

**B**

# BLOCKD

## INTERVIEW SECURITY REPORT

Session ID:	INT-2026-0142
Date:	January 22, 2026
Duration:	47 minutes 23 seconds
Position:	Senior Software Engineer
Candidate ID:	CAND-7829-XK

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

OVERALL RISK <b>LOW</b> 0.21	ATTENTION SCORE <b>89%</b> Above Average	AI SIMILARITY <b>21%</b> Below Threshold
------------------------------------	--	--

This interview session demonstrates **minimal security concerns**. The candidate maintained consistent eye contact, showed natural gaze patterns, and responses exhibited low similarity to AI-generated content. Two minor security events were detected but do not indicate fraudulent behavior.


### Key Findings

- No reading patterns detected during technical questions
- Natural gaze distribution across the interview window
- Response patterns consistent with human cognition
- 2 brief off-screen glances (within normal parameters)
- Minor audio quality fluctuation at 23:14

## GAZE ANALYSIS

### Attention Heatmap

The following heatmap visualizes the candidate’s gaze distribution throughout the interview session. Areas of higher attention density are shown in warmer colors.



### Gaze Metrics

Metric	Value	Status
Center Focus Time	78.3%	Excellent
Off-Screen Events	2	Normal
Reading Pattern Detection	None	Clear
Rapid Eye Movement	12.4/min	Normal
Blink Rate	17.2/min	Normal
Pupil Dilation Variance	0.08mm	Stable


### Off-Screen Events

Time	Duration	Context	Risk
12:47	0.8s	During question transition	None
31:22	1.2s	Appeared to be thinking	None

## AI DETECTION ANALYSIS

### Response Similarity Scores

Candidate responses were analyzed against multiple large language models to detect potential AI-assisted answering.




### Analysis by Question Type

Question Category	AI Similarity	Confidence	Assessment
Technical Coding	19%	94%	Human
System Design	24%	91%	Human
Behavioral	18%	96%	Human
Problem Solving	23%	92%	Human

### Natural Language Indicators

- Hesitation patterns consistent with natural speech
- Self-corrections observed (3 instances) - indicates genuine thinking
- Personal anecdotes integrated naturally into responses
- Vocabulary variance within expected human range
- Response latency patterns indicate cognitive processing

SECURITY EVENT TIMELINE



Event Details

Time	Severity	Description	Action
00:00	Info	Session initialized, all systems nominal	None
12:47	Minimal	Brief off-screen gaze (0.8s)	Logged
23:14	Low	Audio quality fluctuation detected	Logged
31:22	Minimal	Brief off-screen gaze (1.2s)	Logged
47:23	Info	Session completed normally	None

Environment Analysis

Check	Result	Status
Screen Sharing Detection	Not detected	Clear
Virtual Camera Detection	Not detected	Clear
Background Noise Analysis	Office environment	Normal
Network Latency	23ms average	Stable
Browser Tab Switches	0	Clear
Copy/Paste Events	0	Clear

## ASSESSMENT & RECOMMENDATIONS

### Final Risk Assessment

**RISK LEVEL: LOW**

Composite Score: 0.21 / 1.00

This interview session shows no significant indicators of fraudulent behavior.

### Score Breakdown

Category	Weight	Score	Weighted
Gaze Authenticity	35%	0.12	0.042
AI Content Detection	30%	0.21	0.063
Environment Security	20%	0.00	0.000
Behavioral Analysis	15%	0.18	0.027
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>		<b>0.132</b>

### Recommendations

- Proceed with hiring process** - No security concerns warrant additional verification
- Technical assessment validated** - Responses demonstrate genuine understanding
- No follow-up required** - All metrics within acceptable parameters

#### DISCLAIMER

This report provides data-driven insights to support human decision-making. The final hiring decision should incorporate this analysis alongside traditional evaluation methods. Blockd does not make hiring recommendations - we provide evidence for informed human judgment.