

# Data Pravity HW3

PB18111760 王嘉梁

一、

1. (10 pts) You (Eve) have intercepted two ciphertexts:

$$c_1 = 1111100101111001110011000001011110000110$$

$$c_2 = 1110110001111101110011100001101010000010$$

You know that both are OTP ciphertexts, encrypted with the same key. You know that either  $c_1$  is an encryption of “alpha” and  $c_2$  is an encryption of “three” **or**  $c_1$  is an encryption of “delta” and  $c_2$  is an encryption of “sigma” (all converted to binary from ascii in the standard way). Which of these two possibilities is correct, and why? What was the key  $k$ ?

由OTP机制可知， $key$ 是一个与明文长度相同的密钥  
“alpha” 对应ASCII的二进制表示：0110000101101100011100000110100001100001  
“three” 对应ASCII的二进制表示：0111010001101000011100100110010101100101  
“delta” 对应ASCII的二进制表示：0110010001100101011011000111010001100001  
“sigma” 对应ASCII的二进制表示：0111001101101001011001110110110101100001

若为  $c_1 = enc(alpha)$  且  $c_2 = enc(three)$ , 对加密过程来说：  
 $0110000101101100011100000110100001100001 \oplus k = c_1$  (1)  
 $0111010001101000011100100110010101100101 \oplus k = c_2$  (2)  
由(1)得  $k = 0110000101101100011100000110100001100001 \oplus c_1 = 100110000001010110111100011111111100111$   
将(1)所得  $k$  代入(2)式，得到  $c_2' = 1110110001111101110011100001101010000010 = c_2$ , 可知该情况合法。  
若为  $c_1 = enc(delta)$  且  $c_2 = enc(sigma)$ , 对加密过程来说：  
 $0110010001100101011011000111010001100001 \oplus k = c_1$  (3)  
 $0111001101101001011001110110110101100001 \oplus k = c_2$  (4)  
由(3)得  $k = 0110010001100101011011000111010001100001 \oplus c_1 = 1001110100011100101000000110001111100111$   
将(3)所得  $k$  代入(4)式，得到  $c_2' = 111011100111010111000111000011010000110 \neq c_2$ , 可知该情况非法。  
综上所述,  $c_1 = enc(alpha)$ 、 $c_2 = enc(three)$ 、 $k = 100110000001010110111100011111111100111$

二、

2. (20 pts) Show that the following libraries are **not** interchangeable. Describe an explicit distinguishing calling program, and compute its output probabilities when linked to both libraries:

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{left}}$
EAVESDROP( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):
$k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$
$c := k \oplus m_L$
return ( $k, c$ )

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{right}}$
EAVESDROP( $m_L, m_R \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ ):
$k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$
$c := k \oplus m_R$
return ( $k, c$ )

A:

```

m_L={0}^lambda
m_R={1}^lambda
m={m_L,m_R}
c:=EAVESDOP(m)
L:=first half of c
R:=second half of c
return L=R ? L_left :L_right

```

在上述程序  $A$  中:

令  $m_L = \{0\}^\lambda$      $m_R = \{1\}^\lambda$      $m = \{m_L, m_R\}$

$A \diamond \mathcal{L}_{left}$  的输出  $c$  中, 前半部分  $L = k$ , 后半部分  $R = k \oplus \{0\}^\lambda$ ,  $k$  中若为 1 的位与 0 异或得到 1, 为 0 的位与 0 异或得 0

因此, 若  $A \diamond \mathcal{L}_{left}$ , 则其调用结果中, 前后部分应相同, 即  $Pr[A \diamond \mathcal{L}_{left} \Rightarrow L = R] = 1$

与此相反的是:

$A \diamond \mathcal{L}_{right}$  的输出  $c$  中, 前半部分  $L = k$ , 后半部分  $R = k \oplus \{1\}^\lambda$ ,  $k$  中若为 1 的位与 1 异或得到 0, 为 0 的位与 1 异或得 1

因此, 若  $A \diamond \mathcal{L}_{right}$ , 则其调用结果中, 前后部分按位相反, 即  $Pr[A \diamond \mathcal{L}_{right} \Rightarrow L = R] = 0, Pr[A \diamond \mathcal{L}_{right} \Rightarrow L = \sim R] = 1$

### 三、

3. (10 pts) Which of the following are negligible functions in  $\lambda$ ? Justify your answers.

$$\frac{1}{2^\lambda}, \frac{1}{2^{\log(\lambda^2)}}, \frac{1}{\lambda^{\log \lambda}}, \frac{1}{\lambda^2}, \frac{1}{2^{(\log \lambda)^2}}, \frac{1}{(\log \lambda)^2}, \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/\lambda}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \frac{1}{2^{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$$

for every polynomial function  $p(\lambda)$

(1)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{2^\lambda} = 0$  因为指数函数增长速度快于任意多项式

(2)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^{100}}{2^{\log(\lambda^2)}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{50}}{2^{\log x}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2^t)^{50}}{2^t} = \infty$

(3)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{\lambda^{\log(\lambda)}} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{C - \log \lambda} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-\infty} = 0$

(4)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^3}{\lambda^2} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda = \infty$

(5)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{2^{(\log \lambda)^2}} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p'}{\log(2) * 2^{(\log \lambda)^2} * 2 \log \lambda} = \dots \text{洛必达!} \dots = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C}{O(2^{\log^2 \lambda})} = 0$

(6)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{\log^2 \lambda} > \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{\lambda^2} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^3}{\lambda^2} = \infty$

(7)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{\lambda^{1/\lambda}} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{1} = \infty$

(8)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{\sqrt{\lambda}} > \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^3}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2.5} = \infty$

(9)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{2^{\sqrt{\lambda}}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(x^2)}{2^x} = 0$

综上所述: (1)  $\frac{1}{2^\lambda}$ , (3)  $\frac{1}{\lambda^{\log(\lambda)}}$ , (5)  $\frac{1}{2^{(\log \lambda)^2}}$ , (9)  $\frac{1}{2^{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$  是 negligible 的

#### 四、

4. (20 pts) Let  $G : \{0, 1\}^\lambda \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\lambda+l}$  be an injective (i.e., 1-to-1) PRG. Consider the following distinguisher:

$\mathcal{A}$
$x := \text{QUERY}()$ for all $s' \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ : if $G(s') = x$ then return 1 return 0

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{prg-real}}^G$
$\text{QUERY}():$ $s \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^\lambda$ return $G(s)$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{prg-rand}}^G$
$\text{QUERY}():$ $r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{\lambda+l}$ return $r$

- (a) What is the advantage of  $\mathcal{A}$  in distinguishing  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{prg-real}}^G$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{prg-rand}}^G$ ? Is it negligible?
- (b) Does this contradict the fact that  $G$  is a PRG? Why or why not?

(a):

The  $x$  from  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{prg-real}}^G$  is  $G(s)$

由于  $PRG$  是单射的, 所以对于  $\{0, 1\}^\lambda$  中的数  $s'$ , 能够映射到  $\{0, 1\}^{\lambda+l}$  空间中的也只有  $2^\lambda$  个数

固定  $x$ , 任意一个长度为  $\lambda$  的串  $s'$ , 得到  $G(s')$ ,  $P[G(s') = x] = \frac{1}{2^\lambda}$ , 故  $P[A \diamond \mathcal{L}_{\text{prg-real}}^G = 1] = \frac{2^\lambda}{2^{\lambda+l}} = 1$

The  $x$  from  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{prg-rand}}^G$  is  $r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{\lambda+l}$

则此时  $x$  的取值空间大小为  $\{0, 1\}^{\lambda+l}$ , 而  $G(s')$  取值空间大小仍是  $\{0, 1\}^\lambda$

$x$  有  $2^{\lambda+l} - 2^\lambda$  种取值是  $G(s')$  不可能取到的

固定  $x$ , 任意一个长度为  $\lambda$  的串  $s'$ , 得到  $G(s')$ ,  $P[G(s') = x] = \frac{2^\lambda}{2^{\lambda+l}} * \frac{1}{2^\lambda} = \frac{1}{2^{\lambda+l}}$

故  $P[A \diamond \mathcal{L}_{\text{prg-real}}^G = 1] = \frac{2^\lambda}{2^{\lambda+l}}$

故  $\text{advantages} = 1 - \frac{2^\lambda}{2^{\lambda+l}}$ , 这个函数不是 *negligible* 的

(b):

这与  $G$  是  $PRG$  不冲突。因为  $G$  是所产生的长度为  $\lambda + l$  的串和随即均匀分布在  $\{0, 1\}^{\lambda+l}$  的空间中依旧是不可区分的

## 五、

5. (20 pts) Assume that Bob uses RSA and selects two "large" prime numbers  $p = 101$  and  $q = 103$ .

- (a) How many possible public keys from which Bob can choose?
- (b) Assume also that Bob uses a public encryption key  $e = 71$ . Alice sends Bob a message  $M = 2021$ . What will be the ciphertext received by Bob?
- (c) Show the detailed procedure that Bob decrypts the received ciphertext.

(a):

$$n = p * q = 10403, \phi(n) = (p - 1)(q - 1) = 10200$$

欲选取  $pub$  满足  $\gcd(pub, \phi(n)) = 1$ , 即计算  $\phi(10200)$ , 使用 *wolframe*, 算得 2560

所以  $pub$  的选择共 2560 种

(b):

$$\begin{aligned} e &= 71, n = 10403, M = 2021 \\ c &= M^e \bmod n = 2021^{71} \bmod 10403 \\ &= 10000 \end{aligned}$$

(c):

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{由 } e * d \equiv 1 \bmod \phi(n) \\ &\text{得 } d * 71 = 10200k + 1, k \geq 1 \\ &k = 3 \text{ 时, 得到 } d = 431 \\ &M = c^d \bmod n \\ &= 10000^{431} \bmod 10403 \\ &\text{由 } 10000^4 \equiv 1617 \bmod 10403 \\ &M \equiv (10000^4)^{107} * 10000^3 \bmod 10403 \\ &\equiv 1617^{107} * 4849 \bmod 10403 \\ &\text{由 } 1617^7 \equiv 1920 \bmod 10403 \\ &M \equiv (1617^7)^{15} * 1617^2 * 4849 \bmod 10403 \\ &\equiv 1920^{15} * 1617^2 * 4849 \\ &\text{由 } 1920^5 \equiv 1617 \bmod 10403 \\ &M \equiv 1617^5 * 4849 \bmod 10403 \\ &\equiv 2021 \end{aligned}$$

## 六、

6. (20 pts) Let  $N = pq$  be a product of two distinct primes. Show that if  $\phi(N)$  and  $N$  are known, then it is possible to compute  $p$  and  $q$  in polynomial time. (Hint: Derive a quadratic equation (over the integers) in the unknown  $p$ .)

由  $pq$  互质, 得  $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1) = pq - p - q + 1 = N - p - q + 1$

可以得到方程组:

$$\begin{cases} p * q = N \\ N - p - q + 1 = \phi(n) \end{cases}$$

即是:

$$\begin{cases} p * q = N \\ p + q = N + 1 - \phi(n) \end{cases}$$

$N$  和  $\phi(n)$  是已知的

又有  $(p+q)^2 - 4pq = (N+1-\phi(n))^2 - 4N = (p-q)^2$

假设  $p > q$ , 则  $|p-q| = p-q$

$$\text{所以 } p-q = \sqrt{(N+1-\phi(n))^2 - 4N}$$

$$\text{所以 } p = \frac{p+q+(p-q)}{2} = \frac{N+1-\phi(n) + \sqrt{(N+1-\phi(n))^2 - 4N}}{2}$$

$$q = \frac{p+q-(p-q)}{2} = \frac{N+1-\phi(n) - \sqrt{(N+1-\phi(n))^2 - 4N}}{2}$$

(因为  $p, q$  在算法中是对称的, 所以解出的两个值是可以互换的)

以上涉及运算为实数的加、减、开根号、除, 均可在多项式时间内完成

证毕!