

# A UNIFIED THEORY OF ERROR FEEDBACK AND VARIANCE REDUCTION FOR NON-CONVEX OPTIMIZATION

**Kai Yi**

King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, Saudi Arabia  
kai.yi@kaust.edu.sa

## ABSTRACT

In this project, we first extend EF-BV Condat et al. (2022) to non-convex setting and consider the special case under PL inequality. Then we extend EF-BV to practical federated learning scenarios including variance reduction, partial participation, bidirectional compression.

## CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Problem Definition . . . . .	2
1.2	General Compressors . . . . .	2
1.3	Average Variance of Compressors . . . . .	2
1.4	EF-BV . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Convergence Analysis for Non-Convex Setting</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Assumptions . . . . .	3
2.2	Main Theorem . . . . .	3
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>5</b>
A.1	Missing proofs . . . . .	5
A.1.1	Missing proof of Theorem 2.3 . . . . .	5
A.1.2	Missing proof of Theorem 2.4 . . . . .	8

## 1 BACKGROUND

### 1.1 PROBLEM DEFINITION

We consider the standard federated optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x), \quad (1)$$

where  $n$  is the number of clients.  $f_i$  is the local optimization function at client  $i$  of the form

$$f_i(x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m f_{ij}(x), \quad (2)$$

where  $m$  is the number of datapoints at client  $i$ .

### 1.2 GENERAL COMPRESSORS

Based on bias-variance decomposition of the compression error (EF-BV Condat et al. (2022), Sec 2.3); for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathcal{C}(x) - x\|^2] = \underbrace{\|\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}(x)] - x\|^2}_{\text{bias}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\|\mathcal{C}(x) - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}(x)]\|^2]}_{\text{variance}}, \quad (3)$$

where the two terms at the right hand side satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}(x)] - x\| &\leq \eta \|x\|, \\ \mathbb{E}[\|\mathcal{C}(x) - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}(x)]\|^2] &\leq \omega \|x\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$\eta$  and  $\omega$  is interpreted as the relative bias and variance controllers of the general compressor. Unbiased compressors and contractive biased compressors are all special case of this general compressor. More details could refer to EF-BV Condat et al. (2022), Sec 2.3.

### 1.3 AVERAGE VARIANCE OF COMPRESSORS

Given  $n$  compressors  $\mathcal{C}_i$ , the average relative variance Condat et al. (2022)  $\omega_{av}$  is defined as: for every  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{C}_i(x_i) - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i(x_i)]) \right\|^2 \right] \leq \frac{\omega_{av}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2. \quad (5)$$

The property of this bound from EF-BF:  $\omega_{av} \leq \omega$  and can be much smaller than  $\omega$ . When  $\mathcal{C}_i$  are mutually independent,  $\omega_{av} = \omega/n$ .

### 1.4 EF-BV

The key part of EF-BV Condat et al. (2022) is that the gradient estimator is updated By

$$g_i^t = h_i^t + \nu \mathcal{C}(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t) \quad (6)$$

where the control variates are updated as

$$h_i^{t+1} = h_i^t + \lambda \mathcal{C}(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t). \quad (7)$$

More details could refer to [EF-BV](#) Condat et al. (2022) Sec. 3.

## 2 CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS FOR NON-CONVEX SETTING

### 2.1 ASSUMPTIONS

**Assumption 2.1** (Smoothness and Lower Bound). Every  $f_i$  is  $L_i$ -smooth and  $f$  is  $L$ -smooth.  $f^{\inf} := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) > -\infty$ .

Using Jensen's inequality, we have  $L \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_i L_i$ . Let  $\tilde{L} := (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^2 L_i)^{1/2}$ . Using the arithmetic-quadratic mean inequality,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_i L_i \leq \tilde{L}$ .

**Assumption 2.2** (Polyak-Łojasiewicz Condition). There exists  $\mu > 0$  such that

$$f(x) - f^{\inf} \leq \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (8)$$

### 2.2 MAIN THEOREM

**Theorem 2.3** (Linear Convergence in the non-convex setting). *Suppose Assumption 2.1 is satisfied. Suppose  $\nu \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ , choosing the stepsize*

$$0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{L + \tilde{L} \sqrt{\frac{r_{\text{av}}}{r} \frac{1}{s}}}.$$

For every  $t \geq 0$ , define the Lyapunov function

$$\Psi^t := f(x^t) - f^{\inf} + \frac{\gamma}{2\theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2 \quad (9)$$

Fix  $T \geq 1$  and let  $\hat{x}^T$  be chosen from the iterates  $x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{T-1}$  uniformly at random. Then

$$\mathbb{E} [\|\nabla f(\hat{x}^T)\|^2] \leq \frac{2(f(x^0) - f^{\inf})}{\gamma T} + \frac{\mathbb{E}[G^0]}{\theta T}, \quad (10)$$

where  $G^0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^0) - h_i^0\|^2$ .

Similar to [EF-BV](#), we define the optimal values for the scaling parameters  $\lambda, \nu$ :

$$\lambda^* := \min \left( \frac{1 - \eta}{(1 - \eta)^2 + \omega}, 1 \right), \quad \nu^* := \min \left( \frac{1 - \eta}{(1 - \eta)^2 + \omega_{\text{av}}}, 1 \right).$$

Given  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  and  $\nu \in (0, 1]$ , we define for convenience

$$r := (1 - \lambda + \lambda\eta)^2 + \lambda^2\omega, \quad r_{\text{av}} := (1 - \nu + \nu\eta)^2 + \nu^2\omega_{\text{av}}.$$

as well as  $s^* := \sqrt{\frac{1+r}{2r}} - 1$  and  $\theta^* := s^*(1 + s^*) \frac{r}{r_{\text{av}}}$ .

**Theorem 2.4** (Linear Convergence under PL condition). *Suppose Assumption 2.1 and PL assumption 2.2 are satisfied. Similarly, for every  $t \geq 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E} [\Psi^t] \leq \left( \max \left( 1 - \gamma\mu, \frac{r+1}{2} \right) \right)^t \Psi^0. \quad (11)$$

## REFERENCES

- Laurent Condat, Kai Yi, and Peter Richtárik. Ef-bv: A unified theory of error feedback and variance reduction mechanisms for biased and unbiased compression in distributed optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.04180, 2022.
- Peter Richtárik, Igor Sokolov, and Ilyas Fatkhullin. EF21: A new, simpler, theoretically better, and practically faster error feedback. In Proc. of 35th Conf. Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2021.

## A APPENDIX

### A.1 MISSING PROOFS

#### A.1.1 MISSING PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3

$$g_i^t = h_i^t + \nu \mathcal{C}(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t); \quad h_i^{t+1} = h_i^t + \lambda \mathcal{C}(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)$$

*Proof.* We first bound  $\mathbb{E}[\|g_i^{t+1} - \nabla f_i(x^t)\|^2]$ .

Given any compressor  $\mathcal{C}$ , we can do a *bias-variance decomposition* of the compression error (see Eqn. 3). For every  $t \geq 0$ , define  $W^t = \{x^t, h^t, (h_i^t)_{i=1}^n\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|g^{t+1} - \nabla f(x^t)\|^2 | W^t] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \nu \mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)\right)\right\|^2 | W^t\right] \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \nu \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\right)\right\|^2 | W^t \\ &\quad + \nu^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t) - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\right)\right\|^2 | W^t\right] \\ &\leq \left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \nu \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\right)\right\|^2 | W^t \\ &\quad + \nu^2 \frac{\omega_{\text{av}}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where the last inequality follows from Eqn. 7 in Condat et al. (2022). In addition, using Jensen's inequality and the definition of general compressor (Eqn. 4),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \nu \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\right)\right\| | W^t \\ &\leq \left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\nu(h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t)) + \nu \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\right)\right\| | W^t + (1 - \nu) \left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t))\right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\| | W^t + \frac{1 - \nu}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{\nu\eta}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\| + \frac{1 - \nu}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\| \\ &= \frac{1 - \nu + \nu\eta}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Therefore,

$$\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \nu \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\right)\right\|^2 | W^t \leq \frac{(1 - \nu + \nu\eta)^2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2.$$

Putting Eq. 13 into Eq. 12, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|g^{t+1} - \nabla f(x^t)\|^2 | W^t] \leq ((1 - \nu + \nu\eta)^2 + \nu^2 \omega_{\text{av}}) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2.$$

Using the Tower property and we obtain the unconditioned term,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|g^{t+1} - \nabla f(x^t)\|^2] \leq ((1 - \nu + \nu\eta)^2 + \nu^2\omega_{\text{av}}) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2].$$

Since we have the property (Richtárik et al., 2021, Lemma 4), for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$f(x^{t+1}) - f^{\text{inf}} \leq f(x^t) - f^{\text{inf}} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|g^{t+1} - \nabla f(x^t)\|^2 + \left(\frac{L}{2} - \frac{1}{2\gamma}\right) \|x^{t+1} - x^t\|^2.$$

Thus, for every  $t \geq 0$ , conditionally on  $x^t, h^t$  and  $(h_i^t)_{i=1}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(x^{t+1}) - f^{\text{inf}}] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(x^t) - f^{\text{inf}}] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{L}{2} - \frac{1}{2\gamma}\right) \mathbb{E}[\|x^{t+1} - x^t\|^2] + \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|g^{t+1} - \nabla f(x^t)\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us study the control variates  $h_i^t$ . Let  $s > 0$ . Using the Peter–Paul inequality  $\|a + b\|^2 \leq (1 + s)\|a\|^2 + (1 + s^{-1})\|b\|^2$ , for any vectors  $a$  and  $b$ , we have, for every  $t \geq 0$  and  $i \in \mathcal{I}_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla f_i(x^{t+1}) - h_i^{t+1}\|^2 &= \|h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^{t+1}) + \lambda \mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + s) \|h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \lambda \mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 + s^{-1}) \|\nabla f_i(x^{t+1}) - \nabla f_i(x^t)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + s) \|h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \lambda \mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 + s^{-1}) L_i^2 \|x^{t+1} - x^t\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, conditionally on  $x^t, h^t$  and  $(h_i^t)_{i=1}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \lambda \mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)\|^2] &= \|h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \lambda \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\|^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t) - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\|^2] \\ &\leq \|h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \lambda \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\|^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \omega \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \lambda \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\| &\leq \|\lambda(h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t)) + \lambda \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)]\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda) \|h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t)\| \\ &\leq \lambda\eta \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\| + (1 - \lambda) \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\| \\ &= (1 - \lambda + \lambda\eta) \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, conditionally on  $x^t, h^t$  and  $(h_i^t)_{i=1}^n$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|h_i^t - \nabla f_i(x^t) + \lambda \mathcal{C}_i^t(\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t)\|^2] \leq ((1 - \lambda + \lambda\eta)^2 + \lambda^2\omega) \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_i(x^{t+1}) - h_i^{t+1}\|^2] &\leq (1 + s)((1 - \lambda + \lambda\eta)^2 + \lambda^2\omega) \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 + s^{-1}) L_i^2 \mathbb{E}[\|x^{t+1} - x^t\|^2], \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^{t+1}) - h_i^{t+1}\|^2\right] &\leq (1 + s)((1 - \lambda + \lambda\eta)^2 + \lambda^2\omega) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 + s^{-1}) \tilde{L}^2 \mathbb{E}[\|x^{t+1} - x^t\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\theta > 0$ ; its value will be set to  $\theta^*$  later on. We introduce the Lyapunov function, for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\Psi^t := f(x^t) - f^{\inf} + \frac{\gamma}{2\theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2.$$

Hence, for every  $t \geq 0$ , conditionally on  $x^t$ ,  $h^t$  and  $(h_i^t)_{i=1}^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Psi^{t+1}] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(x^t) - f^{\inf}] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2] \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2\theta} \left( \theta((1-\nu + \nu\eta)^2 + \nu^2\omega_{\text{av}}) + (1+s)((1-\lambda + \lambda\eta)^2 + \lambda^2\omega) \right) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2 \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{L}{2} - \frac{1}{2\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{2\theta}(1+s^{-1})\tilde{L}^2 \right) \mathbb{E}[\|x^{t+1} - x^t\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of  $r$  and  $r_{\text{av}}$  and setting  $\theta = s(1+s)\frac{r}{r_{\text{av}}}$ , we can rewrite the above equation as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Psi^{t+1}] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(x^t) - f^{\inf}] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2] + \frac{\gamma}{2\theta} \left( \theta r_{\text{av}} + (1+s)r \right) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2 \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{L}{2} - \frac{1}{2\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{2\theta}(1+s^{-1})\tilde{L}^2 \right) \mathbb{E}[\|x^{t+1} - x^t\|^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[f(x^t) - f^{\inf}] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2] + \frac{\gamma}{2\theta} (1+s)^2 \frac{r}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2 \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{L}{2} - \frac{1}{2\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{2s^2} \frac{r_{\text{av}}}{r} \tilde{L}^2 \right) \mathbb{E}[\|x^{t+1} - x^t\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

We now choose  $\gamma$  small enough so that

$$L - \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{s^2} \frac{r_{\text{av}}}{r} \tilde{L}^2 \leq 0. \quad (14)$$

A sufficient condition for equation 14 to hold is (Richtárik et al., 2021, Lemma 5):

$$0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{L + \tilde{L} \sqrt{\frac{r_{\text{av}}}{r} \frac{1}{s}}}. \quad (15)$$

Then, assuming that equation 15 holds, we have, for every  $t \geq 0$ , conditionally on  $x^t$ ,  $h^t$  and  $(h_i^t)_{i=1}^n$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\Psi^{t+1}] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(x^t) - f^{\inf}] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2] + \frac{\gamma}{2\theta} (1+s)^2 \frac{r}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nabla f_i(x^t) - h_i^t\|^2.$$

Using the Tower property, we have,

$$\mathbb{E}[\Psi^{t+1}] \leq \mathbb{E}[\Psi^t] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2].$$

By summing up inequalities for  $t = 0, \dots, T-1$ , we get

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E}[\Psi(T)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\Psi^0] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2].$$

Multiplying both sides by  $\frac{2}{\gamma T}$ , after rearranging we get

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2] \leq \frac{2}{\gamma T} \Psi(0),$$

where the left hand side can be interpreted as  $\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(\hat{x}^T)\|^2]$ , where  $\hat{x}^T$  is chosen from  $x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{T-1}$  uniformly at random.

□

#### A.1.2 MISSING PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4

This proof is under [EF-BF](#) Condat et al. (2022) Theorem.1.