

# Lasso and Bayesian Lasso

## Chapter 6 ISL

STA521 Linear Models Duke University

Merlise Clyde

September 26, 2014

# Ridge Regression Model

Write  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{1}_n\alpha + \mathbf{X}^c\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  where  $\mathbf{X}^c$  has been centered and standardized

- Prior Distribution on

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \phi \sim \text{N}(\mathbf{0}_p, \frac{1}{\phi k} \mathbf{I}_p)$$

# Ridge Regression Model

Write  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{1}_n\alpha + \mathbf{X}^c\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  where  $\mathbf{X}^c$  has been centered and standardized

- Prior Distribution on

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \phi \sim N(\mathbf{0}_p, \frac{1}{\phi k} \mathbf{I}_p)$$

- Posterior mean

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^{cT}\mathbf{X}^c + k\mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{cT}\mathbf{X}^c\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

# Ridge Regression Model

Write  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{1}_n\alpha + \mathbf{X}^c\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  where  $\mathbf{X}^c$  has been centered and standardized

- Prior Distribution on

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \phi \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_p, \frac{1}{\phi k} \mathbf{I}_p)$$

- Posterior mean

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^{cT}\mathbf{X}^c + k\mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{cT}\mathbf{X}^c\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

- Prior distribution on  $k$ ?

Reparameterization to canonical representation:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{1}\alpha + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_1)\mathbf{XSS}\beta + \epsilon$$

Reparameterization to canonical representation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{1}\alpha + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_1)\mathbf{XSS}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ &= \mathbf{1}\alpha + \mathbf{X}^c\boldsymbol{\beta}^c + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\end{aligned}$$

Hierarchical prior

Reparameterization to canonical representation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{1}\alpha + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_1)\mathbf{XSS}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ &= \mathbf{1}\alpha + \mathbf{X}^c\boldsymbol{\beta}^c + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\end{aligned}$$

Hierarchical prior

- $p(\alpha \mid \phi, \gamma, \kappa) \propto 1$

Reparameterization to canonical representation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{1}\alpha + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_1)\mathbf{XSS}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ &= \mathbf{1}\alpha + \mathbf{X}^c\boldsymbol{\beta}^c + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\end{aligned}$$

Hierarchical prior

- $p(\alpha \mid \phi, \gamma, \kappa) \propto 1$
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}^c \mid \phi, \kappa \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}(\phi\kappa)^{-1})$



Reparameterization to canonical representation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{1}\alpha + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_1)\mathbf{XSS}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ &= \mathbf{1}\alpha + \mathbf{X}^c\boldsymbol{\beta}^c + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\end{aligned}$$

Hierarchical prior

- $p(\alpha \mid \phi, \gamma, \kappa) \propto 1$
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}^c \mid \phi, \kappa \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}(\phi\kappa)^{-1})$
- $p(\phi \mid \kappa) \propto 1/\phi$

Reparameterization to canonical representation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{1}\alpha + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_1)\mathbf{XSS}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ &= \mathbf{1}\alpha + \mathbf{X}^c\boldsymbol{\beta}^c + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\end{aligned}$$

Hierarchical prior

- $p(\alpha \mid \phi, \gamma, \kappa) \propto 1$
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}^c \mid \phi, \kappa \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}(\phi\kappa)^{-1})$
- $p(\phi \mid \kappa) \propto 1/\phi$
- prior on  $\kappa$ ? Take  $\kappa \mid \phi \sim \mathbf{G}(1/2, 1/2)$

## Joint Distribution

- $\alpha, \beta^c, \phi \mid \kappa, \mathbf{Y}$  Normal-Gamma family given  $\mathbf{Y}$  and  $\kappa$

## Joint Distribution

- $\alpha, \beta^c, \phi \mid \kappa, \mathbf{Y}$  Normal-Gamma family given  $\mathbf{Y}$  and  $\kappa$
- $\kappa \mid \mathbf{Y}$  not tractable

## Joint Distribution

- $\alpha, \beta^c, \phi \mid \kappa, \mathbf{Y}$  Normal-Gamma family given  $\mathbf{Y}$  and  $\kappa$
- $\kappa \mid \mathbf{Y}$  not tractable

Obtain marginal for  $\beta^c$  via

## Joint Distribution

- $\alpha, \beta^c, \phi \mid \kappa, \mathbf{Y}$  Normal-Gamma family given  $\mathbf{Y}$  and  $\kappa$
- $\kappa \mid \mathbf{Y}$  not tractable

Obtain marginal for  $\beta^c$  via

- Numerical integration

## Joint Distribution

- $\alpha, \beta^c, \phi \mid \kappa, \mathbf{Y}$  Normal-Gamma family given  $\mathbf{Y}$  and  $\kappa$
- $\kappa \mid \mathbf{Y}$  not tractable

Obtain marginal for  $\beta^c$  via

- Numerical integration
- MCMC: Gibbs Sampling from Full Conditional Distributions
  - $\alpha, \gamma, \phi \mid \kappa, \mathbf{Y}$  Normal-Gamma family given  $\mathbf{Y}$  and  $\kappa$

## Joint Distribution

- $\alpha, \beta^c, \phi \mid \kappa, \mathbf{Y}$  Normal-Gamma family given  $\mathbf{Y}$  and  $\kappa$
- $\kappa \mid \mathbf{Y}$  not tractable

Obtain marginal for  $\beta^c$  via

- Numerical integration
- MCMC: Gibbs Sampling from Full Conditional Distributions
  - $\alpha, \gamma, \phi \mid \kappa, \mathbf{Y}$  Normal-Gamma family given  $\mathbf{Y}$  and  $\kappa$
  - $\kappa \mid \alpha, \gamma, \phi, \mathbf{Y}$  is tractable!



# Full Conditional for $k$

- Pick initial values  $\alpha^{(0)}, \beta^{(0)}, \phi^{(0)},$

# MCMC Algorithm

- Pick initial values  $\alpha^{(0)}, \beta^{(0)}, \phi^{(0)}$ ,  
Set  $t = 1$ 
  - 1 Sample  $\kappa^{(t)} \sim p(\kappa \mid \alpha^{(t-1)}, \gamma^{(t-1)}, \phi^{(t-1)}, \mathbf{Y})$

- Pick initial values  $\alpha^{(0)}, \beta^{(0)}, \phi^{(0)}$ ,  
Set  $t = 1$ 
  - 1 Sample  $\kappa^{(t)} \sim p(\kappa \mid \alpha^{(t-1)}, \gamma^{(t-1)}, \phi^{(t-1)}, \mathbf{Y})$
  - 2 Sample  $\alpha^{(t)}, \gamma^{(t)}, \phi^{(t)} \mid \kappa^{(t)}, \mathbf{Y}$

- Pick initial values  $\alpha^{(0)}, \beta^{(0)}, \phi^{(0)}$ ,  
Set  $t = 1$ 
  - 1 Sample  $\kappa^{(t)} \sim p(\kappa \mid \alpha^{(t-1)}, \gamma^{(t-1)}, \phi^{(t-1)}, \mathbf{Y})$
  - 2 Sample  $\alpha^{(t)}, \gamma^{(t)}, \phi^{(t)} \mid \kappa^{(t)}, \mathbf{Y}$
  - 3 Set  $t = t + 1$  and repeat until  $t > T$

# MCMC Algorithm

- Pick initial values  $\alpha^{(0)}, \beta^{(0)}, \phi^{(0)}$ ,

Set  $t = 1$

- 1 Sample  $\kappa^{(t)} \sim p(\kappa \mid \alpha^{(t-1)}, \gamma^{(t-1)}, \phi^{(t-1)}, \mathbf{Y})$
- 2 Sample  $\alpha^{(t)}, \gamma^{(t)}, \phi^{(t)} \mid \kappa^{(t)}, \mathbf{Y}$
- 3 Set  $t = t + 1$  and repeat until  $t > T$

Use Samples  $\alpha^{(t)}, \gamma^{(t)}, \phi^{(t)}, \kappa^{(t)}$  for  $t = B, \dots, T$  for inference  
( $B = \text{Burnin}$ )

## Just Another Gibbs Sampler (and more)

- Stanalone Program (for MAC, Windows, Unix)
- R interface
- Easy scripting language (no full conoditional derivation!)
- CODA Diagnostics
- Wide Range of Models/Priors!

# Example

```
rr.model = function() {  
  for (i in 1:n) {  
    mu[i] <- inprod(X[i,], alpha)  
    Y[i] ~ dnorm(mu[i], phi)  
  }  
  phi ~ dgamma(1.0E-6, 1.0E-6)  
  sigma <- pow(phi, -.5)  
  alpha[1] ~ dnorm(0, 1.0E-10)  
  
  lambda.beta ~ dgamma(.5, .5)  
  for (j in 2:p) {  
    prec.beta[j] <- lambda.beta*phi  
    alpha[j] ~ dnorm(0, prec.beta[j])  
    beta[j] <- alpha[j]/scales[j]    # rescale  
  }  
  beta[1] <- alpha[1] - inprod(beta[2:p], Xbar)  
}
```



# Initial Values

```
rr.inits = function() {  
  bf.lm <- lm(data$Y ~ -1 + data$X)  
  coefs = coef(bf.lm)  
  alpha= coefs  
  phi = (1/summary(bf.lm)$sigma)^2  
return(list(alpha=alpha, phi=phi))  
}
```

```
rr.model.file = paste(getwd(),"rr-model.txt", sep="/")
write.model(rr.model, rr.model.file)

parameters = c("beta","sigma","lambda.beta")

bf.sim = jags(data, inits=rr.inits, parameters,
              model.file=rr.model.file, n.iter=10000)
plot(bf.sim)
bf.sim
```

# Output

```
> bf.sim
Inference for Bugs model at "/home/fac/clyde/Dropbox/Sta521/Lectures/ridge/rr-model.txt", fit using jags,
3 chains, each with 10000 iterations (first 5000 discarded), n.thin = 5
n.sims = 3000 iterations saved
```

	mu.vect	sd.vect	2.5%	25%	50%	75%	97.5%	Rhat	n.eff
beta[1]	-464.642	415.597	-1296.740	-743.015	-459.517	-170.197	321.255	1.001	3000
beta[2]	-497.325	137.834	-761.888	-590.347	-497.310	-404.987	-221.117	1.001	3000
beta[3]	1.567	0.042	1.488	1.538	1.567	1.596	1.647	1.002	1300
beta[4]	-0.812	0.182	-1.167	-0.935	-0.812	-0.686	-0.449	1.001	3000
beta[5]	49.125	5.684	38.420	45.290	49.024	53.027	60.348	1.001	3000
beta[6]	-13.752	4.615	-22.989	-16.781	-13.719	-10.688	-4.698	1.001	3000
beta[7]	0.053	0.031	-0.009	0.032	0.054	0.075	0.114	1.001	3000
beta[8]	0.044	0.032	-0.020	0.023	0.044	0.066	0.108	1.001	3000
beta[9]	-0.084	0.019	-0.121	-0.096	-0.084	-0.071	-0.047	1.002	1600
beta[10]	0.153	0.048	0.057	0.122	0.154	0.185	0.248	1.001	3000
beta[11]	0.026	0.244	-0.458	-0.137	0.024	0.193	0.498	1.001	3000
beta[12]	0.032	0.063	-0.089	-0.012	0.032	0.075	0.156	1.001	3000
beta[13]	-8.507	4.562	-17.402	-11.596	-8.471	-5.468	0.272	1.001	3000
beta[14]	-3.648	5.032	-13.822	-6.907	-3.557	-0.123	5.761	1.002	1900
beta[15]	15.061	12.816	-9.761	6.503	14.759	23.718	40.284	1.001	3000
beta[16]	-0.070	4.062	-7.787	-2.917	-0.096	2.624	7.942	1.001	2300
beta[17]	0.078	0.012	0.053	0.069	0.078	0.086	0.102	1.001	3000
beta[18]	8.690	2.925	2.974	6.745	8.739	10.751	14.306	1.001	3000
lambda.beta	1.102	0.380	0.487	0.827	1.054	1.325	1.923	1.001	3000
sigma	1042.370	26.961	992.679	1023.561	1041.502	1060.475	1094.968	1.002	1200
deviance	13003.732	6.262	12993.517	12999.206	13003.168	13007.578	13017.910	1.001	3000

Park & Casella (JASA 2008) and Hans Biometrika (2010) propose Bayesian versions of the Lasso

Park & Casella (JASA 2008) and Hans Biometrika (2010) propose Bayesian versions of the Lasso

$$\mathbf{Y} \mid \alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{1}_n \alpha + \mathbf{X}^c \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{I}_n / \phi)$$

# Bayesian Lasso

Park & Casella (JASA 2008) and Hans Biometrika (2010) propose Bayesian versions of the Lasso

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Y} \mid \alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi &\sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{1}_n \alpha + \mathbf{X}^c \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{I}_n / \phi) \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \alpha, \phi, \boldsymbol{\tau} &\sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2) / \phi)\end{aligned}$$

# Bayesian Lasso

Park & Casella (JASA 2008) and Hans Biometrika (2010) propose Bayesian versions of the Lasso

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Y} \mid \alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi &\sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{1}_n \alpha + \mathbf{X}^c \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{I}_n / \phi) \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \alpha, \phi, \boldsymbol{\tau} &\sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2) / \phi) \\ \tau_1^2, \dots, \tau_p^2 \mid \alpha, \phi & \textit{i.i.d.} \text{ Exp}(\lambda^2 / 2)\end{aligned}$$

# Bayesian Lasso

Park & Casella (JASA 2008) and Hans Biometrika (2010) propose Bayesian versions of the Lasso

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Y} \mid \alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi &\sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{1}_n \alpha + \mathbf{X}^c \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{I}_n / \phi) \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \alpha, \phi, \boldsymbol{\tau} &\sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2) / \phi) \\ \tau_1^2, \dots, \tau_p^2 \mid \alpha, \phi & \text{ i.i.d. } \text{Exp}(\lambda^2 / 2) \\ p(\alpha, \phi) &\propto 1 / \phi\end{aligned}$$



# Bayesian Lasso

Park & Casella (JASA 2008) and Hans Biometrika (2010) propose Bayesian versions of the Lasso

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Y} \mid \alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi &\sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{1}_n \alpha + \mathbf{X}^c \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{I}_n / \phi) \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \alpha, \phi, \boldsymbol{\tau} &\sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2) / \phi) \\ \tau_1^2, \dots, \tau_p^2 \mid \alpha, \phi &\text{ i.i.d. } \text{Exp}(\lambda^2 / 2) \\ p(\alpha, \phi) &\propto 1 / \phi\end{aligned}$$

Can show that  $\beta_j \mid \phi, \lambda \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} DE(\lambda\sqrt{\phi})$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi \frac{\beta^2}{s}} \frac{\lambda^2}{2} e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 s}{2}} ds = \frac{\lambda\phi^{1/2}}{2} e^{-\lambda\phi^{1/2}|\beta|}$$

# Bayesian Lasso

Park & Casella (JASA 2008) and Hans Biometrika (2010) propose Bayesian versions of the Lasso

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Y} \mid \alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi &\sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{1}_n \alpha + \mathbf{X}^c \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{I}_n / \phi) \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \alpha, \phi, \boldsymbol{\tau} &\sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2) / \phi) \\ \tau_1^2, \dots, \tau_p^2 \mid \alpha, \phi &\text{ i.i.d. } \text{Exp}(\lambda^2 / 2) \\ p(\alpha, \phi) &\propto 1 / \phi\end{aligned}$$

Can show that  $\beta_j \mid \phi, \lambda \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} DE(\lambda\sqrt{\phi})$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}s} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi\frac{\beta^2}{s}} \frac{\lambda^2}{2} e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 s}{2}} ds = \frac{\lambda\phi^{1/2}}{2} e^{-\lambda\phi^{1/2}|\beta|}$$

Scale Mixture of Normals (Andrews and Mallows 1974)

- Integrate out  $\alpha$ :  $\alpha \mid \mathbf{Y}, \phi \sim N(\bar{y}, 1/(n\phi))$

- Integrate out  $\alpha$ :  $\alpha \mid \mathbf{Y}, \phi \sim N(\bar{y}, 1/(n\phi))$
- $\beta \mid \tau, \phi, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim N(, )$

# Gibbs Sampling

- Integrate out  $\alpha$ :  $\alpha \mid \mathbf{Y}, \phi \sim N(\bar{y}, 1/(n\phi))$
- $\beta \mid \tau, \phi, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim N(, )$
- $\phi \mid \tau, \beta, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim \mathbf{G}(, )$

# Gibbs Sampling

- Integrate out  $\alpha$ :  $\alpha \mid \mathbf{Y}, \phi \sim N(\bar{y}, 1/(n\phi))$
- $\beta \mid \tau, \phi, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim N(, )$
- $\phi \mid \tau, \beta, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim \mathbf{G}(, )$
- $1/\tau_j^2 \mid \beta, \phi, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim \text{InvGaussian}(, )$

# Gibbs Sampling

- Integrate out  $\alpha$ :  $\alpha \mid \mathbf{Y}, \phi \sim N(\bar{y}, 1/(n\phi))$
- $\beta \mid \tau, \phi, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim N(, )$
- $\phi \mid \tau, \beta, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim \mathbf{G}(, )$
- $1/\tau_j^2 \mid \beta, \phi, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim \text{InvGaussian}(, )$

$X \sim \text{InvGaussian}(\mu, \lambda)$

$$f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi}} x^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^2 (x-\mu)^2}{\mu^2 x}} \quad x > 0$$

- Integrate out  $\alpha$ :  $\alpha \mid \mathbf{Y}, \phi \sim N(\bar{y}, 1/(n\phi))$
- $\beta \mid \tau, \phi, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim N(, )$
- $\phi \mid \tau, \beta, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim \mathbf{G}(, )$
- $1/\tau_j^2 \mid \beta, \phi, \lambda, \mathbf{Y} \sim \text{InvGaussian}(, )$

$X \sim \text{InvGaussian}(\mu, \lambda)$

$$f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi}} x^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^2 (x-\mu)^2}{\mu^2 x}} \quad x > 0$$

Exercise for Energetic Student: Derive the full conditionals for  $\beta$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $1/\tau^2$  see

<http://www.stat.ufl.edu/~casella/Papers/Lasso.pdf>



Range of other scale mixtures used

Range of other scale mixtures used

- Bridge - Power Exponential Priors

Range of other scale mixtures used

- Bridge - Power Exponential Priors
- Horseshoe (Carvalho, Polson & Scott)

Range of other scale mixtures used

- Bridge - Power Exponential Priors
- Horseshoe (Carvalho, Polson & Scott)
- Generalized Double Pareto (Armagan, Dunson & Lee)

Range of other scale mixtures used

- Bridge - Power Exponential Priors
- Horseshoe (Carvalho, Polson & Scott)
- Generalized Double Pareto (Armagan, Dunson & Lee)
- Normal-Exponential-Gamma (Griffin & Brown)

Range of other scale mixtures used

- Bridge - Power Exponential Priors
- Horseshoe (Carvalho, Polson & Scott)
- Generalized Double Pareto (Armagan, Dunson & Lee)
- Normal-Exponential-Gamma (Griffin & Brown)

Properties of Prior?

Range of other scale mixtures used

- Bridge - Power Exponential Priors
- Horseshoe (Carvalho, Polson & Scott)
- Generalized Double Pareto (Armagan, Dunson & Lee)
- Normal-Exponential-Gamma (Griffin & Brown)

Properties of Prior?

Bayesian Posterior does not assign any probability to  $\beta_j = 0$

- selection based on posterior mode ad hoc rule



Bayesian Posterior does not assign any probability to  $\beta_j = 0$

- selection based on posterior mode ad hoc rule
- Selection solved as a post-analysis decision problem

# Selection?

Bayesian Posterior does not assign any probability to  $\beta_j = 0$

- selection based on posterior mode ad hoc rule
- Selection solved as a post-analysis decision problem
- Selection part of model uncertainty  $\Rightarrow$  add prior

# Selection?

Bayesian Posterior does not assign any probability to  $\beta_j = 0$

- selection based on posterior mode ad hoc rule
- Selection solved as a post-analysis decision problem
- Selection part of model uncertainty  $\Rightarrow$  add prior probability that  $\beta_j = 0$  and combine with decision problem







