

Econ 312: Problem Set 3

Professor Magne Mogstad

Due Tuesday, April 23 in the lecture

Problem 1

Consider the simple regression model:

$$Y = Y_0 + D(Y_1 - Y_0) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 D + U$$

where D is a binary treatment variable.

a) In general, the average treatment effect for the treated (ATT) and the LATE will not be the same. This is because ATT is a weighted average of two effects: one on always-takers and one on compliers. Show that this is the case.

b) When is LATE = ATE?

Problem 2

Consider the adjusted set of assumptions conditional on covariates:

- Exogeneity: $(Y_0, Y_1, D_0, D_1) \perp\!\!\!\perp Z | X$
- Relevance: $\mathbb{P}[D = 1 | X, Z = 1] \neq \mathbb{P}[D = 1 | X, Z = 0]$ a.s.

- Monotonicity: $\mathbb{P}[D_1 \geq D_0|X] = 1$ a.s.
- Overlap: $\mathbb{P}[Z = 1|X] \in (0, 1)$ a.s.

a) Show that we can identify:

$$LATE(x) \equiv \mathbb{E}[Y_1 - Y_0 | \underbrace{T=c}_{\text{compliers}}, X=x]$$

b) Show:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_1 - Y_0 | T=c] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{LATE(X) \mathbb{P}[T=c|X]}{\mathbb{P}[T=c]} \right]$$

c) Suppose that X is discrete (a set of binary indicators); Excluded instruments are Z and XZ where $Z \in \{0, 1\}$; the model is fully saturated. Show that:

$$\beta_{TSLS} = \mathbb{E}[LATE(X) \frac{\text{Var}(p(X, Z)|X)}{\mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(p(X, Z)|X)]}]$$

d) Show that for binary D and Z and any function $G = g(Y, X, D)$:

$$\mathbb{E}[G|T=c] = \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[T=c]} \mathbb{E}[\kappa G]$$

$$\text{where } \kappa \equiv 1 - \frac{D(1-Z)}{\mathbb{P}[Z=0|X]} - \frac{Z(1-D)}{\mathbb{P}[Z=1|X]}$$

Problem 3

1. Seats in Dutch medical schools are assigned through a lottery. Applicants to medical studies in the Netherlands are assigned to lottery categories based on their high school grades. The categories differ by the probability to be awarded a place (to win the lottery). If people lose a lottery they can try again the following year.

Below you find a link to a dataset that has results from people's first lottery outcome for

participants in 1988 and 1989, and whether they attended medical school, as well as earnings from a survey that was sent out in 2007.

<https://www.dropbox.com/s/dy6cmnmvkv41/lottery.dta?dl=1>

You plan to estimate the return to attending medical school (D) on earnings in 2007 ($\ln w$) using the lottery outcome (Z) as your instrument.

- a) Discuss instrument exogeneity, exclusion and monotonicity.
- b) Assess instrument relevance.
- c) Estimate the return to attending medical school on earnings in 2007 using IV, and interpret the results.
- d) Count the number of compliers, and compare them to the population of applicants in terms of gender.
- e) Is the IV estimate an estimate of the ATT? Explain why or why not.
- f) Estimate the mean and distribution of Y_0 and Y_1 for compliers.
- g) What can you say about Y_0 and Y_1 for always- and never-takers?
- h) The lottery is within lottery category and year, so your instrument is only exogenous within these groups. Estimate lottery category \times year specific LATEs and combine these in one estimate. Compare this to the specification where you control for lottery category \times year dummies and also interact the instrument with these dummies.

Problem 4

We are interested in how health insurance affects out-of-pocket expenditure on drugs, and have access to an extract from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey of individuals over the age of 65 years. We want to estimate the following equation:

$$ldrugexp = \alpha + \gamma hi_empunior + X\beta + U$$

where *ldrugexp* is log expenditure on prescribed medical drugs, *hi_empunion* is equal to one if the individual has supplemental health insurance and zero otherwise, and we control in X for *age*, *gender*, *linc* (log of household income), *totchr* (the no. of children), and *blhisp* (a dummy for being black or hispanic). Below is output from Stata with a number of results that may be useful in this exercise.

- a) Explain why we may worry that having supplemental health insurance is endogenous in the equation above.
- b) A suggested instrument is *multlc*, a dummy for whether the firm at which the individual is employed is a large operator with multiple locations. Why or why not may this be a good instrument (think about the conditions that need to hold to identify the LATE)? Using the output below, do you think that *multlc* is a weak instrument?
- c) Derive the indirect least squares representation of the IV-estimator using *multlc* as an instrument, and calculate it using the output below. Interpret the estimate.
- d) Assuming $\beta = 0$, derive the IV-estimator using the moments (covariances), and calculate it using the output below.
- e) What is the share of females in the complier group? How does this compare to the overall population? How does this affect your interpretation of the estimates?
- f) Assuming $\beta = 0$, what is the share of females in the three groups of compliers, always-takers and never-takers?
- g) Using the means and counts of *ldrugexp* from the output below, estimate $\mathbb{E}[Y_0|\text{never taker}]$, $\mathbb{E}[Y_1|\text{always taker}]$, $\mathbb{E}[Y_0|\text{complier}]$, and $\mathbb{E}[Y_1|\text{complier}]$, where Y 's are the potential outcomes for *ldrugexp* with and without supplemental health insurance. How do the compliers compare to the other groups, and what do you conclude about external validity?

```
. su ldrugexp hi_empunio multlc totchr age female blhisp linc
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
ldrugexp	10089	6.481361	1.362052	0	10.18017
hi_empunio	10089	.3821984	.4859488	0	1
multlc	10089	.0620478	.2412543	0	1
totchr	10089	1.860938	1.292858	0	9
age	10089	75.05174	6.682109	65	91
female	10089	.5770641	.4940499	0	1
blhisp	10089	.1635445	.36988	0	1
linc	10089	2.743275	.9131433	-6.907755	5.744476

```
. reg ldrugexp multlc totchr age female blhisp linc , robust
```

```
Linear regression                                Number of obs =   10089
                                                F(   6, 10082) =   376.72
                                                Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1775
                                                Root MSE      =   1.2356
```

		Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
ldrugexp						
multlc		-.2002194	.0540601	-3.70	0.000	-.3061878 -.0942509
totchr		.4401428	.0093589	47.03	0.000	.4217975 .4584882
age		-.0053332	.0019369	-2.75	0.006	-.0091299 -.0015366
female		.0501264	.0252882	1.98	0.047	.0005566 .0996962
blhisp		-.1481236	.0341141	-4.34	0.000	-.2149941 -.081253
linc		.0252773	.0137866	1.83	0.067	-.0017472 .0523018
_cons		6.000931	.1559161	38.49	0.000	5.695304 6.306557

```
. reg hi_empunio multlc totchr age female blhisp linc , robust
```

```
Linear regression                                Number of obs =   10089
                                                F(   6, 10082) =   120.25
                                                Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0643
                                                Root MSE      =   .4702
```

		Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
hi_empunio						
multlc		.1487593	.020504	7.26	0.000	.1085674 .1889513
totchr		.0109104	.0036859	2.96	0.003	.0036853 .0181354
age		-.0091799	.0007101	-12.93	0.000	-.0105717 -.007788
female		-.0792221	.0096843	-8.18	0.000	-.0982052 -.060239
blhisp		-.0741602	.0123788	-5.99	0.000	-.0984251 -.0498953
linc		.0720981	.0062189	11.59	0.000	.0599079 .0842883
_cons		.90169	.0589985	15.28	0.000	.7860412 1.017339

```
. correlate ldrugexp hi_empunio multlc, cov
(obs=10089)
```

```

      | ldrugexp hi_emp~n  multlc
-----+-----
ldrugexp | 1.85519
hi_empunio | .021107 .236146
multlc | -.016529 .014051 .058204

```

```
. reg hi_empunio multlc totchr age blhisp linc if female == 1 , robust
```

```

Linear regression                                Number of obs =    5822
                                                F(   5,   5816) =    75.57
                                                Prob > F      =    0.0000
                                                R-squared     =    0.0618
                                                Root MSE     =    .45887

```

```

      |               Robust
hi_empunio |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
multlc |   .1667599   .0290762     5.74   0.000   .1097599   .22376
totchr |   .0031734   .0047225     0.67   0.502  -.0060845   .0124314
age |   -.0101485   .0008897    -11.41   0.000  -.0118926  -.0084043
blhisp |   -.0628657   .0156485     -4.02   0.000  -.0935426  -.0321888
linc |   .0749594   .0084465     8.87   0.000   .0584012   .0915176
_cons |   .8998824   .0745252    12.07   0.000   .7537852   1.04598

```

```
. reg hi_empunio multlc totchr age blhisp linc if female == 0 , robust
```

```

Linear regression                                Number of obs =    4267
                                                F(   5,   4261) =    43.34
                                                Prob > F      =    0.0000
                                                R-squared     =    0.0478
                                                Root MSE     =    .48478

```

```

      |               Robust
hi_empunio |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
multlc |   .1352384   .0288941     4.68   0.000   .0785909   .1918859
totchr |   .0221272   .0059031     3.75   0.000   .0105541   .0337004
age |   -.0075946   .0011705     -6.49   0.000  -.0098894  -.0052998
blhisp |   -.0885161   .0200636     -4.41   0.000  -.1278512  -.0491811
linc |   .0689149   .0092015     7.49   0.000   .0508751   .0869546
_cons |   .7758469   .0960955     8.07   0.000   .5874497   .9642441

```

```
. table hi_empunion multlc if female == 1
```

```
-----
Insured   |      Multiple
thro      |      locations
emp/union |         0         1
-----+-----
          | 0 | 3,721      125
          | 1 | 1,792      184
-----
```

```
. table hi_empunion multlc if female == 0
```

```
-----
Insured   |      Multiple
thro      |      locations
emp/union |         0         1
-----+-----
          | 0 | 2,267      120
          | 1 | 1,683      197
-----
```

```
. table hi_empunion multlc if female == 0
```

```
-----
Insured   |      Multiple
thro      |      locations
emp/union |         0         1
-----+-----
          | 0 | 2,267      120
          | 1 | 1,683      197
-----
```

```
. table hi_empunion multlc, c(mean ldrugexp N ldrugexp)
```

```
-----
Insured   |
thro      | Multiple locations
emp/union |         0         1
-----+-----
          | 0 | 6.464303  6.029153
          |   | 5,988      245
          |   |
          | 1 | 6.558737  6.3345
          |   | 3,475      381
-----
```