

## Algorithms HW

1. Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $\Gamma^\bullet G$  be its descending central series. Show that:

- each  $\Gamma^i G$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ ;
- each  $\Gamma^{i+1} G$  is contained in  $\Gamma^i G$ ; and
- each  $\Gamma^i G / \Gamma^{i+1} G$  is contained in the centre of  $G / \Gamma^{i+1} G$ .

- We show that  $\Gamma^i G \trianglelefteq G$  by induction on  $i$ . Since  $\Gamma^1 G = G$  our base case is  $i = 2$ . Recall that  $\gamma^2 G$  is generated by commutators  $[g, h]$  where  $g, h \in G$ . Now let  $k \in G$  and consider

$$k[g, h]k^{-1} = kghg^{-1}h^{-1}k^{-1} = (kgk^{-1})(khk^{-1})(kg^{-1}k^{-1})(kh^{-1}k^{-1}) = [kgk^{-1}, khk^{-1}] \in \Gamma^2 G.$$

And so,  $\Gamma^2 G \trianglelefteq G$  by definition.

Now suppose  $\Gamma^i G \trianglelefteq G$  and consider  $\Gamma^{i+1} G$ , which is generated by elements  $[g, h]$  where now  $g \in G$  and  $h \in \Gamma^i G$ . Let  $k \in G$  and notice that, since  $\Gamma^i G$  is normal by the induction hypothesis, we have  $khk^{-1} \in \Gamma^i G$ . Thus the above calculation gives that  $k[g, h]k^{-1} = [kgk^{-1}, khk^{-1}] \in \Gamma^{i+1} G$ . Thus, each  $\Gamma^i G \trianglelefteq G$  by induction.

- We show that  $\Gamma^{i+1} G \subseteq \Gamma^i G$ . Let  $[g, h]$  be a generator of  $\Gamma^{i+1} G$  so that  $g \in G$  and  $h \in \Gamma^i G$ . Above we showed that  $\Gamma^i G \trianglelefteq G$  and so  $ghg^{-1} \in \Gamma^i G$ . Moreover,  $h \in \Gamma^i G$  implies  $h^{-1} \in \Gamma^i G$  since  $\Gamma^i G$  is a group. Thus

$$[g, h] = ghg^{-1}h^{-1} = (ghg^{-1})h \in \Gamma^i G.$$

Then, since each generator of  $\Gamma^{i+1} G$  is contained in  $\Gamma^i G$ , we have  $\Gamma^{i+1} G \subseteq \Gamma^i G$ .

- **todo**

■

2. (CMN Example 2.8) What is the derived series for the dihedral group  $D_{2n}$ ? What is the descending central series?

I'm sorry I'm going to use  $D_n$  to denote the dihedral group which has  $2n$  elements. Recall the presentation

$$D_n = \langle r, s : r^n = s^2 = e \quad rs = sr^{-1} \rangle$$

First note that  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are abelian. We have  $D_1 = \{e, s\}$  a single non-trivial element, and so is trivially abelian. Meanwhile  $D_2 = \{e, s, r, rs\}$ , the relation  $rs = sr^{-1}$  becomes  $rs = sr$ , i.e.  $[r, s] = e$ . Likewise we have  $[r, rs] = r(rs)r(rs)^{-1} = r^2(rs)(rs)^{-1} = e$  and  $[s, rs] = s(rs)s(rs)^{-1} = s^2(rs)(rs)^{-1} = e^1$ . Hence,  $D_2$  is also abelian. **Question: was it sufficient to show that  $r, s$  commute to show that  $D_n$  is abelian? And generally speaking, if a group is generated by  $n$  elements  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  which all commute, is that sufficient to show that the group is abelian? (later), okay I have convinced myself. I think in general showing that all the generators of a finite group commute with each other is enough to show that every commutator of a group is trivial.**

It follows that the derived subgroup  $G' = \Gamma^2 G$  is trivial for  $G = D_1$  or  $G = D_2$ . Moreover, since  $\Gamma^3 G$  is generated by  $[g, e] = geg^{-1}e = e$  for each  $g \in G$ , it follows that  $\Gamma^i G$  is trivial for each  $i > 1$  for both of these groups.

Now suppose  $n \geq 3$  is odd. We show first that  $\Gamma^2 G = \langle r \rangle$  by computing all the commutators. Recall that  $\Gamma^2 G = G'$  is the subgroup generated by all commutators  $[g, h]$  where  $g \in G$  and  $h \in \Gamma^1 G = G$ . We need to compute the following commutators:  $[r^k, s], [r, r^k s], [s, r^k s]$  where  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . First recall the relation  $rs = sr^{-1}$  and then consider the following

$$[r^k, s] = r^k s r^{-k} s = r^k r^{-k} s^2 = r^{-2k} \neq e$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that  $n$  is odd. In particular  $k = 1$  shows that

---

<sup>1</sup>I later realized that computing  $[g, h]$  is enough to determine  $[h, g]$ . In particular if  $[g, h] = x$  for some  $x \in G$  then we have  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1} = x \implies x^{-1} = hgh^{-1}g^{-1} = [h, g]$ . Alas, there is some redundant calculation above.

$r^2 \in \Gamma^2 G$ . Very similar calculations give the following

$$[r, r^k s] = r(r^k s)r^{-1}(sr^{-k}) = r^2 \neq e$$

$$[s, r^k s] = s(r^k s)s(sr^{-k}) = r^{-2k} \neq e$$

Then, since we have already shown that  $r^2 \in \Gamma^2 G$  we have that  $\Gamma^2 G = \langle r^2 \rangle$ . The situation is even better than this because for  $n \geq 3$  odd we have  $\langle r^2 \rangle = \langle r \rangle$ . We have  $r^2 = r \cdot r \in \langle x \rangle$ . Moreover, consider there are  $n$  distinct powers of  $r$  in  $\langle r^2 \rangle$ , we have

$$\langle r^2 \rangle = \{r^2, r^4, \dots, r^{n+1} = r, r^{n+3}, \dots, r^{2n-2}, e\},$$

since, again,  $n$  is odd. That is, we have  $r \in \langle r^2 \rangle$  and so indeed we have

$$\Gamma^2 G = \langle r^2 \rangle = \langle r \rangle.$$

Next we show that when  $\Gamma^{i-1} G = \langle r \rangle$  then we must have  $\Gamma^i G = \langle r \rangle$  for  $i = 3, 4, \dots$ . Recall that  $\Gamma^i G$  is generated by  $[g, h]$  where  $g \in G$  and  $h \in \Gamma^{i-1} G$ . Since  $\Gamma^{i-1} G$  is only generated by a single element we only need to compute the following:

$$[r^k, r] = e$$

$$[s, r] = r^{-2}$$

$$[r^k s, r] = r^{-2},$$

following similar calculations to above. That is  $\Gamma^i G = \langle r^2 \rangle$  and we still have  $\langle r^2 \rangle = \langle r \rangle$ , since this was a property of the group  $D_n$  for  $n \geq 3$  odd. That is, we have shown  $\Gamma^i G = \langle r \rangle$  for  $i \geq 2$ .

Now we consider the case when  $n \geq 4$  is even. First notice that we can split this case into two further cases — either  $n = 2^k$  for some  $k$  or  $n = 2^k m$  for some  $k$  and some  $m \geq 3$  odd. If  $n$  is not a power of 2 then its prime factor decomposition is  $2^k m$  where  $m$  is the product of all of its odd prime factors.

Now first consider the case where  $n = 2^k m$ . Our above calculation shows that  $\Gamma^2 D_{2^k m} = \langle r^2 \rangle$  but now  $\langle r^2 \rangle \neq \langle r \rangle$  since  $r^2$  has even order. In particular  $\langle r^2 \rangle = \{r^2, r^4, \dots, r^{2 \cdot (2^{k-1} m)} =$

$e\}$ . Now we compute  $\Gamma^3 G$  via direct computation of the generators  $[g, h]$  where  $g \in G$  and  $h \in \Gamma^2 G = \langle r^2 \rangle$ . Following the now usual strategy, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[r^k, r^2] &= e \\ [s, r^2] &= sr^2sr^{-2} = r^{-4} \\ [r^k s, r^2] &= r^{-4}.\end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\Gamma^3 G = \langle r^4 \rangle$ . Essentially the same calculation will give  $\Gamma^i G = \langle r^{2^{(i-1)}} \rangle$  for  $2 \leq i$ . The book claims that this simplifies to  $\langle r^{2^k} \rangle$  when  $i \geq k+1$ , but im having trouble seeing why.

Now suppose  $n = 2^k$  for some  $k$ . The same computation as above gives  $\Gamma^i G = \langle r^{2^{(i-1)}} \rangle$  for  $i \geq 2$ . However, now when  $i \geq k+1$  we have  $r^{2^{(i-1)}} = r^{2^{(k+\ell)}} = (r^{2^k})^\ell = e^\ell = e$ . And so, when  $i \geq k+1$  we have  $\Gamma^i G = e$ . This last fact also follows since when  $i = k+1$  we have  $\Gamma^i G = \langle r^{2^k} \rangle = e$  and in question 1 we showed that  $\Gamma^i G \subseteq \Gamma^{i-1} G$  and so it would then follow that all  $\Gamma^i G = e$  for all  $i > k+1$ . That is, when  $n = 2^k$  we have that  $D_n$  is nilpotent, and in particular solvable.

Now we consider the derived series for  $D_n$ . Recall that  $(D_n)^{(1)} = \Gamma^2 D_n$ , and then  $(D_n)^{(i)}$  is generated by  $[g, h]$  for each  $g, h \in (D_n)^{(i-1)}$ . For  $n = 1, 2$  we showed above that  $D_n$  is abelian. It follows that all commutators are trivial, i.e.,  $G^{(1)} = e$  and then  $G^{(i)} = 1$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . For  $n \geq 3$  we showed above that the derived subgroup  $G' = \langle x \rangle$  is a cyclic subgroup, for either  $x = r$  or  $x = r^{2^k}$ . In particular  $G'$  is abelian, and so it follows that all commutators  $[g, h]$  with  $g, h \in G'$  are trivial. And so for  $n \geq 3$  we have  $(D_n)^{(1)} = \langle x \rangle$  and  $(D_n)^{(i)} = e$  for  $i > 1$ , where  $x$  depends on the exact form of  $n$ , as discussed above.

■

3
---

■

4. Let

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} H \rightarrow 1$$

be an extension of groups. Show that there is a homomorphism

$$\rho: H \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$$

sending an element  $h \in H$  to the outer automorphism of  $N$  given by conjugation by any  $\tilde{h} \in G$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{h}) = h$ . In the particular case that  $G = N \rtimes_{\theta} H$  is the semidirect product of  $H$  by  $N$  via  $\theta$ , show that  $\rho$  is equal to the composition

$$H \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Aut}(N) \rightarrow \text{Out}(N).$$

Firstly, we will show that  $\rho$  is a well defined map  $H \rightarrow \text{Out}(N)$ . Let  $h \in H$  and  $\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2 \in G$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{h}_1) = \pi(\tilde{h}_2) = h$ . We have  $\rho(\tilde{h}_1) = f := (n \mapsto \tilde{h}_1 n \tilde{h}_1^{-1})$  and  $\rho(\tilde{h}_2) = g := (n \mapsto \tilde{h}_2 n \tilde{h}_2^{-1})$ . Note that these are indeed automorphisms of  $N$ , as in the previous homework we showed that conjugation by a fixed element is an automorphism. If we show that  $\rho(\tilde{h}_1)$  and  $\rho(\tilde{h}_2)$  lie in the same coset of  $\text{Inn}(N)$  then  $\rho$  is well-defined. (Note: I believe this map is not well defined as a map  $H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ ).

Recall that two elements  $g, h$  of a group lie in the same coset of a normal subgroup  $N$  if  $g^{-1}h \in N$ . For our automorphisms  $f, g$  we have  $g^{-1} = (n \mapsto \tilde{h}_2^{-1} n \tilde{h}_2)$ . And so we have  $(g^{-1} \circ f)(n) = \tilde{h}_2^{-1} \tilde{h}_1 n \tilde{h}_1^{-1} \tilde{h}_2$ . Recall that  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and so is closed under conjugation by definition. In particular then  $\tilde{h}_1 n \tilde{h}_1^{-1} \in N$  and  $\tilde{h}_2^{-1}(\tilde{h}_1 n \tilde{h}_1^{-1}) \tilde{h}_2 \in N$  since  $\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2 \in G$ . Thus  $f, g$  have the same image in  $\text{Out}(N)$  and so  $\rho$  is well defined with respect to the choice of  $\tilde{h}$ .

Next we show that  $\rho$  is a group homomorphism. Let  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  and  $\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2 \in G$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{h}_1) = h_1$  and  $\pi(\tilde{h}_2) = h_2$ . Moreover, since  $\pi$  is a group homomorphism we have  $\pi(\tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_2) = \tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_2$ . Following a similar, calculation to last week's homework, consider the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(h_1 h_2) &= \gamma_{\tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_2} \\
&= (n \mapsto \tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_2 n (\tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_2)^{-1}) \\
&= (n \mapsto \tilde{h}_1 \tilde{h}_2 n \tilde{h}_2^{-1} \tilde{h}_1^{-1}) \\
&= \gamma_{\tilde{h}_1} \circ \gamma_{\tilde{h}_2} \\
&= \rho(h_1) \rho(h_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the given  $\rho$  is indeed a group homomorphism.

Now suppose  $G = N \rtimes_{\theta} H$ . We can state more precisely the outer automorphism given by  $\rho$ . Let  $h \in H$  and then all lifts are of the form  $\tilde{h} = (m, h)$  for some  $m \in N$ . Then, being explicit about the details of the semidirect product, our map  $\rho(h) : \iota(N) \rightarrow \iota(N)$  acts as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_h(n) &= (m, h) \cdot_{\theta} (n, e_H) \cdot_{\theta} (m, h)^{-1} \\
&= (m, h)(n, e_H)(\theta_{h^{-1}}(m^{-1}), h^{-1}) \\
&= (m\theta_h(n), h)(\theta_{h^{-1}}(m^{-1}), h^{-1}) \\
&= (m\theta_h(n)(\theta_h \circ \theta_{h^{-1}}(m^{-1}), hh^{-1}) \\
&= (m\theta_h(n)m^{-1}, e_H).
\end{aligned}$$

Which induces the automorphism  $f = (n \mapsto m\theta_h(n)m^{-1}) : N \rightarrow N$ . Note that  $(\theta_h \theta_{h^{-1}}) = id_H$  since  $\theta$  is a group homomorphism  $H \rightarrow Aut(N)$ .

We show that this is the same as the composition  $H \rightarrow Aut(N) \rightarrow Out(N)$ . We have  $h \mapsto \theta_h \mapsto \overline{\theta_h}$ . Notice now that  $\theta_h$  and  $f$  lie in the same coset of  $Inn(N)$ . In particular

$$\overline{\theta_h} = \overline{\gamma_m \theta_h} = \overline{f}$$

since  $\gamma_m = (n \mapsto mn m^{-1})$  is one of the inner automorphisms of  $N$ . Hence, in the case where  $G = N \rtimes_{\theta} H$  we have  $\rho$  and  $H \rightarrow Aut(N) \rightarrow Out(N)$  give the same map.

One interpretation of this is that, whilst  $\rho$  is a well defined map  $H \rightarrow Out(N)$ , it is not a well defined map  $H \rightarrow Aut(N)$ . However, in the case where  $G$  is a semidirect product of

$N$  and  $H$  via  $\theta$ , we have a preferred lift  $h \mapsto (e_N, h) \in G$ , and in fact there is a well defined map  $H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ , namely  $\theta$ , whose projection gives the same map as  $\rho$ .

■



5. (Aluffi Exercise IV.5.15) Let  $G$  be a group of order 28.

- Prove that  $G$  contains a subgroup of order 4, and a normal subgroup of order 7. Deduce that  $G$  is either a split extension of  $C_4$  by  $C_7$ , or is a split extension of  $C_2 \times C_2$  by  $C_7$ .
- Prove that there are only two homomorphisms  $C_4 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_7)$  and only two homomorphisms  $C_2 \times C_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_7)$ , up to changing the choice of generators for  $C_4$  and  $C_2 \times C_2$ .
- Deduce that there are exactly four groups of order 28, up to isomorphism.

- Sylow's theorem I gives us that there exists a subgroup of order 7 in  $G$ , since  $|H| = 7^1 \cdot 4$  and  $7 \nmid 4$ . Alternatively, Cauchy's theorem gives us that there exists an element  $g \in G$  with  $|g| = 7$ , hence we have  $|\langle g \rangle| \leq G$ . Moreover, Sylow III gives us that there's only a single Sylow 7 group. Consider, if  $n_7$  is the number of Sylow 7 groups in  $G$  then Sylow III gives us that  $n_7 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  and  $n_7 | 4$ . The only integer solving both these conditions is  $n_7 = 1$ . Likewise if we write  $|G| = 28 = 2^2 \cdot 7$  and notice  $2 \nmid 7$  then Sylow I gives us that there exists a subgroup of order  $2^2 = 4$ .

Next we argue that  $N$  is normal. If  $g \in G$  then recall  $\gamma_g = (\ell \mapsto g\ell g^{-1}) \in \text{Aut}(G)$ . Therefore  $|\gamma_g(N)| = |N|$ . However, there's a unique subgroup of order 7 in  $G$  and so the image  $\gamma_g(N) = N$  for all  $g \in G$ . That is,  $N$  is closed under conjugation by elements in  $G$  and so  $N$  is normal by definition. We have shown that  $G$  has a normal subgroup of order 7 and in fact we have found that  $N \cong C_7$ .

- Recall **or perhaps I shall prove** that  $\text{Aut}(N) = \text{Aut}(C_7) \cong C_6$ . Consider  $C_4$ , once we have specified where a generator  $\sigma \in C_4$  is mapped to in  $C_6$  then we have determined the homomorphism  $C_4 \rightarrow C_6$ . Since  $|\sigma| = 4$  we must have  $|\theta(\sigma)| = 4$  or  $|\theta(\sigma)| = 2$ , for  $\theta$  non-trivial, since a homomorphism must map an element to an element whose order divides the original order. Notice that there's only a single element of order 2 in  $C_6$ . And so there's one trivial map and one non-trivial map  $\bar{\theta} : C_4 \rightarrow N$ . Since  $\bar{\theta}(\sigma)$  has order two we can deduce that it is the automorphism which sends each element of  $C_7$  to its inverse. That is  $\bar{\theta}(\sigma) = (n \mapsto 7 - n)$ . And, of course, the trivial map  $\theta_{\text{triv}}(\sigma) = (n \mapsto 0)$  for each  $\sigma \in C_4$ .

We use similar reasoning to determine the maps  $\theta : C_2 \times C_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N) \cong C_6$ .

One generating set of  $C_2 \times C_2$  is  $\{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$  and again, once we determine where these elements are mapped to by  $\theta$  we have determined the entire homomorphism  $\theta : C_2 \times C_2 \rightarrow C_6$ . Now each generating element has order two, and so any non-trivial  $\theta$  maps both the generating elements to the unique element of order 2 in  $C_6$ . And so, again, we have one trivial map  $\theta_{\text{triv}} : C_2 \times C_2 \rightarrow C_6$  and one non-trivial map  $\tilde{\theta} : C_2 \times C_2 \rightarrow C_6$ . The automorphisms  $\tilde{\theta}((0, 1)) = \tilde{\theta}(1, 0)$  are both the same as the one described above —  $(n \mapsto 7 - n \equiv -n)$ .

- Determining all the possible semi-direct products  $C_7 \rtimes H$  with  $H = C_4$  or  $H = C_2 \times C_2$  will tell us the possible group laws on  $G$ . Notice that  $N \cap H = \{e\}$  for  $H = C_4$  or  $C_2 \times C_2$ , this follows since every element of  $N \cong C_7$  is the identity or is order 7, meanwhile there are no elements of order 7 in either  $C_4$  or  $C_2 \times C_2$ . **We also need to show that  $NH = G$ .** Then it follows that  $G \cong N \rtimes_{\theta} H$  for  $H = C_4$  or  $H = C_2 \times C_2$  and one of the  $\theta$  described above.

With all possible homomorphisms  $H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$  described above, we can determine all the semi-direct products  $N \rtimes H$ . First suppose  $H = C_4$  and  $\theta : C_4 \rightarrow C_6$  the trivial map. That is  $\theta(h) = (n \mapsto n)$  for each  $h \in H$ . We have the following group product for  $N \rtimes_{\theta} H$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (n_1, h_1) \cdot_{\theta} (n_2, h_2) &= (n_1 \theta_{h_1}(n_2), h_1 h_2) \\ &= (n_1 n_2, h_1 h_2). \end{aligned}$$

That is, then  $N \rtimes_{\theta} H$  is isomorphic to  $C_7 \times C_4 \cong G$ . The same calculation will give us that when  $H = C_2 \times C_2$  and  $\theta : C_2 \times C_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$  is the trivial map, we also have  $G \cong C_7 \times C_2 \times C_2$ .

Now we determine the products given by the non-trivial  $H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ .

■

4
---

■

4
---

■

8. In this problem, we will find a presentation for the symmetric group  $S_n$ . Let  $\Sigma_n$  denote the group generated by  $n - 1$  elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}$ , subject to the relations:

- $a_i^2 = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ;
- $(a_i a_j)^2 = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j - 1 \leq n - 2$ ; and
- $(a_i a_{i+1})^3 = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ .

- (a) Show that there is a surjective homomorphism  $\Sigma_n \twoheadrightarrow S_n$ , sending  $a_i$  to the transposition  $(i, i + 1)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .
- (b) Show that the elements  $a_i, a_j \in \Sigma_n$  commute for  $|i - j| \neq 1$ . Show also that

$$a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+1} = a_i a_{i+1} a_i$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ .

- (c) Show that every element of  $\Sigma_n$  can be written in the form

$$w \quad \text{or} \quad a_{n-1}w \quad \text{or} \quad a_{n-2}a_{n-1}w \quad \text{or} \quad \dots \quad \text{or} \quad a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-2} a_{n-1} w,$$

where  $w$  is contained in the subgroup generated by  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}$ .

- (d) Show that there is a homomorphism  $\Sigma_{n-1} \rightarrow \Sigma_n$  whose image is equal to the subgroup generated by the elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-2} \in \Sigma$ . Using the previous part, show that  $\Sigma_n$  is a finite group of order  $\leq n!$ .
- (e) Conclude that the homomorphism  $\Sigma_n \rightarrow S_n$  you constructed is an isomorphism.

- (a) Let  $\phi$  denote the suggested map  $\phi(a_i) = (i, i + 1)$ . We take the suggested map and extend it so that it's a homomorphism, i.e.,  $\phi(a_i \cdot a_j) = (j, j + 1)(i, i + 1)$  (note, here my elements of  $S_n$  act on the right of permutations of  $[n]$ , I do this so that my notation for transposition decomposition is correct later). Note that there are exactly  $n - 1$  transpositions of the form  $(i, i + 1)$  in  $S_n$ , namely  $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n - 1, n)$ . It then follows that  $\Sigma_n$  bijects onto the set of  $(i, i + 1)$ -transpositions in  $S_n$ .

We argue that this homomorphism is indeed surjective. Recall that any element  $\sigma \in S_n$  has a disjoint cycle decomposition. That is we can always write  $\sigma = ((a_1)_1, (a_1)_2, \dots, (a_1)_{r_1}) \cdots ((a_k)_1, \dots, (a_k)_{r_k})$  (apologies for this notation). If  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  is a cycle in  $S_n$  then we have

$$(a_1, \dots, a_k) = (a_1, a_2)(a_1, a_3) \cdots (a_1, a_k)$$

Now, notice that  $(a_1, a_2)$  may not be of the form  $(i, i + 1)$ , we could have, say,

$(a_1, a_2) = (1, 4)$  if  $n \geq 4$ . However, we can decompose these transpositions further. Suppose  $(a_1, a_2)$  is a transposition in  $S_n$  with  $a_1 < a_2$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2) &= [(a_1, a_1 + 1)(a_1 + 1, a_1 + 2) \cdots (a_2 - 2, a_2 - 1)] \\ &\quad \cdot (a_2 - 1, a_2) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot [(a_2 - 2, a_2 - 1) \cdots (a_1 + 1, a_1 + 2)(a_1, a_1 + 1)], \end{aligned}$$

where, recall, our transpositions act on the right of a given permutation. Note that since each transposition is order two, this is really a conjugation of  $(a_2 - 1, a_2)$  by the element  $(a_1, a_1 + 1) \cdots (a_2 - 2, a_2 - 1)$ . The equality above is probably easiest to see with an example. Suppose  $n = 4$  and notice that indeed

$$(1, 4) = (1, 2)(2, 3)(3, 4)(2, 3)(1, 2) = [(1, 2)(2, 3)](3, 4)[(1, 2)(2, 3)]^{-1}.$$

In any case, we've recalled that every element of  $S_n$  has a disjoint cycle decomposition, we've shown that every cycle has a transposition decomposition, and every transposition has a  $(i, i + 1)$ -decomposition. It follows then that every element of  $S_n$  has a  $(i, i + 1)$ -transposition decomposition. Thus  $\phi$  is surjective since it surjects onto the set of  $(i, i + 1)$  transpositions in  $S_n$ .

- (b) First suppose  $|i - j| \neq 1$  and without loss of generality suppose  $0 \leq i + 1 < j \leq n - 1$ . Then consider

$$a_i a_j = a_i (a_i a_j)^2 a_j = (a_i)^2 a_j a_i (a_j)^2 = a_j a_i,$$

using the given relations. In other words, each such  $a_i, a_j$  commute. Using a similar idea, consider

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+1} &= a_{i+1} a_i (a_i a_{i+1})^3 a_{i+1} \\ &= a_{i+1} a_i (a_i a_{i+1}) (a_i a_{i+1}) (a_i a_{i+1}) a_{i+1} \\ &= a_{i+1} (a_i)^2 a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+1} a_i (a_{i+1})^2 \\ &= (a_{i+1})^2 a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+1} \\ &= a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

holds for each  $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ .

- (c) If  $w$  is a word in  $\Sigma_n$  which does not contain  $a_{n-1}$  then we are trivially done. Now, let  $w$  be a word in  $\Sigma_n$  which contains  $a_{n-1}$  but no instances of the letter  $a_{n-2}$ . That is  $w$  is a word such that  $|n-1-j| > 1$  for all  $a_j \in w$  (where we use the notation  $a_j \in w$  to mean “ $w$  contains the letter  $a_j$ ”). Note that the given relations imply that  $(a_i)^{-1} = a_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ , in particular, we do not have  $a_j = (a_{n-1})^{-1}$  for some  $j < n-1$ . That is  $\Sigma_{n-1} \leq \Sigma_n$ . Since  $a_{n-2} \notin w$  we have that  $a_{n-1}$  commutes with every letter in  $w$  and so we can write  $w = a_n w'$  where  $w' \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ .

Now suppose  $a_{n-2}, a_{n-1} \in w$  with  $a_{n-2} <_w a_{n-1}$  (meaning,  $a_{n-2}$  is “to the left of”  $a_{n-1}$  in  $w$ ), but  $a_{n-3} \notin w$ . That is  $w = \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \cdots a_{n-2} \cdots a_{n-1} \cdots \bar{a}_k$  where each  $a_{n-3} \neq \bar{a}_i \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ . Now  $a_{n-1}$  commutes with everything to its left until “it hits”  $a_{n-2}$ . That is  $w = \bar{a}_1 \cdots a_{n-2} a_{n-1} \cdots \bar{a}_k$ . And,  $a_{n-1}$  does not commute with  $a_{n-2}$ , however, we can “push them down the word together”, that is, notice  $w = \cdots \bar{a}_\ell a_{n-2} a_{n-1} \cdots = \cdots a_{n-2} \bar{a}_\ell a_{n-1} \cdots = \cdots a_{n-2} a_{n-2} \bar{a}_\ell \cdots$ , Since  $\ell < n-2 < n-1$ . And so it follows that  $w = a_{n-2} a_{n-1} w$  where  $w \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ .

The same logic above applies to any word of the form<sup>2</sup>  $w = \cdots w_{n-k} \cdots w_{n-(k+1)} \cdots w_{n-1} \cdots$  (note, although i was too lazy to write it as such,  $w$  is a finite word). That is, the logic above applies so that we can “push down  $a_{n-1}$  until it hits  $a_{n-2}$ , and then push the block  $a_{n-2} a_{n-1}$  until they hit  $a_{n-3}$ , etc, until the block  $a_{n-k} \cdots a_{n-1}$  is at the left of the word”. More precisely, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 w &= \cdots w_{n-k} \cdots w_{n-(k+1)} \bar{a} \cdots \bar{a} w_{n-2} \cdots w_{n-1} \cdots \quad \text{where } \bar{a} \in \Sigma_{n-1} \text{ possibly distinct} \\
 &= \cdots w_{n-k} \cdots w_{n-(k+1)} \bar{a} \cdots \bar{a} w_{n-2} w_{n-1} \cdots \\
 &= \cdots w_{n-k} \cdots w_{n-(k+1)} \cdots w_{n-2} w_{n-1} \bar{a} \cdots \\
 &= \cdots w_{n-k} w_{n-(k+1)} \cdots w_{n-2} w_{n-1} \cdots \\
 &= w_{n-k} w_{n-(k+1)} \cdots w_{n-2} w_{n-1} \cdots \\
 &= w_{n-k} w_{n-(k+1)} \cdots w_{n-2} w_{n-1} w' \quad w' \in \Sigma_{n-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

<sup>2</sup>Note that we only care about the existence of letters  $w_{k-1}$  to the left relative to  $w_k$ , since we are attempting to “push the letters to the left of the word”. I.e. our argument still holds if there are letters  $a_{i-1} >_w a_i$ , even if we do not explicitly cover it.

for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , as sought. (Apologies for the cumbersome notation.)

- (d) Following the definition in the question  $\Sigma_{n-1}$  is the group generated by  $n-2$  elements  $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{n-2}$  satisfying the relations  $(\tilde{a}_i)^2 = (\tilde{a}_i \tilde{a}_j)^2 = (\tilde{a}_i \tilde{a}_{i+1})^3 = 1$  for appropriate indices. Define a map  $\Sigma_{n-1} \rightarrow \Sigma_n$  by  $\tilde{a}_i \mapsto a_i$  for each  $i$ , and extend this so that it's a homomorphism, i.e.,  $(\tilde{a}_i \tilde{a}_j) \mapsto a_i a_j$ . Then the relations of  $\Sigma_{n-1}$  are satisfied by the relations in  $\Sigma_n$  by definition. Hence the image of this map is the subgroup generated by  $a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-2$ . Moreover, this map is injective.

We then have a chain of inclusions

$$\Sigma_1 = 1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_2 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \Sigma_n.$$

Now consider,  $|\Sigma_2| = 2$  by definition. The previous part shows that the words in  $\Sigma_3$  are of one of the following forms  $e \cdot w', a_1 \cdot w', a_1 a_2 \cdot w'$ , where  $w' \in \Sigma_2$ . Therefore, there are at most  $3 \cdot |\Sigma_2| = 3 \cdot 2 = 6$  words in  $\Sigma_3$ . Generally, the previous part shows that there are at most  $k |\Sigma_{k-1}|$  words in  $\Sigma_k$ . In particular, unpacking the recursion,

$$|\Sigma_n| \leq n |\Sigma_{n-1}| \leq n!$$

- (e) In part (a) we showed that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism and that it surjects onto  $S_n$ , which has  $n!$  elements. It follows then that  $|\Sigma_n| \geq n!$ . Combined with the statement in the previous part, it follows that in fact  $|\Sigma_n| = n!$ . And so  $\phi$  is in fact an isomorphism. I.e.  $\Sigma_n \cong S_n$ , and the description of  $\Sigma_n$  given in the question is then actually a presentation for  $S_n$ .

■