# **Debugging**

All programming involves debugging

- An art, not science (yet)
- But NOT random!

Much of this advice is true for all programming

• Not just web

# **Poor Debugging tactics**

- Stare at it
- Changing things until it works
- Asking for help because "it doesn't work"

# **Debugging goal**

#### What line isn't working as intended

- Most of the time the problem is identified
- Rest of the time you can get real help
- Avoids chaos in the rest of your code

### **Good Tactics**

- Verify when inputs are good
  - Avoid Garbage In-Garbage Out (GIGO)
- Simplify the problem
  - Narrow the scope
  - Reduce the complexity of data
- Comment out code
  - Shows it is not part of the problem
- Rubber Duck Debugging

All towards finding that **one line of code** 

# Write and confirm in small steps

#### Avoid writing a lot code

- Write small chunks
- Confirm those chunks work before writing more

#### Keep code RUNNABLE

• Don't wait on everything being done

# It worked 1 minute ago

- Code from the last minute has a bug
  - Probably only 1, there isn't much code
  - Easier to find
  - Easier to confirm the bug is fixed

## It worked 3 hours ago (last test)

- Code from the last 3 hours has a bug
  - Possibly MANY
  - Bugs are really common
  - Hard to find
    - o a lot of code
  - Hard to confirm a fix
    - another bug could be there

## Seniors+ write bugs all the time!

Senior/Staff/Principal/Architects still write bugs

Seniors+ are just better at finding/fixing

- We seen it before
- Don't get upset you make bugs
- Get good at finding them
  - Experience reduces bug count, never zeros

Bugs aren't fixed randomly

- They are communication errors
- We fix them with correct language and logic

# Using console to debug

• Perfectly valid technique!

Goal: Find that **one line of code** 

- Identify when values are expected
  - and when not
- Identify if code executes

## I heard this expression

(paraphrased because terrible memory)

As a poor developer, I debugged using the console As a good developer, I debugged using the debugger As a great developer, I debugged using the console

Use the right tool, there is no BEST tool

- console is great for checking values
  - Across multiple iterations

# Clarity

When logging out a value

- Important to know WHAT value represents
- And WHEN

Getting several undefined doesn't tell you much

## Clean up

If a console statement isn't needed anymore

• Remove the console statement immediately

Don't want to lose the valuable outputs in the noise

Remove all debugging console statements and commented out code before submit!

- Clean as you go
- For me
- For job

### **Console options**

```
log() is not the only option on console.dir().table()
```

- assert()
- info(), .warn(), .error()
- .count()
- .timeLog(), .time(), .timeEnd()

See MDN for info

### **Personal Tips**

- Use numbers if just checking "did I get here"
  - Handles multiple checks quickly
  - No quoting
- Create shorthand objects to label output
  - Instead of console.log(word);
    - o Use console.log({word});
  - Labels output variable
  - Minimal typing, no quoting

## Remember WHY you are using console

#### Find that one line of code

- Is the bug before or after this line of code?
- Am I passing correct data into a function?
  - You obviously THINK you are
    - But have you checked the value?
- Did I get garbage or expected data?

# A Debugger

#### An interactive display of code

- Allows you to pause execution
  - Inspect variable values
  - Even modify them
- Can pause on certain situations
- Can move forward in execution
  - Big or Small steps

## Debugger

#### Pro:

- Granular control over flow
- Don't need to type out console.log in advance
  - Can avoid a lot of repeated checks
- No console.log() clean up

#### Con:

- Have to go through all the code
- Can be heavier to connect
- Can lose track of where you are in frameworks

### How to get a Debugger for Node JS

- Minimal command-line debugger in node
  - I avoid
- Connect to Debugger in IDE
  - Example: VS Code extension
- Connect via Chrome Debugger
  - Also used once we get to browser JS

For all, need to tell Node to expose debugging

• Only do during development

```
node --inspect server.js
```

### Connecting to browser debugger

- Run node process with —inspect flag
- Load chrome://inspect in browser
- Click on 'inspect' next to file name
  - Opens new window
  - Be in "Sources" Tab of that window
- Set any breakpoints
  - Make any requests
- Fight with having multiple windows

# **Breakpoints**

#### Pause the code at **breakpoints**

- Click on line number to set/unset
- System may use a slightly different line
  - Uses statement boundaries, not "line"
- Function defined and function called are different
- See **Scope** for list of variables in scope
  - You can Watch variables

#### **Interactive Execution**

- Press "Play" button to resume execution
  - Until next breakpoint (if any)
- "Step Over", "Step Into", etc statements
  - Go one statement at a time
- Hold down Play to play and skip breakpoints

#### **Automated Unit Tests**

This is a huge and vital subject

- But we don't have room!
- Could be a class by itself
- Expected by all coding jobs
  - web / not-web
  - frontend / backend
- Will give a VERY BRIEF intro

## **Testing "Units"**

A "unit" is a piece of code with a purpose

• Usually a function, object, or module

We test the input/output

- We want no outside interactions
  - No "side-effects"
- No browser
- No database
- No server (!)
  - test require() code, but not server.js
- Just input/output

# Simple test

We ran compare() with some sample input?

• Automating that would be something like

```
let isPassing = true;
if( compare('B00', 'F00') !== 2 ) {
   isPassing = false;
}
if( compare('GEESE', 'FREED') !== 2 ) {
   isPassing = false;
}

if( !isPassing ) {
   console.error('Testing Failed!');
}
```

#### **More Automation**

That would only work for this specific function

And doesn't provide much info about what failed

#### We could

- Improve the interface to the test
- Improve the output when it failed
- Make it more generic so we could test other code

People have done that

## **Testing Libraries**

Multiple testing libraries exist

- Often very similar constructions
  - describe() thing being tested
  - it() individual concept being tested
  - Pass callbacks to do the work

Here I've used one library called jest

• vitest is another, newer library

# An example unit test

```
const compare = require("./compare");

describe("compare", () => {
   it("counts the letters of exact matches", () => {
      expect( compare("EAT", "EAT") ).toBe(3);
      expect( compare("GEESE", "GEESE") ).toBe(5);
   });

it("counts the letters of anagrams", () => {
   expect( compare("EAT", "TEA") ).toBe(3);
   });

it("Ignores case", () => {
   expect( compare("EAT", "tea") ).toBe(3);
   });

//....
});
```

# **Compare Unit Test Results**

After npm install ---save-dev jest

### Why Unit Tests?

- Fast!
  - Much faster than manual testing
  - Easy to run after changes
  - Computer won't forget to test
- Confirms behavior of code
  - Outside the complexity of context
- Can find bugs BEFORE app has them
- Documents the unit at the I/O level!

#### **Additional Automated Tests**

- Integration and End-to-End/UI tests exist
  - Tend to be fewer of them
  - They "break" more often
    - Means work to fix test, not to fix code
- More on this we find room to squeeze it in
- No required automated tests yet
  - You are welcome to include it

#### **Automated Tests on the Job**

- Most jobs will expect unit or integration tests
  - As part of writing the code initially
  - Won't approve PR without tests!
- Front end is harder to test
  - Tests more tedious to write
  - Tests are more brittle
  - Often an exception to expecting tests
    - But not always