Motor Trends: Effect of Transmission on Mileage

Glen Greer, January 2016; Coursera - Regression Models

1 Executive Summary

The fact that manual transmission vehicles are more fun to drive is a given, but what is the effect on mileage? We explore this question in this report using linear regression models. We show that there are many variables that impact fuel efficiency, and ultimately conclude that manual transmission vehicles are better for MPG getting on average [1.809] mpg more than automatic transmission vehicles when all other variables are held constant.

2 Exploratory Data Analysis

```
data(mtcars)
```

The mtcars dataset comes from a 1974 Motor Trend magazine. It contains [32] observations for [11] variables.

5 of the variables are factors, including the transmission type we are interested in: am. We adjust these prior to performing any calculations.

```
mtcars$am <- factor(mtcars$am, labels=c("Auto","Manual"))
mtcars$carb <- factor(mtcars$carb)
mtcars$cyl <- factor(mtcars$cyl)
mtcars$gear <- factor(mtcars$gear)
mtcars$vs <- factor(mtcars$vs, labels=c("V","S"))</pre>
```

Referencing Figure 1 in the Appendix, we can see these variables and their relationships. The relationships at the top of the chart with mpg as the dependent variable are the ones we are most concerned with in this report.

3 Linear Regression Models

To generate our linear model we start with a model containing all [11] variables, and then use a stepwise AIC algorithm which adds and drops variables repeatedly from the model to select a simpler model that describes the observations in a reasonable fashion.

```
all_fit <- lm(mpg ~ ., mtcars)
step_fit <- step(all_fit, direction="both")</pre>
```

```
summary(step_fit)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = mpg ~ cyl + hp + wt + am, data = mtcars)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -3.9387 -1.2560 -0.4013 1.1253 5.0513
##
## Coefficients:
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
```

```
## (Intercept) 33.70832
                            2.60489
                                     12.940 7.73e-13 ***
## cyl6
               -3.03134
                            1.40728
                                     -2.154
                                             0.04068 *
## cyl8
               -2.16368
                            2.28425
                                     -0.947
                                             0.35225
                                             0.02693 *
## hp
               -0.03211
                            0.01369
                                     -2.345
## wt
               -2.49683
                            0.88559
                                     -2.819
                                             0.00908 **
## amManual
                1.80921
                            1.39630
                                      1.296
                                             0.20646
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
##
## Residual standard error: 2.41 on 26 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8659, Adjusted R-squared:
## F-statistic: 33.57 on 5 and 26 DF, p-value: 1.506e-10
```

Looking at Figure 2 in the Appendix, we can see what relationships the variables in the AIC model have with mpg. This is a reasonably good model describing 84% of the variability in the data based on the adjusted R^2 value. This model also shows that while transmission does have an impact on the estimated mpg it is not the most significant variable.

4 Residual Diagnostics

We plot the residuals to aid in our diagnosis of them. See Figure 3 in the Appendix for the plots. These residual plots show:

- No systematic pattern in the Residuals vs. Fitted plot
- Residuals normally distributed in the Q-Q plot
- Constant variance in the Scale-Location plot with a random distribution
- No influential outliers (>0.5) in the Residuals vs. Leverage plot

5 Conclusion

Our linear model shows that light, 4-cylinder vehicles with lower horsepower and manual transmission generally have better mileage. Specifically, if weight, cylinder count, and horsepower are held constant vehicles with manual transmission will gain on average [1.809] miles per gallon than that of an equivalent vehicle with an automatic transmission.

6 Appendix

6.1 $\,$ Figure 1 - Pairs Plot for Motor Trends Cars Dataset

pairs(mtcars, panel=panel.smooth) 1.0 2.5 50 250 2 4 1.0 1.6 2.5 1.0 30 mpg 3.0 2.0 cyl യയ്ക്ക disp о^{оо} hp 20 drat wt qsec VS 0.1 am 3.0 2.0 gear þο 0.1 0 0 0 ത ആര യാ യാ OŒ (1880) carb 0000 0000000 ത്താ യ തോ ∞ 10 25 100 400 3.0 4.5 16 20 1.0 1.6 1 3 5

```
par(mfrow=c(2,2), mar=c(4,4,1,1))
boxplot(mpg ~ am*cyl, mtcars, col=c("Blue", "Red"), xaxt="n", xlab="cyl", ylab="mpg")
axis(1, at=c(1.5,3.5,5.5), labels=c(4,6,8))
plot(mpg ~ hp, mtcars, col="White")
points(mpg ~ hp, mtcars[mtcars$am=="Manual",], col="Blue")
points(mpg ~ hp, mtcars[mtcars$am=="Auto",], col="Red")
plot(mpg ~ wt, mtcars, col="White")
points(mpg ~ wt, mtcars[mtcars$am=="Manual",], col="Blue")
points(mpg ~ wt, mtcars[mtcars$am=="Auto",], col="Red")
plot(mpg ~ am, mtcars, levels=c("Manual", "Auto"), col=c("Blue", "Red"))
                                                               0
                                                                     0
   30
                                                        30
    25
                                                        25
                                                   mpg
   20
                                                        20
                                                                             8
    15
                                                                          8
                                                        15
                                                                                                   0
                                                                                     0
    9
                                                        9
                                                                                 00
                            6
               4
                                        8
                                                            50
                                                                  100
                                                                         150
                                                                                200
                                                                                       250
                                                                                             300
                           cyl
                                                                               hp
            0
               0
        00
    30
                                                        30
               0
    25
                                                        25
                         0
                                                   mpg
                         0
                         0
    20
                                                        20
    15
                                                        15
                                              0
                                             00
    10
                                                        10
             2
                       3
                                 4
                                           5
                                                                    Manual
                                                                                        Auto
```

am

wt

par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(step fit)

