

# Additive Design: The Concept and Data Analysis

Maxwel C. Oliveira, Gustavo A. M. Pereira, Rodrigo Werle, and Stevan Knezevic

September 13, 2017

## Download R and R-Studio

At <https://www.r-project.org/>, go to download in the left column, click in CRAN and choose a location near you. For example, in Brazil you can choose seven different locations. Then download R compatible to your system (Linux, Mac or Windows). Once you have downloaded **R**, you should also download **R-Studio** <https://www.rstudio.com/>. **R-Studio** is a friendly interface for R programers. Scroll down to **R-Studio** and click in Download. Choose the free version of **R-Studio**, there will also be **R-Studio** version for Linux, Mac, and Windows.

## Create an R-studio file

Open **R-Studio** at the toolbar, click in file, New Project..., Existing Directory, and choose the folder that contain your data. Your data file have to be in that chosen folder. In addition, we recommend your data to be saved as csv (comma delimited) file.

## Load the data in R-studio

Assign the name of your data set (replace "DMT" to a name of your choice). If you use comma (,) for separating decimals places use `read.csv2()`. If you use a period (.), use `read.csv()`, as described above. In parenthesis, write the name of your data set file.

```
DMT=read.csv("dmshoot.csv")
```

The command `head` prints the first six lines of the data set. It is useful for double checking your data set.

```
head(DMT)
```

```
##   block treat densitycrop densityweed biomass      yl weed
## 1     1     1           1           0  59.44 -16.38    1
## 2     2     1           1           0  34.39  32.66    1
## 3     3     1           1           0  56.69 -11.00    1
## 4     4     1           1           0  53.77  -5.28    1
## 5     1     2           1           1  12.70  75.13    1
## 6     2     2           1           1  13.95  72.69    1
```

The command `str` prints how **Rstudio** is reading the characters vector in a data set.

```
str(DMT)
```

```
## 'data.frame':    40 obs. of  7 variables:
## $ block      : int  1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 ...
## $ treat      : int  1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 ...
## $ densitycrop: int  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
## $ densityweed: int  0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 2 ...
## $ biomass    : num  59.4 34.4 56.7 53.8 12.7 ...
## $ yl         : num  -16.38 32.66 -11 -5.28 75.13 ...
## $ weed       : int  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
```

## Cousens Model

The empirical model:  $Y = I * x/1 + (I/A) * x$  is the standard model to describe additive competition studies.  $I$  reopens the slope of  $Y$  (yield loss) when  $x$  (weed density) approximate zero. In addition,  $A$  is the assymptote or maximum expected yield loss (%).

### Fit a full model, Cousens model with 4 parameters.

**Full** is a user-defined name that will contain all information about the fitted model generated by `nls` (nonlinear least squares) function. The *start* is used to estimate values of parameter  $I$  and  $A$  for the model. Parameters can easier determined from visual inspection of the data set (plotting data and observing trends). The bracket `[]` after each parameter in the equation tell **R** to estimate a parameter for each weed species (4 parameters).

```
Full = nls(yl ~ (I[weed]*densityweed)/(1+(I[weed]/A[weed])*densityweed),
data=DMT, start=list(I=c(60,30), A=c(80,60)), trace=T)

## 33221.22 : 60 30 80 60
## 9405.813 : 165.46347 50.25847 95.53698 80.57663
## 7057.126 : 209.67254 50.19545 108.37955 82.11462
## 7056.696 : 210.23750 50.25690 108.56329 82.06519
## 7056.696 : 210.22927 50.25188 108.56427 82.07029
```

### Check estimated parameters.

The *summary* command provides the estimated parameters  $I$  and  $A$  for each weed species, *Commelina benghalensis* (species 1) and *Richardia brasiliensis* (species 2) .

```
summary(Full)

##
## Formula: yl ~ (I[weed] * densityweed)/(1 + (I[weed]/A[weed]) *
densityweed)
##
## Parameters:
##      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## I1      210.23      88.55   2.374  0.02304 *
## I2       50.25      22.64   2.220  0.03280 *
## A1      108.56      11.15   9.740 1.25e-11 ***
## A2       82.07      23.06   3.559  0.00107 **
## ---
```

```
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 14 on 36 degrees of freedom
##
## Number of iterations to convergence: 4
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 3.458e-06
```

## Fit a reduced model (Red.1), Cousens model with 2 parameters.

**Red.1** is a user-defined name that will contain information about the first reduced model generated by the `nls` function. Notice that we do not include bracket `[]` after each parameter *I* and *A*. In this case we are combining parameter *I* and *A* for both weed species. Our hypothesis is that a single parameter *I* and *A* for both species is enough to describe the crop-weed relationship.

```
Red.1 = nls(y1 ~ (I*densityweed)/(1+(I/A)*densityweed), data=DMT,
start=list(I=40, A=80), trace=T)

## 39442.72 :  40 80
## 24501.86 :  96.10443 75.24083
## 19715.79 : 114.37076 92.64128
## 19715.78 : 114.55211 92.61749
## 19715.78 : 114.54577 92.61927
```

## Check estimated parameters.

This command provides the estimated parameters *I* and *A* for both weed species together.

```
summary(Red.1)

##
## Formula: y1 ~ (I * densityweed)/(1 + (I/A) * densityweed)
##
## Parameters:
##   Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## I   114.55      55.93   2.048  0.0475 *
## A    92.62      15.93   5.814 1.02e-06 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 22.78 on 38 degrees of freedom
##
## Number of iterations to convergence: 4
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 7.692e-07
```

## Test a first hypothesis

Testing hypothesis using *ANOVA*. We estimated using the **Full** model (*I* and *A* for each species) to compare with **Red.1** (single *I* and *A* for both species). If  $P\text{-value} > 0.05$ , models are similar; therefore we should use the **Red.1** model, which means that the simplest

model (**Red.1**) is appropriate to describe crop-weed relationship. If not we should proceed to the next hypothesis.

```
anova(Full, Red.1)

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: y1 ~ (I[weed] * densityweed)/(1 + (I[weed]/A[weed]) *
densityweed)
## Model 2: y1 ~ (I * densityweed)/(1 + (I/A) * densityweed)
##   Res.Df Res.Sum Sq Df Sum Sq F value    Pr(>F)
## 1      36      7056.7
## 2      38     19715.8 -2 -12659   32.29 9.293e-09 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The F-test showed  $P < 0.05$ , therefore the Red.1 model is not appropriate to describe the crop-weed relationship.

### Fit a reduced model (Red.2), Cousens model with 3 parameters.

**Red.2** is a user-defined name that will contain information about the second reduced model generated by *nls* function. Notice that the bracket [] is after the parameter *A* only, which means that we are testing a hypothesis of single parameter *I*, but different *A* for the species.

```
Red.2 = nls(y1 ~ (I*densityweed)/(1+(I/A[weed])*densityweed), data=DMT,
start=list(I=60, A=c(80,60)), trace=T)

## 30094.36 : 60 80 60
## 8675.772 : 122.68194 122.78900 50.51254
## 7952.364 : 161.27367 111.80334 56.19045
## 7864.804 : 162.01663 115.63915 56.19067
## 7864.582 : 163.82772 115.27458 56.09045
## 7864.579 : 163.83131 115.28859 56.07787
## 7864.579 : 163.85014 115.28483 56.07664
```

### Check estimated parameters.

This command provides the estimated parameters *I* for both weed species and *A* for each weed species.

```
summary(Red.2)

##
## Formula: y1 ~ (I * densityweed)/(1 + (I/A[weed]) * densityweed)
##
## Parameters:
##   Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## I    163.850     56.984   2.875  0.00666 **
## A1   115.285     12.779   9.021 7.03e-11 ***
```

```
## A2    56.077      5.714    9.813 7.65e-12 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 14.58 on 37 degrees of freedom
##
## Number of iterations to convergence: 6
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 5.501e-06
```

## Test a second hypothesis

Testing hypothesis using F-test. We are using the Full model (separated *I* and *A* for each species) to compare with Red.2 (single *I* and different *A* for both species). If  $P\text{-value} > 0.05$ , models are similar; therefore, we should use the **Red.2** model, which means that the simplest model (**Red.2**) is appropriate to describe crop-weed relationship. If not we should proceed to the next hypothesis.

```
anova(Full, Red.2)

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: y1 ~ (I[weed] * densityweed)/(1 + (I[weed]/A[weed]) *
densityweed)
## Model 2: y1 ~ (I * densityweed)/(1 + (I/A[weed]) * densityweed)
##   Res.Df Res.Sum Sq Df Sum Sq F value Pr(>F)
## 1      36      7056.7
## 2      37      7864.6 -1 -807.88  4.1214 0.04978 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The F-test showed  $P < 0.05$ , therefore the Red.2 model is not appropriate to describe the crop-weed relationship.

## Fit a reduced model (Red.3), 3 parameters.

Red.3 is a user-defined name that will contain information about the third reduced model generated by *nls* function. Notice that the bracket [] is after the parameter *I* only, which means that we are testing a hypothesis of different parameter *I*, but single parameter *A* for the species.

```
Red.3 = nls(y1 ~ (I[weed]*densityweed)/(1+(I[weed]/A)*densityweed), data=DMT,
start=list(I=c(30,30), A=70), trace=T)

## 53764.77 : 30 30 70
## 26821.46 : 128.12346 55.66148 65.14150
## 13779.51 : 265.38910 15.43033 100.87497
## 7506.945 : 205.09964 30.83279 107.29118
## 7203.363 : 225.88449 36.30998 106.26798
## 7200.048 : 228.19528 36.97054 106.19148
```

```
## 7200.044 : 228.35524 36.99872 106.17039
## 7200.044 : 228.35703 36.99971 106.16982
```

## Check estimated parameters.

This command provides the estimated parameters  $I$  for each weed species and  $A$  for both weed species.

```
summary(Red.3)

##
## Formula: y1 ~ (I[weed] * densityweed)/(1 + (I[weed]/A) * densityweed)
##
## Parameters:
##      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## I1 228.357    100.178    2.280  0.0285 *
## I2  37.000     6.196    5.972 6.85e-07 ***
## A 106.170    10.318   10.289 2.10e-12 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 13.95 on 37 degrees of freedom
##
## Number of iterations to convergence: 7
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 1.141e-06
```

## Test a third hypothesis

Testing hypothesis using F-test. We are using the Full model (separated  $I$  and  $A$  for each species) to compare with Red.3 (different  $I$  and single  $A$  for both species). If  $P\text{-value} > 0.05$ , models are similar; therefore we should use the **Red.3** model, which means that the simplest model (**Red.3**) is appropriate to describe crop-weed relationship.

```
anova(Full, Red.3)

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: y1 ~ (I[weed] * densityweed)/(1 + (I[weed]/A[weed]) *
densityweed)
## Model 2: y1 ~ (I[weed] * densityweed)/(1 + (I[weed]/A) * densityweed)
##   Res.Df Res.Sum Sq Df Sum Sq F value Pr(>F)
## 1      36      7056.7
## 2      37      7200.0 -1 -143.35  0.7313 0.3981
```

Results showed that  $P > 0.05$ . Therefore, the **Full** model can be simplified to **Red.3** model.

## Plotting the Red.3 model

The command *par* is used to define the plot size. The command *plot* and *lines* are used to generate the figure and the averaged points of yield loss at each density (Figure 4). The

command *subset* is adding each weed species separately in the plot (weed 1) and lines (weed 2).

The *x* is a user-defined name, it will contain the x-axis sequence of the data set. *weed1* and *weed2* is also a user-defined name, this is the equation with the previous parameter estimates *I* and *A* estimated from Red.3 model using the *nls* function. Notice that the parameters estimated in Red.3 model were inserted in the Cousens model for each weed species.

The command *lines* will insert the previous equation into the plot. Command *lty*, *lwd*, and *col* define the line type, size, and color, respectively.


The command *legend* will add the legend into the plot area.

```
par(mar=c(5,6,2,2), mgp=c(3,1.5,0))
plot(yl~densityweed, data=DMT, subset = weed == "1", pch=16, cex=1, las=1,
xlab=expression("Weed Density (plants pot-1*)"), ylim=c(-10,110), ylab =
"Yield Loss (%)", cex.axis=1, cex.lab=1)
lines(yl~densityweed, type="p", data=DMT, subset = weed == "2", col=2, cex=1,
pch=1)

x=seq(0,4,0.25)
weed1=(228.357*x)/(1+(228.357/106.170)*x)
weed2=(37.000*x)/(1+(37.000/106.170)*x)

lines(x,weed1, lty=1, lwd=1, col=1)
lines(x,weed2, lty=3, lwd=1, col=2)

legend("bottomright", legend=c("C. benghalensis", "R. brasiliensis"),
text.font = 3, col=c(1,2), pch= c(16,1), lty=c(1,3), lwd= c(1,1), bty="n",
cex=1)
```



A good package for producing high quality figure in **R** is the *ggplot2*.

```
library(ggplot2)
library(broom)

DMT$weed<-factor(DMT$weed, levels=c("1", "2"), labels=c("Commelina
benghalensis", "Richadia brasiliensis"))

Red.3 = nls(y1 ~ (I[weed]*densityweed)/(1+(I[weed]/A)*densityweed), data=DMT,
alg="port",
          start=list(I=c(30,30), A=70), trace=T)

##      0:      26882.386:  30.0000  30.0000  70.0000
##      1:      18178.029:  42.8369  32.3788  84.6203
##      2:       4999.1759:  98.3766  33.2580 115.243
##      3:       3968.3860: 161.729   36.5949 104.937
##      4:       3615.1908: 220.988   37.4231 104.865
##      5:       3600.0298: 229.082   37.0400 106.081
##      6:       3600.0219: 228.320   37.0005 106.172
##      7:       3600.0219: 228.361   36.9998 106.169
##      8:       3600.0219: 228.357   36.9998 106.170

summary(Red.3)

##
## Formula: y1 ~ (I[weed] * densityweed)/(1 + (I[weed]/A) * densityweed)
```




```
##
## Parameters:
##   Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## I1  228.357    100.178   2.280  0.0285 *
## I2   37.000     6.196   5.972 6.85e-07 ***
## A   106.170    10.318  10.289 2.10e-12 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 13.95 on 37 degrees of freedom
##
## Algorithm "port", convergence message: relative convergence (4)

nd1 = data.frame(densityweed=seq(0, 4, 0.01), weed="Commelina benghalensis")
nd2 = data.frame(densityweed=seq(0, 4, 0.01), weed="Richadia brasiliensis")
nd = rbind(nd1, nd2)

pred<- augment(Red.3, newdata=nd)

ggplot(DMT, aes(x=densityweed, y=y1, color=weed)) + geom_point(shape=1,
size=3) + geom_line(data = pred, size=1.3, aes(x=densityweed, linetype=weed,
y=.fitted)) +
  labs(fill="", y="Yield loss (%)", x=expression(bold(paste("Weed density
(plants m-2,")))) +
  scale_colour_manual(values = c("red", "black"))+
  scale_y_continuous(limits=c(-25,110), breaks = c(-25,0,25,50,75,100)) +
  theme(axis.text=element_text(size=15, color="black"),
        axis.title=element_text(size=17,face="bold"),
        panel.background = element_rect(fill="white", color = "white"),
        panel.grid.major = element_line(color = "white"),
        panel.grid.minor = element_blank(), panel.border =
element_rect(fill=NA,color="black", size=0.5,
  linetype="solid"), legend.position=c(0.7,0.15), legend.text =
element_text(size = 12, colour = "black", face="italic"), legend.key =
element_rect(fill=NA), legend.key.height = unit(1.5, "line"),
legend.key.width = unit(2.2, "line"),
legend.background = element_rect(fill =NA), legend.title=element_blank()) +
  ggsave("Red.tiff", units="in", width=6, height=6, dpi=300)
```



## AICc model selection and Goodness of fit

According to the AICc criterion, the top model has the lowest AICc value. The AICc calculation can be simplified using **R**, the first step is loading the package *AICcmodavg*.

```
library(AICcmodavg)
```

```
## Warning: package 'AICcmodavg' was built under R version 3.3.3
```

The four candidate models using the rectangular hyperbola are compared using AICc.

```
cand.mods<- list(Full, Red.1, Red.2, Red.3)
```

```
Modnames<- c('Full', 'Red.1', 'Red.2', 'Red.3')
```

```
aictab(cand.set = cand.mods, modnames = Modnames, sort = TRUE)
```

```
##
```

```
## Model selection based on AICc:
```

```
##
```

	K	AICc	Delta_AICc	AICcWt	Cum.Wt	LL
Red.3	4	330.38	0.00	0.64	0.64	-160.62
Full	5	332.19	1.82	0.26	0.89	-160.21
Red.2	4	333.91	3.53	0.11	1.00	-162.38
Red.1	3	368.19	37.82	0.00	1.00	-180.76

Root mean square error (RMSE) for goodness of fit of the top model (**Red.3**) selected.

```
mse <- mean(residuals(Red.3)^2)
rmse <- sqrt(mse)
rmse
## [1] 13.41645
```