The averaged (*coarse grained*) variables appropriate to these length and time scales are no longer the discrete set of particle degrees of freedom but rather slowly varying continuous *fields* describing the collective motion of a macroscopic set of particles.

The most striking consequence of interactions among particles is the appearance of new phases of matter whose collective behaviour bears little resemblance to that of a few particles. Transitions between these new phases typically correspond to singularities in the free energy or the partition function. Since the canonical partition function of a finite collection of particles is always analytic, phase transitions can only be associated with infinitely many particles, i.e. the thermodynamic limit. The study of phase transitions is thus related to finding the origin of various singularities in the free energy and characterising them.

The paradigmatic example that exhibits many of the properties we wish to study is the ferromagnet. Although ferromagnetism is a complex phenomenon, we can think in terms of a simple model in which electrons in an incomplete inner shell have their spins effectively aligned in one and the same direction. Since there is a magnetic moment associated with each spin, such alignment implies that all these magnetic moments add, thus producing a macroscopic magnet.

When a ferromagnet is heated above a certain critical temperature T_c , its magnetism disappears and the material becomes *paramagnetic*. The qualitative behaviour of the (spontaneous) magnetisation is shown in Fig. 6.1. That spontaneous magnetisation should exist at all is a remarkable phenomenon – the spin Hamiltonian is rotation-invariant and

there are no preferred directions in space. For $T < T_c$ there *is* a preferred direction, that of the magnetisation M . This is another example of *spontaneous symmetry breaking*. M here exemplifies an *order parameter*: a quantity that takes nonzero value for $T < T_c$ (in the *ordered phase*) and vanishes for $T > T_c$ (the *disordered phase*). M is continuous at T_c , this is an example of a *continuous phase transition*. The derivative of M , i.e., a second derivative of the free energy, is however singular, hence it is also called a *second-order phase transition*.




Fig. 6.1: Spontaneous magnetisation in a ferromagnet below the critical temperature T_c .

Experience tells us that a ferromagnet may abruptly change its macroscopic behaviour when the external conditions such as the temperature or magnetic field are varied. Consider what happens to the ferromagnet in the presence of an applied magnetic field B . The electron spins will align with the direction of the field, and so the magnetisation will depend on the magnitude and direction of the field. The dependence of the magnetisation M on the magnetic field B is shown in Fig. 6.2, for three different temperatures $T < T_c$, $T = T_c$ and $T > T_c$. For temperatures closer and closer to T_c , the size of the discontinuity decreases, until at $T = T_c$ the transition becomes continuous with $M = 0$ for $B = 0$. The same qualitative behaviour obtains for $T > T_c$, with the slope at $B = 0$ decreasing with increasing T .




Fig. 6.2: Magnetisation M as a function of applied magnetic field B , for various values of temperature.

When the magnetic field changes at constant temperature $T < T_c$, the two states on either side of the critical point (spin up for $B > 0$ and spin down for $B < 0$) coexist at the critical point. Such transitions, exhibiting phase coexistence, involve discontinuous behaviour in the first derivative of the free energy (here M), are termed *first-order* phase transitions.

The most interesting behaviour arises in the vicinity of the *critical point*, here $T = T_c$, $B = 0$. In this regime, the *correlation length*¹ ξ becomes large compared to microscopic

¹The length scale characterising the correlations in the fluctuations of the order parameter at which

scales and diverges as a power law as the critical point is approached. This *divergent correlation length* is in fact one of the defining features of a critical point. Other quantities, as we shall see, also diverge and do so following universal power laws dictated only by the symmetry of the system. The corresponding power-law exponents, through so-called scaling relations, turn out to trace back to how ξ diverges.

Observing that much of the physics is governed by a diverging length scale motivates developing an effective theory involving only long-range collective fluctuations of the system. This theory features a Hamiltonian (or free energy) description constrained only by fundamental symmetries. This approach is called **Ginzburg-Landau theory**, and will be discussed below. Although the detailed manner in which the material properties and microscopic couplings of the ferromagnet influence the parameters of the effective theory might be unknown, qualitative properties, including the aforementioned power laws and their relations (called *scaling behaviour*) are completely captured by such field theories.

6.2 Ising Model for Ferromagnetism

Consider a simple d -dimensional lattice of N classical “spins” that can point up or down, $s_i = \pm 1$. Suppose there is an interaction J between nearest neighbour spins so that the parallel alignment is favoured ($J > 0$), with the Hamiltonian (energy)

$$H = -\frac{J}{2} \sum_{i,\delta} s_i s_{i+\delta} - \mu \sum_i s_i B. \quad (6.1)$$

Here the i sum runs over all sites in the lattice, and the δ sum runs over the nearest neighbours ($2d$ in a cubic lattice). The factor of $1/2$ in the first term is to avoid double counting the interaction, and the second term is the interaction of the moments μs_i with an external magnetic field B .

The canonical *partition function* is

$$Z = \sum_{\{s_i\}} e^{-\beta H(\{s_i\})} \quad \beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}, \quad (6.2)$$

summing the Boltzmann factor over all spin configurations $\{s_i\}$. Note that the enumeration of all configurations cannot be done for $d \geq 3$ and, although possible in $d = 2$, it is extremely hard there as well. Instead, we can use an approximate method of solution known as *mean field theory*.

For the simpler problem of non-interacting spins in a magnetic field described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = -\mu \sum_i s_i B, \quad (6.3)$$

the partition function is simply the product of single spin partition functions (See Appendix A.3 for explanation)

$$Z_0 = \left[e^{\beta b} + e^{-\beta b} \right]^N = [2 \cosh(\beta b)], \quad b = \mu B. \quad (6.4)$$

the overall properties of the system begin to differ markedly from those of the individual components.

The average spin on each site is

$$\langle s_i \rangle = \frac{e^{\beta b} - e^{-\beta b}}{e^{\beta b} + e^{-\beta b}} = \tanh(\beta b). \quad (6.5)$$

In the mean field approximation we suppose that the i^{th} spin sees an *effective field* b_{eff} which is the sum of the external field and the interaction from the neighbours calculated as if each neighbouring spin were fixed at its ensemble average value

$$b_{\text{eff},i} = b + J \sum_{\delta} \langle s_{i+\delta} \rangle. \quad (6.6)$$

A more systematic approach for arriving at Eq. (6.6) is a first order expansion of $H(\{s_i\})$ of Eq. (6.1) in small fluctuations of the spins with respect to their average value. We write $s_i = \langle s_i \rangle + (s_i - \langle s_i \rangle)$ and formally treat $s_i - \langle s_i \rangle$ as a small parameter (this ignores that s_i take on discrete values). Using this identity and expanding to linear order in the small parameter and ignoring constant terms $\sim \langle s_i \rangle \langle s_{i+\delta} \rangle$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i,\delta} s_i s_{i+\delta} \approx \sum_{i,\delta} (s_i \langle s_{i+\delta} \rangle + \langle s_i \rangle s_{i+\delta}) = 2 \sum_i s_i \sum_{\delta} \langle s_{i+\delta} \rangle, \quad (6.7)$$

and

$$H = -(Js/2) \left(\sum_{i,\delta} s_i + \sum_{i,\delta} s_{i+\delta} \right) = -2dJs \sum_i s_i. \quad (6.8)$$

Which reduces to the expected effective field contribution $b_{\text{eff}} = 2dJs$ and this leads precisely to the effective field contribution in Eq. (6.6).

We now look for a *self-consistent* solution where each $\langle s_i \rangle$ takes on the same value s . This renders $b_{\text{eff},i}$ independent of i and implies, (6.5) and using that there are $2d$ nearest neighbours,

$$s = \tanh[\beta(b + 2dJs)]. \quad (6.9)$$




Fig. 6.3: Graphic solution of the self-consistent mean-field equation (6.10) for the Ising model, illustrating the qualitatively different behaviour for $T > T_c$, $T < T_c$, and $T = T_c$.

Consider first $b = 0$. Define $\varepsilon = 2d\beta Js$ so that

$$\varepsilon = 2d\beta J \tanh \varepsilon, \quad (6.10)$$

with the temperature dependence entering through the β factor. This equation can be solved graphically (see Fig. 6.3), for example. For $T > T_c = 2dJ/k_B$, the only solution is

$\varepsilon = 0$ (i.e., $s = 0$). For $T < T_c$ two new solutions develop (equal in magnitude but opposite in sign) with $|s|$ growing continuously below T_c . Near T_c (from below, i.e., $T < T_c$), $|\varepsilon| \ll 1$ and we can expand $\tanh \varepsilon$ in powers of ε , so that (6.10) becomes

$$\varepsilon = \frac{T_c}{T} \left(\varepsilon - \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^3 + \dots \right), \quad (6.11)$$

and the solution for s is

$$s = \pm \sqrt{3} \left(\frac{T_c - T}{T_c} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \dots, \quad (6.12)$$

to lowest order in $t = (T/T_c - 1)$, the *reduced temperature*. In general, the singular behaviour of the order parameter (in this case the magnetisation, proportional to s), is characterised by a *critical exponent* β (in this case $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$), i.e.

$$M(T, B \rightarrow 0^\pm) \propto |t|^\beta \quad \text{for } T < T_c, \quad (6.13)$$

and $M = 0$ for $T > T_c$. (The critical exponent β should not be confused with $\beta = 1/k_B T$!)

We can also calculate the magnetic susceptibility, $\partial M / \partial B$, i.e. how the system responds to variations in the applied (small) magnetic field B . (This is an example of a *response function*, describing how the order parameter responds to an external perturbation.) Here we consider $\chi = ds/db|_{b=0}$. Differentiating (6.9) gives

$$\frac{ds}{db} = \text{sech}^2 \left[\beta(b + 2Jds) \right] \left(\beta + \frac{T_c}{T} \frac{ds}{db} \right), \quad (6.14)$$

so that for T just above T_c (hence for $s \rightarrow 0$)

$$\chi = \frac{1}{k_B T_c} \left(\frac{T - T_c}{T_c} \right)^{-1}. \quad (6.15)$$

The susceptibility therefore *diverges* as T approaches T_c from above, i.e. $\chi \propto |t|^{-\gamma}$. This exemplifies the power law divergences mentioned above, and supplies another critical exponent γ . The form $\chi \propto |t|^{-\gamma}$ is general, but $\gamma = 1$ is a property of the mean field approximation.

For $T < T_c$ we must take the spontaneous magnetisation $s_0 = \pm \sqrt{-3t}$ into account. Again by expanding the tanh function we can show that

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2k_B T_c} \left(\frac{T - T_c}{T_c} \right)^{-1}. \quad (6.16)$$

Evidently we have the same power γ but the numerical coefficients differ by a factor of 2.

Note that exactly at $T = T_c$ the relation between s and b is nonlinear:

$$s \simeq (\beta_c b + s) - \frac{1}{3} (\beta_c b + s)^3 + \dots. \quad (6.17)$$

The corresponding critical exponent δ is defined by $M \propto B^{1/\delta}$, where $0 < 1/\delta < 1$, since M vanishes for $B \rightarrow 0$ and does so more steeply than the $M \sim B$ behaviour for $T > T_c$. This allows us to neglect b^2 , bs etc. compared to s^3 on the right-hand side of (6.17) to find the *critical isotherm*

$$s(T = T_c, b) \simeq \left(\frac{3b}{k_B T_c} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (6.18)$$

The fact that $M \propto B^{1/\delta}$ along the critical point trajectory is quite general, although the result $\delta = 3$ is specific to the mean field theory approach.

The divergence of the susceptibility also allows us to make contact with the divergence of the correlation length. For this we first define the correlation length ξ as the length scale governing the decay of the *correlation function*

$$G(|i-j|) = (s_i - \langle s_i \rangle)(s_j - \langle s_j \rangle) = \langle s_i s_j \rangle - \langle s_i \rangle \langle s_j \rangle \propto e^{-|i-j|/\xi}, \quad |i-j| \gg \xi. \quad (6.19)$$

To connect this to χ we derive the *static susceptibility sum rule*: from $-b \sum_i s_i$ in H (Eq. (6.1)),

$$s = \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \sum_i s_i \right\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\{s_i\}} \sum_i s_i e^{-\beta H(\{s_i\})} = \frac{1}{N\beta} \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial b}. \quad (6.20)$$

Hence, from the Leibniz rule applied to $1/Z \partial Z / \partial b$, we get the static susceptibility sum rule

$$\chi = \frac{\partial s}{\partial b} = \frac{\beta}{N} \sum_{ij} (\langle s_i s_j \rangle - \langle s_i \rangle \langle s_j \rangle) = \beta \sum_l G(|l|). \quad (6.21)$$

This is an exact relation for the Ising model (note that we did not use the mean field approximation to obtain it). For any finite ξ the exponential decay in Eq. (6.19) ensures that the r.h.s. converges. Hence the only way χ can diverge if ξ diverges as well.

Eq. (6.21) also elucidates some deficiencies of mean field theory. While mean field theory sees χ diverging at T_c , by simplifying the description to that of independent spins (in an effective magnetic field b_{eff}) it implies $\langle s_i s_j \rangle = \langle s_i \rangle \langle s_j \rangle$ for $i \neq j$. Hence, by $s_i^2 = 1$, it gives $G(|i-j|) = \delta_{ij}(1-s^2) = \delta_{0,|i-j|}(1-s^2)$ and thus a r.h.s. for Eq. (8.20) that does *not* diverge. Hence (at least this simplest form of) mean field theory violates the static susceptibility sum rule.

Experimentally, it is found that the spontaneous magnetisation, the susceptibility and the critical isotherm (and the specific heat) all obey power laws near $T = T_c$ and one can measure the corresponding *critical exponents* (i.e. the exponents $\beta, \gamma, \delta, \dots$, calculated above).² In general, because many states have quantitatively similar free energies as $T \rightarrow T_c$, fluctuations involving admixtures of states become important here and mean field theory will not in general be a good approximation. However it is often a useful first approach giving a qualitative prediction of the behaviour at phase transitions. It becomes increasingly accurate, i.e. in agreement with the results of a full numerical calculation, with the number of neighbouring sites participating in the interaction with each spin increasing, since then the effective field becomes a sum of *many* terms which suppresses fluctuations compared with the mean. This happens in high enough d , or for long-range interactions. Ginzburg-Landau theory provides a refined form of mean field theory where “high-enough” d becomes quantitative.

²Note that in the mean field approximation these exponents are independent of the number of spatial dimensions d , but that is not true in general

6.2.1 Universality

In general, the thermodynamic critical properties of completely different physical systems can exhibit similar behaviour; for example, through the same dependence on temperature. In particular the critical point in the liquid-gas system is directly analogous to the transition temperature in the Ising ferromagnet. The analogies are in fact quantitative – the transitions at the critical points are said to be in the same *universality class*. For example, the density discontinuity below the liquid-gas critical point grows as $(T_c - T)^\beta$, where β has the same value as in the growth of the magnetisation below T_c in the Ising ferromagnet and the compressibility in the gas diverges near T_c in the same way that the susceptibility does at the magnetic transition. This illustrates the concept of *universality*; critical points sharing the same critical exponents are said to be in the same *universality class*.

The main difference between the two transitions is that the magnetic field B is an externally applied, *symmetry-breaking* field that can be set to zero. In the liquid-gas there is no symmetry breaking difference between the two states below T_c (the dense liquid and rarefied gas are equally symmetric), and the value of the pressure yielding the transition (corresponding to $B \rightarrow 0$ in the magnetic Ising case) is not a priori obvious.

6.2.2 Fully-Connected Uniform Ising Model

The scope of this subsection is to obtain the phase diagram of a fully-connected uniform Ising model and study its phase transition. In order to do so, several tasks have been set up to guide you through the calculation of the energy and partition function, and to study their dependence on temperature and applied magnetic field.

Mean field theory becomes exact for the Ising model *provided the same interaction acts between all pairs of spins*, not just nearest neighbours. Consider a system with $N (\gg 1)$ spins, with an interaction between each pair of spins proportional to $1/N$.

The Hamiltonian of the model is

$$H = -\frac{J}{N} \sum_{i < j} s_i s_j, \quad s_i = \pm 1, \quad s_i^2 = 1. \quad (6.22)$$

The energy of the system is the ensemble average of H , and therefore the sum over i, j of the ensemble average of $s_i s_j$. The system clearly has translation invariance and thus the latter can only depend on the distance $|i - j|$, as customary. Moreover, this system is rather special in that every spin is equally connected to every other, and thus the system is scale free in that no meaningful notion of distance between two spins can be introduced (alternatively: all the spins are nearest neighbours to one another). Therefore, the ensemble average of $s_i s_j$ does not even depend on the difference $|i - j|$, but rather takes on a constant value ε independent of i or j . As a result, the energy of the system can be written as $-JN\varepsilon$, indeed proportional to N as anticipated (here we used the fact that $\sum_{i,j} 1 = N^2$).

As the sum over i, j in Eq. (6.22) runs over all indices $i, j = 1, \dots, N$, it is proportional to the sum of the double product terms in the square of the sum of all the spins $(\sum_i s_i)^2$.

All terms that are not double products add up to a trivial constant that will be neglected in the remainder of the exercise:

$$H = -\frac{J}{2N} \left[\left(\sum_i s_i \right)^2 - N \right] \rightarrow -\frac{J}{2N} \left(\sum_i s_i \right)^2. \quad (6.23)$$

Let $m = \langle s \rangle$ be the magnetisation per spin, i.e. $m = N^{-1} \sum_i s_i$ with $-1 \leq m \leq +1$. Using this definition in Eq. (6.23), we see that the Hamiltonian depends on a spin configuration $\{s_i\}$ only via its magnetisation $m(\{s_i\})$,³

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} J N m^2. \quad (6.24)$$

Therefore, all configurations $\{s_i\}$ that have the same magnetisation m are in fact energetically degenerate. In order for a configuration to have magnetisation m , it must have N^+ positive spins such that

$$N^+ - \underbrace{(N - N^+)}_{N^-} = mN \quad \implies \quad N^+ = \frac{1}{2} N (1 + m). \quad (6.25)$$

The total number of such degenerate state configurations is nothing but the combinatorial factor of choosing N^+ positive spins out of an ensemble of N total spins, that is:

$$W(m) = \binom{N}{N^+} = \frac{N!}{N^+!(N - N^+)!} = \frac{N!}{\left(\frac{1}{2}N(1+m)\right)!\left(\frac{1}{2}N(1-m)\right)!}. \quad (6.26)$$

Since the Hamiltonian of the system depends on the spin configuration only through the value of the magnetisation, $H = H(m)$, and using Eq. (6.26), we can write the partition function of the system as:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{\{s_i\}_{i=1}^N} e^{-H/k_B T} \\ &= \sum_m e^{-H(m)/k_B T} \left(\sum_{\{s_i\}|_m} 1 \right) \\ &= \sum_m W(m) e^{-H(m)/k_B T}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

where $\{s_i\}|_m$ indicates the ensemble of configurations that have the same value m of the magnetisation.

In the thermodynamic limit ($N \gg 1$) and assuming that the fully polarised configurations $m \simeq \pm 1$ do not play a significant role (hence $N(1 \pm m)/2$ is also a large number), we can use Stirling's approximation $n! \sim n^n e^{-n}$ to obtain a more transparent expression

³Note: since $m \in (-1, 1)$ by definition, this is an alternative way to show that the energy of the system is proportional to N .

for $W(m)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 W(m) &\simeq \frac{N^N e^{-N}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}N(1+m)\right)^{N(1+m)/2} \left(\frac{1}{2}N(1-m)\right)^{N(1-m)/2} e^{-N(1+m)/2 - N(1-m)/2}} \\
 &= \left[\left(\frac{1+m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1+m}{2}} \left(\frac{1-m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-m}{2}} \right]^{-N} \\
 &= \exp \left[-N \left\{ \frac{1+m}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+m}{2} \right) + \frac{1-m}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1-m}{2} \right) \right\} \right]. \tag{6.28}
 \end{aligned}$$

The exponent in the final expression above takes the well-known form of the entropy of mixing, $\rho \ln \rho + (1-\rho) \ln(1-\rho)$ where $\rho = (1+m)/2$ is the density of up spins (and $1-\rho$ is the density of down spins).

In general, in order to obtain the partition function of a system one ought to find a way to compute explicitly the summation in Eq. (6.27). This task rarely comes easy. However, this fully connected model where each spin interacts with every other spin is one of the few cases that are amenable to an explicit calculation that is exact in the thermodynamic limit. Let us start from the partition function written as a sum over m after substituting the expanded version of $W(m)$ and $H = -JNm/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z &= \sum_m \exp \left\{ \frac{N}{2k_B T} \left[Jm^2 - k_B T [(1+m) \ln(1+m) + (1-m) \ln(1-m)] \right] \right\} \\
 &= \sum_m e^{-NF(m)/2k_B T}, \tag{6.29}
 \end{aligned}$$

where an irrelevant overall constant factor $e^{N \ln 2}$ was left out for simplicity.

The partition function is a summation of positive real numbers and therefore can be bounded from *below* by the value of any single one of the elements in the summation; here we choose the largest one and rename it $e^{-NF_{\min}/2k_B T}$ for convenience.⁴ Conversely, the summation can be bounded from *above* by replacing each element by their maximum one. The total number of elements in the summation is the number of values that m can take on; since the spins are discrete, the total magnetisation of the system can only take the values $-N, -N+2, \dots, N-2, N$, which is a number of order $\mathcal{O}(N)$ for large N . Therefore, we can write the following lower and upper bounds for Z :

$$e^{-NF_{\min}/2k_B T} \leq Z \leq N e^{-NF_{\min}/2k_B T}. \tag{6.30}$$

These bounds can be equivalently re-written as

$$-F_{\min}/2k_B T \leq \frac{\ln Z}{N} \leq \frac{\ln N}{N} - F_{\min}/2k_B T. \tag{6.31}$$

In the thermodynamic limit, $\ln N/N \rightarrow 0$ and $\ln Z/N \rightarrow -F_{\min}/2k_B T$. Therefore, the partition function of this fully connected system is controlled asymptotically by the largest value of the elements in the summation over m . It is important to notice that this is not a general property of partition functions, and indeed it would not apply to this very same system if we had instead considered the expression for Z as a summation over spin configurations $\{s+i\}$: in that case, it would have been *wrong* to approximate Z by the largest value of $e^{-H/k_B T}$.⁵

⁴Clearly the maximum of the exponential coincides with the minimum of the function $F(m)$

⁵Recall that in a proper thermodynamic system Z is exponential in the volume and thus $\ln Z \sim N$, and the ratio $\ln Z/N$ does not vanish in the thermodynamic limit in Eq. (6.31).




Fig. 6.4: Left panel: Dependence of the function $-F(m)$ on $m \in (-1, 1)$ for $J = 1$ and different values of $k_B T = 0.7, 1.0, 1.3$ (red, blue, green). Right panel: same function and parameter values with the addition of a magnetic field of strength $B = 0.05$.

In conclusion, the partition function of this system and therefore all of its thermodynamic properties are determined by the minimum of $F(m)$, or equivalently the maximum of

$$-F(m) = Jm^2 - k_B T [(1+m) \ln(1+m) + (1-m) \ln(1-m)]. \quad (6.32)$$

The dependence of this function on $m \in (-1, 1)$ for $J = 1$ and different values of $k_B T$ is illustrated in Fig. 6.4.

The maximum of the function $-F(m)$ can be found by studying its stationary point(s),

$$-\frac{dF(m)}{dm} = 2Jm - k_B T \ln \left(\frac{1+m}{1-m} \right) = 0. \quad (6.33)$$

Using the identity, $\ln[(1+x)/(1-x)] = 2 \tanh^{-1}(x)$, this equation can be re-expressed in a form that should be immediately familiar from the previous discussion in the start of Section 6.2 on mean-field theory for the Ising model:

$$\tanh \left(\frac{Jm}{k_B T} \right) = m. \quad (6.34)$$

It is noteworthy to remark that the above equation is *exact* in the thermodynamic limit for this fully connected model, whereas mean field equations are generally only an approximation to finite range models (in dimensions less than or equal to 3, at least).

A solution at $m = 0$ always exists. If the slope of the hyperbolic tangent at $m = 0$ is smaller than unity, i.e., $J/k_B < T$, then there are no further solutions and one can show that $m = 0$ is indeed a maximum (see for instance Fig. 6.4 or the second derivative hereafter). If the slope is larger than unity, i.e., $J/k_B > T$, then two more solutions appear which are equal maxima of $-F(m)$ at $m = \pm m_0 \neq 0$ whereas $m = 0$ becomes a minimum. This is the characteristic signature of *spontaneous symmetry breaking* that takes place in the system as the temperature is lowered below the *critical temperature* $T_c = J/k_B$.

The second derivative of $-F(m)$ yields

$$-\frac{d^2 F(m)}{dm^2} = 2J - \frac{2k_B T}{1-m^2}. \quad (6.35)$$

Given that the denominator $1 - m^2$ is bounded between 0 and 1 since m is also, for $J < k_B T$ the second derivative is negative for all values of m . At $J = k_B T$, the second

derivative vanishes at $m = 0$ (typical of a second order phase transition) and it is negative elsewhere. For $J > k_B T$ the second derivative is positive for values of m close to 0 and negative close to $m = \pm 1$. This is consistent with the behaviour illustrated in Fig. 6.4.

Expanding the (negative of) Eq. (6.32), recalling that $\ln(1+x) \simeq x - x^2/2 + x^3/3 - x^4/4 + \mathcal{O}(x^5)$, one obtains

$$F(m) \simeq -Jm^2 + k_B T \left[m^2 + \frac{m^4}{6} \right] + \mathcal{O}(m^5). \quad (6.36)$$

Comparing to the expression from Landau theory $F(T) = F_0 + \alpha(T)m^2 + \beta(T)m^4/2$, one obtains

$$\alpha(T) = k_B T - J, \quad \beta(T) = k_B T/3. \quad (6.37)$$

As discussed in Subsection 6.4.1, one finds that $\alpha(T_c) = 0$, and α takes positive values above the transition ($m = 0$) and negative values below ($m = \pm m_0$).

6.2.2.1 Generalising to Uniform External Field

Generalising the model by adding a uniform magnetic field B changes the Hamiltonian (6.24) to $H = -JNm/2 - BNm$. The dependence on the spin configurations remains a dependence solely on their magnetisation m and therefore many of the discussions above carry through with minimal changes.

The place where a magnetic field plays a key role is in the determination of the maximum of $-F(m)$ (i.e., the determination of the equilibrium value of m that in turn determines the value of the partition function of the system). In the presence of a field, Eq. (6.33) needs to be replaced by,

$$-\frac{dF(m)}{dm} = 2Jm + 2B - k_B T \ln \left(\frac{1+m}{1-m} \right) = 0, \quad (6.38)$$

and Eq. (6.34) by

$$\tanh \left(\frac{Jm + B}{k_B T} \right) = m. \quad (6.39)$$

In the new form, the place where the hyperbolic tangent vanishes is shifted to a negative value of $m = -B/J$. For large temperatures $T > J/k_B$, using a graphic solution of the second equation (6.39) above, one can readily observe that the shift results in the intersection with m moving from $m = 0$ to a finite positive value of m . As the temperature is lowered, eventually two further solutions of the equation appear. However, the former one – which in the mean time kept moving to larger and larger values of m – remains the maximum of the function at all temperatures. The two new solutions are a secondary maximum and a minimum, respectively (see the right panel of Fig. 6.4). Therefore, nothing special happens in the system at the temperature where the two new solutions appear. As expected, the applied uniform magnetic field explicitly breaks the symmetry of the system and removes any trace of the thermodynamic transition.⁶

⁶Albeit of no consequences for thermodynamic properties of the system, the appearance of a secondary

6.3 The Heisenberg Model

Consider the same lattice model as in the preceding section, but where the spins have unit length but are otherwise free to rotate in three dimensions, $\mathbf{s}_i = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$ in spherical polar coordinates, with the Hamiltonian ($J > 0$)

$$H = -\frac{J}{2} \sum_{i,\delta} \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+\delta} - b \sum_i \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_i. \quad (6.40)$$

Without loss of generality, we have chosen the direction of the field as the z -axis of the reference frame for the spin direction. In zero field, H is symmetric under generic global rotations of the spins. If an ordered phase develops where $\langle \mathbf{s}_i \rangle \neq 0$, it breaks the symmetry by choosing a direction. As we shall see below, this happens spontaneously for $B = 0$, but in presence of a finite field we expect the magnetisation to align with the field direction. Therefore, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\langle \mathbf{s}_i \rangle \equiv \mathbf{s} = s \hat{\mathbf{z}}$.

In the mean field approximation of small fluctuations about the average spin value, $|\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}| \ll 1$, we can expand the interaction energy of the system to linear order

$$\begin{aligned} H &= -\frac{J}{2} \sum_{i,\delta} [(\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}) + \mathbf{s}] \cdot [(\mathbf{s}_{i+\delta} - \mathbf{s}) + \mathbf{s}] - b \sum_i \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_i \\ &\simeq -(2dJs + B) \sum_i \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_i, \\ &= -b_{\text{eff}} \sum_i \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_i, \quad b_{\text{eff}} = 2dJs + b. \end{aligned} \quad (6.41)$$

Hence, we can approximate the canonical *partition function* (6.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{\{\mathbf{s}_i\}} e^{-\beta H(\{\mathbf{s}_i\})} \simeq \left[\int d\cos \theta d\phi e^{\beta b_{\text{eff}} \cos \theta} \right]^N \\ &= (2\pi)^N \left[\int_{-1}^1 dx e^{\beta b_{\text{eff}} x} \right]^N \\ &= (2\pi)^N \left[\frac{2 \sinh(\beta b_{\text{eff}})}{\beta b_{\text{eff}}} \right]^N. \end{aligned} \quad (6.42)$$

Similarly, we can obtain the average spin $s = \sum_i \langle \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} \rangle / N$

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \frac{1}{N\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial b_{\text{eff}}} \quad \text{given (6.20)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\beta b_{\text{eff}}} + \coth(\beta b_{\text{eff}}) \\ &\simeq \frac{\beta b_{\text{eff}}}{3} - \frac{(\beta b_{\text{eff}})^3}{45} + \dots, \quad b_{\text{eff}} = 2dJs + b. \end{aligned} \quad (6.43)$$

maximum in $-F(m)$ means that a special value of m exists that the system favours over “nearby” values. This feature can have important consequences in the dynamical properties of the system, as it can for instance give rise to long-lived metastable states: if we prepare the system near the secondary maximum, it may take a long time for thermal fluctuations to allow the system to relax to the absolute thermodynamic maximum. However, discussing these phenomena is beyond the scope of this lecture course.

for small βb_{eff} , valid for small B and T near T_c . The expansion again has a positive linear and a negative cubic term, hence from here on the story is as in the Ising case except for prefactors. Introducing $\varepsilon = 2d\beta J s$ we have

$$\varepsilon = 2d\beta J \left[-\frac{1}{\varepsilon + \beta b} + \coth(\varepsilon + \beta b) \right] \approx 2d\beta J \left[\frac{\varepsilon + \beta b}{3} - \frac{(\varepsilon + \beta b)^3}{45} \right]. \quad (6.44)$$

Let us consider $B = 0$, Fig. 6.3 again applies (qualitatively). Eq. (6.44) can then be studied graphically, as for the case of the Ising ferromagnet. The function on the r.h.s. behaves indeed similarly in the two cases and qualitatively one can refer to Fig. 6.3. Once again, we find a spontaneous symmetry breaking transition when the slope of the r.h.s. at $\varepsilon = 0$, $2d\beta J/3$, is equal to 1. Therefore, $2d\beta J/3 = T_c/T$ or $T_c = 2dJ/3k_B$.

We can expand Eq. (6.44) for small s (small ε), as appropriate in proximity of the phase transition, to find ($T < T_c$)

$$\varepsilon = \frac{T_c}{T} \left(\varepsilon - \frac{1}{15} \varepsilon^3 + \dots \right), \quad (6.45)$$

and the solution for s is

$$s = \pm \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} \left(\frac{T_c - T}{T_c} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \dots \propto \pm |t|^\beta, \quad (6.46)$$

to lowest order in $t = (T/T_c - 1)$. Once again, we find the critical exponent $\beta = 1/2$.

We can obtain the magnetic susceptibility $\chi = ds/db|_{b=0}$ by differentiating Eq. (6.43)

$$\chi = \beta(1 + 2dJ\chi) \left[\frac{1}{(2d\beta Js)^2} - \frac{1}{\sinh^2(2d\beta Js)} \right]. \quad (6.47)$$

For T just above T_c , s vanishes in the limit $B \rightarrow 0$ and the expansion in small s of the term in square brackets shows that it tends to the finite value $1/3$,

$$\chi = \frac{\beta}{3}(2dJ\chi + 1) + \dots \implies \chi = \frac{1}{3k_B T_c} \left(\frac{T_c - T}{T_c} \right)^{-1} + \dots \propto |t|^{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma = 1. \quad (6.48)$$

Notice the additional factor $1/3$ in the coefficient. For $T < T_c$, we again need to account for the spontaneous magnetisation $s = \pm \sqrt{-5t/3}$. Hence in the small s expansion we must also consider the cubic term. After some algebra, and using $T = T_c(1+t)$, one again find $\gamma = 1$ but a different prefactor,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{9k_B T_c} \left(\frac{T_c - T}{T_c} \right)^{-1}. \quad (6.49)$$

At $T = T_c$, along the critical isotherm, for small B (hence small s) we can expand Eq. (6.43) to obtain the relation

$$s \simeq \frac{3s + \beta_c B}{3} - \frac{(3s + \beta_c B)^2}{45} + \dots \implies s(T = T_c, B) \simeq \left(\frac{5B}{9k_B T_c} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \propto b^{1/\delta}, \quad \delta = 3, \quad (6.50)$$

giving once again the mean field critical exponent $\delta = 3$ as for the Ising model.

6.4 Ginzburg-Landau Theory of Second Order Phase Transitions

Continuous second order phase transitions occur when an *ordered phase* of reduced symmetry develops continuously from the disordered (high-temperature) phase, across a singularity in the free energy. The ordered phase often has a lower symmetry than the Hamiltonian, signaling *spontaneous symmetry breaking*. In the broken symmetry ordered phase, there is a number (sometimes infinite) of equivalent (equal free energy) symmetry-related states. These are macroscopically different, and so thermal fluctuations will not connect them in the thermodynamic limit. To describe the ordered phase we need to introduce a macroscopic *order parameter* that characterises the nature and strength of the order and broken symmetry.

For example, for the Ising ferromagnet the Hamiltonian is invariant under all $s_i \rightarrow -s_i$ (for all i), whereas the low-temperature phase has a spontaneous magnetisation $\langle s_i \rangle \neq 0$, and so it is not invariant. A convenient order parameter in this case is the total average spin $s = \sum_i \langle s_i \rangle / N$ or the magnetisation density $m = \mu s$. This reflects the nature of the ordering: under the transformation $s_i \rightarrow -s_i$ we have $s \rightarrow -s$, and m goes to zero continuously at the critical temperature T_c .

Note that, for the more complicated case of the Heisenberg ferromagnet, the Hamiltonian is invariant under $\mathbf{s}_i \rightarrow R_3 \mathbf{s}_i$ for all i , where R_3 is any rotation in three-dimensional space.⁷ Hence we must use a *vector* order parameter, with convenient choices such as the average spin $\mathbf{s} = \sum_i \langle \mathbf{s}_i \rangle / N$ or the magnetisation density $\mathbf{m} = \mu \mathbf{s}$.

Now consider the magnetic properties of a metal, say, close to the critical (Curie) temperature, where the correlation length is much larger than the lattice spacing. The degrees of freedom that describe the transition are long-range collective excitations of spins, and their behaviour is well captured by coarse-graining them into a collective variable at a scale much larger than the lattice (i.e. atomic) spacing, e.g. a vector magnetisation $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x})$ in the Heisenberg case, equal to the average of elemental spins in the neighbourhood of the point \mathbf{x} . In other words, we are interested in the slowly varying *vector field* \mathbf{m} , rather than the individual spins.

In other types of phase transitions, the role of $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x})$ is played by the appropriate order parameter. The dimension n of $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x})$ need not be the same as the spatial dimension d of \mathbf{x} . For example, for liquid-gas or Ising transitions $n = 1$, for superfluidity $n = 2$, and for classical (isotropic or Heisenberg) magnets $n = 3$. Likewise we can consider phase transitions in wires ($d = 1$) and on surfaces ($d = 2$).

Ginzburg-Landau theory provides a phenomenological description of critical phenomena applicable in the general case. The key quantity is the coarse-grained, *effective free energy density* f for the system, regarded as a function of $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x})$ and its derivatives, rather than the microscopic Hamiltonian of, for example, the Ising model discussed above. It is useful to conceptualise this in terms of the partition function, considering the Ising model for concreteness. It is constructed on the basis of *symmetries*, rather than precise knowledge of the microscopic properties of the system. We decompose

⁷We have R_3 , for any spatial dimensions d , because the spins are three-dimensional vectors.

$\sum_{\{s_i\}} = \sum_{m(\mathbf{x})} \sum_{\{s_i\} \rightarrow m(\mathbf{x})}$ where $\sum_{\{s_i\} \rightarrow m(\mathbf{x})}$ is a sum over microscopic configurations $\{s_i\}$ that realise a given magnetisation density profile $m(\mathbf{x})$. In terms of this,

$$Z = \sum_{m(\mathbf{x})} \sum_{\{s_i\} \rightarrow m(\mathbf{x})} e^{-\beta H(\{s_i\}, B)} = \sum_{m(\mathbf{x})} e^{-\beta F_R[m(\mathbf{x}), T, B]}, \quad (6.51)$$

where

$$F_R[m(\mathbf{x}), T, B] = \int d^d \mathbf{x} f(m(\mathbf{x}), \nabla m(\mathbf{x}), T, B), \quad (6.52)$$

is the *restricted* free energy (restricted to profile $m(\mathbf{x})$) and f is the corresponding free energy density. Henceforth we drop the R subscript.

Ginzburg-Landau theory rests on two bold simplifications. Firstly, instead of attempting to derive f from the microscopic Hamiltonian, it is constructed using solely *symmetries* and the assumption that the system is close to the critical point, hence $m(\mathbf{x})$ is small. Secondly, it approximates Z by using the field configuration $m(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{m}(\mathbf{x})$ that minimises $F[m(\mathbf{x}), T, B]$, i.e., the most probable field configuration. In this approximation, $\ln Z = -\beta F[\bar{m}(\mathbf{x}), T, B]$.

The first simplification still retains *all* universal information for obtaining the critical properties (critical exponents). The second simplification ignores the fluctuations around the most probable configurations. For this reason, Ginzburg-Landau theory is again a mean field theory. When fluctuations are sufficiently small, one can develop perturbation theory around $\bar{m}(\mathbf{x})$ to get more accurate results. This culminates in the *renormalisation group*, which can sometimes capture experimentally (or numerically) observed critical exponents remarkably well.

6.4.1 Free Energy Expansion

The free energy must be invariant under all relevant symmetry operations of the Hamiltonian, whose dependence on the order parameter is therefore constrained. In particular, the Hamiltonian should have (discrete) translational and rotational symmetry in \mathbf{x} space, and rotational symmetry in \mathbf{m} space, i.e. under rotations $\mathbf{m} \rightarrow R_n \mathbf{m}$. This leads to the general form

$$F[\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}), T, B] = \int d^d \mathbf{x} f(\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}), \nabla \mathbf{m}, T, B), \quad (6.53)$$

where the free energy density f is a function of the local order parameter \mathbf{m} , its spatial derivatives, temperature T and other external parameters such as the magnetic field \mathbf{B} in the case of ferromagnets. Note that $(\nabla \mathbf{m})_{ij} = \partial m_j / \partial x_i$ with $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$, but of course f must be a scalar quantity in terms of both types of indices.

Although there is a strong (and often useful) similarity between (6.53) and the Hamiltonian formulation of classical mechanics encountered earlier, one ought to have a clear understanding of the differences. What we refer to as “Hamiltonian” in this section is an effective description of the free energy of the system *in thermodynamic equilibrium*. As such, there is no notion of dynamics or time dependence here (note that indeed only *spatial* derivatives of the field $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x})$ appear in this Hamiltonian). Whereas minimising the action in classical mechanics allowed one to obtain the equations of motion of the system (i.e., its preferred “space-time trajectory”), minimising the free energy (6.53) gives the

most thermodynamically favourable state of the system. It would of course make no sense at all to try and use (6.53) to obtain equations of motion!

We first study the system for $B = 0$ and near T_c . Near the transition temperature $|\mathbf{m}|$ is small so we can expand f in powers of \mathbf{m} (and its derivatives):

$$f = f_0(T) + \alpha(T)\mathbf{m}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta(T)\mathbf{m}^4 + \cdots + \gamma(T)(\nabla\mathbf{m})^2 + \delta(T)(\nabla\mathbf{m})^4 + \cdots, \quad (6.54)$$

where α, β, \dots , are non-universal phenomenological parameters determined by the microscopic properties of the system. The probability of a particular configuration is $\propto \exp(-\beta H)$, but in general these parameters are *not* proportional to $(k_B T)^{-1}$.

For the specific example of the Ising ferromagnet in the absence of an applied magnetic field, the order parameter is the scalar field $m(\mathbf{x})$, i.e. $n = 1$, the magnetisation per unit volume or the magnetisation per spin averaged over some reasonably macroscopic volume. In this case

$$f(m, T) = f_0(T) + \alpha(T)m^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta(T)m^4 + \gamma(T)\nabla m \cdot \nabla m + \cdots, \quad (6.55)$$

where the term involving spatial derivatives of m corresponds to the free energy cost of a non-uniform m . As ferromagnetic interactions impose an energy penalty for non-uniform configurations, we require $\gamma(T) > 0$ so that a spatially uniform $m(\mathbf{x})$ gives the lowest value of the free energy.

In $\alpha(T)m^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta(T)m^4$, we recognise a (real version) of $V(\varphi)$ we saw for the scalar field (upon $\varphi \rightarrow m$) in Section 5.2. As there, we require $\beta(T) > 0$ to ensure that f has a minimum and the minimising value is $\bar{m} = 0$ for $\alpha(T) > 0$, while it is $\bar{m} \neq 0$ for $\alpha(T) < 0$. This shows that the ordered phase must set in for $\alpha(T) < 0$. Since we work near T_c , we can expand $\alpha(T)$ and take $\alpha(T) \simeq a(T - T_c)$. Since $\beta(T) > 0$ is the only requirement, we can simply take $\beta(T) \simeq b > 0$ a constant. Similarly, we simplify $\gamma(T) > 0$ to $\gamma(T) \simeq c > 0$ another constant. Since we expect the ordering in m to drive the leading temperature dependence, we also take $f_0(T) = f_0$ (constant). In summary, the behaviour of Ising ferromagnets near the critical point should be captured by

$$f(m, T) \simeq f_0 + a(T - T_c)m^2 + \frac{1}{2}bm^4 + c\nabla m \cdot \nabla m. \quad (6.56)$$

Sixth and higher order terms in m could also be included but are usually unnecessary for capturing the important behaviour near the critical temperature T_c . Only quadratic derivative terms are usually needed, since $\gamma(T_c) > 0$ implies an $m(\mathbf{x})$ smoothly varying in \mathbf{x} .

In the discrete (lattice) space case, it corresponds to nearest-neighbour interactions of the form

$$\sum_{i, \mu} \frac{1}{a^2} [m(\mathbf{x}_i + \boldsymbol{\mu}) - m(\mathbf{x}_i)]^2 \quad (6.57)$$

where a is the lattice spacing, i labels the lattice points, and the vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ links nearest neighbours. The choice of $\gamma > 0$ ensures that the spatially uniform state gives the lowest value of the free energy f .

6.4.2 Minimum Free Energy

We expect the state that minimises the free energy to be the physically realised state. This is true so long as the fluctuations around this most probable value are small compared to this value. This is not always the case, and Ginzburg-Landau theory then corresponds to a mean field theory that ignores these fluctuations.

Similarly to the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the scalar field in Section 5.2, it is useful to plot f in Eq. (6.56) for a uniform m at various temperatures, as in Fig. 6.5. For $T > T_c$ the free energy has a single minimum at $m = 0$. At $T = T_c$ the curve is very flat at the minimum (varying as m^4) and fluctuations are expected to be particularly important here. Below T_c two new minima develop. To obtain \bar{m} at these minima, we take a uniform m and require

$$\left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial m} \right|_{m=\bar{m}} = 2a(T - T_c)\bar{m} + 2b\bar{m}^3 = 0. \quad (6.58)$$

The solutions are

$$\bar{m} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad T > T_c \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{m} = \pm \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{T_c - T} \quad \text{for} \quad T < T_c. \quad (6.59)$$

Recalling $t = (T - T_c)/T_c$, this recovers $\bar{m} \sim (-t)^\beta$ with $\beta = 1/2$ from mean field theory. Evaluating f at \bar{m} gives

$$\bar{f} = f_0 - \frac{a^2(T - T_c)^2}{2b}, \quad (6.60)$$

showing the lowering of the free energy by the ordering (one can easily verify that $\bar{f} = f_0$ for $T = T_c$).




Fig. 6.5: Free energy density f as a function of (uniform) m , for various values of temperature T . The curves are vertically offset for better visibility.

We can gain useful insight into the transition by plotting f in (6.56) for a uniform m at various temperatures, as in Fig. 6.5. For $T > T_c$ the free energy has a single minimum at $m = 0$. Below T_c two new minima at $\bar{m} = \pm \sqrt{a(T_c - T)/b}$ develop. At $T = T_c$ the curve is very flat at the minimum (varying as m^4) and fluctuations are expected to be particularly important here.

Next, we consider the coupling to a magnetic field B :

$$f(m, T, B) \simeq f_0 + a(T - T_c)m^2 + \frac{1}{2}bm^4 + c\nabla m \cdot \nabla m - mB. \quad (6.61)$$

The magnetic field couples directly to the order parameter and is a *symmetry breaking* field: with the $\mu B \sum_i s_i$ term, the Ising Hamiltonian is no longer invariant under spin inversion, $s_i \rightarrow -s_i$, but it is if we also change $B \rightarrow -B$. The same is reflected in the mB term in f . We now have

$$\left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial m} \right|_{m=\bar{m}} = 2a(T - T_c)\bar{m} + 2b\bar{m}^3 - B = 0. \quad (6.62)$$

The free energy is now minimised by a non-zero m in the direction of B ($m > 0$ if $B > 0$, and vice versa). Minimising f again with respect to m , we find that for $T > T_c$ the susceptibility diverges,

$$\chi = \left. \frac{\partial \bar{m}}{\partial B} \right|_{B=0} = \frac{1}{2a} \frac{1}{T - T_c} \propto |t|^{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma = 1. \quad (6.63)$$

To derive this expression, first obtain the equivalent of (6.58) from (6.61); then take the derivative with respect to B and find χ as a function of \bar{m}^2 . In obtaining χ , we used that $\bar{m} = 0$ for $B = 0$, which we already derived earlier. The $T < T_c$ case is left as an exercise, now $\bar{m} \neq 0$, how does χ differ for $T < T_c$?

At $T = T_c$ for small B we find

$$\bar{m} = \left(\frac{1}{2b} \right)^{1/3} B^{1/3} \sim B^{1/\delta}, \quad \delta = 3. \quad (6.64)$$

The exponents β , γ , δ recover their values from the mean field theory for the Ising model. This is not surprising, since Ginzburg-Landau theory is a (refined form of) mean field theory.

6.4.3 Uniaxial Ferromagnetic in Magnetic Field

The Landau free energy expansion for a uniaxial ferromagnet in a magnetic field can be written as

$$F = F_0 - hm + \frac{a}{2}m^2 + \frac{b}{4}m^4, \quad (6.65)$$

where m is the magnetisation of the system and h represents an externally applied magnetic field.

Phase transitions occur when a new state (ordered) state develops from the disordered (high temperature) phase. The appearance of the new state is typically described using an order parameter, say the magnetisation in an Ising model. Ginzburg-Landau theory provides a phenomenological description of critical phenomena based on an appropriately coarse grained order parameter m . It is constructed on the basis of symmetries rather than precise knowledge of the microscopic properties of the system.

Near the transition temperature, where the order parameter vanishes, one can expand the Ginzburg-Landau free energy in powers of m and its derivatives. The latter often

penalise spatial variations of the parameter m and thus one can further simplify the free energy expansion by considering the case of uniform m , as in this example.

In an expansion of the form Eq. (6.65) we require that $b > 0$ for the free energy to be bounded from below ($b = 0$ is acceptable if $a > 0$); we also recognise the second term on the right hand side as an externally applied magnetic field; finally, we also know that a transition in this free energy occurs when a changes sign (and therefore $a(T_c) = 0$).

The magnetisation of the system scales like a power law of the applied field along the critical isotherm (for small fields), and the exponent is $1/\delta$.

Along the critical isotherm $T = T_c$, $a(T_c) = 0$ and

$$F(T_c) = F_0 - hm + \frac{b}{4}m^4. \quad (6.66)$$

The equilibrium value of m can be obtained from the equation $\partial F/\partial m = 0$,

$$\frac{\partial F(T_c)}{\partial m} = -h + bm^3 = 0 \quad \implies \quad m = \sqrt[3]{\frac{h}{b}}, \quad (6.67)$$

and therefore again $\delta = 3$.

The dependence of the magnetisation on the applied field and temperature can be obtained as above,

$$\frac{\partial F(T)}{\partial m} = -h + a(T)m + b(T)m^3 = 0. \quad (6.68)$$

However, rather than solving for m , it is convenient to take a further derivative now with respect to h :

$$\frac{\partial^2 F(T)}{\partial h \partial m} = -1 + a(T)\chi + 3b(T)m^2\chi = 0, \quad (6.69)$$

where we have used the definition of the susceptibility $\chi = (\partial m/\partial h)|_{h=0}$, and thus

$$\chi = \frac{1}{a(T) + 3b(T)m^2}. \quad (6.70)$$

For $t > 0$ ($T > T_c$), we know that $m = 0$ and therefore $\chi = a(T)^{-1}$.

For $t < 0$, $m \neq 0$ and we can re-write Eq. (6.68) as

$$b(T)m^2 = \frac{h - a(T)m}{m}. \quad (6.71)$$

Substituting into the expression (6.70) for χ , one obtains

$$\chi = \frac{1}{a(T) + 3(h/m - a(T))} \Big|_{h=0} = \frac{1}{-2a(T)} = \frac{1}{2|a(T)|}, \quad (6.72)$$

where we used the fact that $a(T)$ is negative for $T < T_c$.

Finally, it is straightforward to combine these results and show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\chi(t)}{\chi(-t)} = \frac{2|a(-t)|}{a(t)} = 2, \quad (6.73)$$

where we used knowledge of the fact that $a(T) \propto (T - T_c)/T_c$ for T close to T_c .

Let us add the term $dm^3/3$ to the free energy F for a generic real parameter d and set $h = 0$ to see how the nature of the ordering transition is affected. The free energy becomes

$$F = F_0 + \frac{a}{2}m^2 + \frac{d}{3}m^3 + \frac{b}{4}m^4, \quad (6.74)$$

the equilibrium value of the magnetisation is given by

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial m} = 0 = am + dm^2 + bm^3, \quad (6.75)$$

which admits solutions of the form

$$m = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad m = \frac{-d \pm \sqrt{d^2 - 4ab}}{2b}. \quad (6.76)$$

The latter of course are acceptable only if $d^2 > 4ab$.

By looking at the form of the second derivative with respect to m ,

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial m^2} = a + 2dm + 3bm^2, \quad (6.77)$$

we see immediately that the sign at $m = 0$ is controlled solely by the parameter a : if $a > 0$ then $m = 0$ is a minimum; if $a < 0$, it is a maximum.

If $a < 0$, the other two solutions of $\partial F/\partial m = 0$ are acceptable ($d^2 > 4ab$) and therefore they both have to be minima (observe that in this case the two solutions lie on opposite sides of $m = 0$, and that $F(m) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $m \rightarrow \pm\infty$).

If $a > 0$, then the other two solutions (if they exist) are either both positive or both negative. They are therefore a maximum and a minimum, respectively. So long as d is sufficiently small however, the global minimum remains at $m = 0$ (one can check that this is indeed the case for $d < 9ab/2$). The case of larger values of d can well be studied using this very same approach but it is more involved and beyond the scope of the question (an interesting exercise nonetheless!).

Similarly to the case $d = 0$ considered in the lectures, it is the sign of a that drives a transition from a state with $m = 0$ to a state with $m \neq 0$. However, the cubic term introduces two major changes: (i) the symmetry in the ordered phase is broken explicitly, since $m = (-d - \sqrt{d^2 - 4ab})/2b$ has a lower free energy than $m = (-d + \sqrt{d^2 - 4ab})/2b$; (ii) the change in the order parameter across the critical point $a = 0$ is discontinuous, hence the transition has become *first order*.

6.4.4 Inhomogeneous Systems

Since Ginzburg-Landau theory allows for spatial variations of the order parameter, it can also estimate the correlation length ξ . For this we also consider a spatially varying $B(\mathbf{x})$

in Eq. (6.61) and consider minimising $F = \int d^d \mathbf{x} f$. The equations for this are the Euler-Lagrange equations (3.23) (now not for equations of motion but a field configuration!):

$$\sum_j \partial_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial (\partial_j m)} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial m} \implies 2c \nabla^2 \bar{m}(\mathbf{x}) = 2a(T - T_c) \bar{m}(\mathbf{x}) + 2b \bar{m}^3(\mathbf{x}) - B(\mathbf{x}). \quad (6.78)$$

For the Ising model, with magnetic field term $-\sum_i \mu B_i s_i$, we get the correlation function (6.19) as

$$\frac{k_B T}{\mu} \left. \frac{\partial \langle s_i \rangle}{\partial B_j} \right|_{B=0} = \langle s_i s_j \rangle - \langle s_i \rangle \langle s_j \rangle = G(|i - j|), \quad (6.79)$$

as can be seen following steps similar to those for the static susceptibility sum rule Eq. (6.21). The dependence is on $|i - j|$ because for $B = 0$ the system is translation and inversion invariant. Similarly, we get the correlation function in Ginzburg-Landau theory as

$$G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') = k_B T \left. \frac{\partial \bar{m}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial B(\mathbf{x}')} \right|_{B=0} = k_B T \chi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'), \quad (6.80)$$

with the inhomogeneous susceptibility $\chi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$. Since G describes fluctuations while χ is a response function, Eqs. (6.79) and (6.80) exemplify the *fluctuation-response* relation. From Eq. (6.78), we find

$$-2c \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \chi + 2a(T - T_c) \chi + 6b \bar{m}^2 \chi = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'), \quad (6.81)$$

where $\partial B(\mathbf{x})/\partial B(\mathbf{x}') = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$ (the continuum generalisation of $\partial B_i/\partial B_j = \delta_{ij}$) and we use the homogeneous \bar{m} value for $B = 0$ from Eq. (6.59). We can also write this as

$$(-\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \xi^{-2}) G = \frac{k_B T}{2c} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'), \quad \xi^{-2} = \frac{a(T - T_c) + 3b \bar{m}^2}{c}. \quad (6.82)$$

By dimensional analysis ξ is a length, and since the correlation length is the only relevant length scale near the critical point (and indeed ξ is the only length parameter on the l.h.s. entering, implicitly, the correlation function G), we identify ξ as the correlation length. From Eq. (6.59), $\xi^{-2} \propto |t|$, hence we find $\xi \propto |t|^{-\nu}$ with the *correlation length exponent* $\nu = 1/2$. The form $\xi \propto |t|^{-\nu}$ is true in general for critical points. (The value $\nu = 1/2$ is specific to Ginzburg-Landau theory.)

6.4.5 Ginzburg Criterion

Using the Ginzburg-Landau theory, we can also quantify what “sufficiently high” dimension d is for mean field theory to work. For this we establish a criterion for fluctuations to be suppressed compared to averages as we approach the critical point. To quantify fluctuations we consider (with $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{0}$ below without loss of generality by translation invariance)

$$\int_{\Omega_{\text{corr}}} d^d \mathbf{x} \langle m(\mathbf{x}) m(0) \rangle - \langle m(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \langle m(0) \rangle = \int_{\Omega_{\text{corr}}} d^d \mathbf{x} G(\mathbf{x}), \quad (6.83)$$

where $\Omega_{\text{corr}} \sim \xi^d$ is the “correlation volume” the volume within which spins are correlated with those near the origin. To quantify averages, we note the correlation function indicates a deviation of $\langle m(\mathbf{x}) m(0) \rangle$ from $\langle m(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \langle m(0) \rangle = \bar{m}^2$. The integral above measures this deviation within Ω_{corr} . The corresponding measure for the averages thus also uses Ω_{corr} ,

$$\int_{\Omega_{\text{corr}}} d^d \mathbf{x} \bar{m}^2 \sim \xi^d \bar{m}^2. \quad (6.84)$$

To compare fluctuations to averages we hence use

$$E_{\text{LG}} = \frac{\int_{\Omega_{\text{corr}}} d^d \mathbf{x} G(\mathbf{x})}{\xi^d \bar{m}^2} \approx \frac{\int d^d \mathbf{x} G(\mathbf{x})}{\xi^d \bar{m}^2} = \frac{k_B T_c \chi}{\xi^d \bar{m}^2}, \quad (6.85)$$

where we used that $G(\mathbf{x}) \propto e^{-|\mathbf{x}|/\xi}$ allows us to extend the integration over the whole space without a great error in our estimate, and in the last equality we used the static susceptibility sum rule Eq. (6.21) and took $T \approx T_c$ since we work near the critical point. (Note that E_{LG} requires $T < T_c$, otherwise $\bar{m} = 0$.)

Evaluating E_{LG} using the mean field (Ginzburg Landau) exponents in $\bar{m} \propto |t|^\beta$, $\chi \propto |t|^{-\gamma}$, and $\xi \propto |t|^{-\nu}$ and requiring $E_{\text{LG}} \ll 1$ for $t \rightarrow 0$ gives the *Ginzburg criterion*,

$$E_{\text{LG}} \propto |t|^{\nu d - \gamma - 2\beta} \implies d > \frac{2\beta + \gamma}{\nu} \equiv d_{cU}. \quad (6.86)$$

The dimensionality d_{cU} is called *upper critical dimension*, mean field theory is inaccurate for $d < d_{cU}$.⁸ In the Ising case, $\beta = 1/2$, $\gamma = 1$, $\nu = 1/2$, hence $d_{cU} = 4$.⁹ It is important to use the mean field exponents to get d_{cU} : for the exact exponents $d\nu = 2\beta + \gamma$ turns out to be an exact relation.¹⁰

6.4.6 Planar Spins and $U(1)$ Symmetry

How would the considerations change for planar spins $\mathbf{s}_j = (s_j^{(x)}, s_j^{(y)})$? Here we can use a complex variable $s_j = s_j^{(x)} + i s_j^{(y)}$ and hence a complex scalar order parameter $m \neq m^*$. We now perform the expansion of f in $\text{Re}\{m\}$ and $\text{Im}\{m\}$ and demand invariance under a change of phase $m \rightarrow e^{-i\varepsilon} m$. This leads to the form

$$f = f_0 + a(T - T_c)|m|^2 + \frac{1}{2}b|m|^4 + c\nabla m \cdot \nabla m^*. \quad (6.87)$$

Although invariant under a phase transformation $m \rightarrow e^{-i\varepsilon} m$, a term $\sim |m|^3$ is not allowed because it does not correspond to a term in the Taylor expansion in terms of $\text{Re}\{m\}$ and $\text{Im}\{m\}$. The similarity with the Higgs potential Hamiltonian density (5.2, 5.3) is striking and illustrates how the phenomenon of spontaneous symmetry breaking can be manifest in completely different physical systems.

6.4.7 Complex Order Parameters

Consider the Landau free energy expansion of a system with complex order parameter $\phi(x)$ in 1D:

$$\beta H = \int f dx = \int \left[a\phi^* \phi + \frac{1}{2}(\phi^* \phi)^2 + c(\partial_x \phi^*)(\partial_x \phi) + (\partial_x^2 \phi^*)(\partial_x^2 \phi) \right] dx, \quad (6.88)$$

⁸There is also a *lower critical dimension*, d_{cL} , spontaneous symmetry breaking at nonzero temperature requires $d > d_{cL}$.

⁹and, it turns out, $d_{cL} = 1$.

¹⁰Hence, E_{LG} evaluated with the exact exponents, does not diverge for $t \rightarrow 0$; this is suggestive of why mean field theory can be at least qualitatively useful for $d_{cL} < d < d_{cU}$. It becomes, however, qualitatively wrong below d_{cL} since it predicts a d -independent $T_c > 0$ for symmetry breaking.

with the coefficients a, c real.

The physical state of the system is obtained by minimising the free energy. When $c > 0$, this is equivalent to the free energy expected for an Ising ferromagnet discussed previously, which is minimised by a uniformly constant order parameter $\phi(x) = \bar{\phi}$, except that the order parameter is now complex. We thus need to minimise the function

$$f \Big|_{\phi(x)=\bar{\phi}} = a\bar{\phi}^*\bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\phi}^*\bar{\phi})^2 = a|\bar{\phi}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\bar{\phi}|^4. \quad (6.89)$$

This is the Mexican hat potential encountered when studying spontaneous symmetry breaking in the context of the relativistic scalar complex ϕ^4 field theory. We find

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial |\bar{\phi}|} = 2a|\bar{\phi}| + 2|\bar{\phi}|^3 = 0 \quad \implies \quad \bar{\phi} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad |\bar{\phi}| = \sqrt{-a}. \quad (6.90)$$

For $a > 0$, the minimal solution is $\bar{\phi} = 0$, whereas for $a < 0$ a continuous line of solutions equally minimise the free energy, given by $|\bar{\phi}| = \sqrt{-a}$.

From these it follows that the order parameter develops continuously across the transition at $a = 0$, with a discontinuity in its first derivative. This is therefore a second order phase transition, which spontaneously breaks a global phase change symmetry.

Let us consider a uniform magnetic field B coupled to the order parameter $\phi(x)$. It is convenient here to consider the susceptibility in response to a magnetic field B pointing in the direction of the real axis in the complex ϕ plane. The free energy should then include a further term $-B(\phi + \phi^*)/2$. With this choice, we can take ϕ to be real in the expression for the free energy given above in Eq. (6.88).

We can therefore simplify the expression for the free energy by considering ϕ to be a real scalar field. Once again, for $c > 0$ the free energy is minimised by a uniform constant field $\phi(x) = m$, with m real in this case:

$$f \Big|_{\phi(x)=m} = am^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^4 - Bm \quad (6.91)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial m} = 2am + 2m^3 - B \quad (6.92)$$

This is equivalent to the case of the Ising ferromagnet considered previously. The zero-field susceptibility is obtained by taking the derivative with respect to B of the equation (6.92) that determines the physical value of m ,

$$2a\chi + 6m^2\chi = 1, \quad (6.93)$$

where $\chi = \partial m / \partial B|_{B=0}$, the *zero-field magnetic susceptibility*. Above the transition ($a > 0$), $m(B=0) = 0$ and $\chi = 1/2a$. Below the transition ($a < 0$), $m(B=0) = \pm\sqrt{-a}$ and $\chi = -1/4a$.

Consider now the general case where c is allowed to take on negative as well as positive values. Assume that the order parameter takes the form $\phi(x) = \phi_0 e^{i(kx+\delta)}$, where $\phi_0 > 0$,

k and δ are real constants. In order to minimise the free energy with respect to these constants, we complete a straightforward substitution into the free energy of the system (6.88) to give

$$f \Big|_{\phi(x)=\phi_0 e^{i(kx+\delta)}} = a\phi_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_0^4 + ck^2\phi_0^2 + k^4 = (a + ck^2 + k^4)\phi_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_0^4. \quad (6.94)$$

In order to minimise the free energy, we need to solve the system of differential equations

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi_0} = 2(a + ck^2 + k^4)\phi_0 + 2\phi_0^3 = 0 \quad (6.95)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial k} = 2k(c + 2k^2)\phi_0^2 = 0. \quad (6.96)$$

- If $a > 0$ and $c > 0$, then the only solution is clearly $\phi_0 = 0$ for any k . This corresponds to the disordered “paramagnetic” phase where the order parameter vanishes.
- If $a < 0$ and $c > 0$, then an additional solution appears from (6.95), for $k = 0$ and $\phi_0^2 = -a$. In order to see which of the two solutions is the global minimum (and thus corresponds to the physical state), we need to compare the free energies: (i) $f|_{\phi_0=0} = 0$; and (ii) $f|_{k=0, \phi_0^2=-a}$. Clearly the free energy (ii) is lowest, and this region of parameter space corresponds to an ordered phase with uniform ($k = 0$) order parameter $\phi_0^2 = -a$.
- If $c < 0$, a new solution to the second differential equation (6.96) appears for $k^2 = -c/2$. With this choice for k , the first differential equation gives $\phi_0^2 = c^2/4 - a$ (in addition to $\phi_0 = 0$, which is always a solution). Notice that this new finite value of the order parameter for finite k is allowed only if $a < c^2/4$. The free energy of this new solution

$$f \Big|_{k^2=-c/2, \phi_0^2=c^2/4-a} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c^2}{4} - a \right)^2, \quad (6.97)$$

is always negative, thus lower than that for $\phi_0, f = 0$.

In the region of parameter space where $a < 0$ and $c < 0$, this new solution should also be compared to the other one: $k = 0, \phi_0^2 = -a, f = -a^2/2$:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c^2}{4} - a \right)^2 < -\frac{a^2}{2} \implies \frac{c^2}{2} \left(\frac{c^2}{8} - a \right) > 0, \quad (6.98)$$

which is always satisfied for $a < 0$. Therefore, whenever the new finite- k solution is allowed, it is indeed the global minimum of the free energy and thus the physical state of the system. Notice that $k^2 = -c/2, \phi_0^2 = c^2/4 - a$ corresponds to a modulated rather than uniform order parameter, with wave vector $k = \pm\sqrt{-c/2}$.

There is no dependence on δ in the free energy. This term represents a global phase in the order parameter, and the free energy is symmetric upon changes in the global phase; therefore it cannot depend on δ .

As discussed, the system undergoes a spontaneous breaking of the global phase symmetry. In the ordered phase, the system will spontaneously choose a value for δ , but this choice is arbitrary rather than being dictated by a free energy minimisation principle.

Using the information obtained, it is straightforward to draw the phase transition lines (or phase boundaries) in the ac plane in Fig. 6.6.




Fig. 6.6: Caption

The point P where three transition lines meet is called a *tricritical point* (indeed characteristic of a Lifshitz free energy).

Let us consider the cases $a = c$ and $a = 0, c < 0$ and study the behaviour of the order parameter when it approaches the tricritical point ($a = 0, c = 0$) from the ordered phase. In both cases, the latter phase corresponds to $k^2 = -c/2$, $\phi_0^2 = c^2/4 - a$, and therefore:

$$\begin{cases} \phi_0^2 = c^2/4 - c \simeq -c & a = c, c < 0 \\ \phi_0^2 = c^2/4 & a = 0, c < 0 \end{cases} \quad (6.99)$$

where we used the fact that, close to the phase transition, $|c|$ is small and thus $c^2 \ll |c|$. The critical exponent of the order parameter, $\phi_0 \sim (-c)^\beta$, changes from $\beta = 1/2$ to $\beta = 1$, depending on the direction of approach to the critical point.

6.4.8 Nematic Liquid Crystal

A nematic liquid crystal is similar to a ferromagnet, in that it consists of a large number, N , of interacting rod shaped molecules each oriented along a vector \mathbf{s}_i . However, in this case the molecules interact via an energy $E = -(\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_j)^2$. Nematic liquid crystals also display a transition from disordered to aligned at a given temperature.

The nematic energy, $E = -(\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_j)^2$, equally favours alignment *or* anti-alignment. The ferro-magnet aligned state has the spins all pointing in the same direction, giving a finite \mathbf{m} , whereas the nematic ground state can contain equal numbers of aligned and anti-aligned spins so the vector $\mathbf{m} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{s}_i$ always vanishes giving $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$. The nematic energy does *not* actually distinguish between alignment and anti-alignment, so the fully aligned state is also a ground state. However, on combinatorial grounds there are many more ground states with $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$, hence this is what is observed and \mathbf{m} is not a good order parameter.

We instead use the 3×3 tensor order parameter $S_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (3s_{i\alpha}s_{i\beta} - \delta_{\alpha\beta})$, where MOI???

6.5 First Order Phase Transitions

The Landau-Ginzburg free energy formalism describes not only continuous phase transitions, but also first order transitions. This is the case for instance of a liquid-solid transition where the order parameter is the density ρ of the liquid. In this case, there is no symmetry in changing the sign of the density and cubic terms are allowed in the free energy expansion. Assuming for simplicity a uniform density,

$$f = f_0 + a(T - T_c)\rho^2 + c\rho^3 + \frac{b}{2}\rho^4, \quad (6.100)$$

whose behaviour is represented schematically in Fig. 6.7(a).

At high temperatures there is a single minimum at $\rho = 0$ corresponding to the liquid phase. As the temperature is lowered, a second minimum develops at $\rho \neq 0$. Its free energy is initially higher than the liquid, and the new phase is therefore considered metastable. For $T < T_m$ the new free energy minimum becomes lower than the minimum at $\rho = 0$. T_m is the melting, or coexistence, temperature where the liquid and solid phases have the same free energy. At T_m the value of the order parameter ρ jumps discontinuously, in contrast to the behaviour at a continuous phase transition. Notice that there is a temperature window $T_c < T < T_m$ where the minimum at $\rho = 0$ survives, albeit being only a local minimum (metastable). It disappears only for $T < T_c$, when the liquid state no longer exists.




Fig. 6.7: Behaviour of the Free energy when (a) a cubic term is included; and (b) in the case where the coefficient of the quartic term is negative.

Alternatively, first order transitions can arise in the absence of cubic terms, when the coefficient of the quartic term happens to be *negative*. In this case, we need a *sixth* (or higher) order term with positive coefficient to ensure that the free energy is bounded from below. Again, assuming the order parameter m to be uniform for simplicity,

$$f = f_0 + a(T - T_c)m^2 - \frac{b}{2}m^4 + \frac{c}{3}m^6, \quad (6.101)$$

which is illustrated in Fig. 6.7(b). In this case, two symmetric secondary minima develop as the temperature is lowered. They are initially metastable, and they become the lowest minima at T_f , the first order transition temperature. Here the order parameter jumps discontinuously from $m = 0$ to a finite value of m , at is *spontaneously breaks the symmetry* between the two new minima. Once again, the $m = 0$ phase survives as a secondary (metastable) minimum below T_f and disappears only at some lower temperature T_c .

N.B.: The Landau-Ginzburg description is not necessarily accurate at first order transitions. Since there is a jump in the order parameter, there is no guarantee that the truncated expansion be a reasonable approximation. Although it is useful to illustrate the qualitative behaviour, it can give misleading conclusions.

Propagators and causality

A dynamical variable $q(t)$ with zero average, $\langle q \rangle = 0$, always has a conjugate force $\phi(t)$ that enters the Lagrangian density¹ defined so that the corresponding perturbation of the potential energy is $-q\phi$. This also applies to the physical fields entering the Lagrangian density. As a simple example, consider a particle on a spring with a potential $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}\kappa x^2$ (in equilibrium at $x = 0$). Applying an external force results in the perturbation of the potential so that $V' = V(x) - xf$. The new equilibrium is now at $\langle x \rangle_f = \frac{1}{\kappa}f$. We thus define a linear static response function $\alpha = \frac{1}{\kappa}$ in this example. In the context of phase transitions, the order parameter $m(\mathbf{x})$ was q and the magnetic field $B(\mathbf{x})$ was ϕ , for another example. Table 7.1 includes these and other familiar examples.

q	ϕ	
position x	force f	$-xf$
charge Q	voltage V	$-QV$
magnetic moment m	magnetic induction B	$-mB$
polarisation P	electric field E	$-PE$
volume V	pressure p	$-Vp$

Table 7.1: Examples of conjugate forces $\phi(t)$ for dynamical variables $q(t)$ with zero average, $\langle q \rangle = 0$, such that the corresponding perturbation of the potential energy is $-q\phi$.

In fact, different thermodynamic potentials may have the inverse definition of a variable q and its conjugate force ϕ , e.g. volume may be reduced by an externally applied pressure, or pressure may be changed by externally changing the volume. The concept of linear response function, connecting the small change in a quantity induced by its (small) conjugate force, remains valid in each case.

A **linear response function** χ relates a small change in q induced by a small conjugate force ϕ . In phase transitions, this was the susceptibility $\chi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \partial m(\mathbf{x}) / \partial B(\mathbf{x}')|_{B \rightarrow 0}$, which implies $m(\mathbf{x}) = \int d^d \mathbf{x}' \chi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') B(\mathbf{x}')$.² This is an equilibrium, or “static”, relation. More generally, however, forces and responses vary in time. In this case we can define a *dynamical* linear response function or *generalised susceptibility* $\chi(t - t')$ relating the response of the variable q to a small external force ϕ as

$$q(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \chi(t - t') \phi(t') dt', \quad (7.1)$$

where we suppressed the spatial dependence for simplicity.

The upper limit of the integral accounts for the principle of causality, the response to the force should arise only after the force has been applied. We can express this directly via χ ,

$$\chi(t - t') = \Theta(t - t') \chi(t - t'), \quad \Theta(t - t') = \begin{cases} 1 & t > t' \\ 0 & t \leq t' \end{cases}, \quad (7.2)$$

¹or the free energy density, depending on context.

²to verify this recall $\partial B(\mathbf{x}) / \partial B(\mathbf{x}') = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$.

where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function.³ With this, we can also integrate to ∞ , hence

$$q(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(t-t')\phi(t') dt', \quad (7.3)$$

or, in the Fourier domain,

$$q(\omega) = \chi(\omega)\phi(\omega). \quad (7.4)$$

The focus of this section is to establish the analytical properties $\chi(\omega)$ must satisfy to recover Eq. (7.2). In what follows we may denote χ by G , for Green's function.⁴ Since χ (or G) “propagates” the effect of the force in time into a response, and since it is causal, it is also called the *causal propagator*.

In quantum mechanics at the Schrödinger level, propagators offer a direct way to include interactions and other complexities using perturbation theory. However, the mathematical concept of cause and effect, with the *propagator* playing the role of a messenger function connecting the two, is applicable to a far broader range of problems. Let us consider, as an introductory example, the classical Lagrangian system of a simple harmonic oscillator.

7.1 Simple Harmonic Oscillator

Consider a discrete particle characterised by its coordinate $x(t)$, in a harmonic potential, possibly subjected to an external driving force $f(t)$. The Lagrangian is

$$L = T - V = \frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa x^2 + x f(t). \quad (7.5)$$

The Euler-Lagrange condition for the minimal action leads to the familiar differential equation

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 x = \phi(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_0^2 = \frac{\kappa}{m}, \quad \phi = \frac{1}{m} f(t) \quad (7.6)$$

We can of course solve the problem directly when the external force is a simple cosine function, $f(t) = f_0 \cos(\Omega t)$. Let us, however, explore the role of a causal propagator in more general terms. Define the propagator (or Green's function in this context) as the solution of

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 \right] G(t-t') = \delta(t-t'), \quad (7.7)$$

or in Fourier-transformed form

$$[-\omega^2 + \omega_0^2] G(\omega) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad G(t-t') = \int G(\omega) e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}. \quad (7.8)$$

The physical meaning of this function is the response to an impulse force, $\delta(t-t')$, which occurred at the moment of time t' . Causality demands that there should be no

³Using the $\Theta(0) = 0$ convention

⁴See Section 7.1 below, and notionally similar also to Eqs. (6.81) and (6.82), but note that G here equals χ and is dynamical

response before the moment $t = t'$, so

$$G(t - t') = \int \frac{e^{-i\omega(t-t')}}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \quad \text{when } t > t', \quad (7.9)$$

$$G(t - t') = 0, \quad \text{when } t < t'. \quad (7.10)$$

Then the solution of (7.6) can be formally written as

$$x(t) = \int G(t - t')\phi(t') dt', \quad \text{a convolution in real time} \quad (7.11)$$

$$\text{or } x(t) = \int x(\omega)e^{-i\omega t} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}, \quad \text{with } x(\omega) = G(\omega)\phi(\omega) \text{ in Fourier representation} \quad (7.12)$$

which may require some contour integration in the complex plane of ω , since $G(\omega)$ has two simple poles at $\omega = \pm\omega_0$. Eq. (7.11) shows that $G(t - t')$ is the propagator we are after. We have to carefully define the integral in Eq. (7.8) to ensure $G(t - t')$ is causal. This will turn out to be encoded in how we approach the poles of $G(\omega)$. Let us illustrate this procedure in an explicit example.

7.1.1 Damped Oscillator

We will be naturally led to the right approach if we consider the damped oscillator, with damping $\gamma > 0$ (and then take $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$, a positive infinitesimal, to get a causal propagator in the undamped case). The physical reason that causality arises automatically here is that damping breaks time-reversal invariance, looking at a plot of $x(t)$ there is no mistaking which way time flows. Hence $\chi(t - t')$ will also know the arrow of time; it will be causal. The explicit calculation of the propagator is, perhaps paradoxically, easier in the case when the oscillator is damped. We take the equation (7.6) and add the frictional force to it,

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \gamma \frac{dx}{dt} + \omega_0^2 x = \phi(t). \quad (7.13)$$

The Green's function is the solution of the corresponding equation with a δ -function source

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \gamma \frac{d}{dt} + \omega_0^2 \right] G(t - t') = \delta(t - t') \quad (7.14)$$

$$\left[-\omega^2 - i\gamma\omega + \omega_0^2 \right] G(\omega) = 1, \quad \text{for } G(t - t') = \int G(\omega)e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}. \quad (7.15)$$

The causal propagator should satisfy the requirement that there be no motion until the force is applied at $t = t'$

$$G(t - t') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-i\omega(t-t')}}{\omega_0^2 - i\gamma\omega - \omega^2} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \quad \text{for } t > t' \quad (7.16)$$

$$G(t - t') = 0 \quad \text{for } t < t' \quad (7.17)$$

(obviously, we require that the damping is positive, $\gamma > 0$). This is a classic case for contour integration in the complex plane of frequency ω . The integrand in (7.16) has two simple poles at the roots of the denominator,

$$\omega_{1,2} = -\frac{i\gamma}{2} \pm \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}}. \quad (7.18)$$

When $\gamma_0^2 > \gamma^2 > 4$ these two poles lie in the lower half of the ω complex plane, shifted down from the real axis by $\gamma/2$ (compare with Eq. (7.9) where they lie on the real axis). The trick is to make a closed integration contour by adding the semi-circular arc $\omega = Re^{i\varphi}$ for $R \rightarrow \infty$ and the phase $\varphi = \{0, \pi\}$ when you close the contour with the arc \mathcal{C}_+ in the upper half-plane (UHP), or $\varphi = -\{0, \pi\}$ when the contour is closed with the arc \mathcal{C}_- in the lower half-plane (LHP), in both cases connecting the points $\omega = +\infty$ and $-\infty$ on the real axis, as required in (7.16). The two contours are illustrated in Fig. 7.1.




Fig. 7.1: Closing the contour in the upper and the lower half-planes makes a difference on whether the poles are enclosed.

When $t - t' > 0$, and $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_\pm$, the argument of the Fourier exponential in (7.16) is $-i|t - t'|Re^{i\varphi}$. On the upper half-plane arc $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_+$, its real part is positive and the contribution to the integral diverges as $R \rightarrow \infty$, hence we must not add \mathcal{C}_+ to the contour.

In contrast, on the lower half-plane arc $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_-$, its real part is negative and the contribution to the integral vanishes as $R \rightarrow \infty$, so we are free to close the contour in the lower half-plane by adding \mathcal{C}_- , and this does not alter the desired result for $G(t - t')$.

We evaluate the integral using the residue theorem⁵. This states that for an *anticlockwise* oriented (and once-winding) closed contour \mathcal{C} around a domain \mathcal{D} which contains poles ω_j of a function f ,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(\omega) d\omega = 2\pi i \times \sum_{\omega_j \in \mathcal{D}} \text{res}\{f, \omega_j\}, \quad (7.19)$$

where $\text{res}\{f, \omega_j\}$ is the residue of f at $\omega = \omega_j$, i.e. the coefficient of $(\omega - \omega_j)^{-1}$ in f 's Laurent series around ω_j .

The poles enclosed do conveniently lie inside the contour closed by the arc \mathcal{C}_- . Note that the contour \mathcal{C}_- is clockwise, in the “wrong” direction, hence there is an extra minus

⁵see Appendix C for a complete discussion and derivation of the residue theorem (C.45).

sign:

$$G(t > t') = \oint_{\mathcal{C}_-} \frac{e^{-i\omega(t-t')}}{\omega_0^2 - i\gamma\omega - \omega^2} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} = -2\pi i [\text{res}\{\omega = \omega_1\} + \text{res}\{\omega = \omega_2\}] \quad (7.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{i}{\omega_1 - \omega_2} [e^{-i\omega_1(t-t')} - e^{-i\omega_2(t-t')}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \gamma^2/4}} e^{-(\gamma/2)|t-t'|} \sin\left(\sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \gamma^2/4}|t-t'|\right), \quad \text{for } t > t'. \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

This shows how the oscillations gradually decay after being excited by an impulse at $t = t'$.

In the non-causal domain $t < t'$, by a similar argument the contour closure has to be done in the upper half-plane and the resulting contour \mathcal{C}_+ does not enclose the poles! Hence $G(t < t') = 0$.

This analysis of the damped oscillator allows us to recover the undamped oscillator as the limiting case $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$, giving $G(t-t') \rightarrow \frac{\Theta(t-t')}{\omega_0} \sin[\omega_0(t-t')]$ in equations (7.7) and (7.11). Try examining the omitted cases, when the damping is too large ($\omega_0^2 < \gamma^2/4$) and when $\gamma < 0$ (negative damping). Trace where the poles move in the complex plane and how this affects the causal response.

7.2 General Features of Causal Propagators

We can distill some general features of $G(\omega)$ of the oscillator example; features that we can impose in more general situations (where one cannot so intuitively add damping) to obtain a causal propagator. The key feature was that, with Fourier conventions,

$$G(t-t') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega) e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}, \quad (7.22)$$

the Fourier image $G(\omega)$ was analytic in the UHP. This ensured $G(t-t') = \Theta(t-t')G(t-t')$. By contrast, $G(\omega)$ has poles in the LHP; this ensured $G(t-t') \neq 0$. In the undamped oscillator we achieved this scenario by taking $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$ in $G(\omega)$.⁶

These observations naturally lead us to a prescription for obtaining causal propagators for the frequently occurring case where $G(\omega)$ has poles on the real axis (such as for the undamped oscillator). In this case, to obtain a causal propagator we can use

$$G^+(\omega) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} G(\omega + i\varepsilon) \quad (7.23)$$

in Eq. (7.22). With this prescription, if $G(\omega)$ has poles at $\omega_j \in \mathbb{R}$, then $G(\omega + i\varepsilon)$ has poles at $\omega + i\varepsilon = \omega_j$, i.e., for $\omega = \omega_j - i\varepsilon \in \text{LHP}$. Hence with $G(\omega) \rightarrow G^+(\omega)$ in Eq. (7.22) we get the causal propagator.⁷

⁶Warning on sign conventions: in Fourier analysis we often use $u(t) \propto \exp(i\omega t)$. In this case, causal poles are in the UHP, and analytically holds in the LHP, as one can verify directly for the damped oscillator.

⁷An equivalent approach is to use $G(\omega)$ as is, with the prescription that we infinitesimally deform the real line part of the integration contour to pass by the poles from above, thus enclosing them in an overall contour closed with \mathcal{C}_- .

7.3 Schrödinger Propagator

This pole (or contour) deformation approach can be used in many contexts to obtain causal propagators. To illustrate this, we consider the propagator for the Schrödinger equation,

$$(i\hbar\partial_t - \hat{H})\hat{G}(t - t') = \delta(t - t')\hat{\mathbb{I}}. \quad (7.24)$$

Here \hat{H} is the Hamiltonian operator and $\hat{\mathbb{I}}$ is the identity operator. Hence $\hat{G}(t - t')$ is also an operator – the so-called Schrödinger propagator. Evaluating matrix elements between states $|\mathbf{x}\rangle$ and $|\mathbf{x}'\rangle$, inserting the resolution of the identity $\int d^d\mathbf{x}'' |\mathbf{x}''\rangle\langle\mathbf{x}''|$, and using $\langle\mathbf{x}'|\hat{H}|\mathbf{x}''\rangle = \delta(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}'')\hat{H}(\mathbf{x}')$, Eq. (7.24) is equivalent to

$$(i\hbar\partial_t - \hat{H}(\mathbf{x}))\hat{G}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') = \delta(t - t')\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'), \quad (7.25)$$

where $\hat{G}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') = \langle\mathbf{x}|\hat{G}(t - t')|\mathbf{x}'\rangle$. In what follows we use the operator form Eq. (7.24).

The Schrödinger propagator does not arise from the Schrödinger equation under some time-dependent conjugate force (such force would also be included in \hat{H} , i.e., act on the wavefunction). However it turns out to arise for in the response of certain many-particle quantum systems to such a conjugate force.

Going to frequency space, with $E = \hbar\omega$, we get

$$(E - \hat{H})\hat{G}(E) = \hat{\mathbb{I}}, \quad \hat{G}(t - t') = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \hat{G}(E). \quad (7.26)$$

We can solve this equation using the basis of eigenstates, $\hat{H}|\varphi_j\rangle = E_j|\varphi_j\rangle$, which gives

$$\hat{G}^+(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sum_j \frac{|\varphi_j\rangle\langle\varphi_j|}{E + i\varepsilon - E_j} \implies \hat{G}(t - t') = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \Theta(t - t') \sum_j e^{-iE_j(t-t')/\hbar} |\varphi_j\rangle\langle\varphi_j|. \quad (7.27)$$

Note that $\hat{G}(E)$ had poles at $E = E_j$ on the real axis which we again pushed infinitesimally to the LHP by using $\hat{G}^+(E)$. In the second sum, we recognise the spectral representation of $\hat{U}(t - t') = e^{-i\hat{H}(t-t')/\hbar}$, hence

$$\hat{G}(t - t') = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \Theta(t - t') \hat{U}(t - t'). \quad (7.28)$$

The literature sometimes calls $\hat{U}(t - t')$, or $\langle\mathbf{x}'|\hat{U}(t - t')|\mathbf{x}\rangle$, the Schrödinger propagator.

7.4 Free Quantum Particle

The approach is exactly the same for more complicated systems as for instance the Schrödinger equation. One needs to separate the non-interacting part of the field $\psi(x, t)$ into the Schrödinger-like system:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi = F(x, t), \quad (7.29)$$




Fig. 7.2: The complex energy plane, illustrating the pole positions and integration contours.

where $F(x, t)$ contains all the interaction terms (the source of the interesting physics but of the complications as well). The propagator G gives the solution for $\psi(t)$ due to interactions at earlier times. In quantum mechanics it is then defined as:

$$\psi(x, t) = \int_{t > t'} dt' \int dx' G(x, x'; t, t') F(x', t'). \quad (7.30)$$

At this point it may be useful to remove a possible confusion in terminology. At least for equations of motion that are first order in $\partial/\partial t$, one can also define a propagator such that $\Psi(x, t) = \int \tilde{G}(x, x'; t, t') \Psi(x', t') dx'$, where $t > t'$ for the non-interacting equation. You can readily check that $\tilde{G} = i\hbar G$. In this sense, \tilde{G} is the amplitude to propagate from x' to x in time $t - t'$.

Clearly, G in (7.30) is just a Green's function of the non-interacting equation,

$$\left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \right) G(x, x'; t, t') = \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t'). \quad (7.31)$$

By using momentum and energy representations, essentially the Fourier transforms through $\exp[-ipx/\hbar]$ and $\exp[-iEt/\hbar]$ (so that $q = p/\hbar$ and $\omega = E/\hbar$), we obtain:⁸

$$\begin{aligned} \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{p^2}{2m} \right) G(p; t, t') &= \delta(t - t') \\ \left(E - \frac{p^2}{2m} \right) G(p; E) &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (7.32)$$

$$G(p; t, t') = \int e^{-iE(t-t')/\hbar} \frac{1}{E - p^2/2m} \frac{dE}{2\pi\hbar}, \quad (7.33)$$

with a single simple pole at $E = p^2/2m$ on the real axis. For $t > t'$ we must close the contour in the lower half-plane and for $t < t'$ in the upper half (to have e^{-z} at $z \rightarrow \infty$ in both cases).

⁸Warning on sign conventions: In QM the sign convention for oscillation with time is $\Psi(t) \propto \exp[-iEt/\hbar]$ whereas for ordinary oscillators and in the general Fourier analysis we use $q(t) \propto \exp[i\omega t]$. As a result, causal poles must lie above the contour for ω , as opposed to below for E . An easy way to sort out the sign convention is to remember that poles with positive damping should naturally be causal.

The principle of causality tells us that the contour must pass above the simple pole at $E = p^2/2m$:

$$G(p; t < t') \quad \text{must be zero} \quad (7.34)$$

$$G(p; t > t') = -2\pi i \left[\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} e^{-i(t-t')(p^2/2m)/\hbar} \right] = -\frac{i}{\hbar} e^{-i\frac{p^2}{2m\hbar}(t-t')}. \quad (7.35)$$

In high energy physics it is common to use the “pole moving trick” to preserve the simplicity of $\int dE$ over real values: move the singular point down into the lower half-plane, $E \rightarrow E + i\varepsilon$,

$$G(p; E) \rightarrow \frac{1}{E - p^2/2m + i\varepsilon}. \quad (7.36)$$

In relativistic quantum mechanics the “dispersion relationship” is $E^2 = p^2c^2 + m^2c^4$

$$G(p; E) \rightarrow \frac{1}{E^2 - p^2c^2 - m^2c^4 + i\varepsilon}, \quad (7.37)$$

and so the two poles at $E = \pm\sqrt{p^2c^2 + m^2c^4} - i\varepsilon$ end up one in each half-plane! This seeming violation of causality (the contour closed in the “wrong” half-plane also produces a result) corresponds to real physics - the contributions of particles and antiparticles, which, as we saw in Section 4.8, give rise to the positive and negative frequency parts of the quantum field.

7.5 Linear Response

In most physical situations, one is faced with time-varying forces and responses. In this case we have

$$\langle q(t) \rangle_f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-t') f(t') dt' \quad (7.38)$$

$$\text{or } \langle q(\omega) \rangle_f = \alpha(\omega) f(\omega) \quad \text{in the Fourier domain,} \quad (7.39)$$

with α the linear response function or *generalised susceptibility*. Causality demands that $\alpha(t-t')$ is finite only for $t > t'$ and is zero for $t < t'$, i.e. there is no response before the force is applied. This imposes a constraint on the real and imaginary parts of $\alpha(\omega)$, which become dependent on each other. We now work out this connection, called the Kramers-Kronig relation.

Write $\alpha(\omega) = \alpha'(\omega) + i\alpha''(\omega)$. Now define a new function of time, $v(t)$, antisymmetric w.r.t. $t \rightarrow -t$, so that $\alpha(t) = \Theta(t)v(t)$ with $\Theta(t)$ the Heaviside step-function. Then the Fourier-transform is given by the convolution

$$\alpha(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta(\omega - \omega_1) v(\omega_1) \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi}. \quad (7.40)$$

Because of our choice of $v(t)$, antisymmetric in time, its Fourier image $v(\omega)$ is purely imaginary (as well as antisymmetric, $v^*(\omega) = v(-\omega) = -v(\omega)$). An efficient way to find




Fig. 7.3: The actual linear response function $\alpha(t)$ and its antisymmetric modification $v(t)$, introduced in Eq. (7.40).

the Fourier-transform of the step-function is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta(t) e^{i\omega t} dt = \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{i\omega t - \epsilon t} dt \right) \Big|_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon - i\omega} \Big|_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} = \frac{\epsilon}{\omega^2 + \epsilon^2} \Big|_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} + \frac{i\omega}{\omega^2 + \epsilon^2} \Big|_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \\
 &= \pi\delta(\omega) + \text{P} \frac{i}{\omega},
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.41}$$

where we used the representation of the delta-function in the first term, and the second term is understood as the principal part. We can now write the Fourier-transform of the linear response function as

$$\alpha(\omega) \equiv \alpha' + i\alpha'' = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\pi\delta(\omega - \omega_1) + \text{P} \frac{i}{\omega - \omega_1} \right) v(\omega_1) \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi}. \tag{7.42}$$

Comparing imaginary parts, we see that $v(\omega) = 2i\alpha''(\omega)$ (purely imaginary as required) and hence

$$\alpha'(\omega) = \text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha''(\omega_1)}{\omega_1 - \omega} \frac{d\omega_1}{\pi}, \tag{7.43}$$

which is the first Kramers-Kronig relation. The derivation of the second Kramers-Kronig relation is left as an exercise:⁹

$$\alpha''(\omega) = -\text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha'(\omega_1)}{\omega_1 - \omega} \frac{d\omega_1}{\pi}. \tag{7.44}$$

7.6 Kramers-Kronig Relations

The fact that $\chi^+(\omega) = G^+(\omega)$ is analytic on the UHP turns out to relate its real and imaginary parts through the so-called **Kramers-Kronig relations**. (We use χ here because these relations are usually stated for susceptibilities.) To obtain these we write, for any $z \in \text{UHP}$,

$$\chi^+(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\chi^+(\omega') d\omega'}{\omega' - z}, \tag{7.45}$$

⁹Hint: consider a symmetric dummy function $v(t) = v(-t)$ with its Fourier-transform purely real.

where \mathcal{C} is an anticlockwise contour in the UHP enclosing the point z . Eq. (7.45) is Cauchy's integral formula (C.48), and can also be seen to follow from the residue theorem Eq. (7.19) since by the analyticity of χ^+ on the UHP, the residue of the integrand is $\chi^+(z)$.

We now apply this for $z = \omega + i\eta \in \text{UHP}$ where we took $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta > 0$. We have

$$\chi^+(\omega + i\eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\chi^+(\omega') d\omega'}{\omega' - \omega - i\eta} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^+(\omega') d\omega'}{\omega' - \omega - i\eta}, \quad (7.46)$$

where the second equality assumes that $|\chi^+(\omega')/\omega'|$ decays to zero faster than $1/|\omega'|$ for $|\omega'| \rightarrow \infty$ in the UHP (which does hold for the examples we considered), hence in using $\mathcal{C} = [-R, R] + \mathcal{C}_+$ with $R \rightarrow \infty$, the \mathcal{C}_+ part of the contour gives vanishing contribution.

The next ingredient we use is called the *Dirac identity*: when under an integral,

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{x \pm i\eta} = \text{P} \frac{1}{x} \mp i\pi \delta(x), \quad (7.47)$$

where P is the Cauchy principal value: with $a, b > 0$, it is defined as

$$\text{P} \int_{-a}^b dx \frac{f(x)}{x} = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\int_{-a}^{-\eta} + \int_{\eta}^b \right) dx \frac{f(x)}{x}, \quad (7.48)$$

for a function f continuous at $x = 0$. One can show Eq. (7.47) by writing

$$\frac{1}{x \pm i\eta} = \frac{x}{x^2 + \eta^2} \mp i \frac{\eta}{x^2 + \eta^2}, \quad (7.49)$$

and noticing that the real part regularises $1/x$ by smoothing the divergence at $x = 0$ while the imaginary part is a representation of $\pi \delta(x)$ through a Lorentzian curve (or Cauchy distribution) of width η . Using Eq. (7.47) in Eq. (7.46) gives

$$\chi^+(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^+(\omega') d\omega'}{\omega' - \omega}. \quad (7.50)$$

Writing now $\chi^+(\omega) = \text{Re}\{\chi^+(\omega)\} + i \text{Im}\{\chi^+(\omega)\}$, for the real and imaginary parts of Eq. (7.50), we find

$$\text{Re}\{\chi^+(\omega)\} = \text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{\pi} \frac{\text{Im}\{\chi^+(\omega')\}}{\omega' - \omega}, \quad \text{Im}\{\chi^+(\omega)\} = -\text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{\pi} \frac{\text{Re}\{\chi^+(\omega')\}}{\omega' - \omega}. \quad (7.51)$$

These are the **Kramers-Kronig** relations. They show that if we know $\text{Im}\{\chi^+(\omega)\}$ then we can reconstruct $\text{Re}\{\chi^+(\omega)\}$ (or vice versa) and hence $\chi^+(\omega)$. However, we need to know $\text{Im}\{\chi^+(\omega)\}$ or $\text{Re}\{\chi^+(\omega)\}$ for all ω to be able to do this; the relation is non-local in frequency space.

The Kramers-Kronig relations are very general; they are based only on causality (and a mild assumption on the decay of $|\chi^+(\omega)/\omega|$). They find a wide range of applications – in dielectric studies, spectroscopy, high energy physics, quantum condensed matter, etc. Note that one always finds

$$\begin{aligned} \text{“Dispersion”} \quad & \alpha'(\omega) = \alpha'(-\omega) \quad \text{even under time reversal,} \\ \text{“Attenuation”} \quad & \alpha''(\omega) = -\alpha''(-\omega) \quad \text{odd under time reversal,} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. it is the dissipative part (attenuation) that is sensitive to the arrow of time.

CHAPTER 8

Dirac Field [*not examinable*]

You may have already encountered the relativistic wave equation for the electron, namely the so-called Dirac equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -i\hbar c \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi + \beta mc^2 \psi, \quad (8.1)$$

where ψ is a 4-component wave function called a *Dirac spinor* and α_j, β are 4×4 hermitian *Dirac matrices* with the properties

$$\alpha_j^2 + \beta^2 = 1, \quad \alpha_j \beta + \beta \alpha_j = \alpha_j \alpha_k + \alpha_k \alpha_j = 0 \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3; j \neq k). \quad (8.2)$$

To make the covariant form of the Dirac equation more apparent, we multiply through by β and rearrange terms to give

$$i\hbar(\beta \partial_0 \psi + c \beta \alpha_j \partial_j \psi) = mc^2 \psi. \quad (8.3)$$

Defining

$$\gamma^0 = \beta, \quad \gamma^j = \beta \alpha_j, \quad (8.4)$$

and dividing by the speed of light c , we can write this as

$$i\hbar \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = mc \psi, \quad (8.5)$$

where one needs to recall that $\partial_0 = \partial/\partial(ct)$. In natural units:

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0. \quad (8.6)$$

From the properties of the α_j and β matrices we find (check it!) that

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu} I_4, \quad (8.7)$$

where the curly brackets $\{, \}$ denote the so called anti-commutator, and I_4 is the 4×4 identity matrix. Whereas the notation may already look covariant, it is important to appreciate that γ^μ is NOT a 4-vector like x^μ or ∂_μ : it is a set of 4 4×4 matrices, which do not transform under Lorentz transformations.

In the so-called standard representation, the γ matrices take the form

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.8)$$

where I_2 is the 2×2 identity matrix and σ_i are Pauli matrices.

To make a 4-vector, we have to sandwich γ^μ between some spinors. Recall that the probability density associated with the Dirac spinor ψ is

$$\rho = \psi^\dagger \psi, \quad (8.9)$$

and the probability current is

$$\mathbf{J} = c\psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\alpha} \psi. \quad (8.10)$$

Introducing the notation

$$\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \beta = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0, \quad (8.11)$$

we have

$$\rho = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \psi, \quad J_j = c \bar{\psi} \gamma^j \psi. \quad (8.12)$$

However, we know that the density and current together form a 4-vector $J^\mu = (c\rho, \mathbf{J})$ and so we may write this as

$$J^\mu = c \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi, \quad (8.13)$$

which shows that $\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$ is a 4-vector.

The density and current satisfy the conservation (continuity) equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}, \quad (8.14)$$

which becomes simply

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = c \partial_\mu (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) = 0. \quad (8.15)$$

Let us verify this directly from the Dirac equation. (For simplicity, from now on we use natural units.) We have

$$\partial_\mu (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) = (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) \gamma^\mu \psi + \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi. \quad (8.16)$$

Now the Dirac equation gives $\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = -im\psi$, so

$$\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = -im \bar{\psi} \psi. \quad (8.17)$$

Taking the adjoint of the Dirac equation gives

$$(\partial_\mu \psi^\dagger) (\gamma^\mu)^\dagger = +im \psi^\dagger. \quad (8.18)$$

From the definition of the γ^μ matrices in terms of the hermitian α_j and β matrices, one may readily verify that

$$(\gamma^\mu)^\dagger = \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0, \quad (8.19)$$

and hence

$$(\partial_\mu \psi^\dagger) (\gamma^\mu)^\dagger = (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 = +im \psi^\dagger. \quad (8.20)$$

Thus, multiplying both sides on the right by γ^0 and using $(\gamma^0)^2 = 1$,

$$(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) \gamma^\mu = +im \bar{\psi}, \quad (8.21)$$

which, together with Eq. (8.17), shows that

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = \partial_\mu (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) = +im \bar{\psi} \psi - im \bar{\psi} \psi = 0. \quad (8.22)$$

8.1 Dirac Lagrangian and Hamiltonian

Now we want to formulate the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} which has the Dirac equation as its equation of motion. A suitable choice is

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi. \quad (8.23)$$

This is a choice in the sense that, as usual, we are free to add a total derivative. For example we could add $-\frac{i}{2}\partial_\mu(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)$ and write \mathcal{L} in a more symmetrically pleasing (yet equivalent) form:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i}{2}[\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - (\partial_\mu\bar{\psi})\gamma^\mu\psi] = m\bar{\psi}\psi. \quad (8.24)$$

Just as we must treat φ and φ^* as independent fields in the Klein-Gordon case, we have to treat ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ as independent here. Using the Lagrangian density (8.23), the equation of motion for $\bar{\psi}$ gives the Dirac equation for ψ directly:

$$\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} = 0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - m\psi, \quad (8.25)$$

while that for ψ gives the corresponding equation (8.21) for $\bar{\psi}$:

$$\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi} = i(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi} = -m\bar{\psi}. \quad (8.26)$$

You should check that the Lagrangian density (8.24) gives the same results. Note that when ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ satisfy their equations of motion, $\mathcal{L} = 0$. In other words, the minimum value of the action is zero.

The Hamiltonian density is

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial t} \bar{\pi} - \mathcal{L}, \quad (8.27)$$

where π and $\bar{\pi}$ are the canonical momentum densities conjugate to ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, respectively. Note that we have been careful with the order of factors: if ψ is represented by a column vector then π is a row vector, and conversely for $\bar{\psi}$ and $\bar{\pi}$. Sticking with the simpler form (8.23) of the Dirac Lagrangian density, we have

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial\psi/\partial t)} = -\bar{\psi}\gamma^0 = i\psi^\dagger, \quad \bar{\pi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial\bar{\psi}/\partial t)} = 0, \quad (8.28)$$

and so (in natural units)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= i\psi^\dagger \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L} \\ &= \psi^\dagger (-i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} + \beta m) \psi, \end{aligned} \quad (8.29)$$

which is just what we would expect for the energy density, given the Dirac equation (8.1).

8.2 Global and Local Phase Symmetry

Like the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian, The Dirac Lagrangian is invariant with respect to a global (space-time independent) phase change in ψ :

$$\psi \rightarrow e^{-i\varepsilon}\psi, \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow e^{+i\varepsilon}\bar{\psi}, \quad (8.30)$$

or, for an infinitesimal change,

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi - i\varepsilon\psi, \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi} + i\varepsilon\bar{\psi}. \quad (8.31)$$

The associated Noether current is the one we found already:

$$J^\mu = -i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \psi)} \psi + i\bar{\psi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi + 0. \quad (8.32)$$

In the presence of an electromagnetic 4-potential A_μ we expect an interaction term $-eJ^\mu A_\mu$, so that the Lagrangian density becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu) \psi \equiv i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi, \quad (8.33)$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu$ is the covariant derivative introduced in Section 4.3. Then, just as in the case of the Klein-Gordon field, we see that \mathcal{L} is invariant under a *local* (space-time dependent) phase change $\varepsilon(x)$ combined with a gauge change $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \varepsilon/e$.

8.3 Stress-Energy Tensor, Angular Momentum and Spin

The stress-energy tensor for the Dirac field is obtained by following the steps in Section 4.5. Using the Lagrangian density (8.23),

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \psi)} \partial^\nu \psi - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial^\nu \psi, \quad (8.34)$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathcal{L} = 0$ when ψ satisfies the equation of motion. One can readily verify from the Dirac equation and Eq. (8.21) that this is indeed conserved. However, we cannot define a *symmetric* stress-energy tensor for the Dirac field and so the construction of a conserved angular momentum is more complicated than for the scalar or electromagnetic field.

By analogy with Eq. (4.123) we may define

$$M^{\lambda\mu\nu} = x^\mu T^{\lambda\nu} - x^\nu T^{\lambda\mu} = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\lambda (x^\mu \partial^\nu - x^\nu \partial^\mu) \psi. \quad (8.35)$$

We find that

$$\partial_\lambda M^{\lambda\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu} - T^{\nu\mu} = i\bar{\psi} (\gamma^\mu \partial^\nu - \gamma^\nu \partial^\mu) \psi \neq 0, \quad (8.36)$$

so this is not conserved. Correspondingly the *orbital angular momentum* defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \int d^3\mathbf{r} M^{0jk} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 (x^j \partial^k - x^k \partial^j) \psi \\ &= -i \int d^3\mathbf{r} \psi^\dagger (\mathbf{r} \times \nabla)_i \psi \end{aligned} \quad (8.37)$$

is not conserved.

Now consider the tensor

$$S^{\lambda\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\lambda (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) \psi, \quad (8.38)$$

which has

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda S^{\lambda\mu\nu} &= \frac{i}{4} (\partial_\lambda \bar{\psi}) \gamma^\lambda (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) \psi + \frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\lambda (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) \partial_\lambda \psi \\ &= -\frac{m}{4} \bar{\psi} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) \psi + \frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\lambda (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) \partial_\lambda \psi \\ &= -\frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) \gamma^\lambda \partial_\lambda \psi + \frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\lambda (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) \partial_\lambda \psi, \end{aligned} \quad (8.39)$$

where we used the Dirac equation, $i(\partial_\lambda \bar{\psi}) \gamma^\lambda = -m\bar{\psi}$, and its adjoint, $m\psi = i\gamma^\lambda \partial_\lambda \psi$, to arrive at the final expression. From the algebra (8.7) of the γ -matrices we find

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu &= -\gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\nu + 2g^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^\nu \\ &= \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda + 2g^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^\nu - 2g^{\lambda\nu} \gamma^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (8.40)$$

and similarly,

$$\gamma^\lambda \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda + 2g^{\lambda\nu} \gamma^\mu - 2g^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^\nu. \quad (8.41)$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_\lambda S^{\lambda\mu\nu} = i\bar{\psi} (g^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^\nu - g^{\lambda\nu} \gamma^\mu) \partial_\lambda \psi = i\bar{\psi} (\gamma^\nu \partial^\mu - \gamma^\mu \partial^\nu) \psi, \quad (8.42)$$

and so

$$\partial_\lambda (M^{\lambda\mu\nu} + S^{\lambda\mu\nu}) = 0. \quad (8.43)$$

The extra piece of this conserved tensor corresponds to the *spin* contribution to the angular momentum, \mathbf{S} , where

$$S_i = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \int d^3\mathbf{r} S^{0jk} = \frac{i}{8} \varepsilon_{ijk} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 (\gamma^j \gamma^k - \gamma^k \gamma^j) \psi, \quad (8.44)$$

or in terms of the α - and β -matrices

$$S_i = -\frac{i}{8} \varepsilon_{ijk} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \psi^\dagger (\alpha_j \alpha_k - \alpha_k \alpha_j) \psi. \quad (8.45)$$

Therefore we define

$$[\alpha_j, \alpha_k] = \alpha_j \alpha_k - \alpha_k \alpha_j = 2i\varepsilon_{ijk} \Sigma_l \quad (8.46)$$

so that

$$\mathbf{S} = \int d^3\mathbf{r} \psi^\dagger \left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right) \psi. \quad (8.47)$$

This is the relativistic version of the Pauli spin $\frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rangle$, which has to be added to the orbital angular momentum (8.37) in order to get the conserved total angular momentum:

$$\mathbf{J} = \int d^3\mathbf{r} \psi^\dagger \left[-i(\mathbf{r} \times \nabla) + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right] \psi. \quad (8.48)$$

(Notice the unfortunate overlapping notation: the 3-vector total angular momentum \mathbf{J} is not to be confused with the spatial component of the 4-vector current J^μ .) The fact

that the spin and orbital contributions are not conserved separately shows that there is *spin-orbit coupling*.

In the conventional representation of the α -matrices

$$\alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_j \\ \sigma_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.49)$$

where the 2×2 Pauli matrices σ_j satisfy

$$[\sigma_j, \sigma_k] = \sigma_j \sigma_k - \sigma_k \sigma_j = 2i\varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_l. \quad (8.50)$$

Eq. (8.46) then give

$$\Sigma_l = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_l & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_l \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.51)$$

which is indeed the natural 4×4 extension of the Pauli matrices.

8.4 Massless Relativistic Particles

The need for a 4-spinor description of the quantum mechanical wave equation is dictated by the requirement that it be relativistic invariant, combined with the presence of a dimensional parameter (the mass). An equation for relativistic massless particles can be written using 2-spinors ψ_2 , in the form of a *Weyl equation*:

$$i\tilde{\gamma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_2 = 0, \quad (8.52)$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}^0 = I_2$ (the 2×2 identity matrix) and $\tilde{\gamma}^i = \sigma_i$. For a plane wave with definite momentum \mathbf{p} and energy $\varepsilon = |\mathbf{p}|$, $\eta_p \sim e^{-ipx}$, the Weyl equation reduces to

$$(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \eta_p = \eta_p, \quad (8.53)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \equiv \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}|$. Similarly for an antiparticle plane wave ξ_p ,

$$(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \xi_p = -\xi_p. \quad (8.54)$$

The operator $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ projects the spin of the (anti)particle onto the direction of motion. Such projection is called the *helicity* and the Weyl equation tells us that states with definite momentum are also helicity eigenstates. An example of this type of particles are *neutrinos*, which conventionally have negative helicity $-1/2$, whereas anti-neutrinos have positive helicity $+1/2$. As we have seen in Section 8.3, the spin and orbital angular momentum are not separately conserved. Only the total angular momentum $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S}$ is conserved. However, since the latter is transverse to the direction of motion (recall $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$), then $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{S} = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}$ and the helicity is therefore conserved.

N.B.: whereas the 2-spinor description of massless neutrinos is sufficient, one must represent them as 4-spinors if they are to interact with relativistic massive particles. In such 4-spinor representation, the absence of mass is only accidental (as opposed to being a strict necessity in the 2-spinor representation), and in general interactions do produce a small but non-vanishing mass term.

8.5 Dirac Equation in an External Field

In Section 8.2 we have seen how to couple a Dirac field to an EM field. This is called *minimal coupling* and it corresponds to replacing p_μ with $p_\mu - eA_\mu$ in the Dirac equation:

$$\gamma^\nu (i\hbar\partial_\nu - eA_\nu)\psi - mc\psi = 0. \quad (8.55)$$

To make the physical significance of the different contributions more transparent, it is convenient to transform this first order equation into a second order one by applying on the left the operator $\gamma^\nu (i\hbar\partial_\nu - eA_\nu) + mc$ to obtain:

$$\left[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu (i\hbar\partial_\mu - eA_\mu)(i\hbar\partial_\nu - eA_\nu) - m^2 c^2 \right] \psi = 0. \quad (8.56)$$

The product of Dirac matrices can be written as

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) = g^{\mu\nu} + \sigma^{\mu\nu}. \quad (8.57)$$

The first term follows from the property in Eq. (8.7), where we omitted the 4×4 identity matrix for simplicity. The second term is a 4×4 antisymmetric ‘matrix’, where each element is in turn a 4×4 matrix. Taking a poetic licence in notation, a few lines of algebra show that

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \\ & 0 & -i\Sigma_3 & i\Sigma_2 \\ & & 0 & -i\Sigma_1 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.58)$$

where we used the standard representation for the γ matrices and one has to remember that $0, \alpha_i$, and Σ_i are all 4×4 matrices, as defined earlier.

Contracting an antisymmetric tensor with another tensor suppresses any symmetric component of the latter. Namely, $\sigma^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} = \sigma^{\mu\nu} (M_{\mu\nu} - M_{\nu\mu})/2$. Therefore,

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} (i\hbar\partial_\mu - eA_\mu)(i\hbar\partial_\nu - eA_\nu) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\mu\nu} [i\hbar\partial_\mu - eA_\mu, i\hbar\partial_\nu - eA_\nu] = -\frac{1}{2} ie\hbar F_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad (8.59)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the EM field tensor introduced in Section 3.8.

Combining these results, we arrive at the second order equation

$$\left[(i\hbar\partial_\mu - eA_\mu)^2 - \frac{ie\hbar}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} - m^2 c^2 \right] \psi = 0, \quad (8.60)$$

which can be expressed explicitly in terms of the EM fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} as

$$\left[\left(\frac{i\hbar}{c} \partial_t - \frac{e}{c} \phi \right)^2 - (i\hbar \nabla + e\mathbf{A})^2 = m^2 c^2 + e\hbar \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - ie\hbar \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{E} \right] \psi = 0. \quad (8.61)$$

As we shall see in the next section, the last two terms in the square brackets encompass not only the coupling between spin and magnetic field, but also the coupling between spin and orbital angular momentum (known as *spin-orbit* coupling).

By promoting the first order equation to second order, additional solutions are introduced that do not satisfy the original equation (the ones with the opposite sign of the mass term). Given a generic solution φ of the second order equation, it can always be reduced to a correct solution of the original first order Dirac equation $\psi = [\gamma(p - eA) + m]\varphi$.

N.B.: In this section we have chosen to apply the minimal coupling to the EM fields in the first order Dirac equation before deriving the second order equation. This gives the correct result and it should be noted that the two operations do not commute. Had we first obtained the second order equation and then coupled it to the EM fields by substituting p_μ with $p_\mu - eA_\mu$, we would have missed some of the terms.

8.6 The Non-Relativistic Low-Energy Limit

One of the (many) great successes of Dirac's equation was to allow us to derive from first principles Pauli's equation, a phenomenological description of half-integer quantum mechanical particles. Pauli's equation obtains straightforwardly as a lowest order non-relativistic expansion ($v/c \ll 1$) at low energies (namely, EM field strengths much weaker than the rest mass energy).

If we write $\psi = (\psi_+, \psi_-)^T$, where ψ_+ and ψ_- are 2-spinors, we see that in the non-rel limit $\psi_- \rightarrow (0, 0)^T$. Indeed, expanding to lowest order, one obtains:

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi_+ = \left[\frac{1}{2m}(\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A})^2 + e\phi - \frac{e\hbar}{2mc}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \right] \psi_+, \quad (8.62)$$

and

$$\psi_+ = \frac{1}{2mc}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A})\psi_-. \quad (8.63)$$

A guided derivation of this result is proposed as one of the questions in the problem set at the end of the lecture notes. From the expansion, one recognises the *gyromagnetic ratio* e/mc , which for spin 1/2 particles takes twice the value of the magnetic moment due to orbital motion.

In this approximation, the conserved current $j^\mu = c\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$ can be decomposed into a density $J^0 = c\psi^\dagger\psi \simeq c(\psi_+)^\dagger\psi_+$ (since terms of the order of $(\psi_-)^\dagger\psi_-$ should be neglected), and a 3-current

$$\mathbf{J} = c\psi^\dagger\boldsymbol{\alpha}\psi = c(\psi_-)^\dagger\boldsymbol{\sigma}\psi_+ + c(\psi_+)^\dagger\boldsymbol{\sigma}\psi_-. \quad (8.64)$$

Substituting Eq. (8.63) and its hermitian conjugate into the expression for the current,

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{2m} \left\{ [(\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A})^\dagger\psi_+^\dagger \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}] \boldsymbol{\sigma}\psi_+ + (\psi_+)^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} [\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A})\psi_+] \right\}, \quad (8.65)$$

after a few lines of algebra one obtains

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} (\psi_+ \nabla \psi_+^\dagger - \psi_+^\dagger \nabla \psi_+) - \frac{e}{m} \mathbf{A} \psi_+^\dagger \psi_+ + \frac{\hbar}{2m} \nabla \times (\psi_+^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \psi_+). \quad (8.66)$$

[Hint: in order to derive this result, you may find it useful to take advantage of the relationship $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{a})(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$; more specifically, the two corollary relations $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b} + i\mathbf{b} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{a})\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{a} + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{a}$ (recall the cyclical property of $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$).] This result is indeed in agreement with the expression, obtained in non-relativistic quantum mechanics, for the current of a particle moving in an EM field.

8.7 Further work: $\mathcal{O}(v^2/c^2)$ Corrections

Continuing the expansion in powers of v/c , one finds relativistic corrections that go beyond the expected non-relativistic quantum mechanical behaviour. The derivation is beyond the scope of these lecture notes and we present here only a brief review of some of the main results.

Assuming a stationary state for simplicity, one obtains the equivalent of a Schrödinger Hamiltonian, $\varepsilon\phi_{\text{Sch}} = \hat{H}\phi_{\text{Sch}}$, of the form

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2}{2m} + e\phi - \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}^4}{8m^3c^2} - \frac{e\hbar}{4m^2c^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}} - \frac{e\hbar^2}{8m^2c^2} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{E}. \quad (8.67)$$

The last three terms are the corrections of order $(v/c)^2$. The first one is a relativistic correction to the kinetic energy from the expansion of the difference: $\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2c^2 + m^2c^4} - mc^2$. The second term is typically referred to as *spin-orbit* interaction energy, whereby a moving magnetic moment couples to an electric field. If the field is centrally symmetric, then $\mathbf{E} = -\hat{\mathbf{r}} d\phi/dr$ and the spin-orbit contribution is proportional to

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{d\phi}{dr} \hat{\mathbf{l}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}, \quad (8.68)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ is the orbital angular momentum operator, and $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ is the electron spin operator.

Considering the Coulomb potential for an electron moving around a nucleus, we can use Eq. (8.67) in perturbation theory (namely, averaging the Hamiltonian over the non-relativistic unperturbed state), to obtain the relativistic correction to the energy levels of the Hydrogen atom (the so-called *fine structure*).

Appendix

A.1 Reminder - Some Results from the Calculus of Variations

1. Basic: To make $\int_{t_i}^{t_f} f(y, \dot{y}, t) dt$ an extremum you must take the function y that satisfies the *Euler-Lagrange equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}} \right) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The function y has fixed starting and finishing points, i.e. integrate f from t_i to t_f and optimise only over functions $y(t)$ that start at a given y_i and finish at a given y_f .

2. If f depends on several functions $y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)$ then the conditions for an extremum of $\int_{t_i}^{t_f} f(\mathbf{y}, \dot{\mathbf{y}}, t) dt$ are

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} = 0, \quad i = \{1, \dots, n\}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

3. If f is independent of one of the y_i , the i^{th} condition reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}_i} \right) - 0 = 0, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}_i} = \text{const.} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

4. If $f = f(\mathbf{y}, \dot{\mathbf{y}})$, i.e. if f does not depend explicitly on t , then

$$f - \sum_i \dot{y}_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}_i} = \text{const.} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

5. The function $y(t)$ that makes $\int_{t_i}^{t_f} f(y, \dot{y}, t) dt$ an extremum, when $y(t)$ has to satisfy the constraint $\int_{t_i}^{t_f} g(y, \dot{y}, t) dt = C$, is given by

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}} \right) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right] + \lambda \left[\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \dot{y}} \right) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \right] = 0. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

First determine $y(t)$ for arbitrary λ ; the value of λ has then to be determined from the equation of constraint and it will depend on C .

A.2 Spectral (Fourier) Analysis

The key idea for solving many complicated multi-variable dynamical problems is the Fourier representation. To illustrate this concept, which amounts to diagonalisation in the phase space of the problem, let us consider only one dimension of space (x) and a scalar field variable $f(x)$. In the case of vector or tensor functions, one simply deals with their components separately and multi-dimensional space simply requires a repetition of the analysis for each direction (assuming we remain in Cartesian coordinates). So we start with a scalar field $f(x)$, which is real (from a mathematical standpoint it does not have to be, but it is nice to be able to identify a real physical process with it) and define an interval of space $-L < x < L$ discretised into small intervals of length $a \ll L$. The infinite continuous interval corresponds to the limiting case $L \rightarrow \infty, a \rightarrow 0$. There are two other important limiting cases: $L \neq \infty, a \rightarrow 0$, which is a finite continuous interval, and $L \neq \infty, a \neq 0$, which is a finite discrete lattice. We then “define”

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(q) e^{-iqx} dq \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\phi(q) = \int f(x) e^{iqx} dx \quad (L \rightarrow \infty, a \rightarrow 0)$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n e^{-i\pi n(x/L)} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\phi_n(x) = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) e^{i\pi n(x/L)} dx \quad (L \neq \infty, a \rightarrow 0)$$

$$f_s = \sum_{n=-N/2}^{N/2} \phi_n e^{-i(2\pi/N)ns} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\phi_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=-L/a}^{L/a} f_s e^{i(2\pi/N)ns} \quad (L \neq \infty, a \neq 0), \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where $N = 2L/a$ is an integer, the index s labels lattice points in real (x) space, as does n in the reciprocal (q) space, where the discrete lattice points are separated by $\Delta q = 2\pi/(2L)$. Obviously, one or both spaces can be continuous and we should then transform the sum to an integral

$$\int f(x) dx = \sum a f(x_s) = \sum_s f_s \quad \text{where} \quad f_s \equiv a f(x_s) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \phi(q) dq = \sum \frac{\Delta q}{2\pi} \phi(q_n) = \sum_n \phi_n \quad \text{so that} \quad \phi(q_n) \equiv 2L \phi_n.$$

The discrete case requires periodicity:

$$f(x) = f(x + 2\pi/\Delta q) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(q) = \phi(q + 2\pi/a). \quad (\text{A.12})$$

It is good to know that the number of steps is universal in both spaces, $N = 2L/a = 2\pi/(a\Delta q)$

In order to operate with Fourier transformation one needs the delta-function and its discrete counterparts. Again, not going into mathematical complexities, let us assume

that the deltafunction is “defined” as the result of integration as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pm iAx} dx = 2\pi\delta(A). \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Obviously, $\int \delta(x - x_0) dx = 1$; accordingly,

$$\sum_s a \delta(x_s - x_{s_0}) = \sum_s \delta_{ss_0} = 1. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Note the different dimensionality of $\delta(x_s - x_{s_0})$ and δ_{ss_0} (and equally that of $f(x)$ and f_s , etc). The factor of $1/2\pi$ is purely for convenience: some authors prefer different conventions – not that it matters in the end.

Let us examine how the present convention operates on some examples. Consider a simplified energy functional in a continuous unlimited space

$$E = \int \frac{1}{2} \kappa \left(\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

We “define” a new complex function $\tilde{u}(q)$ according to the rule (A.7) and substitute it into E , in a pedestrian way:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \int \frac{1}{2} \kappa \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int \tilde{u}(q) e^{-iqx} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \right)^2 dx = \iiint \frac{1}{2} \kappa(-q_1 q_2) \tilde{u}(q_1) \tilde{u}(q_2) e^{-i(q_1+q_2)x} dx \frac{dq_1 dq_2}{(2\pi)^2} \\ &= \iint \frac{1}{2} \kappa(-q_1 q_2) \tilde{u}(q_1) \tilde{u}(q_2) 2\pi \delta(q_1 + q_2) \frac{dq_1 dq_2}{(2\pi)^2} = \int \frac{1}{2} \kappa(q_1)^2 \tilde{u}(q_1) \tilde{u}(-q_1) \frac{dq_1}{2\pi} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.16})$$

(after the delta-function has ensured that $q_2 = -q_1$). If, and only if, the function $u(x)$ was real, then we can say on the basis of the second line of the rule (A.7) that $\tilde{u}(q) = \tilde{u}(-q)^*$, a complex conjugate. In this case the functional becomes, as you very well know,

$$E = \int \frac{1}{2} \kappa q^2 |\tilde{u}(q)|^2 \frac{dq}{2\pi} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

(as a mnemonic rule, every integration in the continuous reciprocal space carries a $1/2\pi$ with it).

Now let us do the same transformation for a functional defined on a finite interval of space:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \int_{-L}^L \frac{1}{2} \kappa \left(\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx = \int_{-L}^L \frac{1}{2} \kappa \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{u}\left(\frac{\pi n}{L}\right) e^{-i(\pi n/L)x} \right)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{-L}^L \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} \frac{1}{2} \kappa(-q_1 q_2) \tilde{u}(q_1) \tilde{u}(q_2) e^{-i(q_1+q_2)x} dx \quad \text{with discrete } q_i \equiv \frac{\pi n_i}{L} \\ &= \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} \frac{1}{2} \kappa(-q_1 q_2) \tilde{u}(q_1) \tilde{u}(q_2) 2L \left[\frac{\sin \pi(n_1 + n_2)}{\pi(n_1 + n_2)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where the explicit integration gave $\int_{-L}^L e^{-iAx} dx = \frac{2}{A} \sin(AL)$. Because $(n_1 + n_2)$ is an integer, the sine is always equal to zero. However, if $n_1 + n_2 = 0$, then the fraction is equal to one: this is a Kronecker symbol δ_{n_1, n_2} . As in the continuous integration case before, we lose one of the summations and are left with

$$E = 2L \sum_n \frac{1}{2} \kappa q_n^2 |\tilde{u}(q_n)|^2, \quad q_n = \frac{\pi n}{L}. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

As an exercise, try verifying that a convolution of functions in real space transforms into the product of their Fourier images, e.g., $\int G(y-x)u(x)v(y) dx dy \implies \int G(q)\tilde{u}(q)\tilde{v}(-q)\frac{dq}{2\pi}$ (and the analogous summation for the finite spatial domain).

A.3 Statistical Mechanics of N Non-Interacting Spins

This model is about the simplest model you could imagine for N particles. We pretend they're all independent and don't interact with each other, so that the Hamiltonian is the sum of each of their energies,

$$H = E_1 + E_2 + \cdots + E_N = \sum_{i=1}^N E_i. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Each of the particles has the simplest energy spectrum you could think of, a low-energy state and a high-energy state, labeled by $+1$ and -1 , with an energy gap between them of $2b$. If we denote the state of the i^{th} particle by s_i , then the energy of the i^{th} particle can be written as

$$E_i = -bs_i, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

and the total energy of all the particles is given by

$$H = -b \sum_i s_i. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

As usual, our first task is to calculate the partition function for the whole system, because then we can figure out the probability of different microstates whatever you want to call it. When we calculate the partition function, we're summing up the exponential $e^{-\beta H}$, but since the Hamiltonian H itself is the sum of lots of terms, the *exponential* $e^{-\beta H}$ factors in to the product of lots of factors:

$$e^{-\beta H} = e^{[-\beta E_1 + -\beta E_2 - \cdots - \beta E_N]} = \left[e^{-\beta E_1} \right] \left[e^{-\beta E_2} \right] \cdots \left[e^{-\beta E_N} \right] \quad (\text{A.23})$$

Very similarly, when we sum over the configurations of *all* the spins, it's the same as summing over the configurations of the first spin, and then over the configurations of the second spin, and so on. Mathematically, this means that

$$\sum_{\text{states}} = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} = \sum_{\sigma_1} \sum_{\sigma_2} \cdots \sum_{\sigma_N}. \quad (\text{A.24})$$

Anyways, if we put these two facts together to calculate the partition function, we find that

$$Z = \sum_{\text{states}} e^{-\beta E(\text{state})} = \left[\sum_{\sigma_1} e^{-\beta E(\sigma_1)} \right] \left[\sum_{\sigma_2} e^{-\beta E(\sigma_2)} \right] \cdots \left[\sum_{\sigma_N} e^{-\beta E(\sigma_N)} \right] \quad (\text{A.25})$$

and if we call the partition function of each of the separate subsystems z_i , then we see that Z for the whole system is just z_i for the subsystems multiplied together:

$$Z = z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdots z_N = \prod_i z_i. \quad (\text{A.26})$$

APPENDIX B

Appendix: Euler-Lagrange Equations

B.1 Functionals

Consider the following definite integral involving a real function $y(x)$,

$$G = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[(y'(x))^2 - (y(x))^2 \right] dx. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The real number G is independent of x but depends on $y(x)$. This is a simple example of a *functional* and we denote it by $G[y]$. A real function of many variables $\{y_k; k = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ takes $\{y_k\}$ and gives a real number as output,

$$f : \{y_k\} \rightarrow f(\{y_k\}) \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

A real functional is a generalization to a continuous infinity of variables $\{y(x); x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. It takes a function $y(x)$ and gives a real number as output,

$$G : y(x) \rightarrow G[y] \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

In Eq. (B.1) above the integrand of $G[y]$ *implicitly* depends on x through y and its derivatives, but it may also *explicitly* depend on x ,

$$G[y] = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(y, y', y'', \dots; x) dx. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

In general, there may be more dependent variables $\{y_i\}$ and a multiple integral over a number of independent variables $\{y_i\}$. We shall usually be concerned with functionals of the form,

$$G[y] = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(y, y'; x) dx. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

The calculus of variations extends the calculus of functions to functionals. It aims to answer questions such as: what functions $y(x)$ extremise the functional $G[y]$?

- It will usually be obvious from the problem whether a given extremum is a maximum, a minimum or something else – there's no equivalent of the usual criteria for functions (or at least one that's practical to use).
- We must also keep in mind that, as with ordinary calculus, an extremum we find may be only a *local* extremum and not a *global* extremum.

Functionals are useful because many problems can be formulated as a *variational principle*, the extremisation of some functional. E.g. a chain suspended between two fixed points hangs in equilibrium such that its total potential energy is minimized and an extension of this idea (incorporating chemical potential energy) can be applied to chemical reactions. An important example is *Hamilton's principle of least action* in mechanics.

B.2 Functional Derivatives

Consider the effect of changing a function $y(x)$ to a nearby function $y(x) + \delta y(x)$. The variation of G is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta G &= G[y + \delta y] - G[y] \\
 &= \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(y + \delta y, y' + (\delta y)'; x) dx - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(y, y'; x) dx \\
 &= \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[\delta y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + (\delta y)' \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} \right] dx + \dots \\
 &= \left[\delta y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} \right]_{\alpha}^{\beta} + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \delta y \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} \right) \right] dx + \dots .
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

where we have omitted terms of order $(\delta y)^2$. If the boundary term is zero (e.g. if y is fixed on the boundary) then

$$\delta G = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \delta y(x) \frac{\delta G}{\delta y(x)} dx + \dots . \tag{B.7}$$

Here we have *defined* the *functional derivative* of G with respect to the function y to be,

$$\frac{\delta G}{\delta y(x)} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} \right). \tag{B.8}$$

Note that this depends on x . (Compare with the variation of a function $f(\{y_i\})$: $\delta f = \sum_i \delta y_i \partial f / \partial y_i$.) The functional is stationary when $\delta G / \delta y(x) = 0$, i.e.

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} \right) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}}. \tag{B.9}$$

This is the **Euler-Lagrange (EL) equation**.

Note on notation: $\partial f / \partial y'$ may look strange - it seems impossible for y' to change if y doesn't. Here $\partial / \partial y$ and $\partial / \partial y'$ are just formal derivatives: pretend that y and y' are unconnected. By contrast, d/dx is the usual full derivative with respect to x .

B.3 First Integral

In the example above we reduced the second-order EL equation to a first-order equation, $y' = \text{const.}$, a "*first integral*" of the EL equation. We found $\partial f / \partial y = 0$ and so the EL equation gave $\partial f / \partial y' = \text{const.}$ We can also reduce the EL equation to a first integral if $\partial f / \partial x = 0$, i.e. $f(y, y'; x)$ has no explicit dependence on x . Indeed, from the chain rule we have,

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + y' \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + y'' \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'}. \tag{B.10}$$

Using the EL equation gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{df}{dx} &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + y' \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} \right) + y'' \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} \\
 &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{d}{dx} \left(y' \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

and hence

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(f - y' \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} \right) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

When $\partial f / \partial x = 0$,

$$\boxed{y' \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} - f = \text{const.}} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

B.4 Hamilton's Principle

Lagrange and Hamilton developed a powerful reformulation of Newtonian mechanics in terms of a “principle of least action” based on energy rather than force. The time evolution of a system is viewed as the motion of a point in a multi-dimensional *configuration space* described by some *generalised coordinates* $\{q_i\}$. Examples:

- A system of n particles ($3n$ -dimensional coordinate space) can be described by the 3 coordinates for each of n positions.
- A rigid pendulum swinging in a vertical plane requires one generalised coordinate, the angle to the vertical.
- A top spinning on its axis on a smooth plane requires five generalised coordinates: two to describe the position of the point of contact, one for the angle of the axis to the vertical, one for the rotation of the axis about the vertical, and one for the rotation of the top about its axis.

Problems can often be simplified by a convenient choice of generalised coordinates – this is part of the power of these methods.

The *Lagrangian* is defined as

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L} = T - V} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

where T is the kinetic energy and V is the potential energy. The action of a path, starting at time t_i and ending at t_f , is given by,

$$\boxed{S[\{q_i\}] = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}(\{q_i\}, \{\dot{q}_i\}, \dots; t) dt.} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

Hamilton's principle states that the motion in configuration space extremises the action functional S . For $\mathcal{L}(\{q_i\}, \{\dot{q}_i\}; t)$, with N generalised coordinates and fixed start and end points,

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.} \quad (\text{B.16})$$

These are Lagrange's equations. If $\mathcal{L}(\{q_i\}, \{\dot{q}_i\}; t)$ has *no explicit dependence on t* , generalising the derivation of the first integral, we can find a constant of the motion. The chain rule and Lagrange's equations give,

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} + \ddot{q}_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right\} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right), \quad (\text{B.17})$$

and hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\mathcal{L} - \sum_{i=1}^N \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right] = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t}. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

Given $\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial t = 0$ we have,

$$\boxed{\sum_{i=1}^N \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \mathcal{L} = \text{const.}} \quad (\text{B.19})$$

In general, if \mathcal{L} does not explicitly depend on time, T is a homogeneous quadratic in the generalised velocities $\{\dot{q}_i\}$, i.e. $T \sim \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij}(q_1, \dots, q_N) \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j$, and V does not depend on $\{\dot{q}_i\}$, then it can be shown that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \mathcal{L} = T + V = \text{const.} \quad (\text{B.20})$$

i.e. the total energy $E = T + V$ is conserved.

APPENDIX C

Appendix: Contour Integration

In this section we shall explore properties of analytic functions and functions that contain singularities. This will lead to a powerful method for doing integrals such as

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2(a - \cos \theta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1 + x^4}. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

C.1 Analytic Functions of a Complex Variable

C.1.1 Complex differentiability

The complex derivative of the function $f(z)$ at the point $z = z_0$ is defined as

$$f'(z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{\delta z}, \quad (\text{C.2})$$

where the same limit must be obtained for any sequence of complex values for z that tends to z_0 . If this same limit exists, the function $f(z)$ is said to be **complex differentiable** at $z = z_0$.

Another way to write this is

$$\frac{df}{dz} \equiv f'(z) = \lim_{\delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z + \delta z) - f(z)}{\delta z}, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

where the limit must be the same when $\delta z \rightarrow 0$ by any route/direction in the complex plane.

Requiring a function of a *complex* variable to be differentiable is a surprisingly strong constraint.

C.1.2 The Cauchy–Riemann equations

Express $f = u + iv$ and $z = x + iy$ in terms of their real and imaginary parts,

$$f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y). \quad (\text{C.4})$$

If $f'(z)$ exists we can calculate it by assuming that $\delta z = \delta x + i\delta y$ approaches 0 along the real axis, i.e. by taking $\delta y = 0$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f'(z) &= \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z + \delta x) - f(z)}{\delta x} \\
 &= \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x + \delta x, y) + iv(x + \delta x, y) - u(x, y) - iv(x, y)}{\delta x} \\
 &= \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x + \delta x, y) - u(x, y)}{\delta x} + i \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x + \delta x, y) - v(x, y)}{\delta x} \\
 &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

However, from definition (C.2), the derivative must have the same value if δz approaches 0 along the imaginary axis, i.e. by taking $\delta x = 0$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f'(z) &= \lim_{\delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z + \delta y) - f(z)}{i\delta y} \\
 &= \lim_{\delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x, y + \delta y) + iv(x, y + \delta y) - u(x, y) - iv(x, y)}{i\delta y} \\
 &= -i \lim_{\delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x, y + \delta y) - u(x, y)}{\delta y} + \lim_{\delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x, y + \delta y) - v(x, y)}{\delta y} \\
 &= -i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

Comparing the real and imaginary parts of (C.5) and (C.6), we deduce the *Cauchy–Riemann equations*,

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}} \tag{C.7}$$

These are necessary conditions for $f(z)$ to have a complex derivative. They are also sufficient conditions, provided that the partial derivatives are also continuous.

If we think of f as depending on z and $z^* = x - iy$ instead of x and y , the Cauchy–Riemann equations are equivalent to requiring that $\partial f / \partial z^* = 0$.

C.1.3 Analytic Functions

If a function $f(z)$ has a complex derivative at every point z in a region \mathbf{R} of the complex plane, it is said to be **analytic** in \mathbf{R} . An *entire function* is one that is analytic in the whole complex plane.

To be analytic at a point $z = z_0$, $f(z)$ must be differentiable throughout some neighbourhood $|z - z_0| < \varepsilon$ of that point.

Many complex functions are analytic everywhere in the complex plane except at isolated points, which are called the *singular points* or *singularities* of the function.

C.1.4 Consequences of the Cauchy–Riemann equations

If we know the real part of an analytic function in some region, we can find its imaginary part (or vice versa) up to an additive constant by integrating the Cauchy–Riemann equations.

The real and imaginary parts of an analytic function satisfy Laplace’s equation (they are harmonic functions),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{C.8}$$

The proof that $\nabla^2 v = 0$ is similar.

This property provides a useful method for solving Laplace’s equation in two dimensions: one “just” needs to find an analytic function that satisfies the boundary conditions.

Using the Cauchy–Riemann equations (C.7), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\ &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{C.9}$$

Hence the curves of constant u and those of constant v are orthogonal: u and v are said to be *conjugate harmonic functions*.

C.1.5 Taylor series for analytic functions

If a function of a complex variable is analytic in a region \mathbf{R} of the complex plane, not only is it differentiable everywhere in \mathbf{R} , it is also differentiable any number of times. It follows that if $f(z)$ is analytic at $z = z_0$, it has an infinite Taylor series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n \quad \text{where} \quad a_n = \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(z_0) \equiv \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n f}{dz^n}.\tag{C.10}$$

This series converges within some neighbourhood of z_0 .

An alternative definition of the analyticity of a function $f(z)$ at $z = z_0$ is that $f(z)$ has a Taylor series expansion about $z = z_0$ with a *non-zero radius of convergence*.

C.2 Zeros, Poles and Essential Singularities

C.2.1 Zeros of Complex Functions

The zeros of $f(z)$ are the points $z = z_0$ in the complex plane where $f(z_0) = 0$. A zero is of **order** N if

$$f(z_0) = f'(z_0) = f''(z_0) = \cdots = f^{(N-1)}(z_0) = 0 \quad \text{but} \quad f^{(N)}(z_0) \neq 0. \quad (\text{C.11})$$

The first non-zero term in the Taylor series of $f(z)$ about $z = z_0$ is then proportional to $(z - z_0)^N$. Indeed

$$f(z) \sim a_N(z - z_0)^N \quad \text{as} \quad z \rightarrow z_0. \quad (\text{C.12})$$

A *simple zero* is a zero of order 1. A *double zero* is one of order 2, etc.

C.2.2 Poles of Complex Functions

Suppose $g(z)$ is analytic and non-zero at $z = z_0$. Consider the function

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-N} g(z), \quad (\text{C.13})$$

in which case

$$f(z) \sim g(z_0)(z - z_0)^{-N} \quad \text{as} \quad z \rightarrow z_0. \quad (\text{C.14})$$

$f(z)$ is not analytic at $z = z_0$, and we say that $f(z)$ has a *pole of order* N . We refer to a pole of order 1 as a *simple pole*, a pole of order 2 as a *double pole*, etc.

Because $g(z)$ is analytic, from (C.10) it has a Taylor series expansion at z_0 ,

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(z - z_0)^n \quad \text{with} \quad b_0 \neq 0. \quad (\text{C.15})$$

Hence,

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-N} g(z) = \sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n, \quad (\text{C.16})$$

with $a_n = b_{n+N}$, and $a_{-N} \neq 0$. This is not a Taylor series because it includes negative powers of $z - z_0$, and $f(z)$ is not analytic at $z = z_0$.

If $f(z)$ has a zero of order N at $z = z_0$, then $1/f(z)$ has a pole of order N there, and vice versa.

If $f(z)$ is analytic and non-zero at $z = z_0$ and $g(z)$ has a zero of order N there, then $f(z)/g(z)$ has a pole of order N there.

C.2.3 Laurent Series and Essential Singularities

It can be shown that any function that is analytic (and single-valued) throughout an annulus $\alpha < |z - z_0| < \beta$ centred on a point $z = z_0$ has a unique *Laurent series*,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n, \quad (\text{C.17})$$

which converges for all values of z within the annulus.

If $\alpha = 0$, then $f(z)$ is analytic throughout the disk $|z - z_0| < \beta$ except possibly at $z = z_0$ itself, and the Laurent series determines the behaviour of $f(z)$ near $z = z_0$. There are three possibilities:

1. If the first non-zero term in the Laurent series has $n \geq 0$, then $f(z)$ is analytic at $z = z_0$ and the series is just a Taylor series;
2. If the first non-zero term in the Laurent series has $n = -N < 0$, then $f(z)$ has a pole of order N at $z = z_0$;
3. Otherwise, if the Laurent series involves an infinite number of terms with $n < 0$, then $f(z)$ has an *essential singularity* at $z = z_0$.

The behaviour of a function near an essential singularity is remarkably complicated. *Picard's theorem* states that, in any neighbourhood of an essential singularity, the function takes all possible complex values (possibly with one exception) at infinitely many points.

C.3 Contour integrals

Consider an integral $\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz$ from $z = a$ to b in the complex plane. We need to specify the path or contour, \mathcal{C} , along which we integrate. For example, consider the integral $\int \frac{1}{z} dz$ from $z = -1$ to $z = +1$ along paths around half the unit circle (1) clockwise (above the real axis) and (2) anticlockwise (below the real axis).

Making the substitution $z = e^{i\theta}$, $dz = ie^{i\theta} d\theta$,

$$I_1 = \int_{\pi}^0 \frac{ie^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta}} d\theta = \int_{\pi}^0 i d\theta = -i\pi, \quad (\text{C.18})$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} i d\theta = +i\pi. \quad (\text{C.19})$$

Therefore, the *result of a contour integration may depend on the contour*.

The integral can be formally defined by dividing \mathcal{C} into small intervals $\delta z_k = z_{k+1} - z_k$, where $k = 0, \dots, N$; $z_0 = a$ and $z_N = b$. Then we define,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(z_k) \delta z_k, \quad (\text{C.20})$$




Fig. C.1: The paths for contour integration around half the unit circle, \mathcal{C}_1 clockwise (above the real axis) and \mathcal{C}_2 anticlockwise (below the real axis).

where $\Delta = \max_k |\delta z_k|$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$. The following properties follow from this definition:

- If \mathcal{C}_1 is a contour from a to b , \mathcal{C}_2 is a contour from b to c , and \mathcal{C} is \mathcal{C}_1 followed by \mathcal{C}_2 , then

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz = \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} f(z) dz + \int_{\mathcal{C}_2} f(z) dz. \quad (\text{C.21})$$

- If \mathcal{C}_+ is a contour from a to b and \mathcal{C}_- is the contour in reverse,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_+} f(z) dz = - \int_{\mathcal{C}_-} f(z) dz. \quad (\text{C.22})$$

- We can use integration by parts and substitution.
- If the contour has length L then,

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz \right| \leq L \max_{\mathcal{C}} |f(z)|. \quad (\text{C.23})$$

- If \mathcal{C} is a closed contour then it doesn't matter where we start on \mathcal{C} . However, if we reverse the direction of \mathcal{C} the integral changes sign,

$$\oint_{\text{anticlockwise}} f(z) dz = - \oint_{\text{clockwise}} f(z) dz. \quad (\text{C.24})$$

We can again split the integral up into two parts,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz = \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} f(z) dz + \int_{\mathcal{C}_2} f(z) dz. \quad (\text{C.25})$$

C.4 Cauchy's theorem

A *simply-connected domain* is a region \mathbf{R} of the complex plane without any holes; any closed curve in \mathbf{R} encircles points which are only in \mathbf{R} . A *simple closed curve* is a continuous closed curve of finite length that does not intersect itself; it divides the complex plane into an interior region and an exterior region.




Fig. C.2: A simply-connected domain \mathbf{R} is a region without holes; any closed curve encircles points only in \mathbf{R} . A simple closed curve is a continuous closed curve of finite length that does not intersect itself.

Cauchy's theorem states that if a function $f(z)$ is analytic in a simply-connected domain \mathbf{R} , then for any simple closed curve \mathcal{C} in \mathbf{R} ,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz = 0. \quad (\text{C.26})$$

In the proof we'll need a 2D version of the divergence theorem (Green's theorem in a plane). We first introduce a 3D vector field $\mathbf{A} = (A_x, A_y, 0) = (-a_y, a_x, 0)$ and integrate the curl of \mathbf{A} over the surface \mathcal{S} inside the simple closed curve \mathcal{C} , imagining it existing inside the 2D plane $z = 0$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \left(\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) dS = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \left(\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial y} \right) dx dy. \quad (\text{C.27})$$

Now consider the line integral of \mathbf{A} along \mathcal{C} ,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} (A_x dx + A_y dy) = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} (a_x dy - a_y dx). \quad (\text{C.28})$$

Thus invoking the 3D divergence theorem we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \left(\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial y} \right) dx dy = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} (a_x dy - a_y dx). \quad (\text{C.29})$$

Using this, writing f and z as real and imaginary parts, and using the Cauchy-Riemann equations (C.7) (f is analytic throughout \mathcal{S}),

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz &= \oint_{\mathcal{C}} (u + iv)(dx + i dy) \\ &= \oint_{\mathcal{C}} (u dx - v dy) + i \oint_{\mathcal{C}} (v dx + u dy) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{S}} \underbrace{\left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)}_{=0} dx dy + i \int_{\mathcal{S}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)}_{=0} dx dy \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.30})$$

C.4.1 Deforming Contours

Using Cauchy's theorem, we deduce that if we have two different contours, \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 , from a to b , then, if there are no singularities between them,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz = \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} f(z) dz - \int_{\mathcal{C}_2} f(z) dz = 0, \quad (\text{C.31})$$

and so

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_1} f(z) dz = \int_{\mathcal{C}_2} f(z) dz = 0. \quad (\text{C.32})$$

Therefore, we can deform a contour without changing the value of the integral as long as we don't move the contour across a singularity.

We can deform a closed contour, without passing it through any singularities to find that, because the joins cancel,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz = \oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} f(z) dz - \oint_{\mathcal{C}_2} f(z) dz = 0 \implies \oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} f(z) dz = \oint_{\mathcal{C}_2} f(z) dz. \quad (\text{C.33})$$




Fig. C.3: We can deform a contour without changing the value of the integral as long as we don't move the contour across a singularity.

If f and f' are analytic in some simply-connected domain \mathbf{R} then,

$$\int_a^b f'(z) dz = \int_0^1 f'(z(s)) \frac{dz}{ds} ds = \int_0^1 \frac{df(z(s))}{ds} ds = [f(z(s))]_0^1, \quad (\text{C.34})$$

implying

$$\boxed{\int_a^b f'(z) dz = f(b) - f(a),} \quad (\text{C.35})$$

where a , b and the integration contour lie in \mathbf{R} . In this case integration is the inverse of differentiation in the same sense as for real functions of a real variable.

Note that if f is analytic at z_0 it can be written as g' for some other function g that is analytic at z_0 .

If f has no singularities anywhere then the integral $\int_a^b f(z) dz$ does not depend at all on the path taken.

Cauchy's theorem does *not* hold if there is a singularity inside \mathcal{C} [$f(z)$ is then not analytic in \mathbf{R}]. For the example of $f(z) = 1/z$, if \mathcal{C} is a contour anticlockwise around the unit circle,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz = \int_{\mathcal{C}_2} \frac{1}{z} dz - \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{1}{z} dz = i \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta = 2\pi i \neq 0. \quad (\text{C.36})$$

In this case $f(z)$ has a singularity, a simple pole, at $z = 0$.




Fig. C.4: Cauchy's theorem does not hold if there is a singularity inside \mathcal{C} . For the example of $f(z) = 1/z$, if \mathcal{C} is a contour anticlockwise around the unit circle, $\oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz \neq 0$. In this case $f(z)$ has a singularity, a simple pole, at $z = 0$.

C.5 Residues

It can be shown that any function, which is analytic and single-valued throughout an annulus $a < |z - z_0| < b$ centred on $z = z_0$, has a unique **Laurent series** (C.17) about $z = z_0$,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n, \quad (\text{C.37})$$

which converges for all values of z within the annulus.

If $f(z)$ has a single isolated singularity at $z = z_0$ then $a > 0$ can be made arbitrarily small. In this case, if $a_{-n} = 0$ for $n > N$ but $a_{-N} \neq 0$ then $f(z)$ has a *pole of order* N at z' . If there is no such N (i.e. the expansion in negative powers of $z - z_0$ does not terminate) the point z_0 is an essential singularity (See Section C.2).

For a general $f(z)$ with a pole of order N ,

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-N}}{(z - z_0)^N} + \frac{a_{-N+1}}{(z - z_0)^{N-1}} + \cdots + \frac{a_{-1}}{z - z_0} + a_0 + a_1(z - z_0) + \cdots, \quad (\text{C.38})$$

The coefficient a_{-1} is called the **residue** of the pole. For a simple pole,

$$\text{res}_{z=z_0} f(z) = a_{-1} = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \{(z - z_0)f(z)\}. \quad (\text{C.39})$$

For a pole of order N ,

$$\boxed{\text{res}_{z=z_0} f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \left\{ \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \frac{d^{N-1}}{dz^{N-1}} [(z - z_0)^N f(z)] \right\}.} \quad (\text{C.40})$$

as we can easily verify,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}_{z=z_0} f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \left\{ \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \frac{d^{N-1}}{dz^{N-1}} [(z - z_0)^N f(z)] \right\} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \left\{ \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \frac{d^{N-1}}{dz^{N-1}} [a_{-N} + a_{-N+1}(z - z_0) + \cdots + a_{-1}(z - z_0)^{N-1} + \cdots] \right\} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \left\{ \frac{1}{(N-1)!} [(N-1)!a_{-1} + N!a_0(z - z_0) + \cdots] \right\} \\ &= a_{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.41})$$

however for low order poles this formula luckily becomes significantly simpler to calculate.

C.6 Calculus of Residues

Consider the integral of a function, $\oint_C f(z) dz$, anticlockwise around a pole at $z = z_0$ where C lies in the region for which the Laurent series converges.




Fig. C.5: Shrinking the contour C to a circle of radius ϵ about z_0 and substituting $z = z_0 + \epsilon e^{i\theta}$.

Considering each term of the Laurent series separately, for $n \geq 0$ the terms are analytic,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} a_n(z - z_0)^n dz = 0, \quad (\text{C.42})$$

from Cauchy's theorem. For $n < 0$, shrink the contour to a circle of radius ε about z_0 and substitute $z = z_0 + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\mathcal{C}'} a_n(z - z_0)^n dz &= a_n \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon^n e^{in\theta} i\varepsilon e^{i\theta} d\theta \\ &= ia_n \varepsilon^{n+1} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n+1)\theta} d\theta \\ &= \begin{cases} ia_n \varepsilon^{n+1} 2\pi & n = -1 \\ ia_n \varepsilon^{n+1} \left[\frac{e^{i(n+1)\theta}}{i(n+1)} \right]_0^{2\pi} & n \neq -1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2\pi ia_{-1} & n = -1 \\ 0 & n \neq -1 \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.43})$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} a_n(z - z_0)^n dz \\ &= 2\pi ia_{-1} \\ &= 2\pi i \operatorname{res}_{z=z_0} f(z). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.44})$$

This result leads to the **residue theorem**: if a function $f(z)$ is analytic in a simply-connected region \mathbf{R} except for a finite number of poles at $z = z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$, and a simple closed curve \mathcal{C} encircles the poles in an *anticlockwise* direction,

$$\boxed{\oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^n \operatorname{res}_{z=z_k} f(z).} \quad (\text{C.45})$$

To prove this, consider a new contour \mathcal{C}' as shown. \mathcal{C}' doesn't encircle any poles and so $\oint_{\mathcal{C}'} f(z) dz = 0$. We can express this integral as the sum of the integral around \mathcal{C} and integrals encircling each of the poles *clockwise*, \mathcal{C}' ,

$$0 = \oint_{\mathcal{C}'} f(z) dz = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz + \sum_k \oint_{\mathcal{C}_k} f(z) dz. \quad (\text{C.46})$$

The joining lines give zero net contribution because the contribution going one way cancels with the contribution from going back. Using the result we derived for a contour encircling a single pole,

$$0 = \oint_{\mathcal{C}'} f(z) dz = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} f(z) dz + 2\pi i \sum_k \operatorname{res}_{z=z_k} f(z), \quad (\text{C.47})$$

and the residue theorem follows.




Fig. C.6: For analytic $f(z)$ in a region \mathbf{R} except for a finite number of poles, a simple closed curve \mathcal{C} encircling the poles in an *anticlockwise* direction has a contour integral given by the residue theorem. This can be shown by expressing this integral as the sum of the integral around \mathcal{C} and integrals encircling each of the poles *clockwise*.

C.7 Cauchy's formula for $f(z)$

If $f(z)$ is analytic in a region \mathbf{R} containing z_0 then **Cauchy's formula** states that,

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz, \quad (\text{C.48})$$

where \mathcal{C} is a simple closed contour in \mathbf{R} encircling z_0 anticlockwise. The proof follows immediately from the residue theorem: $f(z)/(z - z_0)$ is analytic except for a simple pole at $z = z_0$ and the residue at this pole is $f(z_0)$.

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz = 2\pi i \times \operatorname{res}_{z=z_0} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} = 2\pi i \times \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = 2\pi i f(z_0). \quad (\text{C.49})$$

Note: if we know $f(z)$ on \mathcal{C} then, from Cauchy's formula, we know $f(z)$ throughout the interior of \mathcal{C} . Compare this with the uniqueness theorem for solutions of Laplace's equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The real and imaginary parts, u and v , of an analytic function satisfy Laplace's equation. Therefore, if we specify u and v on \mathcal{C} , there is a unique solution for u and v inside \mathcal{C} .

If we differentiate Cauchy's formula n times with respect to z_0 we obtain

$$f^{(n)}(z_0) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^{n+1}} dz. \quad (\text{C.50})$$

Therefore, at any point where f is analytic, all its derivatives exist and it is differentiable infinitely many times.

C.8 Applications of the Calculus of Residues

We now consider some examples of using residue calculus.

Example Calculate the integral,

$$I = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2(a - \cos \theta)}, \quad a > 1 \text{ is a real constant.} \quad (\text{C.51})$$

Substitute $z = e^{i\theta}$ which gives $dz = iz d\theta$ and $\cos \theta = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1})$. The integral between $\theta = 0$ and 2π is the integral over z around a circle \mathcal{C} of radius 1 in the complex plane.




Fig. C.7: The contour \mathcal{C} used for evaluating (C.51), a circle of radius 1 in the complex plane. One of the simple poles of the integral, z_- , lies within \mathcal{C} , but z_+ is outside it.

$$I = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{(iz)^{-1} dz}{2\left[a - \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1})\right]} = +i \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dz}{z^2 - 2az + 1} = +i \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dz}{(z - z_+)(z - z_-)}. \quad (\text{C.52})$$

The integrand has simple poles at $z_{\pm} = a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 1}$. Because $a > 1$ the pole at z_- is inside \mathcal{C} but z_+ is outside it. The residue at z_- is,

$$\frac{i}{z_- - z_+} = -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{a^2 - 1}}. \quad (\text{C.53})$$

Therefore, from the residue theorem,

$$I = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{a^2 - 1}}. \quad (\text{C.54})$$

Example Calculate the integral,

$$I = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1 + x^2}. \quad (\text{C.55})$$

We already know how to do this using trig. substitutions, but let's see how to compute it using residue calculus.




Fig. C.8: The contour \mathcal{C} , used to compute (C.55), is as shown a contour from $-R$ to $+R$ along the real axis (\mathcal{C}_0) and then along a semicircle of radius R in the upper half-plane (\mathcal{C}_R). The integrand has two simple poles, but only the one at $z = +i$ is enclosed by \mathcal{C} .

Consider,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dz}{1+z^2} = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dz}{(z+i)(z-i)}, \quad (\text{C.56})$$

where \mathcal{C} is as shown a contour from $-R$ to $+R$ along the real axis (\mathcal{C}_0) and then along a semicircle of radius R in the upper half-plane (\mathcal{C}_R). The integrand has two simple poles, but only the one at $z = i$ is enclosed by \mathcal{C} and so,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dz}{1+z^2} = 2\pi i \frac{1}{2i} = \pi. \quad (\text{C.57})$$

We also have,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_0} \frac{dz}{1+z^2} = \int_{-R}^R \frac{dz}{1+z^2} \rightarrow 2I \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{C.58})$$

What about the integral along \mathcal{C}_R ? The integrand is $\mathcal{O}(R^{-2})$ on the semicircle and the contour has length πR . Hence,

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} \frac{dz}{1+z^2} \right| \leq \pi R \times \mathcal{O}(R^{-2}) = \mathcal{O}(R^{-1}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{C.59})$$

Combining these results and taking the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$,

$$I = \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (\text{C.60})$$

Example Calculate the integral,

$$I = \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2}, \quad a > 1 \text{ is a real constant.} \quad (\text{C.61})$$

The analysis is very similar to the previous example. Consider,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dx}{(x^2 + a^2)^2} = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dz}{(z+ia)^2(z-ia)^2}. \quad (\text{C.62})$$




Fig. C.9: The contour \mathcal{C} , used to compute (C.61), is as shown a contour from $-R$ to $+R$ along the real axis (\mathcal{C}_0) and then along a semicircle of radius R in the upper half-plane (\mathcal{C}_R). The integrand has two double poles, but only the one at $z = +ia$ is enclosed by \mathcal{C} .

The integrand has double poles at $z = \pm ia$ but the contour only encloses the pole at $z = +ia$. The residue there is

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow +ia} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{(z + ia)^2} = \lim_{z \rightarrow +ia} \frac{-2}{(z + ia)^3} = -\frac{1}{4}ia^{-3}. \quad (\text{C.63})$$

The integral around the semicircle $\rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} \frac{dz}{(z^2 + a^2)^2} \right| \leq \pi R \times \mathcal{O}(R^{-4}) = \mathcal{O}(R^{-3}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{C.64})$$

Therefore,

$$2I = 2\pi i \left(-\frac{1}{4}ia^{-3} \right) \implies I = \frac{\pi}{4a^3}. \quad (\text{C.65})$$

Example Calculate the integral,

$$I = \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1 + x^4}. \quad (\text{C.66})$$




Fig. C.10: The contour \mathcal{C} , used to compute (C.66), is as shown a contour from $-R$ to $+R$ along the real axis (\mathcal{C}_0) and then along a semicircle of radius R in the upper half-plane (\mathcal{C}_R). The integrand has four simple poles, but only the ones at $z_1 = e^{\pi i/4}$ and $z_2 = e^{3\pi i/4}$ are enclosed by \mathcal{C} . Alternatively, we could use the contour \mathcal{C}' enclosing quarter of a circle and so only the pole at $z_1 = e^{\pi i/4}$.

We again use a similar analysis. Consider,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dx}{1+x^4} = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dz}{(z-z_1)(z-z_2)(z-z_3)(z-z_4)}, \quad (\text{C.67})$$

where the integrand has simple poles at $z_1 = e^{\pi i/4}$, $z_2 = e^{3\pi i/4}$, $z_3 = e^{-\pi i/4}$ and $z_4 = e^{-3\pi i/4}$. Two poles, at z_1 and z_2 , are enclosed by \mathcal{C} with residues $-\frac{1}{4}e^{\pi i/4}$ and $\frac{1}{4}e^{-\pi i/4}$ respectively.

Therefore,

$$2I = 2\pi i \left(-\frac{1}{4}e^{\pi i/4} + \frac{1}{4}e^{-\pi i/4} \right) = \pi \sin \frac{\pi}{4} \implies I = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}. \quad (\text{C.68})$$

Alternatively, we could use the contour \mathcal{C}' enclosing quarter of a circle and so only the pole at z_1 . The integral along the real axis from 0 to R , $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow I$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. The integral along \mathcal{C}_2 is, substituting $z = iy$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_2} \frac{dz}{1+z^4} = \int_R^0 \frac{i dy}{1+y^4} = -i \int_0^R \frac{dy}{1+y^4} \rightarrow -iI \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{C.69})$$

The integral along $\mathcal{C}_R \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and so,

$$I - iI = 2\pi i \left(-\frac{1}{4}e^{\pi i/4} \right) \implies I = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}. \quad (\text{C.70})$$

C.9 Multi-Valued Functions and Branch Cuts

Some functions do not have a single value for each complex point $z = re^{i\theta}$. For example, consider $\ln z = \ln r + i\theta$. There are infinitely many values, or branches, of $\ln z$ because θ can take infinitely many values.




Fig. C.11: On \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 we can always choose θ to be in some range so that $\ln z$ is continuous and single-valued. However, this is not possible for \mathcal{C}_3 which encircles the origin.

Consider the curves in the complex z -plane shown in Fig. C.11. On \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 we can always choose θ to be in some range (e.g. $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ for \mathcal{C}_1 and $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{3\pi}{2}$ for \mathcal{C}_2) so that

$\ln z$ is continuous and single-valued. However, this is not possible for \mathcal{C}_3 which encircles the origin, $\ln z$ *must either be discontinuous or multi-valued*.

A point that cannot be encircled by a curve where the function is continuous and single-valued is called a **branch point**; the function has a *branch point singularity* at that point. In the example of $\ln z$ there is a branch point at the origin.

Some other examples are:

- $\ln(z - a)$ has a branch point at $z = a$;
- $\ln(z^2 - 1) = \ln(z + 1) + \ln(z - 1)$ has two branch points, $z = \pm 1$;
- $\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{r}e^{i\theta/2}$ is double-valued and has a branch point at the origin – unlike for a real function, we can't turn this into a single-valued function by just choosing the sign of \sqrt{z} because the sign changes if we circle the origin once. Any function $z^\alpha = r^\alpha e^{i\alpha\theta}$, where α is not an integer, has a branch point at the origin;
- $\sqrt{z^2 - 1} = \sqrt{z - 1}\sqrt{z + 1}$ has two branch points, $z = \pm 1$.




Fig. C.12: We introduce a *branch cut* – no curve is permitted to cross the cut. The *canonical* (standard) branch cut for $\ln z$ is along the real axis from $-\infty$ to the origin.

If we want to make a function with a branch point continuous and single valued, we must stop any curve from encircling that point. To do this we introduce a *branch cut* – no curve is permitted to cross the cut. The *canonical* (standard) branch cut for $\ln z$ is along the real axis from $-\infty$ to the origin.

Having introduced a branch cut, we can define a *branch* of $\ln z$ by choosing values of θ in the range $-\pi < \theta < \pi$ only (there are an infinite number of branches). The branch is analytic everywhere except on the branch cut and any curve (not crossing the cut) is single-valued and continuous. With this canonical choice of branch cut, the value of $\ln z$ is called the *principal value* of the logarithm.

Just above the cut at $z = x + i0^+$, $\theta = \pi$ and $\ln z = \ln |x| + i\pi$. Just below the cut at $z = x + i0^-$, $\theta = -\pi$ and $\ln z = \ln |x| - i\pi$. If a curve did cross the cut, $\ln z$ would be discontinuous and so not analytic.

It is important to note that the cut can be any curve from the branch point to infinity. However, the position of the cut is an essential part of the definition of the function because the function is discontinuous across the cut.

The same branch cut choices can be made for \sqrt{z} (there are two branches) or more generally z^α where α is not an integer. Because of the branch cuts, neither $\ln z$ or z^α have Laurent expansions about the origin – any annulus $a < |z| < b$ would be crossed by the branch cut and so the function would not be analytic in the annulus.




Fig. C.13: If we go around a small curve C_1 encircling $z = 1$ then $f(z)$ changes sign. The same applies to a small curve C_2 encircling $z = -1$. However, going around C_3 that encircles both branch points, and $f(z)$ does not change.

Now consider $f(z) = \sqrt{z^2 - 1} = \sqrt{z - 1}\sqrt{z + 1}$ with branch points at $z = \pm 1$. Setting,

$$z - 1 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \quad z + 1 = r_2 e^{i\theta_2} \quad (\text{C.71})$$

implies

$$f(z) = \sqrt{r_1 r_2} e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2}. \quad (\text{C.72})$$

If we go around a small curve C_1 encircling $z = 1$ then,

$$\theta_1 \rightarrow \theta_1 + 2\pi, \quad \theta_2 \rightarrow \theta_2 \quad (\text{C.73})$$

implies

$$\frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + \pi, \quad (\text{C.74})$$

and $f(z)$ changes sign because $e^{i\pi} = -1$. The same applies to a small curve C_2 encircling $z = -1$.

However, going around \mathcal{C}_3 that encircles both branch points,

$$\theta_1 \rightarrow \theta_1 + 2\pi, \quad \theta_2 \rightarrow \theta_2 + 2\pi \quad (\text{C.75})$$

and thus,

$$\frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) + 2\pi, \quad (\text{C.76})$$

and $f(z)$ does not change because $e^{2\pi i} = 1$.




Fig. C.14: We can introduce a branch cut that goes from $z = -1$ to $z = +1$; the simplest choice is to put the cut on the real axis. We could instead introduce two separate branch cuts: one from each branch point to infinity. (Actually these are both parts of a single branch cut that happens to pass through the point at infinity; we could smoothly deform this cut until it lies along the real axis.)

Therefore, we can introduce a branch cut that goes from $z = -1$ to $z = +1$; the simplest choice is to put the cut on the real axis. The two branches of $f(z)$ correspond to specifying that $f(z)$ is positive or negative on the positive real axis.

We could instead introduce two separate branch cuts: one from each branch point to infinity. (Actually these are both parts of a single branch cut that happens to pass through the point at infinity; we could smoothly deform this cut until it lies along the real axis.)

In general, when there is more than one branch point we may need more than one branch cut.

C.9.0.1 Contour Integration Around a Branch Cut

Example Evaluate the integral,

$$I = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^\alpha}{1 + \sqrt{2}x + x^2} dx, \quad (\text{C.77})$$

where $-1 < \alpha < 1$.

Consider,

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{z^\alpha}{1 + \sqrt{2}z + z^2} dz. \quad (\text{C.78})$$




Fig. C.15: There are simple poles at z_1, z_2 and a branch point at $z = 0$. We choose a branch cut along the positive real axis. We use a *keyhole contour* \mathcal{C} to avoid the branch point and the branch cut.

There are simple poles at $z_1 = e^{3\pi i/4}$, $z_2 = e^{5\pi i/4}$ and a branch point at $z = 0$. We choose a branch cut along the positive real axis and define the branch to be $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ with $z = re^{i\theta}$. We use a *keyhole contour* \mathcal{C} to avoid the branch point and the branch cut. Consider the contributions from each part of the contour,

- \mathcal{C}_R gives $2\pi R \times \mathcal{O}(R^{\alpha-2}) = \mathcal{O}(R^{\alpha-1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$;
- \mathcal{C}_ε is, substituting $z = \varepsilon e^{i\theta}$, $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\alpha+1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$;
- The contribution from just above the branch cut (\mathcal{C}_1) is,

$$\int_\varepsilon^R \frac{x^\alpha}{1 + \sqrt{2}x + x^2} dx, \quad (\text{C.79})$$

which $\rightarrow I$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$;

- The contribution from just below the branch cut (\mathcal{C}_2) is

$$\int_R^\varepsilon \frac{x^\alpha e^{2\pi\alpha i}}{1 + \sqrt{2}x + x^2} dx, \quad (\text{C.80})$$

which $\rightarrow -e^{2\pi\alpha i} I$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Therefore, as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$(1 - e^{2\pi\alpha i})I \rightarrow \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{z^\alpha}{1 + \sqrt{2}z + z^2} dz = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{z^\alpha}{(z - z_1)(z - z_2)} dz. \quad (\text{C.81})$$

Both poles are inside \mathcal{C} and the residue theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - e^{2\pi\alpha i})I &= 2\pi i \left(\frac{e^{3\pi\alpha i/4}}{e^{3\pi i/4} - e^{5\pi i/4}} + \frac{e^{5\pi\alpha i/4}}{e^{5\pi i/4} - e^{3\pi i/4}} \right) \\
 &= 2\pi i \left(\frac{e^{3\pi\alpha i/4}}{i\sqrt{2}} - \frac{e^{5\pi\alpha i/4}}{i\sqrt{2}} \right) \\
 e^{\pi\alpha i} (e^{-\pi\alpha i} - e^{\pi\alpha i})I &= \pi\sqrt{2}e^{\pi\alpha i} (e^{-\pi\alpha i/4} - e^{\pi\alpha i/4}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{C.82}$$

Thus,

$$I = \pi\sqrt{2} \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi/4)}{\sin(\alpha\pi)}. \tag{C.83}$$

Appendix: Equations

D.1 Basic Lagrangian Mechanics 1

- Lagrange's equations of motion (1.13)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i}. \quad (\text{D.1})$$

D.2 Hamilton's Equations of Motion 2

- Canonical momentum (2.1)

$$p_i \equiv \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right)_{\text{other } \dot{q}, \mathbf{q}, t}. \quad (\text{D.2})$$

- Hamiltonian (2.5)

$$H \equiv \left(\sum_i p_i \dot{q}_i - L \right) \Big|_{\dot{q}_i = \dot{q}_i(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)}. \quad (\text{D.3})$$

- Hamilton's equations of motion (2.6)

$$\dot{p}_i = - \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \right)_{\text{other } q, \mathbf{p}, t} \quad \dot{q}_i = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \right)_{\text{other } p, \mathbf{q}, t}. \quad (\text{D.4})$$

D.2.1 Poisson Brackets

- Total time derivative with Poisson bracket (2.35)

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H\} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}. \quad (\text{D.5})$$

- Poisson bracket (2.36)

$$\{f, g\} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i}. \quad (\text{D.6})$$

- Canonical Poisson bracket relations (2.37)

$$\{q_i, p_i\} = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \{q_i, q_j\} = \{p_i, p_j\} = 0. \quad (\text{D.7})$$

- Canonical transformation (2.63)

$$1 = \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} = \{Q, P\}_{q,p}. \quad (\text{D.8})$$

D.2.2 Lagrangian Dynamics of a Charged Particle

- Electromagnetic potential energy (2.66)

$$V = e(\phi - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}). \quad (\text{D.9})$$

- Canonical momentum in electromagnetic field (2.75)

$$\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v} + e\mathbf{A}. \quad (\text{D.10})$$

- Electric field from vector potential (2.84)

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi - \frac{\partial\mathbf{A}}{\partial t}. \quad (\text{D.11})$$

- Magnetic flux density from vector potential (2.85)

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}. \quad (\text{D.12})$$

- Vector potential gauge transformation (2.86)

$$\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}}. \quad (\text{D.13})$$

- Scalar potential gauge transformation (2.87)

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi' = \phi - \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}. \quad (\text{D.14})$$

- Coulomb gauge

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0. \quad (\text{D.15})$$

- Lorenz gauge (2.89)

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0. \quad (\text{D.16})$$

D.2.3 Relativistic Particle Dynamics

- Contravariant 4-vector (2.91)

$$x^\mu : (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) = (ct, x, y, z). \quad (\text{D.17})$$

- Covariant 4-vector (2.92)

$$x_\mu : (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = (ct, -x, -y, -z). \quad (\text{D.18})$$

- Metric tensor (2.97)

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) \quad (\text{D.19})$$

- Relativistic Lagrangian (2.102)

$$L(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = -\frac{1}{\gamma(v)} mc^2 - V(\mathbf{r}). \quad (\text{D.20})$$

- Free particle relativistic action (2.106)

$$S = - \int mc^2 d\tau - \int eA_\mu dx^\mu. \quad (\text{D.21})$$

- Free particle relativistic action from canonical momentum (2.110)

$$S = - \int p_\mu^{\text{canonical}} dx^\mu. \quad (\text{D.22})$$

- Relativistic canonical momentum in electromagnetic field (2.111)

$$p_\mu^{\text{canonical}} = \gamma(v)m \frac{dx_\mu}{dt} + eA_\mu. \quad (\text{D.23})$$

D.3 Classical Fields 3

D.3.1 Waves in One Dimension

- Kinetic energy of wave (3.1)

$$T = \int \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx. \quad (\text{D.24})$$

- Potential energy of wave (3.2)

$$V = \int \kappa \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx. \quad (\text{D.25})$$

D.4 Symmetries and Conservation Laws 4

D.5 Broken Symmetry 5

D.6 Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena 6

D.7 Propagators and causality 7