

Algorithms HW

1

■

2. We work in the category $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of real vector spaces. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the \mathbb{R} -linear map given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

be the \mathbb{R} -linear map induced by the \mathbb{R} -bilinear map

$$\beta: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \beta\left(\begin{pmatrix} w \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix}\right) = wy + xz.$$

For which \mathbb{R} -linear maps $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ does the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^2 & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{R} \\ \downarrow f \otimes f & & \downarrow h \\ \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^2 & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

commute?

Suppose we have $(w, x) \otimes (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^2$. Following This element clockwise around the diagram we have that $(h \circ g)((w, x) \otimes (y, z)) = h(wy + xz)$ and following this element counter-clockwise around the diagram we have $(g \circ f \otimes f)((w, x) \otimes (y, z)) = g((w - x, w + x) \otimes (y - z, y + z)) = (w - x)(y - z) + (w + x)(y + z)$. That is, any \mathbb{R} -linear map $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ must satisfy

$$h(wy + xz) = (w - x)(y - z) + (w + x)(y + z)$$

for all $w, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ is this last statement true, since our inputs are tensor products and so there's some relation between these symbols, right?

Since h is an \mathbb{R} -linear map we have that

$$h(wy + xz) = h(1)(wy + xz).$$

Moreover, since \mathbb{R} is a rank 1 free module over \mathbb{R} , we have that any \mathbb{R} -linear map $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is determined by where it sends the basis $\{1\}$. Given the expression above we have that

any such map h satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}h(1) &= \frac{(w-x)(y-z) + (w+x)(y+z)}{wy+xz} \\&= \frac{wy - wz - xy + xz + wy + wz + xy + xz}{wy+xz} \\&= \frac{2(wy+xz)}{wy+xz} \\&= 2.\end{aligned}$$

That is, there is a single map $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which makes the above diagram commute — namely the one which sends the basis $1 \mapsto 2$, i.e $h(x) = 2x$.

I'm curious if there's any geometric significant to this thing that we've just shown

■

3

■

4. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be morphisms in a category \mathcal{C} . Let $gf : X \rightarrow Z$ be their composition.

- Show that if f and g are both monomorphisms, then gf is a monomorphism.
- Show that if f and g are both epimorphisms, then gf is an epimorphism.
- Show that if gf is a monomorphism, then f is a monomorphism. Must g be a monomorphism?
- Show that if gf is an epimorphism, then g is an epimorphism. Must f be an epimorphism?

For this question, recall that the universal properties of monic maps and of epic maps. Let \mathcal{C} be a category and let $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, then a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called monic if for all $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ and all $g, h : Z \rightarrow X$ we have $fg = fh$ implies $g = h$. Likewise, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called epic if for all $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ and all $g, h : Y \rightarrow Z$ we have $gf = hf$ implies $g = h$.

- Suppose f, g are monic and now consider gf . Let $W \in \mathcal{C}$ and suppose $h, k : W \rightarrow X$ such that $gfh = gfk$. Now, since g is a monomorphism and since function composition in \mathcal{C} is associative, we have $g(fh) = gfh = gfk = g(fk)$ implies $fh = fk$. Now, since f is a monomorphism we have $h = k$. In other words, we have shown that $gfh = gfk$ implies $h = k$ for all morphisms $h, k : W \rightarrow X$. That is, g, f monic imply that gf is monic.
- Now suppose f, g are epic and let $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ with $h, k : Z \rightarrow W$ such that $hgf = kgf$. Since f is epic we have that $(hg)f = hgf = kgf = (kg)f$ implies $hg = kg$. Moreover, g epic implies that $h = k$. That is, we have $hgf = kgf$ implies $h = k$ and so gf is epic.
- Suppose $gf : X \rightarrow Z$ is a monomorphism. Let $W \in \mathcal{C}$ and $h, k : W \rightarrow X$ such that $fh = fk$. We have that $\text{im}(fh) = \text{im}(fk) \in Y$ and so, since $g = g$ we have that $gfh = gfk$. Now, since gf is monic we have that $h = k$. That is $fh = fk$ implies $h = k$, i.e. f is monic by definition. It is not necessary that g be monic.
- Now suppose gf is epic. Let $W \in \mathcal{C}$ with $h, k : Z \rightarrow W$ such that $hg = kg$. We have that $hgf = kgf$ as maps $X \rightarrow W$. But now, since gf is epic, we have that $h = k$. Thus g is epic by definition. It was not necessary that f be epic.

■

4

■

4

■

4

■

4

■

4

■