

Problem Set 5

1 Adjustment cost model

Consider the following discrete-time dynamic programming problem in sequence formulation;

$$\max_{\{x_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [h(x_t) - a(x_{t+1} - x_t)]$$

where x_0 given. Assume that $h''(x) \leq 0$ all $x \in R$, that there is a unique x^* such that $h'(x^*) = 0$, and that $a''(z) \geq 0$ for all $z \in R$ and that $a'(z) = a(z) = 0$ only for $z = 0$.

(1) Write down the Bellman equation for this problem. Write down explicitly the period return function in terms of h and a . Use x for the current state and y for next period state. Use v for the value function.

(2) Let $F(x, y)$ the period return function used in (1). Answer true or false, and give a short proof or counter-example.

(2.1) Is $F(x, y)$ increasing in x for all the values of y ?

(2.2) Is $F(x, y)$ concave in (x, y) ?

(3) Let v the value function. Just answer true or false, and give a short proof or counter-example.

(3.1) Is $v(x)$ concave?

(3.2) Is $v(x)$ increasing?

(4) Assuming differentiability of v , write down the first order conditions for the problem. Use $y = g(x)$ for the optimal decision rule.

(5) Use the envelope to write down an expression for the derivative of the value function v . Use $y = g(x)$ for the optimal decision rule.

(6) Let $\bar{x} = g(\bar{x})$ denote a steady state. Use your answer to (5) and (6) to show that $\bar{x} = x^*$ is the unique steady state (2 lines maximum).

(7) First order conditions and shape of the optimal decision rule g .

(7.1) Let $F(x, y)$ be the period return function for this problem. Plot the function $-F_y(x, y)$ (in terms of derivatives of h and a) for a fixed value of x with $x < x^*$, and $\beta v'(y)$ with y in the horizontal axis. Indicate in the horizontal axis the value of x and the value of y that corresponds to $g(x)$.

(7.2) In the same plot used for (7.1) draw the function $-F_y(x', y)$ for a higher value of x , i.e. for $x < x' < x^*$. Make sure to identify the new value of $g(x')$ in your plot.

(7.3) Given your answer to (7.1) and (7.2) is $g(x)$ increasing or decreasing in x ? [one word].

(8) Roots of Euler Equation

Let $F_y(x, g(x)) + \beta F_x(g(x), g(g(x))) = 0$ be the Euler equation for an arbitrary dynamic programming problem with period return $F(x, y)$ concave and differentiable and optimal policy $y = g(x)$. Assume that the state x is one dimensional and that g is differentiable.

(8.1) Differentiate the expression for the Euler equation w.r.t. x . Make sure that each of the derivatives is evaluated at the correct arguments [one line].

(8.2) Evaluate the expression in (8.1) at the steady state values $\bar{x} = g(\bar{x})$ [one line].

(8.3) Assuming that $F_{yx}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) \neq 0$, divide the resulting expression in (8.2) by this quantity and write down a quadratic function on the variable λ whose zeros are solved by $g'(\bar{x})$. Denote this quadratic expression by $Q(\lambda)$ where

$$Q(\lambda) = 1 + b\lambda + \beta\lambda^2,$$

and

$$b \equiv \frac{F_{yy}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) + \beta F_{xx}(\bar{x}, \bar{x})}{F_{yx}(\bar{x}, \bar{x})}.$$

(9) Speed of Convergence:

(9.1) Write down an expression for each of the following derivatives: $F_{xx}(\bar{x}, \bar{x})$, $F_{xy}(\bar{x}, \bar{x})$, and $F_{yy}(\bar{x}, \bar{x})$ for the problem with adjustment cost using the functions h and a as well as $\bar{x} = x^*$. Write down an expression for b in terms of the derivatives of h and a evaluated at the steady state values.

(9.2) Write down the quadratic equation $Q(\lambda)$ derived in (8.3) for the problem of adjustment cost [this should be a function of λ and parameters $a'(0)$, β and $h''(x^*)$ only]

(9.3) Compute the values of $Q(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = 0$, $\lambda = 1$, $\lambda = 1/\beta$ and λ^* , where λ^* is such that $Q'(\lambda^*) = 0$. Is $\lambda^* > 1$? What is the sign of $Q'(\lambda)$ in $\lambda \in (0, 1)$? What is the limit $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} Q(\lambda)$?

(9.4) Plot $Q(\lambda)$ with λ in the horizontal axis. Make sure to identify $\lambda = 0$, $\lambda = 1$, $\lambda = \lambda^*$ and $\lambda = 1/\beta$ as well as the corresponding values of $Q(\lambda)$. Make sure you label the smallest root of Q , and denote it by λ_1 . How does λ_1 depend on b ?

(9.5) Draw a second quadratic function, denoted as $\hat{Q}(\lambda)$, that corresponds to a problem with a larger value of $-h''(x^*)/a''(0) = |h''(x^*)/a''(0)|$. How does $|h''(x^*)/a''(0)|$ relate to b ? Denote the smallest root of this equation by $\hat{\lambda}_1$. How is $\hat{\lambda}_1$ compared with λ_1 ?

(9.6) Recall that if $|\lambda_1| < 1$, then $g'(\bar{x}) = \lambda_1$. How does the speed of convergence of $\{x_t\}$ depend on $-h''(x^*)/a''(0) = |h''(x^*)/a''(0)|$? What is the economic intuition for this dependence? (Explain the intuition for each of the parameters: $|h''(x^*)|$ and $|a''(0)|$).

2 Speed of convergence and intertemporal substitution

Consider the following dynamic programming problem where the state x is one-dimensional, i.e. $x \in R$.

Program 1:

$$V(x) = \max_{y \in R} \{F(x, y) + \beta V(y)\}.$$

Denote by $y = g(x)$ its optimal policy rule.

Assumptions. F is strictly concave, twice differentiable, strictly increasing in x and strictly decreasing in y , so that $F_x > 0$, $F_y < 0$. The cross derivative of F is positive, i.e. $F_{xy} > 0$.

Background. The Euler equation is given by

$$F_y(x, g(x)) + \beta F_x(g(x), g(g(x))) = 0,$$

for all x . Let \bar{x} be an steady state, so that $\bar{x} = g(\bar{x})$ which implies that

$$F_y(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) + \beta F_x(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) = 0.$$

Recall that differentiating the Euler equation w.r.t. x and evaluating it at a steady state \bar{x} we obtain the following quadratic expression for $g'(\bar{x})$:

$$F_{yx}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) + [F_{yy}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) + \beta F_{xx}(\bar{x}, \bar{x})] g'(\bar{x}) + \beta F_{xy}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) [g'(\bar{x})]^2 = 0,$$

so that, if $F_{xy}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) > 0$, then $g'(\bar{x})$ solves $Q(\lambda) = 0$, where

$$Q(\lambda) = 1 + b\lambda + \beta\lambda^2,$$

and

$$b \equiv \frac{F_{yy}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) + \beta F_{xx}(\bar{x}, \bar{x})}{F_{yx}(\bar{x}, \bar{x})}.$$

As discussed elsewhere, the two roots of Q , denoted by λ_1 and λ_2 come in almost reciprocal pairs, i.e., they satisfy

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\beta}.$$

Letting λ_1 be the root with smallest absolute value, if $|\lambda_1| < 1$ then the steady state is locally stable and the speed of convergence is determined by $g'(\bar{x})$.

Questions 1-4:

1) Show that, if $F_{xy}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) > 0$, then $b < 0$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$.

2) Show that $\lambda_1 = g'(\bar{x}) \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $1 + b + \beta < 0$.

3) Show that

$$\lambda_1 = g'(\bar{x}) = \frac{-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4\beta}}{2\beta}.$$

[Hint: Use the formula for the roots of a quadratic function and argue that λ_1 is the smallest root].

4) Use the answer to 3) to show that $g'(\bar{x})$ is an increasing function of b . [Hint: Differentiate the expression for λ_1].

Consider the following related problem.

Program 2:

$$\tilde{V}(x) = \max_{y \in R} \left\{ \tilde{F}(x, y) + \beta \tilde{V}(y) \right\},$$

with

$$\tilde{F}(x, y) = U[F(x, y)],$$

where $U' > 0$ and $U'' < 0$. Thus \tilde{F} is a strictly increasing and concave transformation of F .

Denote the optimal policy of program 2 by $\tilde{g}(x)$.

Questions 5-10

5) For future reference, compute the first derivatives of $\tilde{F}(x, y)$ w.r.t. x and y , i.e., $\tilde{F}_y(x, y)$ and $\tilde{F}_x(x, y)$, in terms of the derivatives U and F .

6) Show that \bar{x} is a steady state of program 1 if and only if it is an steady state of program 2. [Hint: Use your answer to 5) and the definition of a steady state for programs 1 and 2].

7) For future reference, compute the second derivatives of \tilde{F} , i.e., $\tilde{F}_{yy}(x, y)$, $\tilde{F}_{xx}(x, y)$, and $\tilde{F}_{xy}(x, y)$ as a function of the first and second derivatives of U and F .

8) Assume that $g'(\bar{x}) \in (0, 1)$. Show that

$$g'(\bar{x}) < \tilde{g}'(\bar{x}) < 1.$$

[Hint: The main idea is to express the coefficient \tilde{b} corresponding to the quadratic equations of $\tilde{g}'(\bar{x})$ in terms of b , $-U''/U$, and other derivatives of F and then use the answer to 4)]. Here is a more detailed set of hints:

i) Use the definition of \tilde{b} as a function of β , \tilde{F}_{xx} , \tilde{F}_{yy} and \tilde{F}_{xy} evaluated at (\bar{x}, \bar{x}) .

ii) Use your answer to 7) to rewrite \tilde{b} as a function of U' , U'' , $F(x, y)$, β , F_{xx} , F_{yy} and F_{xy} evaluated at (\bar{x}, \bar{x}) .

iii) Reorder the terms in \tilde{b} to obtain an expression that (apart from other terms) depends on b and γ given by

$$\gamma \equiv -\frac{U''(F(\bar{x}, \bar{x}))}{U'(F(\bar{x}, \bar{x}))}.$$

iv) Use the steady state Euler equation for program 1 to eliminate $F_y(\bar{x}, \bar{x})$ from your expression.

v) Rearrange \tilde{b} to obtain

$$\tilde{b} = -(1 + \beta) \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} + b \frac{1}{1 + \alpha},$$

for

$$\alpha = \gamma \beta [F_x(\bar{x}, \bar{x})]^2 / F_{xy}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) > 0.$$

vi) Use v) to show that \tilde{b} satisfies

$$\tilde{b} - b = -\frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} (1 + \beta + b).$$

vii) Use that $g'(\bar{x}) \in (0, 1)$ implies $(1 + \beta + b) < 0$ and v) to show that $\tilde{b} > b$.

viii) Use that v) implies $1 + \tilde{b} + \beta < 0$, to show that $\tilde{g}'(\bar{x}) \in (0, 1)$.

ix) Use 4) and vi) to show that $\tilde{g}'(\bar{x}) > g'(\bar{x})$.

9) Explain, intuitively, why the speed of convergence is slower for the solution to program 2 than for the solution to program 1.

10) Consider the following two versions of the neoclassical growth model. Version 1:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{c_t, i_t} \sum_{t \geq 0} \beta^t v(c_t), \\ c_t &= f(k_t) - i_t, \text{ and } k_{t+1} = i_t + (1 - \delta) k_t, \end{aligned}$$

for strictly concave v and f , satisfying Inada conditions, and version 2:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{c_t, i_t} \sum_{t \geq 0} \beta^t U[v(c_t)], \\ c_t &= f(k_t) - i_t, \text{ and } k_{t+1} = i_t + (1 - \delta) k_t, \end{aligned}$$

where U is increasing and concave. What do you conclude about the speed of convergence of the solutions of these two programs?

In particular map the first version into the $F(x, y)$ type of notation, argue that the hypothesis of 8) are satisfied.

11) Consider the following two versions of the neoclassical growth model. Version 1:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{c_t, i_t} \sum_{t \geq 0} \beta^t c_t, \\ c_t &= f(k_t) - i_t - \Phi(k_{t+1} - k_t), \\ k_{t+1} &= i_t + (1 - \delta) k_t, \end{aligned}$$

for strictly concave f satisfying Inada conditions, and with Φ satisfying

$$\Phi \geq 0, \Phi(0) = \Phi'(0) = 0, \Phi' \geq 0, \Phi'' > 0;$$

and version 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{c_t, i_t} \sum_{t \geq 0} \beta^t U[c_t], \\ c_t &= f(k_t) - i_t - \Phi(k_{t+1} - k_t), \\ k_{t+1} &= i_t + (1 - \delta) k_t, \end{aligned}$$

for strictly increasing and strictly concave U .

In particular, map the first version into the $F(x, y)$ type of notation, argue that the hypothesis of 8) are satisfied.

3 Speed of Convergence versus Slope of the Saddle Path

Program i.

Consider the continuous time dynamic problem in the control-state notation described by the period return h and the law of motion g as:

$$\begin{aligned} V(x_0) &= \max_{u(t) \in U, t \geq 0} \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} h(x(t), u(t)) dt, \\ \dot{x}(t) &= g(x(t), u(t)), \end{aligned}$$

with $x(0) = x$ given. Denote the optimal path $x^*(t)$.

Program ii.

Consider the continuous time dynamic problem in the control-state notation described by period return \hat{h} and law of motion \hat{g} as,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}(x_0) &= \max_{u(t) \in U, t \geq 0} \int_0^\infty e^{-\hat{\rho} t} \hat{h}(x(t), u(t)) dt, \\ \dot{x}(t) &= \hat{g}(x(t), u(t)), \end{aligned}$$

with $x(0) = x$ given.

Assume that, for some strictly positive number κ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(x, u) &= \kappa h(x, u), \\ \hat{g}(x, u) &= \kappa g(x, u), \\ \hat{\rho} &= \kappa \rho. \end{aligned}$$

In this question we will show that two problems can have exactly the same saddle path, and different speed of convergence to the steady state. Clearly the fact that \hat{h} is multiplied by a strictly positive number κ does not affect the results, since it defines a monotone transformation of the objective function (i.e., of the discounted integral), but it does turn out to be convenient for this problem.

a) Write down the Hamiltonian $H(x, u, \lambda)$ for program i, where λ denotes the co-state.

b) Write down the optimality conditions for the control of program i.

c) Write down a system of differential equations for the law of motion of the co-state λ and for the state of program i. Write this system in terms of the derivatives of H .

d) Define $u = \mu(x, \lambda)$ as the solution of u in b). Display an expression for the derivatives of μ in terms of the derivatives of H .

e) Use the function μ to write a system of differential equations for x and λ in terms of (x, λ) . Write this system in terms of the derivatives of H and the function μ .

f) Denote by $(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda})$ a stationary solution of e). Write the equations that define $(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda})$.

g) Linearize the system obtained in e). The system should be of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\lambda} \\ \dot{x} \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - \bar{\lambda} \\ x - \bar{x} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Display the expressions for the entries of the matrix A in terms of the derivatives of H and μ .

Diagonalize the matrix A , as

$$A = P^{-1} \Phi P,$$

with diagonal $\Phi = \text{diag} \{\theta_i\}$. Define

$$z(t) = P \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - \bar{\lambda} \\ x - \bar{x} \end{bmatrix},$$

so that the linear system is, for each variable i ,

$$\dot{z}_i(t) = \theta_i z_i(t).$$

Assume that half of the eigenvalues of Φ are strictly negative, and the other half strictly positive. Without loss of generality, assume that the first half are the positive ones. Write the matrix P with the eigenvectors in four squared blocks as follows

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the $[P_{11}, P_{12}]$ correspond to the strictly positive eigenvalues.

h) Derive an expression for the slope of the saddle path. We can represent the saddle path as a function s , setting $\lambda = s(x)$. When the free initial condition for λ is set using the given initial condition for x , $\lambda(0) = s(x(0))$, then the dynamic system in *e)* converges to the steady state $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{x})$. You should find a linear approximation of s around the steady state. The expression for $s(x)$ should be function of $\bar{\lambda}$, \bar{x} , P_{11} and P_{12} . Show that $\partial s(\bar{x})/\partial x = -[P_{11}]^{-1} P_{12}$.

We essentially repeat steps *a)* to *h)* for program ii, and relate its results to those of *a)-h)*.

â) Write down the Hamiltonian for program ii, denote it by $\hat{H}(x, u, \lambda)$ and relate it to the one in for i denoted by $H(x, u, \lambda)$ and the number κ . Show that $\hat{H} = \kappa H$.

ĥ) Write down the optimality conditions for the control of program ii, in terms of \hat{H} . Relate it to the one in *b)* in terms of the derivatives of H and the number κ .

ĉ) Write down a system of differential equations for the law of motion for the co-state λ and for the state for program ii. Write this system in terms of derivatives of \hat{H} . Relate it to the one in *c)* in terms of the derivatives of H and the number κ .

ĉ) Define $u = \hat{\mu}(x, \lambda)$ as the solution of *u* of *ĥ)*. Relate it to the one in *b)* in terms of the derivatives of H and κ . Show that $\hat{\mu} = \mu$. Display an expression for the derivatives of $\hat{\mu}$ in terms of the derivatives of \hat{H} .

ê) Use the function $\hat{\mu}$ to write a system of differential equations for x and λ in terms of (x, λ) . Write this system in terms of the derivatives of \hat{H} and the function $\hat{\mu}$. Relate it to the one found in *e)* in terms of the derivatives of H , the function μ and the number κ .

\widehat{f}) Denote by $(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda})$ a stationary solution of \widehat{e}). Write the equations that define $(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda})$. Show that the steady state for the program i, found in f) also solve these equations, and hence it is the steady state for program ii.

\widehat{g}) Linearize the system of differential equations obtained in \widehat{e}). The system should be of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\lambda} \\ \dot{x} \end{bmatrix} = \hat{A} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - \bar{\lambda} \\ x - \bar{x} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Display the expressions for the entries of the matrix \hat{A} in terms of the derivatives of \hat{H} and $\hat{\mu}$. Relate it to the one in g) in terms of the derivatives of H and the number κ . Show that $\hat{A} = \kappa A$.

As done above, diagonalize the matrix \hat{A} , as

$$\hat{A} = \hat{P}^{-1} \hat{\Phi} \hat{P},$$

with diagonal $\hat{\Phi} = \text{diag} \{ \hat{\theta}_i \}$. Define

$$z(t) = \hat{P} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - \bar{\lambda} \\ x - \bar{x} \end{bmatrix},$$

so that the linear system is

$$\dot{z}_i(t) = \hat{\theta}_i z_i(t).$$

Write the matrix \hat{P} with the eigenvectors with four squared blocks as follows:

$$\hat{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{11} & \hat{P}_{12} \\ \hat{P}_{21} & \hat{P}_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the $[\hat{P}_{11}, \hat{P}_{12}]$ corresponds to the strictly positive eigenvalues.

\widehat{h}) Use that $\hat{A} = \kappa A$ to show that $\hat{P} = P$ and $\hat{\Phi} = \kappa \Phi$. Then, denoting by $\lambda = \hat{s}(x)$ the saddle path of the solution of program ii, show that

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(\bar{x}) = \frac{\partial \hat{s}}{\partial x}(\bar{x}),$$

and that the speed of convergence of the solution to program ii is κ times the speed of convergence of the solution to program i.

\widehat{i}) Give an interpretation of the difference in program i and program ii.

\hat{j}) Show that $V = \hat{V}$. *Hint:* Write the continuous time Bellman equation for V in program i, and the one with $\kappa > 0$, for \hat{V} in program ii. Show that these two functional equations have the same solution.

4 Non-renewable resource extraction (extended version of core question summer 2008)

In this question we analyze the optimal depletion, and associated shadow price, of a non-renewable resource, which for concreteness we refer to as oil. There are two final non-durable goods: energy and the 'general' good. Energy is produced using oil reserves R and/or general good as inputs. The period utility is $u(e) + \alpha z$ where e is the consumption rate of energy, z is the consumption rate of the general good, and u is a strictly increasing and strictly concave function satisfying Inada conditions. The planner preferences are

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-\rho t) [u(e(t)) + \alpha z(t)] dt$$

where $\rho > 0$ is the discount factor. The marginal value (price) of energy at t in terms of the general good, $v(t)$, equals the marginal rate of substitution, i.e. $v(t) = u'(e(t))/\alpha$. We use $p(t)$ for the shadow value of an unit of oil reserves.

There are two technologies available for the production of energy. One is an extractive technology: to produce one unit of energy per period requires one unit of oil and κ_1 units of the general good. The alternative technology produces one unit energy using κ_2 units of the general good, and uses no oil. We assume that:

$$0 \leq \kappa_1 < \kappa_2.$$

Let $E = (e_1, e_2)$ denote the control: a vector containing the quantity of energy produced by the extractive and alternative technologies respectively. Let $h(E, R)$ be the per period return, where the oil reserves R is the state with law of motion $g(E, R)$ so:

$$h(E, R) \equiv u(e_1 + e_2) - \alpha(\kappa_1 e_1 + \kappa_2 e_2)$$

and $\dot{R} \equiv g(E, R) = -e_1$.

1. (40 points). i) Write the Hamiltonian for this problem using p for the co-state. ii) Write the first order conditions with respect to the controls e_1 and e_2 . Write each of them as a pair of weak inequality-equality to take into account whether the non-negativity of

each of the e_i binds. iii) Write the o.d.e. for the co-state p . iv) Write the transversality condition involving the state and costate.

The Hamiltonian has a intuitive interpretation: the planner wants to maximize the utility of energy, net of the labor cost of producing it using any combination of the two technologies, and net of the shadow cost of the oil used in the extractive technology.

$$u'(e_1 + e_2) - \alpha\kappa_1 \leq p \text{ with } = \text{ if } e_1 > 0$$

$$u'(e_1 + e_2) - \alpha\kappa_2 \leq 0 \text{ with } = \text{ if } e_2 > 0$$

The previous equations have a clear interpretation: the use of either technology has the same marginal benefit, but the marginal cost differ: the extractive technology also uses oil.

$$\dot{p} = \rho p$$

The intuition for the previous equation is clear: oil is an asset that does not depreciate, nor it gives any net return, hence its shadow value must increase at the rate of return of all the other investment at which agent discount utility - ρ .

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-\rho t) p(t) R(t) = 0.$$

We will show that there is a $0 < T < \infty$ such that the extractive technology is used for $t \in [0, T]$ and the alternative technology is used for $t \in [T, \infty)$. Moreover in the solution the oil reserves hit zero at T , i.e. $R(T) = 0$.

- 1.
2. (10 points) Use iii) of the previous question to solve explicitly for $p(t)$ as a function of $p(0), \rho$ and t (one line).
3. (20 points) Show that whenever the alternative technology is used, then $e_1(t) + e_2(t)$ must be constant. Find an expression for this constant, and denote it by \bar{e} .
- .
4. (20 points) Show that if there is an interval $[t_1, t_2]$ with $t_1 < t_2$ for which the extractive technology is used, then $e_1(t) + e_2(t)$ must be strictly decreasing in this interval. Display an (implicit) equation for this sum, your expression should be a function of $\alpha, \kappa_1, p(0), \rho, t, p(0)$, and the function $u'(\cdot)$.
- .

5. (10 points) Argue that there cannot be an interval $[t_1, t_2]$ with $t_1 < t_2$ for which both technologies are used simultaneously. (two lines maximum)
6. (20 points) Assuming that the planner is indifferent between utilizing either of the technologies at $t = T$, use the answers to the previous questions to find the value of $p(T)$. Your solution should be a function of κ_1, κ_2 , and α .
7. (20 points) Use the answers to the previous questions to find an expression for $p(0)$ in terms of exogenous parameters and T .
8. (20 points) Use the f.o.c. w.r.t. e_1 for $t < T$, and the expression for $p(t)$ in terms of the solution for $p(T)$ to obtain an expression for $e_1(t)$. Your expression should use $(u')^{-1}(\cdot)$, i.e. the inverse of $u'(\cdot)$, evaluated in a simple function of $\alpha, \kappa_1, \kappa_2$, and $\rho(t - T)$.
9. (30 points) Use the answer to the previous question and the resource constraint for oil, to find an implicit equation (an integral between 0 and T) for T . Argue that there is a unique value of T that solves this equation, and that it is strictly increasing in $R(0)$.
10. (10 points) Use the answer to the previous question to establish that the switching time T is increasing in $\alpha(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)$. (three lines maximum)
11. (20 points) How is the time path of the price of energy $v(t)$ of the solution of this problem? Draw a figure with time t in the horizontal axis and $v(t)$ in the vertical.
12. (30 points) Recall that a decrease in α is an increase in the demand of energy relative to the rest of the goods. Study the comparative statics of paths for $v(t)$ that solves the planner problem for two values of α . In particular draw a figure with time t in the horizontal axis and two paths for $v(t)$ corresponding to two values for α . Label one of them 'high α ' and the other 'low α '. Clearly label the value of T for each α .

5 Tree-cutting Problem

Consider the problem of a decision maker that owns a plot of land where there is a tree. The size of the tree at time t , i.e. its height, is denoted by x_t . Let Δ denote the length of the time period. Between t and $t + \Delta$ a planted (uncut) evolves as:

Consider the problem of a decision maker that owns a plot of land where there is a tree. The size of the tree at time t , i.e. its height, is denoted by x_t . Let Δ denote the length of the time period. Between t and $t + \Delta$ a planted (uncut) evolves as:

$$x_{t+\Delta} = x_t + \Delta g(x_t)$$

where the function $g(x)$ is weakly decreasing in x with $g(0) > 0$. If a tree is not cut the owner incurs a maintaining cost, say watering it down, given by $m(x_t)\Delta$ between t and $t + \Delta$. We assume that $m(0) = 0$, $m(x) \geq 0$, and $m(\cdot)$ is weakly increasing and convex in x . Thus a tree that is watered grows. When a tree is cut, the owner of the plot sells the tree for a price that depend on the size of the tree, given by function $P(x_t)$. We assume that $P(x) \geq 0$, and that $P(\cdot)$ is strictly increasing and weakly concave in x . We assume that $P'(0)/P(0) = \infty$.

The plot can have at most one tree at any time, so when a tree is cut a new one can be planted. If a new tree is planted, then the decision maker incurs in a cost s at time t in seeds, and next period it has a tree of size $x_{t+\Delta} = 0$. The decision maker can choose to keep the lot vacant, in which case she incurs no cost in seeds, the tree size stays at $x_t = 0$ and no maintenance cost m is incurred.

The decision maker maximizes discounted utility of her consumption, with a per period discount factor $1/(1 + \Delta\rho)$, where ρ is the discount rate and Δ is the length of the time period.

For future reference we let \bar{x} be the height such that the growth rate of the tree equals the rate of return $\rho : g(\bar{x})/\bar{x} = \rho$

5.1 Bellman Equations

We will use (x, l) for the state of the decision maker problem, where $x \in R_+$ denote the size of the tree and $l \in \{0, 1\}$ denotes whether the plot is vacant or with a tree.

A. Case of vacant lot.

Consider the problem of an agent with a vacant lot, so her state is $(x, l) = (0, 0)$ and her value function $V(0, 0)$. Her decision now is either to plant a new tree or to leave the lot vacant. If she plants the new tree she incurs today the seed cost s and next period her state is $(x', l') = (0, 1)$. If she decides not to plant the tree, this period there is no payoff, and next period state is $(x', l') = (0, 0)$. The Bellman equation for $(x, l) = (0, 0)$ is thus:

$$V(0, 0) = \max \left\{ -s + \frac{1}{1 + \Delta\rho} V(0, 1), \frac{1}{1 + \Delta\rho} V(0, 0) \right\} \quad (1)$$

B. Case of lot with a tree.

Consider the problem of an agent with a tree in her lot, so her state is $(x, l) = (x, 1)$ for $x \geq 0$. Her decision is to either leave the tree in the lot, paying the cost $m(x)\Delta$ during this period and having next period state being $(x', l') = (x + \Delta g(x), 1)$, or cut the tree. If she cuts the tree, she receives $P(x)$ this period, and she gets to decide whether to plant a new one or not. The current value of having a vacant lot is $V(0, 0)$. Hence cutting a tree gives

current value $P(x) + V(0, 0)$. The Bellman equation for $(x, l) = (x, 1)$ is thus:

$$V(x, 1) = \max \left\{ -m(x) \Delta + \frac{1}{1 + \Delta \rho} V(x + \Delta g(x), 1), P(x) + V(0, 0) \right\} \quad (2)$$

Q1 [5 points]. Use the Bellman equation for $(x, l) = (0, 0)$ and argue that

$$V(0, 0) = \begin{cases} -s + \frac{1}{1 + \rho \Delta} V(0, 1) & \text{if } s \leq \frac{1}{1 + \rho \Delta} V(0, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$V(0, 0) = \max \left\{ -s + \frac{1}{1 + \rho \Delta} V(0, 1), 0 \right\}$$

Hint. Write, separately, the form of the Bellman equation in the case in which it is NOT optimal to plant a tree, and the one in which is optimal to do so.

Q2 [5 points]. Argue that $v(x) = V(x, 1)$ is weakly increasing in x . Hint: Assume that $f(\cdot)$ is weakly decreasing for $x \geq \bar{x}$, use the corollary of the Contraction Mapping Theorem. In particular argue that in this case $(Tf)(x)$ is also weakly increasing in x . (The intuition, which perfectly parallels the math, is straightforward: a taller tree has to be more valuable because it can always be cut).

Assume that there is an $0 \leq x^* < \bar{x}$ such that if $x < x^*$ the tree is not cut.

We will work out the continuous time limit, i.e. the limit as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, under such decision rule, and that v is differentiable in all its domain. We will find out that in such case:

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) \rho &= -m(x) + v'(x) g(x) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq x^* \\ v(x) &= P(x) + \max \{-s + v(0), 0\} \quad \text{for } x \geq x^*. \end{aligned}$$

and hence that x^* satisfies

$$\rho [P(x^*) + \max \{v(0) - s, 0\}] \leq -m(x^*) + P'(x^*) g(x^*) \quad \text{with } = \text{ if } x^* > 0.$$

Q3 [5 points]. Assume that there is an $0 < x^* < \bar{x}$ such that if $x < x^*$ the tree is not cut. Write an equation for $v(x)$ in that range, i.e. for $x < x^*$. Use the discrete time formulation.

Q4. [5 points] Assume that for $x > x^*$ the tree is cut. Write an equation for v in that range, i.e. for $x > x^*$. Use the discrete time formulation.

Q5 [5 points]. Show that the equation derived for $x < x^*$ as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ becomes

$$v(x)\rho = -m(x) + v'(x)g(x)$$

Hint: Assume that v is differentiable, use the "usual" trick to convert discrete time flow equations into differential equation. Below we will solve this o.d.e.

Q6 [5 points]. Write down the equation derived for $x > x^*$ as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$. Hint: Simply take the limit as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$.

Q7 [5 points]. Consider the values of x such that $x < x^*$ and $x + \Delta g(x) \geq x^*$. Use the discrete time formulation. Write down an inequality that states that it is not optimal to cut the tree if $x_t = x$ and that it is optimal to do so at $x_{t+\Delta} = x + \Delta g(x)$. For the inequality compare the values of cutting the tree at t with the ones of cutting it at $t + \Delta$. The inequality should involve $m(x)$, Δ , ρ , $P(x + \Delta g(x))$, $\max\{v(0) - s, 0\}$, and $P(x)$.

Q8 [5 points]. Write down the expression you just found as equality at $x = x^*$, i.e. assume that the agent is indifferent between cutting the tree today or tomorrow if $x = x^*$.

The equality involves the terms of $m(x^*)$, Δ , ρ , $P(x^* + \Delta g(x^*))$, $\max\{v(0) - s, 0\}$ and $P(x^*)$.

Q9 [5 points]. Take the limit as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ in the equality just derived for x^* and show that

$$\rho[P(x^*) + \max\{v(0) - s, 0\}] = -m(x^*) + P'(x^*)g(x^*)$$

Q10 [10 points]. What is the interpretation of $\rho[P(x^*) + \max\{v(0) - s, 0\}]$? What is the interpretation of $-m(x^*) + P'(x^*)g(x^*)$? Why should these expressions be equal for the optimal x^* ?

Q11 [5 points]. Suppose that $v(0) - s$ is so large that it is convenient to cut tree right away, so that $x^* = 0$. Write the corresponding condition for x^* (it should be a weak inequality version of the condition for $x^* > 0$).

Note: this configuration will not be part of the solution of the problem once we solve for x^* and $v(0)$. But at this juncture we are taking $v(0)$ as given, so it is a case we have to consider.

Define $\mu(x) \equiv \frac{m(x)}{P(x) + \max\{v(0) - s, 0\}}$. $\mu(x)$ measures the flow cost of a tree of size x divided by the value of a lot where this tree is planted.

Q12 [15 points]. Show that given $m(0) = 0$, $m' > 0$, $m'' \geq 0$, $P \geq 0$, $P' > 0$, and $P'' \leq 0$ we have

$$\mu'(x) \geq 0 \text{ and } \frac{xP'(x)}{P(x) + \max\{v(0) - s, 0\}} \leq 1$$

Hint: using convexity of m and concavity of P we have:

$$m(0) \geq m(x) + m'(x)(0 - x) \quad \text{and} \quad P(0) \leq P(x) + P'(x)(0 - x)$$

Q13 [15 points]. Given the assumptions on m , P and g show that there exist a unique $x^* \geq 0$ that satisfies

$$\rho \geq \frac{P'(x^*)}{[P(x^*) + \max\{v(0) - s, 0\}]}g(x^*) - \mu(x^*) \quad \text{with } = \text{ if } x^* > 0.$$

Q14 [5 points]. Compute x^* for the following example:

$$P(x) = Ax^\varepsilon, \quad m(x) = \max\{v(0) - s, 0\} = 0, \quad g(x) = \bar{g}$$

Q15 [5 points]. Compute x^* for the following example:

$$P(x) = A x, \quad m(x) = \max\{v(0) - s, 0\} = 0, \quad g(x) = \bar{g} x^{-\gamma}$$

Q16 [5 points]. Compute x^* for the following example:

$$P(x) = A x, \quad m(x) = 0, \quad v(0) - s = A > 0, \quad g(x) = \rho/2$$

Q17 [25 points]. What is the effect on x^* if: a) the function $g(\cdot)$ is replaced by other one that is higher everywhere? b) ρ is increased, c) the function $\mu(\cdot)$ is replaced by other one that is higher everywhere? d) the functions m and P , and the constant $(v(0) - s)$ are multiplied by a positive constant larger than one. e) The value of $\max\{v(0) - s, 0\}$ increases.

For these comparative statics we take $v(0)$ as a given number, i.e. not as a function of g, P , and ρ .

Now we return to solve the ode for the value value of an uncut tree.

Q18 [20 points]. Show that the solution to

$$v'(z) = v(z) \frac{\rho}{g(z)} + \frac{m(z)}{g(z)}$$

for $z \in (x, x^*)$ is given by

$$v(x) = v(x^*) e^{-\int_x^{x^*} \frac{\rho}{g(z)} dz} - \int_x^{x^*} \left(e^{-\int_x^z \frac{\rho}{g(s)} ds} \right) \frac{m(z)}{g(z)} dz \quad (3)$$

Hint. To verify it, differentiate with respect to x both sides of the expression and replace into the ODE.

Q19 [10 points]. To help understand (3), in particular to interpret the expression

$$e^{-\int_x^{x^*} \frac{\rho}{g(z)} dz}$$

what is the interpretation of

$$\tau(x, x^*) \equiv \int_x^{x^*} \frac{1}{g(z)} dz$$

In what units is τ measured?

Hint: notice that

$$\frac{1}{g(x)} dx = \frac{1}{dx/dt} dx = dt$$

Q20 [15 points]. To help understand (3), in particular to interpret the expression

$$\int_x^{x^*} \left(e^{-\int_x^z \frac{\rho}{g(s)} ds} \right) \frac{m(z)}{g(z)} dz$$

which, once we use $\tau(\cdot)$ is

$$\int_x^{x^*} e^{-\rho\tau(x,z)} \frac{m(z)}{g(z)} dz ,$$

Give an interpretation to $m(x)/g(x)$. In what units is this measures? Hint, notice that letting $x(t)$ be the size of the tree as function of time:

$$\frac{m(x(t))}{g(x(t))} dx = \frac{m(x(t))}{dx/dt} dx = m(x(t)) dt$$

Q21 [15 points]. Using the solution to the previous questions we can write (3) as

$$v(x^*) e^{-\rho\tau(x(t), x^*)} - \int_0^{\tau(x(t), x^*)} e^{-\rho\tau} m(x(t+s)) ds = v(x(t))$$

where $x(r)$ solves $dx(\tau) = g(x(\tau))$ for $\tau \in (t, t + \tau(x, x^*))$ and where $x(t) = x$. What is the interpretation of this equation, i.e. what $v(x(t))$ equals? (Hint: describe $v(x(t))$ as present values)

Based upon the previous questions, let $x(\tau)$ be the solution of

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = g(x(t)) \text{ for } t \in (0, \tau)$$

with $x(0) = 0$. Consider the problem: $\max_{\tau \geq 0} F(\tau)$ where F is given by

$$F(\tau) \equiv [P(x(\tau)) + \max\{v(0) - s, 0\}] e^{-\rho\tau} - \int_0^\tau e^{-\rho t} m(x(t)) dt$$

In this problem we are taking $v(0)$ as a parameter.

Q22.[10 points] Show that

$$v(0) = F(\tau(0, x^*))$$

Hint: use $x(\tau, 0) = x$, $\tau = \tau(0, x)$, $t = \tau(0, x(t))$, and $m(x(t)) dt = \frac{m(x(t))}{g(x(t))} dx(t)$.

Q23 [10 points]. Write the first order condition with respect to τ of the function $F(\tau)$.

Q24 [10 points]. Show that $F'(\tau^*) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\rho [P(x^*) + \max\{v(0) - s, 0\}] = P'(x^*) g(x^*) - m(x^*)$$

when

$$\begin{aligned} x^* &= x(\tau^*) \\ \tau^* &= \tau(0, x^*) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can state the problem and solve it. We can think of characterizing the value function by solving a system of 3 equations in 3 unknowns. The unknowns are the values of $(x^*, v(0), v(x^*))$, the optimal cut-off point, the value of a newly planted tree, and the value of a tree just before cutting it. The equations are the following:

1) The optimality of the planting decision:

$$v(x^*) = P(x^*) + \max\{v(0) - s, 0\},$$

2) The value of a newly planted tree:

$$v(0) = v(x^*) e^{-\int_0^{x^*} \frac{\rho}{g(z)} dz} - \int_0^{x^*} \left(e^{-\int_0^z \frac{\rho}{g(s)} ds} \right) \frac{m(z)}{g(z)} dz,$$

3) The optimality of the threshold x^* :

$$\rho [P(x^*) + \max\{v(0) - s, 0\}] \geq P'(x^*) g(x^*) - m(x^*) \quad \text{with } = \text{ if } x^* > 0$$

We can analyze the problem, by considering two cases.

Q 25 [15 points]. First, let's consider the case where it is not optimal to cut replant a tree, so that $v(0) \leq s$. Specialize 3) to this case, and taking the resulting x^* use 1) and 2) to write a lower bound for s for which is optimal not to replant a tree.

Q 26 [20 points]. Now consider the case where its optimal to replant a tree, so that $v(0) \geq s$. Substitute $v(x^*)$ into 2) and write an equation for $v(0)$ as a function of x^* . Call the resulting function $v(0; x^*, s)$.

Q27 [20 points]. Using the definition of $\tau(x^*, 0)$ given above and the expression it is immediate to obtain that

$$v(0; x^*, s) = \frac{[P(x^*) - s] e^{-\rho\tau(0, x^*)} - \int_0^{\tau(0, x^*)} e^{-\rho\tau(0, x(t))} m(x(t)) dt}{1 - e^{-\rho\tau(0, x^*)}}$$

where $x(t)$ is the solution of $dx(t)/dt = g(x(t))$ and $x(0) = 0$. What is the interpretation of this? (Give an interpretation to $[P(x^*) - s]e^{-\rho\tau(0,x^*)} - \int_0^{\tau(0,x^*)} e^{-\rho\tau(0,x(t))} m(x(t)) dt$ and to $1/(1 - e^{-\rho\tau(0,x^*)})$ separately). Is the RHS increasing in x^* ?

Q 28 [25 points]. Using the expression for $v(0; x^*, s)$ in terms of x^* derive the condition for

$$\frac{d}{dx^*} v(0; x^*, s) = 0$$

and show that it is equivalent to 3). Hint (you may have to substitute back $v(0; x^*, s)$).

6 A model of durable and non-durable goods (2nd Midterm for 2008)

Consider an economy where in each period every one of the consumers has an endowment y . This endowment can be used for investment in durable goods or for consumption of non-durables. Then the technology for this economy is:

$$x(t) + c(t) = y$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where $x(t)$ denote the investment in durables and $c(t)$ the consumption of non-durables. The stock of durable goods have a law of motion:

$$\dot{d}(t) = x - \delta d(t)$$

where δ is the depreciation rate of durables per unit of time.

The period utility function depends on the flow of nondurable purchases and on the stock of durables, and is given by $U(c, d)$. We assume that U is strictly quasi-concave in (c, d) . In some cases we will specialize to

$$U(c, d) = \frac{[h(c, d)]^{1-\gamma} - 1}{1-\gamma} \tag{1}$$

for $\gamma \geq 0$, and where

$$h(c, d) = \left[c^{-\theta} + \frac{1}{A} d^{-\theta} \right]^{-1/\theta}$$

for $\theta \geq -1$. The agent's utility is the discounted value of $U(c, d)$, using discount rate ρ . With this parameterization the elasticity of substitution between c and d is $1/(1 + \theta)$, and the inter-temporal elasticity of substitution between the bundle h of (c, d) is $1/\gamma$.

Thus problem of the planner for this economy is

$$\max_{c, d} \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} U(c(t), d(t)) dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{d}(t) + c(t) = y - \delta d(t),$$

and $d(0) > 0$ given.

Consider the following decentralization of this economy. Let $p(t)$ be the Arrow-Debreu price at time t of a non-durable good. Let $p(0) = 1$, so the numeraire are time zero non-durables. Let $r(t)$ be the continuously compounded interest rate implicit on the prices $p(t)$. This is the interest rate that an loan denominated in non-durable goods will yield. We then have:

$$\frac{p(t)}{p(s)} = \exp \left(- \int_s^t r(u) du \right).$$

Households trade in a bond denominated in units of the non-durable good. We let the per-period interest rate on this bond $r(t)$ and the quantity held of this bond $b(t)$. Households rent the durable good, paying the rental rate $v(t)$ per period to rent the durable good.

There are several, identical firms in the economy. The technology set of the firms is characterized by two conditions. They can convert non-durable goods (which they buy from households) into durable goods. They also can accumulate durables according to the law of motion:

$$\dot{d}(t) = x(t) - \delta d(t).$$

There problem is to

$$\max_{d, x} \int_0^\infty p(t) (v(t) d(t) - x(t)) dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{d}(t) = x(t) - \delta d(t).$$

given $d(0) > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty p(t) (v(t) d(t) - x(t)) dt \\ = & \int_0^\infty p(t) \left(v(t) \left[e^{-\delta t} d(0) + \int_0^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} x(s) ds \right] - x(t) \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

Foc $x(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} -p(t) + \int_t^\infty p(s) e^{-\delta(s-t)} v(s) ds &= 0 \\ p(t) &= \int_t^\infty e^{-\int_t^s [r(u)+\delta] du} v(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

Write the budget constraint of the households:

$$\dot{b}(t) + c(t) + v(t) d(t) = y + r b(t)$$

Q0. To better understand the utility function in 1. show that if

$$\begin{aligned} U_{cd} &> 0 \text{ if } \frac{1}{\gamma} > \frac{1}{1+\theta}, \\ U_{cd} &= 0 \text{ if } \frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{1+\theta}, \\ U_{cd} &< 0 \text{ if } \frac{1}{\gamma} < \frac{1}{1+\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

And hence that if $\sigma \equiv 1/\gamma = 1/(1+\theta)$ the utility function is additively separable in c, d :

$$U(c, d) = \frac{c^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} + \frac{1}{A} d^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1 - \frac{1}{\sigma}}.$$

Q1. Write the Hamiltonian of the problem, using λ for the co-state, d for the state, and c for the control.

Q2. Write the f.o.c. w.r.t c and d .

Q3. Let $\bar{v} \equiv \delta + \rho$ be the steady state user cost of the durable good. Write two equations in two unknowns for the steady state values of (\bar{c}, \bar{d}) in terms of U_d , U_c , ρ and \bar{v} .

Q4. Use the equation $H_c = 0$ to obtain a differential equation linking $\dot{\lambda}$, \dot{c} and \dot{d} .

Q5. Using this last expression, replace the law of motion for the co-state variable and the law of motion of the state variable to find the law of motion of the control \dot{c} as a function of parameters c and d .

Q6. Linearize this last ODE around the steady state, i.e.: $(\dot{c}, \dot{d}, c, d) = (0, 0, \bar{c}, \bar{d})$ and replacing \dot{d} by using the resource constraint of the economy.. Your answer should be of the type $\dot{c} = a_{11} (c - \bar{c}) + a_{12} (d - \bar{d})$ for two constants a_{11} and a_{12} . These constants are functions of the second derivatives of U evaluated at the steady state, and of δ and ρ .

Q7. Using your previous answer to write the two **linear** differential equations that characterize the dynamics of this economy, one for $\dot{c} = a(c, d)$ and one for $\dot{d} = b(c, d)$.

Q8. Assume that $U_{cd}(\delta + \bar{v}) - U_{dd} > 0$. Draw the phase diagram with c in the y-axis and d in the x-axis. Label the axes, label the steady states, draw all the arrows for the field, and indicate clearly where the stable arm (saddle-path) is.

Q9. We are looking for a solution of the form

$$c = \psi(d) = \bar{c} + \psi'(\bar{d})(d - \bar{d})$$

thus, we are looking for the value of the constant $\psi'(\bar{m})$. Use the method of undetermined coefficients to find a quadratic equation for ψ' as a function of: $(\delta + \rho)$, δ , and

$$\Delta \equiv \left[\frac{U_{cd}(\delta + \bar{v}) - U_{dd}}{-U_{cc}} \right] .$$

Hint: You need to use L'Hopital's rule. Recall what we did in pset 5 problem 1.

Q10. Show that the stable solution is given by

$$\psi' = \frac{-[\bar{v} + \delta] + \sqrt{[\bar{v} + \delta]^2 + 4 \frac{U_{cd}(\bar{v} + \delta) - U_{dd}}{(-U_{cc})}}}{2}.$$

Elasticity of the optimal consumption function.

For this we specialize the utility function U to (1).

We will show how the elasticity of the policy function is related to the inter-temporal and intra-temporal elasticities of substitution. Recall that the intra-temporal elasticity of substitution between c and d is $1/(1 + \theta)$, and the inter-temporal elasticity of substitution between bundles of (c, d) given by h is $1/\gamma$.

We will parameterized the problem as a function of $(\theta, \gamma, \delta, \bar{v}, \bar{d}/\bar{c})$. The interpretation of

\bar{d}/\bar{c} as a parameter, is that we solve for the constant A using the steady-state equation derived above as a function of the parameters θ, \bar{v} , so that \bar{d}/\bar{c} . We obtain the following result:

Keeping the steady state value \bar{d}/\bar{c} fixed, the elasticity of the optimal consumption function evaluated at steady state is a function of $\gamma/(1+\theta)$ and satisfies

$$\frac{d}{c} \frac{\partial c(d)}{\partial d} \Big|_{d=\bar{d}} \equiv \frac{\bar{d}}{\bar{c}} \psi'(\bar{d}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \frac{\gamma}{1+\theta} = 0 \\ < 1 & \text{for } \frac{\gamma}{1+\theta} > 0 \end{cases}$$

and $\frac{\bar{d}}{\bar{c}} \psi'(\bar{d})$ is decreasing in $\frac{\gamma}{1+\theta}$.

As an intermediate step to see why $(\bar{d}/\bar{c}) (\partial c(\bar{d})/\partial d)$ depends on the ratio of γ to $1+\theta$ only, and to develop a formula for $\Delta(\gamma/(1+\theta))$ do the following:

Q11. To show this, first show that when h is a CES we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h_{dd}}{h_{cc}} &= \frac{1}{(d/c)^2}, \\ \frac{h_{cd}}{h_{cc}} &= -\frac{1}{d/c}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{h_c h_c}{-h h_{cc}} = \frac{1}{(1+\theta) \bar{v} (d/c)},$$

and that for $U(c, d) = h(c, d)^{1-\gamma} / (1-\gamma)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{U_{dd}}{U_{cc}} &= \frac{h_{dd}/h_{cc} + \gamma \bar{v}^2 (h_c h_c) / (-h h_{cc})}{1 + \gamma (h_c h_c) / (-h h_{cc})}, \\ \frac{U_{cd}}{U_{cc}} &= \frac{h_{cd}/h_{cc} + \gamma \bar{v} (h_c h_c) / (-h h_{cc})}{1 + \gamma (h_c h_c) / (-h h_{cc})} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{h_c h_c}{-h h_{cc}} = \frac{1}{(1+\theta) \bar{v} (d/c)}.$$

Q12. First assume that $\gamma = 0$. Using part of the results of Q11 show that

$$\psi'(\bar{d}) = \frac{\bar{c}}{\bar{d}}$$

Q13. Assume that $\gamma > 0$ and that $1/\gamma = \sigma$ and $-\theta = 1 - \frac{1}{\sigma}$, or $\frac{\gamma}{1+\theta} = 1$ so that U is additively separable. What is the value of $\Delta\left(\frac{\gamma}{1+\theta}\right) = \Delta(1)$ for this case? (hint: compute U_{cd} , U_{dd} and U_{cc} at the steady state values of c, d). Verify that Δ , and hence $-(d/c) \partial c / \partial d$ does depend on the particular value of σ , given \bar{c}/\bar{d} and \bar{v} . Show that the value of $(d/c) \psi'$ is smaller than the one for $\gamma = 0$ and $\theta > -1$.

Q14. Assume that $\gamma > 0$, what assumptions are required for $1/(1+\theta)$ such that you also find

$$\psi'(\bar{d}) = \frac{\bar{c}}{\bar{d}}$$

Hint: look at the formula for ψ' .

Q14. Give an intuitive interpretation for this last two results. (2 lines max).

Q15. Assume that $\gamma > 0$. We will like to show that $\frac{d}{d\gamma}\psi'(d)$ is decreasing in $\frac{\gamma}{1+\theta}$.

For this, show that $\Delta\left(\frac{\gamma}{1+\theta}\right)$ is decreasing in γ provided that $\delta > 0$, where $\Delta(\gamma/(1+\theta))$ is given by

$$\Delta\left(\frac{\gamma}{1+\theta}\right) \equiv \frac{U_{cd}(\delta + \bar{v}) - U_{dd}}{(-U_{cc})}.$$

Q16. Argue that if $\Delta(\gamma/(1+\theta))$ is decreasing in γ then $\frac{d}{d\gamma}\psi'(\bar{d})$ is decreasing in $\frac{\gamma}{1+\theta}$.

Q17. Give an intuitive interpretation of this result. (2 lines max).

7 Capital Utilization Rate in the Neoclassical Growth Model (Extended Version of Core 2010)

Let output per person at time t be given by $f(k, u)$ where k is the capital stock at time t , and u is the capital utilization at time t . The net change of capital per unit of time is given by:

$$\dot{k} = x - \delta(u)k , \quad (4)$$

where x is investment and δ the depreciation rate, which depends on the capital utilization. Output can be used for consumption or gross investment as follows

$$c + x = f(k, u) . \quad (5)$$

We maintain the following **Assumptions** throughout

1. For every fixed level of capital k , the function $f(k, \cdot)$ is increasing and concave in the capital utilization rate.
2. For every fixed level of the capital utilization rate $u > 0$, the function $f(\cdot, u)$ is strictly increasing and strictly concave in capital. We assume that it satisfies standard Inada conditions.
3. $f_{uk} < 0$, so the marginal productivity of capital decreases as its utilization rate increases.
4. $\delta(u)$ is positive, increasing and convex in the utilization rate u .
1. Question (5 points). Combining equations (4)-(5), obtain a function $c(k, u, \dot{k})$ for consumption.

We study first the problem of maximizing consumption, for fixed levels of capital k and of net change of capital \dot{k} by choice of the utilization rate u . We let $u^* \equiv \arg \max_u c(k, u, \dot{k})$.

2. Question (5 points). Is u^* a function of \dot{k} ?
3. Question (10 points). Write down the first order conditions for u^* . (Use f_u to refer to the derivative of f , and δ' to refer to the derivative of δ)
4. Question (15 points). Is u^* increasing or decreasing in k ? Derive an expression for its derivative. (Use f_u , f_k , f_{uu} and so on to refer to the derivatives of f , and δ' and δ'' to refer to the derivatives of δ). Give one line intuitive explanation of its sign.
5. Question (15 points). Define net output as

$$g(k) = \max_u f(k, u) - \delta(u)k \equiv f(k, u^*(k)) - \delta(u^*(k))k \quad (6)$$

Under assumptions (1) to (4) show that g is strictly concave in k , and that $g'(0) > 0$. Make sure you explain how do you use assumptions or answers to previous questions in your answer.

6. Question (15 points). Multiplicative utilization in a neoclassical production function. Assume that

$$f(k, u) = F(ku, 1) \quad (7)$$

where $F(x, y)$ is a constant returns to scale, strictly quasi-concave, neoclassical production function. Does this specification of f satisfies assumptions (1), (2) and (3)? (Use F_1 , F_2 and so on to refer to the derivatives of F in your answer).

7. Question (5 points). Show that under the assumption of the previous question, at an optimum, $f_{uk}(k, u) - f_u(k, u)/k < 0$.
8. Question (5 points). Show that under the assumption of the previous two questions (the multiplicative CRTS case), and using the answers to them, as well as the characterization of u_k , show that $f_{ku} - \delta' \geq 0$ at an optimum, and hence that $u_k < 0$ and that g is concave.

9. Question (15 points). Parametric Example. Assume that f is defined as in the previous questions with

$$f(x, y) = (x)^\alpha (yA)^{1-\alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad 0 < A \quad (8)$$

and assume that

$$\delta(u) = d u^{1+\psi} / (1 + \psi), \quad \psi \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Solve for $u^*(k)$, $f(k, u^*(k))$ and $k\delta(u^*(k))$ in this case (collect the powers of α/d , and k/A separately for the first expression, those of α/d , k and d separately for the second, and those for α/d , k and A for the third). Show that $f(k, u^*(k))$ and $k\delta(u^*(k))$ are jointly homogeneous of degree one in k and A .

Intertemporal problem. Consider the problem of an agent maximizing the present discounted value of utility, with discount rate ρ and initial capital $k(0)$. Assume that the production function $f(u(t), k(t); A(t))$ is shifted by a time varying parameter $A(t)$ whose path the agent takes as given. The agent solves:

$$\max_{c(t), u(t)} \int_0^\infty \exp(-\rho t) U(c(t)) dt \quad (10)$$

subject to

$$\dot{k}(t) = f(k(t), u(t); A(t)) - k(t)\delta(u(t)) - c(t), \quad (11)$$

for $k(0) > 0$ given.

10. Question (10) points. Write the Hamiltonian and the law of motion for the co-state for this problem. Use λ for the costate. Write the problem so that the only controls are c and u .
11. Question (10) points. Write the first order conditions of the Hamiltonian with respect to the controls c and u .
12. Question (5 points) Write the transversality condition for this problem.
13. Questions (15 points) We define a balanced growth path as an optimal solution with

$$c(t) = c(0) \exp(\mu t), \quad k(t) = k(0) \exp(\mu t), \quad u(t) = u(0)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Assume that

$$U(c) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

for $\gamma > 0$ and that

$$A(t) = A(0) \exp(\eta t)$$

for some $\eta > 0$, and furthermore that $f(k, u; A) = F(ku, A)$, where $F(x, y)$ is a constant returns to scale, strictly quasi-concave, neoclassical production function. Write down the law of motion of the co-state, law of motion of the state, and first order condition for u , and use the f.o.c of the co-state to replace $\lambda(t)$ by a function of $c(t)$. Evaluate these three equations in a balanced growth path. What should be the value of μ ?

14. Question (15 points). Use the conditions for a balanced growth path you have derived in the answer to the previous question to write 3 equations that determine the values for $u(0), k(0)/A(0)$ and $c(0)/A(0)$. These equations should involve the numbers η, γ, ρ as well as the functions $F_1(\cdot, 1), F(\cdot, 1), \delta(\cdot)$ and $\delta'(\cdot)$. Time should NOT appear in these equations.
15. Question (5 points) What inequality on the parameters η, γ and ρ is implied by the transversality condition in a balanced growth path?

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-\rho t) \lambda(t) k(t) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp((- \rho + \eta) t) u'(c(t)) k(0) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp((- \rho + \eta - \gamma \eta) t) c(0)^{-\gamma} k(0) , \end{aligned}$$

or $\rho > \eta(1 - \gamma)$.

From now on we will assume that $\eta = 0$, so there is no growth in the economy, and $A(0) = A > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. We can also let $U(\cdot)$ be any strictly increasing and strictly concave utility function. We maintain the specification of $f(k, u; A) = F(kh, A)$ as above.

16. Question (15 points) Rewrite the problem described by the objective function (10) and the law of motion (11) using the definition of net output: $g(k, A) = \max_u F(uk, A) - \delta(u)k$ analyzed in a previous question, instead of using the function f and explicitly maximizing u . Which are (is) the control(s)?

17. Question (15) Is the problem described in the previous question the same as the Neoclassical growth model with fixed labor supply (as in Cass-Koopmans)? Does this model has a unique interior steady state? If an interior steady state exist, is it locally stable? (Answer yes or no to each of these questions)
18. Question (10 points). Assume that $k(0) > 0$ is below the steady state level of capital \bar{k} , i.e. $k(0) < \bar{k}$. How is the time path of capital utilization rate $u(t)$, in particular is it increasing or decreasing as times goes by? Hint: use your answer to the previous question, and the characterization of u^* obtained above.
19. Question (30 points). Consider an economy that starts with a capital $k(0) = \bar{k}$, the steady state corresponding to the constant value of the labor augmenting productivity A . Imagine that this economy is now subject to an unexpected and permanent increase to a higher level of labor productivity, say $A' > A$. Denote the new steady state by \bar{k}' . Is $\bar{k} > \bar{k}'$? How are the values of the utilization rates corresponding to the two steady states? If one interprets the transition to the new steady state as an expansion, is the behavior of the capital utilization rate pro-cyclical or counter-cyclical?

8 Computation of the Neoclassical Growth Model: Brute Force

This is an optional problem!.

Preferences are

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}; \quad \sigma > 0. \quad (12)$$

The stock of capital evolves as

$$K_{t+1} = (1 - \delta) K_t + I_t, \quad (13)$$

where I_t is gross investment. To produce one unit of capital at the beginning of period $t + 1$, p_k units of consumption have to be invested in period t . Thus aggregate feasibility is given by

$$c_t + p_k I_t = AK_t^\alpha. \quad (14)$$

Introducing (13) into (14) we obtain

$$c_t + p_k K_{t+1} = AK_t^\alpha + p_k (1 - \delta) K_t. \quad (15)$$

The Bellman equation of the planner's problem is

$$V(K) = \max_{K' \in \Gamma(K)} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\sigma} [AK^\alpha + p_k(1-\delta)K - p_kK']^{1-\sigma} + \beta V(K') \right\}, \quad (16)$$

where the constraint set is $\Gamma(K) = \left[0; \frac{AK^\alpha}{p_k} + (1-\delta)K\right]$.

Algorithm:

There are several numerical procedures available to solve this model. In this note we discretize the state space. That is, even though the state variable (i.e., the stock of capital) is allowed to take any positive real number, we will constraint it to belong to a finite grid of points. The algorithm works as follows:

i) Find the steady state level of capital: The first order condition of (16) is

$$p_k [AK^\alpha + p_k(1-\delta)K - p_kK']^{-\sigma} = \beta V'(K').$$

The envelope condition is

$$V'(K) = [AK^\alpha + p_k(1-\delta)K - p_kK']^{-\sigma} [\alpha AK^{\alpha-1} + p_k(1-\delta)].$$

Replacing the envelope condition into the FOC and evaluating it at the steady state (i.e. $K = K' = K^*$) we obtain

$$p_k = \beta [A\alpha (K^*)^{\alpha-1} + p_k(1-\delta)].$$

Solving for K^* :

$$K^* = \left[\frac{A\alpha}{p_k(\rho + \delta)} \right]^{1/(1-\alpha)},$$

where ρ is defined by $\beta \equiv \frac{1}{1+\rho}$.

ii) Construct the grid for capital: Let N be the number of grid points and let K_{\min} and K_{\max} be the lower and upper bounds of the grid respectively. Construct a vector $kgrid$ as follows: for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ let $kgrid(i) = K_{\min} + \frac{(i-1)}{N-1}K_{\max}$. The Matlab command `linspace(Kmin, Kmax, N)` does the trick. One possibility is $N = 2000$, $K_{\min} = 0.99K^*$ and $K_{\max} = 1.01K^*$. That is, we are computing the approximate solution for a stock of capital between 99% and 101% of the steady state level of capital.

iii) Initial Guess: We will approximate the value function with a vector of size N . Start with an initial guess $V^0 \in R^N$, for example a vector of zeros. There are better initial

guesses: one possibility is to iterate on an arbitrary (but reasonable) policy function, for example $K'(K) = K$. This procedure will produce an initial guess with approximately the same shape and level of the true value function.

iv) Main loop: Here we construct an operator that takes a vector $V \in R^N$ and returns another vector $\tilde{V} \in R^N$. An approximate solution is a fixed point of that operator.

a) Pick a convergence criterion: a positive but small number ε (e.g. $\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$).

b) Start the loop with the initial guess V^0 . To compute the approximate value function, denote by V_j^s the j^{th} element of the vector V^s , $s = 0, 1, \dots$, where $V_j^s = V^s(K_j)$ and K_j is the j^{th} element of the capital grid. Let Θ be an $N \times N$ matrix (independent of s) defined by

$$\Theta(i, j) = \frac{1}{1 - \sigma} [AK_i^\alpha + p_k(1 - \delta)K_i - p_k K_j]^{1 - \sigma},$$

and let the matrix $\Omega^s(i, j)$ be

$$\Omega^s(i, j) = \Theta(i, j) + \beta V_j^s.$$

$\Omega^s(i, j)$ is the present value of utility if the value function is V^s , the current stock of capital today is $K_i \in kgrid$ and the agents chooses $K_j \in kgrid$ for next period stock of capital (the matrix Ω^s changes from iteration to iteration). Given the matrix Ω^s and the vector V^s , update the value function as

$$V_i^{s+1} = \max_{j \in [1, 2, \dots, N]} \Omega^s(i, j) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

In Matlab we can perform this maximization for all i as follows: use the following command

$$[V^{s+1}, J] = \max(\Omega^s, [], 2).$$

V^{s+1} is the vector with the maximized value function and J is a vector with the indexes where the maximum is attained. Thus the policy function is $K'(K_i) = kgrid(J(i))$. The “2” in the max command is needed because without it Matlab maximizes over columns and the way we constructed the matrix Ω^s requires to maximize over rows.

c) Compute the distance between the value functions as

$$dist = \max_{j \in [1, 2, \dots, N]} [| (V_{s+1} - V_s) |],$$

and check if convergence is met (i.e. if $dist \leq \varepsilon$). If not, continue iterating, if yes, exit the loop.

d) Construct the policy functions: Following the last step, the (capital) policy function is

$K'(K_i) = kgrid(J(i))$. Once we know this, we can construct the investment and consumption policy function:

$$\begin{aligned} I(K_i) &= K'(K_i) - (1 - \delta) K_i, \\ &= kgrid(J(i)) - (1 - \delta) kgrid(i), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C(K_i) &= AK_i^\alpha + p_k (1 - \delta) K_i - p_k K'(K_i), \\ &= AK_i^\alpha - p_k [kgrid(J(i)) - (1 - \delta) kgrid(i)]. \end{aligned}$$

v) Interpolate the policy functions: Once the loop is finished, we will have the policy functions for capital, consumption and investment only at the grid points. To create time series we will need to interpolate the policy functions. To see why, if K_t does not belong to the grid of capital then we can't compute K_{t+1} . To interpolate the policy functions use the Matlab command `interp1`. For example, suppose that the policy function for capital is stored in the vector `kpol`. The component `kpol(i)` $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ gives the stock of capital next period if today's level of capital is `kgrid(i)`. To interpolate the policy function to an arbitrary level of capital k_t , we use the command `interp1(kgrid, kpol, k_t)`. Furthermore, you can specify the interpolation method (linear, cubic spline, etc.). Type `help interp1` in Matlab for more information.

vi) Construct time series: Create the time series for the stock of capital, consumption, investment and GDP using the interpolated policy functions. For example, if $\tilde{K}(k)$ and $\tilde{C}(k)$ denote the interpolated capital and consumption policy functions respectively, then we create the times series as follows: first, given k_0 and a fixed horizon $T > 1$ construct the series for capital:

$$k_{t+1} = \tilde{K}(k_t); \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, T.$$

Then construct the consumption time series:

$$c_t = \tilde{C}(k_t); \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, T.$$

In your program use the following names:

σ (risk aversion): `sigma`

β (discount): `beta`

ρ (discount rate defined from $\beta = 1/(1 + \rho)$): `rho`

A (technology parameter): `A`

α (share of capital) : **alpha**
 δ (depreciation rate) : **delta**
 p_k (price of investment) : **pk**
 K^* (s.s. capital) : **kstar**
 N (size of the capital grid) : **N**
 T (number of periods to run the simulation) : **T**
 K_0 (initial level of capital) : **k0**
 K_{\min} (lower bound on grid for capital) : **kmin**
 K_{\max} (upper bound on grid for capital) : **kmax**
 V_0 (initial guess of the value function) : **V0**
 V^s (s^{th} iterate on the value function) : **V** (this changes through the iterations)
 V^{s+1} (update of the value function) : **Vp** (this changes through the iterations)
 ε (convergence criterion) : **conv=10^-6**
 $dist$ (distance between V^s and V^{s+1}) : **dist=max(abs(Vp-V))**;
 Θ (N by N) (where $\Theta(i, j) = \frac{1}{1-\sigma} [AK_i^\alpha + p_k(1-\delta)K_i - p_kK_j]^{1-\sigma}$) : **theta** (same through iterations)
 Ω^s (N by N) (where $\Omega^s(i, j) = \Theta(i, j) + \beta V_i^s$) : **omega** (this changes through iterations)
Policy functions (vectors of size N):
 $\tilde{K}(k)$ (next period's capital policy function) : **kpol**
 $\tilde{C}(k)$ (consumption policy function) : **cpol**
 $\tilde{I}(k)$ (gross investment policy function, i.e. $\tilde{I}(k) = \tilde{K}(k) - (1-\delta)k$) : **ipol**

Now that you have your numerical version of the neoclassical growth model, let us revisit the question using analytical approximations.

Use the following (monthly) parameters values:

σ (risk aversion): 2
 ρ (discount rate defined from $\beta = 1/(1+\rho)$): 0.075/12 (yearly = 0.075)
 A (technology parameter): 1/12 (yearly = 1)
 α (share of capital): 0.3
 δ (depreciation rate): 0.075/12 (yearly = 0.075)
 p_k (price of investment): 2
 $K_{\min} = 0.99K^*$
 $K_{\max} = 1.01K^*$

i) *Steady states I*: Compute the steady states values for consumption, value of investment, output, and capital: C^* , $p_k I^*$, Y^* , $p_k K^*$.

ii) *Steady states II*: Show the ratios $p_k K^*/Y^*$, $p_k I^*/Y^*$, C^*/Y^* for annual frequency. What would be the corresponding (average) values for the US economy in the post WWII period?

iii) *Slope of the saddle path or optimal consumption function*: Compute numerically the slope of the optimal decision rule for consumption $\tilde{C}(k)$ around the steady state K^* . Calculate the following ratio

$$c'_{num}(K^*) = \frac{\tilde{C}(K_{\max}) - \tilde{C}(K_{\min})}{K_{\max} - K_{\min}},$$

which will be approximately the slope of the consumption policy function at the steady state.

iii. a) Consider the following (monthly) numerical values: $\alpha = 0.3$, $\delta = \rho = 0.075/12$, $p_k = 2$, $A = 1/12$ and $\sigma = 2$. Let $K_{\max} = 1.01K^*$, $K_{\min} = 0.99K^*$ and $N = 2000$. Compute the slope of the decision rule at the steady state in the continuous time version. Denote that elasticity as c' , which solves the quadratic equation:

$$c'(K^*) \left[\rho - \frac{c'(K^*)}{p_k} \right] = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma} \left(\frac{C^*}{p_k K^*} \right) p_k [\rho + \delta],$$

that is

$$c'(K^*) = \frac{\rho p_k}{2} \left[1 + \sqrt{1 + 4 \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma} \left(\frac{C^*}{p_k K^*} \right) \frac{[\rho + \delta]}{\rho^2}} \right],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= 1 - \alpha, \\ \left(\frac{C^*}{p_k K^*} \right) &= \frac{\rho + \delta(1 - \alpha)}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Compare the two numbers ($c'_{num}(K^*)$ versus $c'(K^*)$).

iii. b) Repeat a) for the same parameters except for $p_k = 1$. Report $c'_{num}(K^*)$ and $c'(K^*)$.

iv) *Investment*: We showed elsewhere that if

$$\delta = \left(\frac{C^*}{p_k K^*} \right) \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= 1 - \alpha, \\ \left(\frac{C^*}{p_k K^*} \right) &= \frac{\rho + \delta(1 - \alpha)}{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

then the continuous time version of the neoclassical growth model has, in a neighborhood of the steady state, constant gross investment (i.e. $I(k)$ is constant).

Compute the solution of the model. Use a grid of 2000 points ($N = 2000$). In particular, plot investment $I(k)$ for each of the cases below. Use values of capital in the interval:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\min} &= 0.99 K^*, \\ K_{\max} &= 1.01 K^*, \end{aligned}$$

and for $\alpha = 0.3$, $\sigma = 3.966$ and $p_k = 2$. For the two cases described below you should compute the slope and elasticity of the investment policy function, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}'(K^*) &\equiv \frac{\tilde{I}(K_{\max}) - \tilde{I}(K_{\min})}{K_{\max} - K_{\min}}, \\ \zeta(K^*) &= \tilde{I}'(K^*) \frac{K^*}{I^*} = \tilde{I}'(K^*) / \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where K^* and I^* are the steady state values for capital and investment.

iv. a) $\rho = \delta = 0.075$, $A = 1$ (yearly). Report $\tilde{I}'(K^*)$ and $\zeta(K^*)$, and plot $\tilde{I}(K)$ against K for $K \in [K_{\min}, K_{\max}]$.

iv. b) $\rho = \delta = 0.075/12$, $A = 1/12$ (monthly). Report $\tilde{I}'(K^*)$ and $\zeta(K^*)$, and plot $\tilde{I}(K)$ against K for $K \in [K_{\min}, K_{\max}]$.

For both a) and b) we have that

$$\delta = \left(\frac{C^*}{p_k K^*} \right) \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma},$$

but b) is closer to the continuous time in the sense that the time period is shorter (monthly vs. yearly).

iv.c) Compute $\tilde{I}'(K^*)$ and $\zeta(K^*)$, and plot $\tilde{I}(K)$ against K for $K \in [K_{\min}, K_{\max}]$ for $\rho = \delta = 0.075/12$, $A = 1/12$ (monthly) $\alpha = 0.3$, $\sigma = 2$ and $p_k = 2$. Compare this case with iii.b) in terms of the absolute magnitudes and signs. Explain the difference.

v) *Speed of Convergence*: Consider the following (monthly) numerical values: $\alpha = 0.3$, $\delta = \rho = 0.075$, $p_k = 2$, $A = 1$ and $\sigma = 2$. Let $K_{\max} = 1.01K^*$, $K_{\min} = 0.99K^*$ and $N = 500$. Elsewhere we showed that the continuous time version of the neoclassical growth model linearized around the steady state generates the following equilibrium evolution for capital:

$$K(t) - K^* = (K(0) - K^*) \exp(\lambda t), \quad (17)$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}$ is the negative root of

$$Q(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda\rho - \left(\frac{C^*}{p_k K^*} \right) \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma} (\rho + \delta) = 0,$$

or

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\rho}{2} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + 4 \left(\frac{C^*}{p_k K^*} \right) \frac{\varepsilon (\rho + \delta)}{\sigma \rho^2}} \right].$$

We define the speed of convergence as how long it takes to close half of the gap between a given initial stock of capital $K(0)$ and K^* . That is, we look for the \bar{t} that makes $K(t) - K^* = (K(0) - K^*)/2$ in (17), which is given by

$$\bar{t} = \frac{\log(1/2)}{\tilde{\lambda}}. \quad (18)$$

In this question we will compute the speed of convergence in our previous example:

v. a) Consider the following monthly parameters: $\alpha = 0.3$, $\sigma = 2$, $\rho = \delta = 0.075/12$ and $A = 1/12$. Start with $K_0 = K_{\min} = 0.99K^*$ and compute the first period \hat{t} such that K_t satisfies

$$(K_{\hat{t}} - K^*) = \frac{1}{2} (K_0 - K^*).$$

Compare the \bar{t} obtained from (18) with the \hat{t} obtained with the simulated model. Report \bar{t} and \hat{t} in years, that is, report $\bar{t}/12$ and $\hat{t}/12$.

v. b) Repeat a) for the same parameters except for $p_k = 1$. Report \bar{t} and \hat{t} in years.

v. c) Repeat a) for $\sigma = 4$. Report \bar{t} and \hat{t} in years.

v. d) How do you compare the length of these half-lives relative to booms and recession in the US for the post WWII period?