

## Algorithms HW

<b>1</b>
----------

■

2
---

■

3. In this problem, we will make good on our promise from class to show that the rank of a free module is well-defined (over a commutative ring!). Let  $R$  be a non-zero commutative ring. We are going to show that the  $R$ -modules  $R^n$  and  $R^m$  are non-isomorphic if  $n \neq m$ .

- Suppose that  $I \trianglelefteq R$  is an ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $IM$  be the  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  spanned by all elements  $ax$  for  $a \in I$  and  $x \in M$ . Show that the quotient  $M/IM$  has the structure of an  $R/I$ -module. When  $M = R^n$ , show that  $M/IM$  is isomorphic to  $(R/I)^n$  as an  $R/I$ -module.
- Show that any  $R$ -linear isomorphism  $R^n \xrightarrow{\sim} R^m$  induces an  $R/I$ -linear isomorphism  $(R/I)^n \xrightarrow{\sim} (R/I)^m$ .
- By taking  $I$  to be a maximal ideal, deduce that  $R^n$  and  $R^m$  are isomorphic if and only if  $n = m$ . (Standard linear algebra results may be used without proof.)

- To show that  $M/IM$  has the structure of an  $R/I$ -module, we must show that  $M/IM$  has an underlying abelian group structure with respect to addition, and that there is a well-defined  $R/I$  scalar multiplication on  $M/IM$ .

First, we show that  $M/IM$  has a well-defined abelian group structure with respect to addition. By definition  $M$  has an abelian group structure, and so  $IM$  is a normal subgroup of  $M$ . It follows that the quotient group  $M/IM$  is well-defined and moreover is also abelian. One way to see that the quotient group is abelian is to recall that the quotient map  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/IM$  is a group homomorphism and so

$$\pi(x) + \pi(y) = \pi(x + y) = \pi(y + x) = \pi(y) + \pi(x),$$

for all  $x, y \in M$ .

Next, we propose an  $R/I$  scalar multiplication on  $M/IM$ . If  $r + I \in R/I$  and  $m + IM \in M/IM$ . Then I claim that scalar multiplication given by  $(r + I) \cdot (m + IM) := rm + IM$  is well defined. Let  $r + I = r' + I$  be equivalent elements of  $R/I$ . Then we have that there's some  $a \in I$  such that  $r = r' + a$ . Now let  $m + IM \in M/IM$  and consider

$$rm + IM = (r' + a)m + IM = r'm + IM + am + IM = r'm + IM + 0 + IM = r'm + IM,$$

since  $am \in IM$  by definition. That is, our proposed scalar multiplication maps  $m \in M$  to the same class mod  $IM$ , regardless of choice of representative of  $r + IM$ . Hence our multiplication is well defined and we have found an  $R/I$ -module structure on  $M/IM$ .

- Suppose  $\phi : R^n \rightarrow R^m$  is an  $R$ -linear isomorphism. Since  $R^n$  is a free  $R$ -module, it follows that  $\phi$  is defined exactly by its action on the basis  $\{e_i\}$  where  $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$  with the 1 in the  $i$ th position.

Now notice that  $\bar{e}_i := \pi(e_i)$  is an  $R/I$ -basis for  $(R/I)^n$ . And so maps out of  $(R/I)^n$  can be defined by their action on  $\bar{e}_i$ . We define a map  $\bar{\phi} : (R/I)^n \rightarrow (R/I)^m$  by  $\bar{\phi}(\bar{e}_i) = (\pi \circ \phi)(e_i)$ . This map is  $R/I$  linear by construction, we claim that it is also a bijection.

First we show surjectivity. Suppose  $(\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \dots, \bar{b}_m) \in (R/I)^m$ . Since  $\pi : R \rightarrow R/I$  is a surjection, there is an element  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in R^m$  such that  $\pi(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) = (\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \dots, \bar{b}_m)$ . Moreover,  $\phi$  is an isomorphism and so there also exists an element  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in R^n$  such that  $\phi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ . It then follows that  $\bar{\phi}(\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots, \bar{a}_n) = (\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \dots, \bar{b}_m)$ . Thus,  $\bar{\phi}$  is surjective.

Now consider  $0 \in (R/I)^m$ . Tuples of the form  $(b_1, \dots, b_m) \in R^m$  with  $b_i \in I$  map to 0 under  $\pi$ . Since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism from  $R$  to  $R$ , only elements  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^n$  with  $a_i \in I$  satisfy  $\phi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$  with  $b_i \in I$ . Then, only elements of the form  $(\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots, \bar{a}_n) \in (R/I)^n$  map to  $0 \in (R/I)^m$  under  $\bar{\phi}$ . However, since  $a_i \in I$  we have  $(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n) = 0 \in (R/I)^n$ . And so  $\bar{\phi}$  is injective.

We have found a bijective  $R/I$ -linear map  $\bar{\phi} : (R/I)^n \rightarrow (R/I)^m$  and so we have found an induced  $R/I$ -linear isomorphism  $(R/I)^n \rightarrow (R/I)^m$ .

- Suppose  $n = m$ , then  $R^n = R^m$  and so  $R^n \cong R^m$  as  $R$ -modules.

Now suppose that  $R^n \cong R^m$ . Since  $R$  is a non-zero commutative ring we have (via the axiom of choice (or perhaps Zorn's lemma)) that there exists a maximal ideal  $I \trianglelefteq R$ . Now by part (b) we have an induced isomorphism on the  $R/I$  modules  $(R/I)^n \cong (R/I)^m$ . However, since  $I$  is maximal, it follows that  $R/I$  is a field and

so  $(R/I)^n$  and  $(R/I)^m$  are in fact  $R/I$ -vector spaces. Vector spaces are characterized by their dimension and so it follows that  $(R/I)^n \cong (R/I)^m$  as  $R/I$  vector spaces implies  $n = m$ .

Hence the rank of a free module over a non-zero commutative ring is a well defined notion.

■

4. Prove the following identities for tensor products (where  $M, N, L$  are arbitrary  $R$ -modules):

- $M \otimes_R N \cong N \otimes_R M$  (“commutativity”)
- $R \otimes_R M \cong M$  (“identity”)
- $M \otimes_R (N \oplus L) \cong (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M \otimes_R L)$  (“distributivity”)
- (harder)  $M \otimes_R (N \otimes_R L) \cong (M \otimes_R N) \otimes_R L$  (“associativity”)

[Hint: the universal property of tensor products is a handy way of defining  $R$ -linear maps out of tensor products]

- Consider the map  $M \times N \rightarrow N \otimes_R M$  given by  $((m, n) \mapsto n \otimes m)$ . Notice that this map is  $R$ -bilinear on  $M \times N$  since  $\otimes : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$  is. Then by the universal property of tensor products we have a unique  $R$ -linear map  $f : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow N \otimes_R M$  such that  $f \circ \otimes = ((m, n) \mapsto n \otimes m)$ . The same argument on the  $R$ -bilinear map  $N \times M \rightarrow N \otimes_R M$  given by  $((n, m) \mapsto (m \otimes n))$  gives a unique  $R$ -linear map  $\tilde{f} : N \otimes_R M \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$  such that<sup>1</sup>  $\tilde{f} \circ \times = ((n, m) \mapsto m \otimes n)$ .

Notice now that  $f \circ \tilde{f} = id_{N \otimes_R M}$  and  $\tilde{f} \circ f = id_{M \otimes_R N}$ . Indeed  $(f \circ \tilde{f})(m \otimes n) = f(n \otimes m) = m \otimes n$ . And likewise for the other direction.

That is, we have found a bijective  $R$ -linear map  $M \otimes_R N \rightarrow N \otimes_R M$  and so in fact  $M \otimes_R N$  is isomorphic to  $N \otimes_R M$ .

- Consider the following map  $M \times N \rightarrow M$  via  $((r, m) \mapsto rm)$  given by the module structure on  $M$ . Notice that this map is bilinear **come back and write the computation out** And so by the universal property of tensor products we have a unique  $R$ -linear map  $f : R \otimes_R M \rightarrow M$  such that  $r \otimes n \mapsto rm$ .

I claim that this map is bijective and so is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules. First notice that  $f$  is surjective. Indeed, if  $m \in M$  then  $f(1 \otimes m) = 1 \cdot m = m$ , so long as  $R$  is not the zero ring. If  $R$  is the zero ring, then  $M$  must be the zero module, and then our desired isomorphism trivially holds.

Next we show that  $f$  is injective. Suppose we have  $r \cdot m' = m$  for some  $m, m' \in M$

---

<sup>1</sup>The “ $\otimes$ ” in the following phrase now refers to the  $R$ -bilinear map  $N \times M \rightarrow N \otimes_R M$ , whereas earlier it referred to the  $R$ -bilinear map  $M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$ .

and  $r \in R$ . Then notice

$$r \otimes m' = 1 \cdot r \otimes m' = r \otimes r \cdot m' = 1 \otimes m,$$

And so  $rm' = m$  implies  $r \otimes m' = 1 \otimes m$ . This suffices to show that  $f$  is injective because if generally we have  $r_1m_1 = r_2m_2$  then by definition  $r_1m_1 = m'$  for some  $m' \in M$  and then we have  $m' = r_2m_2$ .

Overall we have a bijective  $R$ -linear map  $R \otimes_R M \rightarrow M$ , and so  $R \otimes_R M \cong M$ .

- First we acquire an  $R$ -linear map  $M \otimes_R (N \oplus L) \rightarrow (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M \otimes_R L)$  by leveraging the universal property of tensor products. And then we show that this map is in fact an isomorphism.

First define a map  $h : M \times (N \oplus L) \rightarrow (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M \otimes_R L)$  by  $h(m, (n, l)) = (m \otimes n, m \otimes l)$ . Note that in  $R$ -Mod finite coproducts are also products, and so the domain of the function  $h$  is the  $R$ -module  $M \oplus N \oplus L$ . We claim that this map is  $R$ -bilinear. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} h(r_1m_1 + r_2m_2, (n, l)) &= ((r_1m_1 + r_2m_2) \otimes n, (r_1m_1 + r_2m_2) \otimes l) \\ &= (r_1(m_1 \otimes n) + r_2(m_1 \otimes n), r_1(m \otimes l) + r_2(m_2 \otimes n)) \\ &= r_1(m_1 \otimes n, m_1 \otimes l_1) + r_2(m_2 \otimes n, m_2 \otimes l). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the tensor product relations, and of the  $R$ -module structure on the direct sum of two  $R$ -modules. In other words, we have shown that  $h$  is  $R$ -linear in the first argument. Now consider the second argument

$$\begin{aligned} h(m, r_1(n_1, l_1) + r_2(n_2, l_2)) &= (m \otimes r_1n_1 + r_2n_2, m \otimes r_1l_1 + r_2l_2) \\ &= (r_1(m \otimes n_1) + r_2(m \otimes n_2), r_1(m \otimes l_1) + r_2(m \otimes l_2)) \\ &= r_1(m \otimes n_1, m \otimes l_1) + r_2(m \otimes n_2, m \otimes l_2), \end{aligned}$$

using the bilinearity of the tensor product, and by using the definition of the  $R$ -module structure on the direct sum of  $R$ -modules. That is, we've shown  $h$  is  $R$ -linear in the second argument also. And so  $h$  is an  $R$ -bilinear map.

We are now free to use the universal property of tensor products to acquire a new  $R$ -linear map  $\phi : M \otimes_R (N \oplus L) \rightarrow (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M \otimes_R L)$ . where  $\phi(m \otimes (n, l)) = (m \otimes n, m \otimes l)$ .

Next, we will construct an  $R$ -linear map  $\psi : (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M \otimes_R L) \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \oplus L)$  using the universal property of coproducts. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M \times N & \xrightarrow{f_1} & M \otimes_R (N \oplus L) & \xleftarrow{f_2} & M \times L \\
 \otimes \downarrow & \nearrow \psi_1 & \uparrow \psi & \nwarrow \psi_2 & \downarrow \otimes \\
 M \otimes_R N & \hookrightarrow & (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M \otimes_R L) & \longleftarrow & M \otimes_R L
 \end{array}$$

where the maps  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  will be built out of the universal property of tensor products, and the desired map  $\psi$  will consequently be determined by the universal property of corproduct in  $R$ -mod. First, we must specify bilinear maps  $f_1 : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \oplus L)$  and  $f_2 : M \times L \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \oplus L)$ .

I claim that the map  $f_1(m, n) = m \otimes (n, 0)$  is bilinear. If  $n$  is fixed then for each  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  we have  $f_1(r_1 m_1 + r_2 m_2, n) = (r_1 m_1 + r_2 m_2) \otimes (n, 0) = r_1(m_1 \otimes (n, 0)) + r_2(m_2 \otimes (n, 0)) = r_1 f_1(m_1, n) + r_2 f_1(m_2, n)$ , by the bilinearity of tensor product. I.e.  $f_1$  is  $R$ -linear in its first argument. Moreover, if we fix  $m \in M$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1(m, r_1 n_1 + r_2 n_2) &= m \otimes (r_1 n_1 + r_2 n_2, 0) \\
 &= m \otimes [r_1(n_1, 0) + r_2(n_2, 0)] \\
 &= r_1(m \otimes (n_1, 0)) + r_2(m \otimes (n_2, 0)) = r_1 f_1(m, n_1) + r_2 f_1(m, n_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

And so,  $f_1$  is  $R$ -linear in its second argument. Hence,  $f_1 : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \oplus L)$  is  $R$ -bilinear. A very similar calculation will show that  $f_2 : M \times L \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \oplus L)$  is also  $R$ -bilinear.

Thus, by the universal property of tensor products, we have  $R$ -linear maps

■



4
---

■

6. Suppose that  $f: M \rightarrow M'$  and  $g: N \rightarrow N'$  are homomorphisms of  $R$ -modules. Use  $f$  and  $g$  to define a homomorphism

$$M \otimes_R N \rightarrow M' \otimes_R N'.$$

(This homomorphism is usually denoted by  $f \otimes g$ .)

We define the desired map by using the universal property of tensor products. Consider the following composition

$$M \oplus N \xrightarrow{(f,g)} M' \oplus N' \xrightarrow{\otimes} M' \otimes_R N',$$

via

$$(m, n) \mapsto (f(m), g(n)) \mapsto f(m) \otimes g(n).$$

We claim that this composition  $\phi: M \oplus N \rightarrow M' \otimes_R N'$  is  $R$ -bilinear. Indeed, first let  $n \in N$  be fixed and then consider

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(r_1 m_1 + r_2 m_2, n) &= (r_1 f(m_1) + r_2 f(m_2)) \otimes g(n) \\ &= (r_1 f(m_1)) \otimes g(n) + (r_2 f(m_2)) \otimes g(n) \\ &= r_1 (f(m_1) \otimes g(n)) + r_2 (f(m_2) \otimes g(n)) \\ &= r_1 \phi(m_1, n) + r_2 \phi(m_2, n), \end{aligned}$$

by the relations on the elements of the tensor product. In other words, we have shown that  $\phi(-, n)$  is  $R$ -linear for each  $n \in N$ . A very similar calculation will show that  $\phi(m, -)$  is  $R$ -linear for each  $m \in M$ . That is,  $\phi$  is  $R$ -bilinear.

By the universal property of the tensor product we then have an  $R$ -linear map  $\psi: M \otimes_R N \rightarrow M' \otimes_R N'$  given by  $m \otimes n \mapsto f(m) \otimes g(n)$ , as desired.

■

7. Let  $V$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of dimension  $n$ . Recall from class that the tensor product  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  can be viewed as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space in a natural way. In this question, we are going to show that the dimension of  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  (as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space) is equal to  $n$  (the dimension of  $V$  as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space).

- Suppose that  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear basis of  $V$ . Write down  $n$  elements of  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  which could plausibly be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear basis.
- Suppose that  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the dual basis to  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ . Write down  $n$   $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which could plausibly be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear basis of the dual space  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V, \mathbb{C})$ . [Hint:  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}$ , so the previous part gives you a way of constructing a homomorphism.]
- Show that the elements you defined in the previous two parts are dual bases of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$ , and hence that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V) = n$ .

- I claim that  $1 \otimes e_1, 1 \otimes e_2, \dots, 1 \otimes e_n \in \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  is a basis. First we show that these tensors span  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$ . First let  $\alpha \otimes v \in \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  be a pure tensor. Then, since  $\{e_i\}$  is a basis for  $V$  we have  $v = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e_n$  for  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now notice

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \lambda_1 (1 \otimes e_1) + \alpha \lambda_2 (1 \otimes e_2) + \dots + \alpha \lambda_n (1 \otimes e_n) &= \alpha [1 \otimes \lambda_1 e_1 + 1 \otimes \lambda_2 e_2 + \dots + 1 \otimes \lambda_n e_n] \\ &= \alpha \otimes (\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e_n) \\ &= \alpha \otimes v. \end{aligned}$$

That is, every pure tensor in  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  is a finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of the  $1 \otimes e_i$ . It then follows that every element of  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$ , which is a finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of pure tensors, is also a finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of the  $1 \otimes e_i$ . Thus, the  $1 \otimes e_i$  span  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

I will show some approximation of the  $1 \otimes e_i$  being  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent. Suppose we have  $a_1(1 \otimes e_1) + \dots + a_n(1 \otimes e_n) = 0$  for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a_1(1 \otimes e_1) + a_2(1 \otimes e_2) + \dots + a_n(1 \otimes e_n) \\ &= 1 \otimes (a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + \dots + a_n e_n) = 0 \\ &\implies a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + \dots + a_n e_n = 0 \\ &\implies a_i = 0 \text{ for all } i, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows since the  $e_i$  are an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear basis for  $V$ , and so are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent. The second to last line follows since  $1 \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . This shows

that the elements  $1 \otimes e_i$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent. This argument does not work for  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , because we are unable to “bring the coefficients into the second ‘coordinate’ of the pure tensors.” That is, we do not have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space structure on  $V$  exactly.

However, recalling generally that  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space for any  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces  $M, N$ , we expect to be able to find some basis for  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$ . The argument above gives that the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  has a basis  $1 \otimes e_1$ .

- We want to study the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}$ . We first consider maps which descend from bilinear maps  $\mathbb{C} \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}$  induced by  $f \in V^*$ . First, fix a map  $f \in V^*$ . And then we define a map  $\phi_f : \mathbb{C} \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}$  via  $\phi_f(\alpha, v) = \alpha \otimes f(v)$ . First notice that this map is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear in the second coordinate: if we fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and let  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  indeed, we have

$$\phi_f(\alpha, a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2) = \alpha \otimes f(a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2) = \alpha \otimes (a_1 f(v_1) + a_2 f(v_2)) = a_1(\alpha \otimes f(v_1)) + a_2(\alpha \otimes f(v_2)).$$

Since  $f$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map and by the bilinearity of the tensor product. A similar calculation shows that  $\phi_f$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear in the first coordinate.

Now, since  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  is a basis for  $V^*$  then we can represent  $f(v) = a_1 \delta_1(v) + a_2 \delta_2(v) + \dots + a_n \delta_n(v)$  for  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we can represent

$$\phi_f(\alpha, v) = \alpha \otimes (a_1 \delta_1(v) + \dots + a_n \delta_n(v)) = \alpha a_1 (1 \otimes \delta_1(v)) + \alpha a_2 (1 \otimes \delta_2(v)) + \dots + \alpha a_n (1 \otimes \delta_n(v)),$$

Note that the  $a_i$  in the computation above depends only on the map  $f \in V^*$ . This suggests that a plausible basis for  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V, \mathbb{C})$  could be the maps  $1 \otimes \delta_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V, \mathbb{C})$ .

is there more that we can show?

- See the previous parts to see some partial arguments that the elements given are bases for their corresponding spaces. I will, however, show that  $\{1 \otimes e_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  and  $\{1 \otimes \delta_i\} \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V, \mathbb{C})$  are dual to each other. Consider

$$(1 \otimes \delta_i)(1 \otimes e_i) = 1 \otimes \delta_i(e_i) = 1 \otimes 1 = 1 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Whereas, for  $i \neq j$

$$(1 \otimes \delta_i)(1 \otimes e_j) = 1 \otimes \delta_i(e_j) = 1 \otimes 0 = 0 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This shows that  $\{1 \otimes \delta_i\}$  and  $\{1 \otimes e_i\}$  are dual and in fact orthonormal.

■

4
---

■