

# Chapter 1      Section 1      Exercises

1. With  $S_1 = \{ 2, 3, 5, 7 \}$ ,  $S_2 = \{ 2, 4, 5, 8, 9 \}$ , and  $U = \{ 1 : 10 \}$ , compute  $\overline{S_1} \cup S_2$ .

**Solution.**

$$\overline{S_1} = \{ 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10 \} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \overline{S_1} \cup S_2 = \{ 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10 \}.$$

2. With  $S_1 = \{ 2, 3, 5, 7 \}$ ,  $S_2 = \{ 2, 4, 5, 8, 9 \}$ , compute  $S_1 \times S_2$  and  $S_2 \times S_1$ .

**Solution.**

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 \times S_2 &= \{ (2, 2), (2, 4), (2, 5), (2, 8), (2, 9), \\ &\quad (3, 2), (3, 4), (3, 5), (3, 8), (3, 9), \\ &\quad (5, 2), (5, 4), (5, 5), (5, 8), (5, 9), \\ &\quad (7, 2), (7, 4), (7, 5), (7, 8), (7, 9) \}. \\ S_2 \times S_1 &= \{ (2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 5), (2, 7), \\ &\quad (4, 2), (4, 3), (4, 5), (4, 7), \\ &\quad (5, 2), (5, 3), (5, 5), (5, 7), \\ &\quad (8, 2), (8, 3), (8, 5), (8, 7), \\ &\quad (9, 2), (9, 3), (9, 5), (9, 7) \}. \end{aligned}$$

3. For  $S = \{ 2, 5, 6, 8 \}$  and  $T = \{ 2, 4, 6, 8 \}$ , compute  $|S \cap T| + |S \cup T|$ .

**Solution.**

$$S \cap T = \{ 2, 6, 8 \}, \quad S \cup T = \{ 2, 4, 5, 6, 8 \} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |S \cap T| + |S \cup T| = 3 + 5 = 8.$$

4. What relation between two sets  $S$  and  $T$  must hold so that  $|S \cup T| = |S| + |T|$ .

**Solution.**

$$|S \cup T| = |S| + |T| - |S \cap T| = |S| + |T| \quad \Rightarrow \quad |S \cap T| = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad S \cap T = \emptyset.$$

Therefore,  $S$  and  $T$  are disjoint.

5. Show that for all sets  $S$  and  $T$ ,  $S - T = S \cap \overline{T}$ .

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} S - T &= \{ x : x \in S \text{ and } x \notin T \} \\ \iff S - T &= \{ x : x \in S \text{ and } x \in \overline{T} \} \\ \iff S - T &= S \cap \overline{T}. \end{aligned}$$

■

6. Prove DeMorgan's laws,

$$\overline{S_1 \cup S_2} = \overline{S_1} \cap \overline{S_2},$$

$$\overline{S_1 \cap S_2} = \overline{S_1} \cup \overline{S_2}.$$

by showing that if an element  $x$  is in the set on one side of the equality, then it must also be in the set on the other side of the equality.

**Proof.**

$$S_1 \cup S_2 = \{ x : x \in S_1 \text{ or } x \in S_2 \} \iff \overline{S_1 \cup S_2} = \{ x : x \notin S_1 \text{ and } x \notin S_2 \}.$$

$$\overline{S_1} = \{ x : x \notin S_1 \}, \quad \overline{S_2} = \{ x : x \notin S_2 \} \iff \overline{S_1} \cap \overline{S_2} = \{ x : x \notin S_1 \text{ and } x \notin S_2 \}.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{S_1 \cup S_2} = \overline{S_1} \cap \overline{S_2}.$$

$$S_1 \cap S_2 = \{ x : x \in S_1 \text{ and } x \in S_2 \} \iff \overline{S_1 \cap S_2} = \{ x : x \notin S_1 \text{ or } x \notin S_2 \}.$$

$$\overline{S_1} = \{ x : x \notin S_1 \}, \quad \overline{S_2} = \{ x : x \notin S_2 \} \iff \overline{S_1} \cup \overline{S_2} = \{ x : x \notin S_1 \text{ or } x \notin S_2 \}.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{S_1 \cap S_2} = \overline{S_1} \cup \overline{S_2}.$$

■

7. Show that if  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ , then  $\overline{S_2} \subseteq \overline{S_1}$ .

**Proof.**

$$S_1 \subseteq S_2$$

$$\iff x \in S_1 \Rightarrow x \in S_2$$

$$\iff x \notin S_2 \Rightarrow x \notin S_1$$

$$\iff x \in \overline{S_2} \Rightarrow x \in \overline{S_1}$$

$$\iff \overline{S_2} \subseteq \overline{S_1}.$$

■

8. Show that  $S_1 = S_2$  if and only if  $S_1 \cup S_2 = S_1 \cap S_2$ .

**Proof.**

$$1. S_1 = S_2 \Rightarrow S_1 \cup S_2 = S_1 \cap S_2.$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} S_1 = S_2 \Rightarrow S_1 \cup S_2 = S_1 \cup S_1 = S_1 \\ S_1 = S_2 \Rightarrow S_1 \cap S_2 = S_1 \cap S_1 = S_1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow S_1 \cup S_2 = S_1 \cap S_2.$$

$$2. S_1 \cup S_2 = S_1 \cap S_2 \Rightarrow S_1 = S_2.$$

Assume that  $S_1 \cup S_2 = S_1 \cap S_2$  and  $S_1 \neq S_2$ ,

$$\bullet \exists x \in S_1 \text{ and } x \notin S_2 \Rightarrow x \in S_1 \cup S_2 \text{ and } x \notin S_1 \cap S_2 \Rightarrow S_1 \cup S_2 \neq S_1 \cap S_2.$$

$$\bullet \exists x \in S_2 \text{ and } x \notin S_1 \Rightarrow x \in S_1 \cup S_2 \text{ and } x \notin S_1 \cap S_2 \Rightarrow S_1 \cup S_2 \neq S_1 \cap S_2.$$

The result contradicts with the premise. Therefore,  $S_1 \cup S_2 = S_1 \cap S_2 \Rightarrow S_1 = S_2$ .

To sum up,  $S_1 = S_2$  if and only if  $S_1 \cup S_2 = S_1 \cap S_2$ .

■

**9.** Use induction on the size of  $S$  to show that if  $S$  is a finite set, then  $|2^S| = 2^{|S|}$ .

**Proof.**

**1. Basis**

If  $|S| = 1$ , assume that  $S = \{a\}$ . Then

$$2^S = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}.$$

Therefore,  $|2^S| = 2^{|S|} = 2$ .

**2. Inductive Assumption**

Assume that  $|2^S| = 2^{|S|}$ , for  $|S| = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**3. Inductive Step**

For  $|S| = n+1$ , assume that  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}\}$ . Let  $T = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ , then

$$2^T = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{2^n}\}.$$

For  $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$  where  $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} T_i \subseteq T \\ T \subseteq S \end{array} \right\} \iff T_i \subseteq S.$$

However,

$$S - T = \{a_{n+1}\} \Rightarrow a_{n+1} \notin T \Rightarrow a_{n+1} \notin T_i.$$

In addition

$$a_{n+1} \in S_i \iff \left. \begin{array}{l} T_i \subseteq S \\ \{a_{n+1}\} \subseteq S \end{array} \right\} \iff T_i \cup \{a_{n+1}\} \subseteq S.$$

Let

$$T'_i = T_i \cup \{a_{n+1}\}, \quad U = \{T'_1, T'_2, \dots, T'_{2^n}\}.$$

Now, for  $\forall S_i \subseteq S$

- If  $a_{n+1} \notin S_i$ , then  $S_i \subseteq T$ , so  $S_i \in 2^T$ .
- If  $a_{n+1} \in S_i$ , then  $S_i - \{a_{n+1}\} \subseteq T$ , so  $S_i - \{a_{n+1}\} \in 2^T$ . Assume that

$$S_i - \{a_{n+1}\} = T_j \Rightarrow S_i = T_j \cup \{a_{n+1}\} \Rightarrow S_i \in U.$$

Moreover,  $2^T$  and  $U$  are disjoint. Therefore,

$$2^S = 2^T \cup U, \quad |2^S| = |2^T| \cup |U| = 2^n + 2^n = 2^{n+1} = 2^{|S|}.$$

To sum up, if  $S$  is a finite set, then  $|2^S| = 2^{|S|}$ . ■

**10.** Show that if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are finite sets with  $|S_1| = n$  and  $|S_2| = m$ , then

$$|S_1 \cup S_2| \leq n + m.$$

**Proof.** Assume that

$$S_1 = \{ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \}, \quad S_2 = \{ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \}.$$

1.  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are disjoint. Then

$$S_1 \cup S_2 = \{ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \}.$$

Therefore,

$$|S_1 \cup S_2| = n + m.$$

2.  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are not disjoint. Assume that

$$c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in S_1 \text{ and } c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in S_2.$$

where  $k \leq n$ ,  $k \leq m$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Assume that

$$b_{i_1} = c_1, b_{i_2} = c_2, \dots, b_{i_k} = c_k.$$

Now

$$S_1 \cup S_2 = \{ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{i_1-1}, b_{i_1+1}, \dots, b_{i_k-1}, b_{i_k+1}, \dots, b_m \}.$$

Therefore,

$$|S_1 \cup S_2| = n + m - k < n + m.$$

To sum up, if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are finite sets with  $|S_1| = n$  and  $|S_2| = m$ , then ■

$$|S_1 \cup S_2| \leq n + m.$$

**11.** If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are finite sets, show that  $|S_1 \times S_2| = |S_1||S_2|$ .

**Proof.** Assume that

$$S_1 = \{ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \}, \quad S_2 = \{ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 \times S_2 = \{ & (a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_1), \\ & (a_1, b_2), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_n, b_2), \\ & \vdots \\ & (a_1, b_m), (a_2, b_m), \dots, (a_n, b_m) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|S_1 \times S_2| = nm = |S_1||S_2|. ■$$