CS271: DATA STRUCTURES

Instructor: Dr. Stacey Truex

Project #3

This project is meant to be completed in groups. You should work in your Unit 2 groups. Implementation solutions should be written in C++ and analysis should be written in LATEX. Only one submission (the last submission uploaded to canvas) will be graded per group. Submissions should be a compressed file following the naming convention: NAMES_cs271_project3.zip where NAMES is replaced by the first initial and last name of each group member. For example, if Dr. Truex and Dr. Currin were in a group they would submit one file titled STruexFCurrin_cs271_project3.zip. You will lose points if you do not follow the course naming convention. Your .zip file should contain a minimum of 7 files:

- 1 makefile
- 2. hash_table.cpp
- 3. test_hash_table.cpp
- 4. usecase.cpp
- 5. main.cpp
- 6. analysis.pdf
- 7. commits.pdf: a commit history for your GitHub project

Additional files such as a hash_table.h header file or README.md are welcome. The above merely represent the minimum files required for project completion. Your code is expected to implement both a HashTable and an Element class. Details for each part of the project are as follows.

Specifications

Element

Implement an Element template class that, at a minimum, has the following operations:

• get_key(): e.get_key() should return the element's numeric key value. For example:

```
Element<string> e("string data", 5);
cout « e.get_key() « endl;
```

should result in the printing of the numeric value 5

• get data(): e.get_data() should return the element's template data. For example, using e from above:

```
cout « e.get_data() « endl;
```

should result in the printing of the string "string data"

You may also choose to implement methods other than get_key. This choice along with other parts of the class design are left to each pair.

Hash Table

Implement a HashTable template class that implements a hash table storing Element objects. Your hash table should have, at a minimum, support for the following three dictionary operations.

• insert(data, key): ht.insert(d, k) should insert an element with data d and key k into the hash table ht. For example:

```
HashTable<string> ht(5);
ht.insert("example", 8);
```

should result in a hash table with 5 slots and an element with data "example" and key 8 in slot $h(8) \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}$ for a set (by you) hash function h. Collisions should be handled via chaining using a doubly linked list. New elements to a doubly linked list should be inserted at the head.

• remove(k): ht.remove(k) should delete the Element with key k from the hash table ht. For example, using ht from above:

```
ht.remove(8);
```

should result in an empty hash table. You may assume that keys are distinct.

• member(d, k): ht.member(d, k) should indicate whether the hash table ht contains an Element with data d and a key k. For example, using the table ht from above:

```
ht.insert("test", 28);
if(ht.member("test", 28)){
    cout « "(test, 28) is a member of ht" « endl;
}
if(ht.member("other", 28)){
    cout « "(other, 28) is a member of ht" « endl;
}
```

should only result in the printing of the statement "(test, 28) is a member of ht".

Unit Testing

For unit testing, you should set your hash function to h(k) = k%m where m is the size of the hash table.

Additionally, you are also expected to implement a to_string() method which returns a string with the elements in each doubly linked list separated by a single space and displayed as (data, key). Each slot in the hash table should be separated by a new line. For example, using the table ht generated in the specifications:

```
cout « ht.to_string() « endl;
```

should result in the printing of the string

```
0:
1:
2:
3: (test,28)
```

Your to_string() method will be required for your class to pass testing.

For each HashTable method included in your HashTable class and Element method included in your Element class, write a unit test method in a separate unit test file that thoroughly tests that method. Think, in addition to common cases: what are my boundary cases? edge cases? disallowed input? Each method should have its own test method.

An example test file test_hashtable_example.cpp has been provided and demonstrates (1) a general outline of what is expected in a test file and (2) a guide on how your projects will be tested after submission. The tests included in test_hashtable_example.cpp are not exhaustive. The unit testing in your test_hashtable.cpp file should be much more complete. Additionally, for grading purposes, your code will be put through significantly more thorough testing than what is represented by test_hashtable_example.cpp. Passing the tests in this example file should be viewed as a lower bound.

Documentation

The expectation of all coding assignments is that they are well-documented. This means that logic is documented with line comments and method pre- and post- conditions are properly documented immediately after the method's parameter list.

Pre-conditions and post-conditions are used to specify precisely what a method does. However, a pre-condition/post-condition specification does not indicate how that method accomplishes its task (if such commenting is necessary it should be done through line level comments). Instead, pre-conditions indicate what must be true before the method is called while the post-condition indicates what will be true when the method is finished.

Use Case

Finally, use your HashTable to solve the following problem: You are given a csv file in which each line represents a username, password pair. Using a hash table, you should then support the following interaction with the program user:

- Ask the user to enter their username and password.
- If a correct password is entered, notify the user that access has been granted.
- If an inaccurate password is entered, notify the user that access has been denied.

An example csv file has been provided. Note that **passwords should not be stored** and can be any numeric value $\in \mathbb{Z}_{10^{10}}$ while user names can be any random string.

Your solution should be implemented in usecase.cpp using the following two functions:

```
HashTable<T>*create_table(string fname, intm)
bool login(HashTable<T>*ht, T username, string password)
```

where fname is the name of the csv file containing the username, password pairs and m is the intended size of the hash table. Your generated hash table should then be used with the login function where ht is the table from the create_table function, username is the entered username, and password is the entered password. Your function should return true if and only if the username and password match one of the known pairs (from the csv file). Note that your use case will only be tested when the template is set to string.

In your main.cpp file, your main function should include at least one example test case demonstrating the accuracy of your solution which allows for user input from the terminal.

Analysis

Finally, experiment with both of the following two hash functions:

- 1. Most Significant Bits Method: let h(k) be the p most significant bits of k.
- 2. Cormen's Multiplication Method: let x be the factional part of k * A, then h(k) should be the floor of m * x. Let A be as suggested by Knuth, $A = (\sqrt{5} 1)/2$ and m be some power of 2.

Use IATEX to create the document analysis.pdf which compares the performance of these two hash functions. Indicate which you believe is a *better* has function and *why*. Use experimental data to support your position.

Makefile

With each project you should be submitting a corresponding makefile. Once unpacking your .zip file, the single command make should create a test executable and a usecase executable. The command ./test should then run all the unit tests in your test_hash_table.cpp file evaluating your HashTable and Element classes. The command ./usecase should run the example test case in your main.cpp file demonstrating the accuracy of your login solution.

Rubric

Note that any coding projects that do not compile with the provided test_hashtable_example.cpp file will be given a 0. All projects that are able to be successfully compiled will be graded using the following rubric.

	does not compile: $0/40$		
	40 Total Points		
C++ Implementation	Code	Completeness	10 pts
		met submission requirements	10 pts
		$\operatorname{Correctness}$	15 pts
		passes unit testing	
		Validation	
		implementation deductions	
		ex: compile error with string template	
	Usecase	$\operatorname{Correctness}$	4 pts
		passes unit testing	
		Validation	
		implementation deductions	
		ex: login is not a template function	
		Analysis	3 pts
		incomplete, barebones, or missing	0/3
		incorrect conclusion	$\left[\begin{array}{cc} -\bar{1}/\bar{3} \end{array}\right]$
		conclusion lacks supporting evidence	$\left[\begin{array}{cc} -ar{2}/ar{3} \end{array} ight]$
		good, detailed, accurate analysis	$\overline{}$
	Efficiency	Time Test	2 pts
		encountered error - could not complete time test	$\begin{bmatrix} 0/2 \end{bmatrix}$
		takes over 2x fastest submission	$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1/2 \end{array} ight]$
		within 2x fastest submission	$\left[egin{array}{ccc} -ar{2}/ar{2} & - \end{array} ight]$
		fastest submission	$-\bar{3}/\bar{2}$
	Documentation	Documentation	3 pts
		extremely sparse documentation	0/3
		missing comments or pre- and post-conditions	$\left[\begin{array}{cc} -1/\overline{3} - 1 \end{array}\right]$
		documentation lacks detail in areas	$\left[\begin{array}{cc} -\bar{2}/\bar{3} \end{array}\right]$
		detailed comments & pre- and post-conditions	$-\bar{3}/\bar{3}$
	$\operatorname{Testing}$	Unit tests	3 pts
		does not expand on example test file	$\begin{bmatrix} -0/3 \\ \end{bmatrix}$
		not all functions tested or	
		testing not implemented as unit testing or	1/3
		no variation in templates	
		caught some of the bugs in classmates' code	$\begin{bmatrix} -2/3 \\ -2/3 \end{bmatrix}$
		caught most bugs in classmates' code	$\begin{bmatrix} -\overline{3}/\overline{3} \end{bmatrix}$