20 ei. E 20 21 -W 1 w v v U U U U U U the number HOW mere Regularization

Before you look at the day

according to gamsian law. which you would then not go for the ML method (Ifrequentist approach) lystend you would ask what is the most probable, likely B, that is too seeing your data? Bayes Formula: Take the log * maximization of a Fixed data is distributed by nathure Pamap map a linear model Ę fixin depends on to choose 8 Y {(x;,y;) ~~ <u>></u> ۱۱ Z, ... introduce 11 of Bis might go For: of B's you many want to restrict. in larause र्ज १८६) arg min log P(β) = 262 argmax P(BIZ) 7 R(812) P(p)? च ñ another method: -00 1 = 1 = n } 7 of the model likethood fan under conditional Gaussiau noise distribut the same to minimize a sum -of-squares error fan. parameter (ع)اع) الص data, know that we assumed ang min 1 peror aMi /44 / a mamixam ₹85(β) likelihood 20 P(z|b) · P(B) Likelihood fxn B data 6(B) (Z) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i^T B)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} B^2$ evidence - Full set of absorvations error (ع) م وما -+ Ridge and controls POSTERIORI posterior probability Constant - xp) (Y-xp) toer type of penalty term for choosing data term prediction regression B to be distributed by nature Solution to 2710 ESTIMATE minimizing adoutables. These mode penalty regularizer, scalar to penaltize max-likelihood from d=dim n= examples 26 ۵ B N P(6/2). noise distribution for >11811² penalty term fulling parameters (MAP) most 11 Cost AXN FOR ridge regression probable