

These criteria are intended to apply to all projects being judged.

However, because of the varying range of projects, some elastic thinking may need to be applied for judging.

| Categories | | Scoring | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Presentation Understandability | | Excellent 4 pts | Good 3 pts | Fair 2 pts | Poor 1 pts |
| High-level description of what/who the software is for and of what the software does. | | | | | |
| High-level description of how the software works | | | | | |
| Description of design rationale and architectural overview – how and why it does it the way it does. | | | | | |
| Software is free from spelling and grammatical errors | | | | | |
| Usability | | Excellent 4 pts | Good 3 pts | Fair 2 pts | Poor 1 pts |
| Software and functions are intuitive and easy to use | | | | | |
| Updated information is distributed promptly | | | | | |
| The information is clear, concise, and informative to the intended audience | | | | | |
| The technology adapts, or is capable of being adapted, to support the different and special needs of all users. | | | | | |
| The color scheme and graphics enhance the impact and legibility of the software | | | | | |
| The software includes a well laid-out combination of text and graphics which work together | | | | | |
| Accessibility | | Excellent 4 pts | Good 3 pts | Fair 2 pts | Poor 1 pts |
| Software works across many platforms. | | | | | |
| Software designed for a broad user community | | | | | |
| Software can be easily maintained | | | | | |
| | | Total Excellent | Total Good | Total Fair | Total Poor |

Totals

Overall Score =