

# Adaptation of Palmer amaranth to cropping systems

Maxwel C Oliveira<sup>1</sup>, Amit J Jhala<sup>2</sup>, Mark Bernards<sup>3</sup>, Chris Proctor<sup>2</sup>, Strahinja Stepanovic<sup>2</sup>, Rodrigo Werle<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Agronomy, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, United States

<sup>2</sup> Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, United States

<sup>3</sup> Department of Agronomy, Western Illinois University, Macomb, Illinois, United States

Correspondence\*:

Rodrigo Werle

rwerle@uwisc.edu

## 2 ABSTRACT

3 Abstract length and content varies depending on article type. Refer to [http://](http://www.frontiersin.org/about/AuthorGuidelines)  
4 [www.frontiersin.org/about/AuthorGuidelines](http://www.frontiersin.org/about/AuthorGuidelines) for abstract requirement and length  
5 according to article type.

6 **Keywords:** Text Text Text Text Text Text Evolution Weed

## INTRODUCTION

7 Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S. Watson) is currently considered one of the most economically  
8 damaged weed species to cropping systems in the United States (Ward et al., 2013). The species has showed  
9 a remarkable capacity to evolve resistance to herbicides. Palmer amaranth has evolved resistance to eight  
10 herbicide sites of action, increasing the weed management complexity (Lindsay et al., 2017). Uncontrolled  
11 Palmer amaranth in competition for water, light and nutrients can drastically reduce crop yields (Berger et  
12 al., 2015). Palmer amaranth is documented with potential to reduce 91%, 68%, and 54% of corn (Massinga  
13 et al., 2001), soybean (Klingaman and Oliver, 1994), and cotton (Morgan et al., 2001) yields.

14 Palmer amaranth is a fast growing summer annual forb indigenous to Sonoran Desert (Sauer, 1957). The  
15 species would eventually emerge as a threat to US agriculture in the 1990s. Palmer amaranth weediness  
16 is likely a result of human-assisted selection in combination with species biology. Farm mechanization,  
17 conservation agriculture (e.g., no-till), and reliance on herbicides for weed management are the main human  
18 mediated selection of Palmer amaranth to cropping systems. On the other hand, Palmer amaranth is a  
19 prolific seed producer with a C4 photosynthetic apparatus (Ward et al., 2013). With a dioecy nature, Palmer  
20 amaranth male and female plants are obligate outcrosser species, increasing the chances of exchanging  
21 herbicide resistant alleles among plants (Oliveira et al., 2018). Also, Palmer amaranth small seed size (1  
22 mm) tend to thrive in no-tillage systems (Price et al., 2011), and spread across locations through farm  
23 equipment (Sauer, 1972), manure (Yu et al., 2021), animals (Farmer et al., 2017), and plant propagules  
24 (Hartzler and Anderson, 2016). Therefore, Palmer amaranth dispersal capacity make the species one of the  
25 most successful cases of weed adaption to cropping systems.

Light and temperature are likely the main environment requirements for Palmer amaranth successful adaptation. Palmer amaranth is reported with an extended germination period (Jha et al., 2010). Germination of Palmer amaranth is triggered by 18 C soil temperature (Keeley et al., 1987), and optimal germination and biomass production occur at 35/30 C day and night temperatures (Guo and Al-Khatib, 2003). Water has not shown to limit Palmer amaranth fitness. Under continuous water stress, Palmer amaranth survived and produced at least 14000 seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> (Chahal et al., 2018). Also, seeds from Palmer amaranth growing under water stress conditions were heavier, less dormant, and prompt for germination (Matzrafi et al., 2021). The continuous global temperature warming can impact agriculture and promote niches for Palmer amaranth invasion/adaptation into new environments. Currently, it is estimated that the greatest climatic risk of Palmer amaranth establishment is agronomic crops in Australia and Sub-Sahara Africa (Kistner and Hatfield, 2018). Temperature is a key factor limiting Palmer amaranth expansion to cooler geographies (Briscoe Runquist et al., 2019); however, under future climate change Palmer amaranth is likely to expand northward into Canada and Northern Europe (Kistner and Hatfield, 2018).

Palmer amaranth is already found in agronomic crops of South America (Larran et al., 2017; Küpper et al., 2017) and Southern Europe (Milani et al., 2021). In the US, Palmer amaranth is commonly found at crop (Garetson et al., 2019) and non-crop land (Bagavathiannan and Norsworthy, 2016) in the warm southern United States but its range is expanding to cool temperatures northward. For example, herbicide resistant Palmer amaranth is widespread in Nebraska (Oliveira et al., 2021), Michigan (Kohrt et al., 2017), and Connecticut (Aulakh et al., 2021). Successful cases of Palmer amaranth invasion and near to eradication is well documented in Minnesota (Yu et al., 2021) and Iowa. No Palmer amaranth actively growing was found in Canada; however, Palmer amaranth seeds was detected in sweet potato slips (Page et al., 2021). Nonetheless, it seems fated to manage Palmer amaranth in agronomic crops throughout multiple environments in the near future. Therefore, strategies on Palmer amaranth management should encompass the agroecosystem level but not attempts to eradicate the weed. Most tactics to manage Palmer amaranth are based technology fixes (Scott, 2011), which are short-term (e.g., herbicide and/or tillage) rather than long-term Palmer amaranth management.

The continuous Palmer amaranth dispersal and potential establishment into northern United States warrant investigations on species morphology growing in such environments. Understanding Palmer amaranth biology and growing strategies under different agroecosystems can enhance our knowledge on species adaptation. Also, it can aid on designing proactive and ecological tactics to limit the species range expansion, reduce its negative impact, and design resilient and sustainable farming systems (MacLaren et al., 2020). Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the flowering pattern, biomass production, and height of Palmer amaranth growing under in corn, soybean and fallow at two timings across five locations in the mid/upper United States Midwest.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Plant material and growing conditions

The study was performed with a *A. palmeri* accession (Per1) from Perkins County, Nebraska. Per1 accession collection is documented in (Oliveira et al., 2021), with no reported herbicide resistance. Three weeks prior to the field experiment, seeds were planted in plastic trays containing potting-mix. Emerged seedlings (1 cm) were transplanted into 200 cm<sup>3</sup> plastic pots (a plant pot<sup>-1</sup>). Palmer amaranth seedlings were supplied with adequate water and kept under greenhouse conditions at Arlington, Clay Center, Lincoln, and Macomb; and kept outdoors in Grant. Palmer amaranth seedlings were kept under greenhouse/outdoors until the onset of the experiment (7 to 10 cm height).

## 68 Field study

69 The experiment was conducted in 2018 and 2019 under field conditions at five locations: Arlington  
70 (Washington County, Wisconsin), Clay Center (Clay County, Nebraska), Grant (Perkins County, Nebraska),  
71 Lincoln (Lancaster County, Nebraska), and Macomb (McDonough County, Illinois).

72 The experimental unit were adjacent 9.1 m wide (12 rows at 72.2 cm row spacing) by 10.7 m long.  
73 Each experimental unit was planted with corn or soybean, or left fallow. Palmer amaranth seedlings were  
74 transplanted to the field experiment by making a whole in the soil (6 cm deep and 8 cm wide); and gently  
75 transferring in the ground (potting mix + two seedlings). After a week, if both plants were alive, one was  
76 eliminated. There were two transplant timing: early (June 1<sup>st</sup>) and late (July 1<sup>st</sup>). There were 24 Palmer  
77 amaranth plants in each crop/fallow and timing, with a total of 144 plants. The study was repeated twice.

78 After transplanting, Palmer amaranth flowering was monitored until the end of the study. When a plant  
79 started flowering, the day was recorded, plant sex was identified as male or female, and plant height was  
80 measured from soil surface to the plant top. Then, aboveground plant biomass was harvest near soil surface  
81 and oven dried at 65 C until reaching constant weight before the weight of biomass (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded.

## 82 Statistical analyses

83 The statistical analyses were performed using R statistical software version 4.0.1.

84 The cumulative Palmer amaranth flowering estimation was determined using a asymmetrical three  
85 parameter log logistic Weibull model of the drc package (Ritz et al., 2015).

$$Y(x) = 0 + (d - 0)\exp(-\exp(b(\log(x) - e)))$$

86 In this model,  $Y$  is the Palmer amaranth cumulative flowering,  $d$  is the upper limit (set to 100), and  $e$  is the  
87 XXX, and  $x$  day of year (doy).

88 The doy for 10, 50, and 90% Palmer amaranth cumulative flowering were determined using the *ED*  
89 function of drc package. Also, the 10, 50, and 90% Palmer amaranth cumulative flowering were compared  
90 among crop/fallow and timings using the *EDcomp* function of drc package. The *EDcomp* function compares  
91 the ratio of cumulative flowering using t-statistics, where P-value < 0.05 indicates that we fail to reject the  
92 null hypothesis.

## RESULTS

### 93 Subsection 1

94 You can use R chunks directly to plot graphs.

### 95 Subsection 2

96 Frontiers requires figures to be submitted individually, in the same order as they are referred to in the  
97 manuscript. Figures will then be automatically embedded at the bottom of the submitted manuscript. Kindly  
98 ensure that each table and figure is mentioned in the text and in numerical order. Permission must be  
99 obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the web). Please note that it is  
100 compulsory to follow figure instructions. Figures which are not according to the guidelines will cause  
101 substantial delay during the production process.

## 1 DISCUSSION

## DISCLOSURE/CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST STATEMENT

102 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial  
103 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

104 MCO design, wrote,

105 The statement about the authors and contributors can be up to several sentences long, describing the tasks  
106 of individual authors referred to by their initials and should be included at the end of the manuscript before  
107 the References section.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

108 Funding:

## 2 SUPPLEMENTAL DATA


109 Supplementary Material should be uploaded separately on submission, if there are Supplementary Figures,  
110 please include the caption in the same file as the figure. LaTeX Supplementary Material templates can be  
111 found in the Frontiers LaTeX folder

## 3 REFERENCES

112 A reference list should be automatically created here. However it won't. Pandoc will place the list of  
113 references at the end of the document instead. There are no convenient solution for now to force Pandoc to  
114 do otherwise. The easiest way to get around this problem is to edit the LaTeX file created by Pandoc before  
115 compiling it again using the traditional LaTeX commands.


## FIGURES

- 116 Aulakh, J. S., Chahal, P. S., Kumar, V., Price, A. J., and Guillard, K. (2021). Multiple herbicide-resistant  
117 Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in Connecticut: Confirmation and response to POST herbicides.  
118 *Weed Technology* 35, 457–463. doi:10.1017/wet.2021.6.
- 119 Bagavathiannan, M. V., and Norsworthy, J. K. (2016). Multiple-Herbicide Resistance Is Widespread in  
120 Roadside Palmer Amaranth Populations. *PLOS ONE* 11, e0148748. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148748.
- 121 Berger, S. T., Ferrell, J. A., Rowland, D. L., and Webster, T. M. (2015). Palmer Amaranth (*Amaranthus*  
122 *palmeri*) Competition for Water in Cotton. *Weed Science* 63, 928–935. doi:10.1614/WS-D-15-00062.1.
- 123 Briscoe Runquist, R. D., Lake, T., Tiffin, P., and Moeller, D. A. (2019). Species distribution models  
124 throughout the invasion history of Palmer amaranth predict regions at risk of future invasion and reveal  
125 challenges with modeling rapidly shifting geographic ranges. *Sci Rep* 9, 2426. doi:10.1038/s41598-  
126 018-38054-9.
- 127 Chahal, P. S., Irmak, S., Jugulam, M., and Jhala, A. J. (2018). Evaluating Effect of Degree of Water Stress  
128 on Growth and Fecundity of Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) Using Soil Moisture Sensors.  
129 *Weed Science* 66, 738–745. doi:10.1017/wsc.2018.47.
- 130 Farmer, J. A., Webb, E. B., Pierce, R. A., and Bradley, K. W. (2017). Evaluating the potential for weed seed  
131 dispersal based on waterfowl consumption and seed viability. *Pest Management Science* 73, 2592–2603.  
132 doi:10.1002/ps.4710.



**Figure 1.** Mean average temperature (C) and monthly sum precipitation (mm) at Arlington, WI, Clay Center, NE, Grant, NE, Lincoln, NE and Macomb, IL

- 133 Garetson, R., Singh, V., Singh, S., Dotray, P., and Bagavathiannan, M. (2019). Distribution of herbicide-  
 134 resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) in row crop production systems in Texas. *Weed*  
 135 *Technology* 33, 355–365. doi:10.1017/wet.2019.14.
- 136 Guo, P., and Al-Khatib, K. (2003). Temperature effects on germination and growth of redroot pigweed  
 137 (*Amaranthus retroflexus*), Palmer amaranth (*A. Palmeri*), and common waterhemp (*A. rudis*). *Weed*  
 138 *Science* 51, 869–875. doi:10.1614/P2002-127.
- 139 Hartzler, B., and Anderson, M. (2016). Palmer amaranth: It's here, now what? 10.
- 140 Jha, P., Norsworthy, J. K., Riley, M. B., and Bridges, W. (2010). Annual Changes in Temperature and Light  
 141 Requirements for Germination of Palmer Amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) Seeds Retrieved from Soil.  
 142 *Weed Science* 58, 426–432. doi:10.1614/WS-D-09-00038.1.
- 143 Keeley, P. E., Carter, C. H., and Thullen, R. J. (1987). Influence of Planting Date on Growth of Palmer  
 144 Amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*). *Weed Science* 35, 199–204. doi:10.1017/S0043174500079054.
- 145 Kistner, E. J., and Hatfield, J. L. (2018). Potential Geographic Distribution of Palmer  
 146 Amaranth under Current and Future Climates. *Agricultural & Environmental Letters* 3, 170044.  
 147 doi:10.2134/acl2017.12.0044.
- 148 Klingaman, T. E., and Oliver, L. R. (1994). Palmer Amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) Interference in  
 149 Soybeans (*Glycine max*). *Weed Science* 42, 523–527. doi:10.1017/S0043174500076888.
- 150 Kohrt, J. R., Sprague, C. L., Nadakuduti, S. S., and Douches, D. (2017). Confirmation of a Three-Way  
 151 (Glyphosate, ALS, and Atrazine) Herbicide-Resistant Population of Palmer Amaranth (*Amaranthus*  
 152 *palmeri*) in Michigan. *Weed Science* 65, 327–338. doi:10.1017/wsc.2017.2.



This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

Figure 2. Figure caption



- Küpper, A., Borgato, E. A., Patterson, E. L., Netto, A. G., Nicolai, M., Carvalho, S. J. P. de, Nissen, S. J., Gaines, T. A., and Christoffoleti, P. J. (2017). Multiple Resistance to Glyphosate and Acetolactate Synthase Inhibitors in Palmer Amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) Identified in Brazil. *Weed Science* 65, 317–326. doi:10.1017/wsc.2017.1.
- Larran, A. S., Palmieri, V. E., Perotti, V. E., Lieber, L., Tuesca, D., and Permingeat, H. R. (2017). Target-site resistance to acetolactate synthase (ALS)-inhibiting herbicides in *Amaranthus palmeri* from Argentina. *Pest Management Science* 73, 2578–2584. doi:10.1002/ps.4662.
- Lindsay, K., Popp, M., Norsworthy, J., Bagavathiannan, M., Powles, S., and Lacoste, M. (2017). PAM: Decision Support for Long-Term Palmer Amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) Control. *Weed Technology* 31, 915–927. doi:10.1017/wet.2017.69.
- MacLaren, C., Storkey, J., Menegat, A., Metcalfe, H., and Dehnen-Schmutz, K. (2020). An ecological future for weed science to sustain crop production and the environment. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 40, 24. doi:10.1007/s13593-020-00631-6.
- Massinga, R. A., Currie, R. S., Horak, M. J., and Boyer, J. (2001). Interference of Palmer amaranth in corn. *Weed Science* 49, 202–208. doi:10.1614/0043-1745(2001)049[0202:IOPAIC]2.0.CO;2.
- Matzrafi, M., Osipitan, O. A., Ohadi, S., and Mesgaran, M. B. (2021). Under pressure: Maternal effects promote drought tolerance in progeny seed of Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*). *Weed Science* 69, 31–38. doi:10.1017/wsc.2020.75.
- Milani, A., Panozzo, S., Farinati, S., Iamonico, D., Sattin, M., Loddo, D., and Scarabel, L. (2021). Recent Discovery of *Amaranthus palmeri* S. Watson in Italy: Characterization of ALS-Resistant Populations and Sensitivity to Alternative Herbicides. *Sustainability* 13, 7003. doi:10.3390/su13137003.
- Morgan, G. D., Baumann, P. A., and Chandler, J. M. (2001). Competitive Impact of Palmer Amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) on Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) Development and Yield. *Weed Technology* 15, 408–412. doi:10.1614/0890-037X(2001)015[0408:CIOPAA]2.0.CO;2.
- Oliveira, M. C., Gaines, T. A., Patterson, E. L., Jhala, A. J., Irmak, S., Amundsen, K., and Knezevic, S. Z. (2018). Interspecific and intraspecific transference of metabolism-based mesotrione resistance in dioecious weedy *Amaranthus*. *The Plant Journal* 96, 1051–1063. doi:10.1111/tpj.14089.
- Oliveira, M. C., Giacomini, D. A., Arsenijevic, N., Vieira, G., Tranel, P. J., and Werle, R. (2021). Distribution and validation of genotypic and phenotypic glyphosate and PPO-inhibitor resistance in Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) from southwestern Nebraska. *Weed Technology* 35, 65–76. doi:10.1017/wet.2020.74.
- Page, E. R., Nurse, R. E., Meloche, S., Bosveld, K., Grainger, C., Obeid, K., Filotas, M., Simard, M.-J., and Laforest, M. (2021). Import of Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S. Wats.) Seed with sweet potato (*Ipomea batatas* (L.) Lam) slips. *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, CJPS-2020-0321. doi:10.1139/CJPS-2020-0321.
- Price, A. J., Balkcom, K. S., Culpepper, S. A., Kelton, J. A., Nichols, R. L., and Schomberg, H. (2011). Glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth: A threat to conservation tillage. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 66, 265–275. doi:10.2489/jswc.66.4.265.
- Ritz, C., Baty, F., Streibig, J. C., and Gerhard, D. (2015). Dose-Response Analysis Using R. *PLOS ONE* 10, e0146021. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146021.

- 192 Sauer, J. (1957). Recent Migration and Evolution of the Dioecious Amaranths. *Evolution* 11, 11–31.  
193 doi:10.2307/2405808.
- 194 Sauer, J. D. (1972). The dioecious amaranths: A new species name and major range extensions. *Madroño*  
195 21, 426–434. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41423815>.
- 196 Scott, D. (2011). The Technological Fix Criticisms and the Agricultural Biotechnology Debate. *J Agric*  
197 *Environ Ethics* 24, 207–226. doi:10.1007/s10806-010-9253-7.
- 198 Ward, S. M., Webster, T. M., and Steckel, L. E. (2013). Palmer Amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*): A Review.  
199 *Weed Technology* 27, 12–27. doi:10.1614/WT-D-12-00113.1.
- 200 Yu, E., Blair, S., Hardel, M., Chandler, M., Thiede, D., Cortilet, A., Gunsolus, J., and Becker, R. (2021).  
201 Timeline of Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) invasion and eradication in Minnesota. *Weed*  
202 *Technology*, 1–31. doi:10.1017/wet.2021.32.