# Strong Induction Prem Nair

#### Example 1

Theorem. For all  $n \ge 0$ ,  $n^5 - n$  is divisible by 10.

Proof.

Base case n = 0.

Clearly, the result holds.

(We may need to consider more cases.)

Induction hypothesis.

Assume the result is true for all values of n in the interval [0, m].

(That is, m is the largest value for which the result is true)

 $n^5 - n$  is divisible by 10 for  $0 \le n \le m$ .

Induction step.

We need to prove the result for the next value. That is, we need to prove that  $(m + 1)^5 - (m + 1)$  is divisible by 10.

$$(m + 1)^5 - (m + 1) = [(m - 1) + 2]^5 - [(m - 1) + 2]$$

= 
$$(m-1)^5 + 10 (m-1)^4 + 40 (m-1)^3 + 80 (m-1)^2 + 80 (m-1) + 32 - (m+1) - 2$$
  
=  $(m-1)^5 - (m-1) + 10$  (polynomial in m).

By induction hypothesis,  $(m-1)^5 - (m-1)$  is dividable by 10. Hence the proof.

Check where we used the induction hypothesis. For n = m - 1.

Note that n MUST satisfy the condition  $0 \le n \le m$ .

That is,  $0 \le m - 1 \le m$ . In other words,  $0 \le m - 1$  or  $1 \le m$ .

Therefore base case must include n = 0 and n = 1. Hence we modify base cases step as follows.

Base cases n = 0, 1.

Clearly, the result holds in both cases.

#### Example 2

Let G(1) = 1, G(2) = 2, G(3) = 6 and  $G(n) = (n^3 - 3n^2 + 2n)G(n - 3)$  for n > 3. Theorem. For all  $n \ge 1$ , G(n) = n!.

Proof.

Base cases n = 1, 2 and 3.

Clearly, the result holds in all three cases.

Induction hypothesis.

Assume result is true for all values of n in the interval [0, m].

(That is, m is the largest value for which the result is true)

$$G(n) = n!$$
 for  $1 \le n \le m$ .

Induction step.

We need to prove the result for the next value. That is, we need to prove that G(m + 1) = (m + 1)!.

$$G(m + 1) = ((m + 1)^3 - 3(m + 1)^2 + 2(m + 1))G(m - 2) = (m + 1)m(m - 1)G(m - 2)$$

By induction hypothesis, G(m-2) = (m-2)!.

G(m + 1) = (m+1)m(m-1)G(m - 2). Hence the proof.

Check where we used the induction hypothesis. For n = m - 2.

Note that n MUST satisfy the condition  $1 \le n \le m$ .

That is,  $1 \le m - 2 \le m$ . In other words,  $1 \le m - 2$  or  $3 \le m$ .

Therefore base case must include n = 1, n = 2 and n = 3. Since we have already covered these cases, there is no need to modify.

#### Example 3

Theorem. Any integer  $n \ge 8$  can be expressed as 3x + 5y where  $x, y \ge 0$ . Proof.

Base case n = 8.

8 = 3x + 5y where x = 1 and y = 1.

Induction hypothesis.

Assume result is true for all values of n in the interval [8, m].

(That is, m is the largest value for which the result is true)

n can be expressed as 3x + 5y where  $x, y \ge 0$  for  $8 \le n \le m$ Induction step.

We need to prove the result for the next value. That is, we need to prove that m + 1 can be expressed as 3x + 5y where  $x, y \ge 0$ 

By induction hypothesis,

(m-2) can be expressed as 3x + 5y where  $x, y \ge 0$ .

Then m + 1 = 3(x+1) + 5y where  $x, y \ge 0$ .

Hence the proof.

Check where we used the induction hypothesis. For n = m - 2.

Note that n MUST satisfy the condition  $8 \le n \le m$ .

That is,  $8 \le m - 2 \le m$ . In other words,  $8 \le m - 2$  or  $10 \le m$ .

Therefore base case must include n = 8, n = 9 and n = 10. Hence we modify base cases step as follows.

#### **Base cases**

$$8 = 3x + 5y$$
 where  $x = 1$  and  $y = 1$ .

$$9 = 3x + 5y$$
 where  $x = 3$  and  $y = 0$ .

$$10 = 3x + 5y$$
 where  $x = 0$  and  $y = 2$ .

### Importance of checking the base cases

Let P(1) = 1, P(2) = -1 and P(n) = P(n - 2) for n > 2.

Theorem. For all  $n \ge 1$ , P(n) > 0.

Proof.

Base cases n = 1.

Clearly, the result holds.

Induction hypothesis.

Assume result is true for all values of n in the interval [1, m].

(That is, m is the largest value for which the result is true)

$$P(n) > 0$$
 for  $1 \le n \le m$ .

Induction step.

$$P(m + 1) = P(m - 1) > 0$$
. Hence the proof.

Check where we used the induction hypothesis. For n = m - 1.

Note that n MUST satisfy the condition  $1 \le n \le m$ .

That is,  $1 \le m - 1 \le m$ . In other words,  $1 \le m - 1$  or  $2 \le m$ .

Therefore base case must include n = 1 and n = 2.

Since we cannot prove P(2) > 0, one of the base cases, we cannot prove the result through strong induction.

## The number of base cases required (Summary)

The smallest value used during Induction to prove the result for m + 1	Number of cases
m	1 (Strong induction is not required)
m-1	2
m - 2	3
m - 3	4
m - k	k + 1