A Speculative EMx-Based Engineering Model for Pyramid Construction

Shawn Hohol

November 14, 2025

Abstract

This paper presents a speculative engineering model for pyramid-like structures based on the EMx framework: a ternary, signed-zero lattice with discrete operators for lift, flux, normalization, exchange, symmetry, and gated iteration. The model treats a "pyramid" not only as a static geometric solid but as a computational object constructed through coupled processes: solar-timed phase cycles, granular flow in sand, hydraulic excavation, and proto-cement stabilization. The core hypothesis is that a pyramid (or inverted cavity of pyramidal form) can be understood and potentially realized as a physical EMx recursion engine, where geometry, material, and time encode a sequence of EMx operations. This is a theoretical construction, not a claim about historical fact.

1 Introduction

The EMx framework models computation and reasoning as evolution of states on a signed-zero lattice with discrete operator families:

- a ternary state set with signed zeros (e.g. $\{-1, -0, 0, +0, +1\}$),
- geometric T-sets $T_0, \ldots, T_4$ describing neutral, signed, binary and exchange shells,
- operators O_i (lift, flux, curl, closure, projection, normalization, symmetry, index, no-clone, iteration),
- and a NULL reservoir $\varnothing$ which accumulates remainder or unspent change.

Within this framework, a pyramid is interpreted as a particular configuration of geometry, material distribution, and temporal cycling which implements a composite operator

$$\mathcal{R} = O_{10} \circ O_6 \circ O_5 \circ O_2 \circ O_1,$$

where O_1 is a temporal difference (lift), O_2 a flux operator (gradient flow), O_5 a projection/fold, O_6 a normalization (bounded state), and O_{10} an iteration integrator.

The aim of this report is to give a coherent, mathematically structured description of a "pyramid-engineering theory" grounded in:

1. geometric relations (angles, volumes, ratios such as $4/\pi$),
2. physical fields (granular flow, hydraulic flux, acoustic resonance),
3. EMx operators and T-sets,
4. and minimal historical analogues (e.g. Great Pyramid slopes, proto-cement-type materials).

All such links are presented as hypotheses, not as established descriptions of ancient practice.

2 Geometric Model of the Pyramid

2.1 Base definitions

Consider a right square pyramid with height h and base width b. The volume is

$$V_{\text{pyr}} = \frac{1}{3}b^2h.$$

A characteristic angle θ between the base plane and a sloping edge satisfies

$$\tan\theta = \frac{2h}{b}.$$

A known geometric feature of the Great Pyramid is a slope angle close to $\theta \approx 51.84^\circ$ which satisfies (to good approximation)

$$\tan\theta \approx \frac{4}{\pi}.$$

We take this relation as a design choice encoding π in the geometry.

In the EMx setting, we treat

- the apex as a stillpoint node N_0 in T_0,
- the base square as a shell of nodes N_5 in T_3/T_4,
- and the pyramid volume as a path from $N_5 \to N_0$ in state space.

2.2 4.2 m rod as a spatial phase unit

Let $L = 4.2\,\text{m}$ denote a reference length:

$$L = 4.2\,\text{m}. \tag{1}$$

We treat L as a spatial analogue of a phase period related to the EMx 96-tick cycle:

$$96 \cdot \Delta z = L \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Delta z = \frac{L}{96} \approx 0.04375\,\text{m}. \tag{2}$$

Here Δz is a "per-tick" depth increment in a layered excavation model.

The same L can be matched to a notional carrier wavelength λ via

$$L = n\lambda,$$

where n is an integer harmonic count. For example, with $\lambda \approx 7.14\,\text{mm}$ one obtains

$$n \approx \frac{4.2\,\text{m}}{7.14\,\text{mm}} \approx 588,$$

which factors as $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7^2$ and resonates with the 96-tick structure (e.g. $588 \approx 6.125 \times 96$).

In the theory, L thus serves as:

- a geometric scale for the pyramid (height or depth),
- a spatial phase unit aligned with temporal ticks,
- and a handle connecting physical length scales to EMx cycle counts.

2.3 Inverted cavity vs solid pyramid

Two dual configurations are relevant:

1. **Solid pyramid (additive):** material is added upward from ground level, apex above base. This corresponds to an "upward" path $N_0 \to N_5$ in EMx: increasing excitation, O_1 (lift) dominant.
2. **Hollow pyramidal cavity (subtractive):** a pyramidal void is excavated downward into a dune or substrate, apex at surface, base at depth $h = L$. This corresponds to a "downward" path $N_5 \to N_0$: reduction/normalization, O_6 (normalize) dominant.

In both cases, the *geometric form* is pyramidal with apex oriented toward the sky; the difference lies in the distribution of material and void and in the direction of construction work.

3 Physical Process Model

3.1 Substrate: dune as T_4 exchange shell

A sand dune can be viewed as a granular medium with:

- angle of repose $\phi \approx 34°$,
- self-organized slopes that follow minimal energy surfaces,
- local rearrangements under gravity and water flux.

In EMx terminology, the dune surface behaves like a T_4 exchange shell: one axis (vertical) is effectively distinguished from horizontal axes, and small perturbations lead to minimal flip transitions in local slope.

This connects to an O_7 symmetry/exchange operator that:

- moves from an unstable configuration to a nearby stable one,
- preserves global constraints (e.g. mass, rough shape),
- realizes minimal-change relaxation.

3.2 Irrigation as flux operator O_2

Water introduced at the surface and allowed to flow downslope implements a gradient-following flux. At a continuum level, this is modeled by a Navier–Stokes-like equation

$$\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u = -\nabla p + \nu \Delta u,$$

with incompressibility $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ in the bulk flow.

In EMx, the role of O_2 is to:

- transport material along ∇h (height gradient),
- couple local slope to flow speed,
- and feed into a normalization step O_6 when thresholds are exceeded.

Hydraulic pulses can be discretized at the tick level:

$$\text{per day: } 96 \text{ pulses} \Rightarrow 2304 \text{ pulses in } 24\,\text{h},$$

each pulse corresponding to an EMx cycle element.

3.3 Proto-cement as normalization/fixation O_6

To sustain a pyramidal cavity in a granular medium, wall stabilization is required. A hypothetical proto-cement mixture (e.g. lime + gypsum + sand + ash + water) acts as a binder which:

- converts mobile grains into a rigid matrix,
- increases the allowable wall angle from ϕ toward $\approx 90°$,
- and locks a geometric configuration in place.

In EMx, this is modeled as:

$$O_6 : \text{mobile } T_4 \to \text{fixed } T_2,$$

a normalization that projects a continuous range of slopes to a bounded, discrete set of stable wall conditions.

The hydration and carbonation reaction cycle

$$\mathrm{CaCO_3} \to \mathrm{CaO} \to \mathrm{Ca(OH)_2} \to \mathrm{CaCO_3}$$

provides a physical instance of O_4 (closure): a loop returning to the initial chemical species through intermediate phases.

3.4 Solar timing and iteration O_{10}

A vertical rod of length L planted at the apex position defines a sundial: the rod shadow traces a locus on the surrounding surface as the sun moves. Sampling the shadow direction at fixed temporal intervals (e.g. 96 ticks per day) generates a discrete phase sequence.

This sequence is associated with O_{10} (iteration/integration):

- it accumulates phase $\varphi(t)$ over the day,
- it indexes excavation or coating steps by time-of-day,
- it couples solar cycles to structural evolution.

A typical idealization is:

$$\varphi_k = \frac{2\pi k}{96}, \quad k = 0, \dots, 95,$$

with each φ_k labelled by a shadow azimuth and tick index.

3.5 Acoustic and hydrostatic response

If the excavated pyramidal cavity is filled with water to height h, it forms a resonant acoustic volume. An approximate fundamental mode in the vertical direction has frequency

$$f_1 \approx \frac{c}{2h},$$

where $c \approx 1500\,\mathrm{m/s}$ is the speed of sound in water. For $h = L = 4.2\,\mathrm{m}$, this gives

$$f_1 \approx \frac{1500}{2 \cdot 4.2} \approx 178\,\mathrm{Hz}.$$

Higher harmonics appear at nf_1, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the model, daily thermal cycling modulates water temperature and volume, producing small periodic changes in hydrostatic pressure and acoustic conditions. These oscillations are interpreted as another channel through which EMx phase structure (via O_{10}) interacts with physical fields.

4 EMx Operator Mapping

4.1 Operator summary

We collect the main operators used:

- O_1 (Lift / Δ): temporal difference, raising energy or excitation.
- O_2 (Flux / ∇): gradient-following transport (e.g. water flow).
- O_4 (Closure / $\oint$): loop completion (daily cycle, chemical loop).
- O_5 (Projection / Fold): mapping continuous states to a discrete representation (e.g. stable wall slopes).
- O_6 (Normalize / $\mathcal{N}$): bounding magnitudes, fixing stable states (proto-cement).
- O_7 (Symmetry / $\mathcal{S}$): minimal-change symmetry operations, particularly on slopes and granular configurations.
- O_8 (Index / class): labelling orbit classes (different cavity geometries).
- O_9 (No-clone / Ω): preventing duplicate state trajectories in the abstract computation; here, interpreted as uniqueness of structural trajectory.
- O_{10} (Iteration / Σ): accumulating phase or tick counts.

4.2 Construction sequence as EMx composite

A schematic construction sequence for an inverted pyramidal cavity with stabilized walls can be written as the repeated application of a composite operator

$$\mathcal{R} = O_6 \circ O_5 \circ O_2 \circ O_1,$$

applied over a tick index $k = 0, \dots, K$ governed by O_{10}:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{state}_{k+1} &= \mathcal{R}(\text{state}_k), \\ \text{tick}_{k+1} &= O_{10}(\text{tick}_k). \end{aligned}$$

At each step, one can idealize:

1. O_1: select time window and associated solar phase,
2. O_2: apply hydraulic flux (irrigation pulse) to soften or move sand,
3. excavation: remove a depth increment Δz,
4. O_5: adjust local slope toward target angle(s),
5. O_6: apply proto-cement to fix the new layer.

After K cycles, the cavity reaches design depth and shape, with the distribution of material and void encoding the EMx dynamics of the build.

5 Historical and Geometric Echoes

5.1 Slope angle and π

The slope relation

$$\tan\theta \approx \frac{4}{\pi}$$

can be interpreted as a "geometric encoding" of π in an accessible measurement: the ratio of vertical rise to half-base. Precision matching of this relation is a historical question; in this theory we use it as a design constraint that links a rational ratio (4) to a transcendental constant (π) via the tangent function.

The EMx viewpoint is that such constants appear as remainders which can be associated with the NULL reservoir $\varnothing$: the difference between a rational approximation and the exact irrational value.

5.2 Volume relations and "cubing" interpretations

If one compares volumes of different shapes with equal height h:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{pyr}} &= \frac{1}{3}b^2h, \\ V_{\text{cube}} &= s^3, \\ V_{\text{sphere}} &= \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3, \end{aligned}$$

then any attempt to relate these by simple geometric operations requires careful handling of scale factors involving π and roots such as $\sqrt{\pi}$ or $\sqrt[3]{2}$. Classical compass-and-straightedge impossibility results demonstrate that certain exact constructions cannot be realized in that framework.

EMx does not remove those mathematical facts; rather, it reframes the situation: physical devices (like pyramidal cavities, water volumes, and thermal cycles) can implement approximate equivalences through dynamics. Any residual mismatch is treated as part of $\varnothing$.

6 Discussion and Limitations

6.1 Nature of the theory

The pyramid-engineering theory described here is:

- consistent with the EMx formalism as a mapping of operators to physical and geometric processes,
- compatible with basic physics of granular materials, water flow, and acoustic resonance at an order-of-magnitude level,
- compatible with some known geometric features of historical pyramids (e.g. slope angles and length ratios),
- and fundamentally hypothetical with respect to actual ancient engineering practices.

It is constructed to be mathematically self-consistent, not to assert that any particular civilization implemented these exact procedures or operators.

6.2 Empirical testability

In principle, parts of the model are testable in controlled settings:

- excavation and stabilization of pyramidal cavities in granular media using water and lime/gypsum-based cements,
- measurement of wall stability and degradation over time,
- observation of acoustic modes in water-filled cavities of given geometry,
- verification of solar-timed construction schedules and their feasibility.

Such tests would constrain the viability of the engineering aspects, without addressing historical usage.

6.3 EMx-specific aspects

The use of EMx operators ($O_1, \ldots, O_{10}$) and T-sets ($T_0, \ldots, T_4$) in this setting serves to:

- impose a discrete logical structure on the sequence of operations,
- make explicit the roles of lift, flux, normalization, symmetry, and iteration,
- highlight the difference between geometric form (T-sets) and process (operator sequences),
- and provide a language to discuss null remainders and conserved quantities.

This is an interpretive overlay; it does not alter the underlying physics, but it organizes it in a particular way.

7 Conclusion

This report presents a self-consistent theoretical account of pyramid-like construction within the EMx framework. A 4.2 m rod, a sand dune, irrigation channels, and proto-cement are combined into a physical model that:

- realizes a pyramidal geometry through subtractive excavation and stabilization,
- couples solar timing (96-tick daily cycles) to construction steps,
- and maps each stage to EMx operators for lift, flux, fold, normalize, closure, and iteration.

The resulting structure—a hollow pyramidal cavity with stabilized walls and potential water filling—is treated as a physical recursion engine: its geometry, material distribution, and field behavior collectively encode a sequence of EMx operations. As a scientific theory, it is best viewed as a concrete, testable hypothesis about what *could* be built with simple means and how such a device can be analyzed mathematically. Historical connections to actual ancient pyramids remain speculative and lie outside the scope of this strictly formal description.