

## Algorithms HW

<b>1</b>
----------

■

2. Suppose  $f$  is an entire function such that for any each  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , at least one coefficient in the power series expansion

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z - z_0)^n$$

is zero. Prove that  $f$  is a polynomial.

If at some point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  we have some power series coefficient  $c_n = 0$  then, recalling that the formula for the power series coefficients, we have

$$f^{(n)}(z_0) = 0,$$

for some  $n$ . Let us cover  $\mathbb{C}$  with sets where the  $n$ th derivative is zero. Let  $Z_n := \{z_0 \in \mathbb{C} : f^{(n)} = 0\}$  be the set where the  $n$ th derivative of  $f$  is zero. Then, the statement in the question can be rewritten as

$$\mathbb{C} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n.$$

However, there are only countably many such sets  $Z_n$  yet  $\mathbb{C}$  is an uncountable set. This means there is at least one  $\tilde{n}$  where  $Z_{\tilde{n}}$  is uncountable.

We claim that  $Z_{\tilde{n}}$  then has a limit point. Consider tiling  $\mathbb{C}$  with closed unit squares. One of these squares must contain uncountably many points of  $Z_{\tilde{n}}$ , otherwise we would have shown that  $Z_{\tilde{n}}$  is countable. That is, we have some square, a closed and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ , which contains uncountably many points of  $Z_{\tilde{n}}$ . It follows by Bolzano-Weierstrass that  $Z_{\tilde{n}}$  has an accumulation point in that square. But in particular,  $Z_{\tilde{n}}$  has an accumulation point.

Now recall that  $Z_{\tilde{n}}$  are the points where  $f^{(\tilde{n})}$  vanish. We have found a sequence of points in  $\mathbb{C}$  converging to some limit point in  $\mathbb{C}$  where  $f^{(\tilde{n})} = 0$ . It follows then by the identity theorem for holomorphic functions that  $f^{(\tilde{n})}$  is identically zero. Moreover, it then follows that  $f^{(\tilde{n}+k)}$  is also identically zero for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . In other words we have that the power series coefficients  $c_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq \tilde{n}$ .

Now we can write  $f$  as a polynomial by repeatedly writing down the antiderivatives for  $f^{(\tilde{n})}$ . We can determine the polynomial coefficients by inspecting the power series at

$z = 0$ .

■

3. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be open and connected. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic functions. Suppose  $\sum_i |f_i(z)|$  is constant on  $U$ . Show that each  $f_i$  is constant on  $U$ .

Hmmm this might be bollocks, in particular,  $\sum_i |f_i(z)|$  may not be holomorphic

In this situation the Cauchy integral formulae give us the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \left( \sum_i |f_i(z)| \right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\sum_i |f_i(z)|}{(z - \xi)^2} d\xi \\ &= \frac{M}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \xi)^2} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a circle of radius  $R$  centered at  $z$ . Then  $1/(z - \xi)^2$  has an antiderivative on the punctured domain bounded by  $\gamma$ . By the fundamental theorem of calculus the above integral is then 0. Then we have.

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left( \sum_i |f_i(z)| \right) = 0.$$

By linearity of the derivative, this is only true when  $\frac{d}{dz} |f_i(z)| = 0$  for each  $i$ . And then this implies that each  $f_i$  is constant on  $U$ . ■

4. Let  $u : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued harmonic function on the unit disk. Show that there exists a holomorphic function  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(f) = u$ . To what extent is such an  $f$  unique?

We want to construct  $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  which is holomorphic on  $\Delta$ . Recall that this means we need both  $u, v$  to be differentiable as multivariable real functions. Moreover, we need  $u, v$  to satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$

Recall from multivariable calculus that we can take single-variable integrals to partially recover a function from its derivative by taking indefinite integrals

$$\begin{aligned} \int \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) dx &= v(x, y) + C(x) \\ \int \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) dy &= v(x, y) + D(y), \end{aligned}$$

for any differentiable functions  $C, D : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . **What are the bounds in this set up?**

Here, we are given  $u(x, y)$  and so we can partially determine  $v$  by computing

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, y) + C(x) &= \int \left( -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) dx \\ v(x, y) + D(y) &= \int \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

However, this gives us a system of two equations with three unknowns. And so we can only ever determine  $v$  up to either a function of  $x$  or a function of  $y$ .

Note that this process of integrating our partial derivatives to reconstruct  $v$  works (locally) exactly when  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\partial v / \partial y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\partial v / \partial x)$  (this is tantamount to “partials commuting”). If  $v$  is a twice differentiable real function then its partials must commute. The harmonic

condition on  $u$  satisfies this condition in our case; consider

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y \partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) && \text{Cauchy-Riemann} \\ &= -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} && \text{Harmonic condition on } u \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} && \text{Cauchy-Riemann} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y}.\end{aligned}$$

■