

ENDGAME


Ruurd Wiersma

Sometimes the middle game of Go does not appoint a clear winner and the game needs to be continued into the endgame. How to play the endgame is the subject of this study.

The study starts by presenting a problem and its solution. It then proceeds with the moves that were actually played. Each of the moves is then valuated. A glossary is presented, followed by graphs of the moves that were presented without.


1.1 Theory

This board shows moves that have values between 0 and 1 as a preparation for the problem that is presented later on.




1.1.1 Locations

The locations of the moves are marked such that they can be referred to later on. Presentation will follow increasing values.



1.1.2 Neutral points


There are two neutral points, at locations B and D. Only D is shown here.



Assuming that the black and white stones are independently alive, that is have two eyes, the empty point in the center must be filled or else the surrounding stones are said to be alive in *seki* and cannot contain points. That is according to the official Japanese rules. Under Chinese rules stones and empty points are counted and neutral points are actually worth a point.

1.1.3 One point ko


A one point *ko* is a *ko* where there is a difference of one point between winning and losing. There is one such *ko* present at location E.



A black stone is in *atari* and can be captured by white. Black can make a *ko* threat and if white answers this threat, black can recapture. If black has fewer *ko* threats than white, then white can fill the *ko* and count the black stone that was captured as prisoner. There is a difference of three moves between a black win and a white win of the *ko* and that is why it can be said that each move in the *ko* has a value of 0.33 points. The black stone in *atari* can be counted as a minus of 0.33 points for black.

1.1.4 One point

There is one point that can be gained by black at location F. If black moves first, black can surround a point. If white moves first, only a neutral point remains.




There is a two move difference between a black first move and a white first move. Because of that a move at this location can be valued as 0.5 points and the

amount of territory that black can count at the start is also 0.5 points.

1.1.5 One point and ko


At location E white can surround one point. If black moves first, a *ko* remains.



The *ko* that remains is a minus of 0.33 points for white. The total difference between a first white play and a first black play is therefore 1.33 points. This has to be divided by two in order to arrive at the value of a move at this location and that results in 0.66 points. White has on average 0.33 points at this location.

1.1.6 A corridor


There is a corridor at location A. Such corridors oftentimes occur in books about mathematical go and almost never on a real board.



If black moves first, black has two points of territory. If white moves first, the location is not finished. If black answers, black has one point of territory and if white is allowed to move again, black has zero points of territory. On average, after white moves, black has 0.5 points of territory. The difference at the start is 1.5 points and two moves. That is why a move at this location can be counted as 0.75 points and the territory that black can expect at the start is 1.25 points.

1.1.7 One point sente

There is one move that normally can be considered one point *sente* and that is at location G.



If black moves first, black surrounds an extra point of territory. If white moves first, black normally answers, or else the group is in jeopardy. Black then does not surround a point. The difference between a black first play and a white first play is one point. The difference is also one move and that is why a move at this location can be counted as 1.0 point. But the situation on the board with a double *ko* in the upper left gives black an unlimited number of *ko* threats, allowing black to postpone the answer until only one point *ko*'s remain on the board. That makes this location similar to location E and it can then be counted as 0.66 points.

1.1.8 Summary

It is now possible to calculate the territory that each player has surrounded at this point in time.


White	41.66	Black	10.08
upper left	13	upper left	2
bottom	11	upper right	3.75
right	17.66	lower left	2.33
		lower right	2

The sequence of moves is not all that interesting. White starts with A, as that is the largest point. Locations A and F are now *miai*, as are locations E and G. What remains is location C that is blacks privilege anyways. That is what happens if it is white's turn to play. If it is blacks turn to play, black will also choose A. Locations E and G are again *miai*. This means that white will get F and black will again get C. Compared to a white start, black has gained one point at location A. The privilege of the first move gains the player who has *sente* one extra point.

The fractions in the territory count disappear. At the end there are only integral points, except for a fractional *komi*.

1.2 Not a problem


This is an endgame problem, with a twist. Normally a problem is formulated as: Black to play and win. But in this case it does not look like black can win, if both players play their moves in order of decreasing value.



Black to move, Japanese rules, *komi* 6.5, black has 2 prisoners.

1.3 Move sequence

The largest move would normally be located at 4, counting as one point *sente*. However, in this case black has an abundance of *ko* threats in the upper left part of the board, causing this location to be *gote*. That is why black's first move is elsewhere.



Black fills the last *ko*. Even though black has many *ko* threats in the upper left, he is required to fill this empty point, because there is a rule that states that direct *ko*'s must be connected, unless they are part of a *seki*. The empty point in a *seki* does not cause a problem, because in a *seki* no points are counted as territory.


The breakdown of territories shows a win for white by 0.5 points.

White	29.5	Black	29
upper left	13	upper left	8
bottom	10	upper right	4
komi	6.5	lower left	5
		lower right	10
		prisoners	2

White can do a little better by starting the *ko* at 13 instead of occupying a neutral point at 12. There are two *ko*'s on the board and black cannot win both. But what is the point? White already secures a win without capturing a *ko*.

1.4 Prediction

The question is whether the result can be predicted at the start, without putting any stones on the board.



The locations where points can be had are given a marking. The sizes of a move at the marked locations is given in the following table:

A	0.75
B	0.5
C	0.33
D	0.66
E	0.66
F	0.66

Black has 6.25 points on the upper side, on average. To the left of B black has 0.5 points, on average. To the left of C black has 3.66 points, on average. Below E black has 2.33 points, on average. To the left of F black has 2.33 points, on average. In the bottom right, black has 10 points. And black has 2 prisoner points. And black has the advantage of the first move.

The only insecure position of white is around D. The empty point in the south west of D can be counted as 0.33 points for white. White has 13 points in the upper left. White has 9 points on the right and lower side and white has 6.5 points of komi.

Summarized, white has 28.83 points and black has 27.07 points. Black also has *sente* and that is worth at least 0 points and at most the value of the largest move, that is 0.75 points. Now, even if black manages to get the full value of the largest move from his *sente* black still has only 27.82 points and that is less than what white has. So, the prediction is that white wins.


Starting from the initial counts, this is what changes with each move, looking at them from black's point of view:

1	0.75
4	-0.66
5	0.66
6	-0.66
7	0.5
ko	0.33

From this table, it can be seen that black gains 0.92 points, bringing the total to 27.99 points. There is a rounding error here. In the end there are only integral points, except for the 0.5 *komi*, so black achieves 28 points.

White gave up on the 0.33 points in *ko* and gets 28.5 points. White wins by 0.5 points.


1.5 Problem



Black to move, Chinese rules, *komi* 7.5. The same problem again, now under Chinese rules. Does this change anything?

1.6 Play-out

Moves shown are in order of decreasing value. The first move is considered one point *sente*, even though it is really *gote* in this problem.




Counting comes next. Black has 89 points on the board. The size of the board is 169 and because of the *komi*, black must achieve half of that, as well as half of the *komi*, that is $84.5 + 3.75 = 88.25$. So, black wins by 0.75 point.

Black wins by occupying the last neutral point as well as filling the last 1-point ko.

1.7 White tries the bottom


White should strive to create a secondary 1-point *ko* and prevent a third.



Black doesn't allow that to happen. Black wins.

1.8 White tries the top


White can try at the top but that location has more variations than the bottom.



White succeeds when black answers at 3. White wins.

1.9 Black thwarts white's plan


The alternative for black is to not answer the white move, preventing a secondary *ko*.



This is still a win for white.

1.10 Black creates a secondary ko

Anticipating a third *ko*, black creates a secondary *ko*.




White creates the third *ko* and immediately finishes it. White wins again.

Spoiler alert: the solution to the problem is on the next page.

1.11 Different start


Black tries a different first move.



And black succeeds. Move 1 makes *miai* of 4 and 5. Whether black has one *ko* or three does not matter. So, what happens if white takes the *ko* instead of 6?

1.12 White takes the ko


White is going for an exchange.



Black has 92 points and that is more than is needed. Black wins by $92 - 88.25 = 3.75$ points. White cannot play the *ko*. That means that 1.11 is the solution.

1.13 Explanation


There is no guarantee that the solution in 1.11 is correct.



Here is the reasoning behind the solution in 1.11: black takes away the possibility of a secondary *ko* at location A that white can create in *sente*. That leaves the positions at B and C *miai*. If white plays at B, black plays at C and there is only one *ko*. Or white plays at C and black plays at B and there are 3 *kos*. Either way is good for black. The positions at D and E are also *miai*, sort of. If white chooses to play at E, he gets the last neutral point, but it doesn't help, as black has made an extra point at D. That variation is shown below.

1.14 Variation


This variation doesn't help white either.



Black wins.

1.15 The real game


The real game was played on 19x19. Black had enough *ko* threats, although not as many as in the problem diagram. The solution of 1.11 is not available. The double *ko* only serves as a reminder that black has enough *ko* threats.



Black has 88 points. White wins by 0.25 points. Where did black go wrong?

1.16 Black wins


Black should have created a third *ko*.



Black fills the *ko* to the left of 1. Black has 89 points and wins by 0.75 points. Where did white go wrong?

1.17 White wins


White should not make the exchange at the bottom.



Black has 88 points. White wins by 0.25 points. Where did black go wrong? The answer to that question is negative: black cannot win if white plays the correct moves.

1.18 Steps


Going back to the beginning.



The first step is to identify areas on the board where endgame needs to be played. Neutral points are disregarded.

1.19 Sente

The next step is to look out for moves that can be played in *sente*.




The moves A-F are candidates and they are all white.

1.20 Counting

Each of the endgame moves needs to be valued, allowing them to be played in order of decreasing value.

1.20.1 Location A


0.0 points.




There is no endgame to be played at A. The black stones are dead in a double *ko*. This *ko* enables black with an unlimited amount of *ko* threats.

1.20.2 Location B


0.0 points.



There is endgame to be played at B, because the borders are not closed yet.




Black can close the borders and surround 3 points of territory.



White 1st

Or white can move first, like shown, and black should answer. That makes the white move a *sente* move. But it doesn't gain anything. Black still has 3 points locally.





White 2nd

Or white can play like this, directly exposing the weakness in black's shape. A double digit kyu player, or a dan player will naturally extend towards the edge. A single digit kyu player, having been bitten by this weakness more than once, might connect. That would be a mistake as it loses points. The endgame is all about points and weaknesses are far less important than they are in the middle game.

1.20.3 Location C


0.75 points.




Black

Black can close the borders and have 4 points of territory. This move threatens to connect underneath and white should respond with one of the *sente* sequences


at location B before it becomes too late.




White can play as shown. But this is not all of it. The white stone at j13 changes the endgame sequences at location B.



The move that black can play at B was already shown. White can play this sequence and reduce the black territory to 2 points. As both black and white have *gote* moves at this location, white can only count half the value gained and add that as a bonus to the move played at k13. That means that this move can be valued as 1½ point according to traditional counting, or 0.75 points as the value per move. There is a 2 move difference, that is why the value is divided by 2.



It may look like white has this *sente* sequence, assuming that black cannot play the *ko*. In this problem, black can play the *ko* and this sequence is not possible. And if it is, then black gets 4 points of territory instead of 3, causing the move to be worth -0.66 points for white. Not good for white.





Alternative

White has an alternative way of play, as shown here. This is *sente* for white and creates a secondary *ko*, something that white needs. The value of the move is 0.66 and as such inferior to the *gote* sequence.


1.20.4 Location D

0.5 points.



Black

Black can play here and have 1 point of territory.





White

Or white can play here and black has 0 points of territory. Endgame operates under the assumption that there are n copies of a local endgame, making it possible to calculate an average. Black has an average of 0.5 points and a move at this location is also worth 0.5 points.


1.20.5 Location E

0.33 points.



Black

Black can fill the *ko* and have 0 points of territory.





White

White can take the *ko*, and if white manages to win the *ko*, black has -1 points of territory. The total number of contested points is 1 and the difference is 3 moves. That is why a move at this location can be valued as 0.33 points.

1.20.6 Location F


0.66 points.





Black

Black can play as shown and prevent that white makes any territory here. On the contrary, the white stone that is threatened gives black 0.33 points of territory.





White

When white plays as shown, white has 1 point of territory. The difference between a black start and a white start at this location is $1\frac{1}{3}$ point, or 0.66 points per move.


1.20.7 Location G

1.0 points.



Black


When black plays first, black has 3 points of territory locally.




White

When white plays first, black should answer, or else have a group in *ko*. The difference is 1 point and because there is only one move difference between the two sequences, a move at this location can be valued as 1.0 points.

In the problem diagram, there is no need for black to answer. A move-tree diagram might help in the evaluation.







This move can be classified as a 1.0 *sente* move, if white gets the first move or 1.0 *reverse sente* if black gets the first move. When looked upon as a *gote* move the difference between a first black play and a first white play, followed by a white *sente* move is 2.66 points, or 1.33 points per move. That is more than the *sente* value, so black is likely to answer the first *sente* move, as that limits black's losses.

1.20.8 Location H

0.66 points.




Black




If black plays first, black has 3 points of territory.

White





If white plays first and black answers, black has 2.33 points of territory. The white stone at 1 is protected by *ko*.

Continuation



If white is allowed two moves at this location, black is down to 1 point of territory. As both moves seem to be *gote*, the expected result is the average, or 1.66 points. The average of that and the territory that black achieves when moving first is 2.33 points. The value of a move at this location is 0.66 points.





A picture of the move-tree might help. Black moves to the left; white to the right. All moves in the picture are worth 0.66 points. The expected value, as shown at the top is equal to the value at one of the leaves. That is a characteristic of a *sente* move. That is, a *sente* move doesn't gain anything. It merely establishes the value that was already there from the start. But the white move from the start to 1.66 is not really *sente*. The answer from black, or the follow-up by white is equal to the gain by the alledged *sente* move. It should be larger to allow the move to be classified as *sente*. The word *sente* comes with the connotation "should be answered" and in this case the urgency of the answer is missing. It so happens that the follow-up is as large as the initial move, but that's all that can be said about it. There is no urgent need to answer the first move.

1.21 Table

The endgame moves can now be tabulated.

move	value
A	ko-threats
B	0.0
C	0.75 if gote 0.66 if sente
D	0.5
E	0.33
F	0.66
G	1.0
H	0.66

1.22 Conclusion

It is only natural that moves are played according to their value, in decreasing order. That means that G should be played first, then C and then either F or H.

It so happens that black can only win by playing the last neutral point, followed by a white pass, followed by black filling the last *ko*.

In order to achieve that, black needs to hand over to white an even number of neutral points and an even number of moves in *ko*. Only that guarantees that black has the last move in both stacks of moves. It is also necessary that black has enough *ko* threats. White can use neutral points as *ko* threats. Black must answer by also occupying a neutral point in order to keep the stack of neutral points even. And then white can retake the 1-point *ko*. This will only make the game longer if black has enough *ko* threats.

So, black will definitely not play at F because that makes E and F *miai*, leaving no *ko* moves at all. Likewise, after black did play at F, white will not play at H, because if black answers, the number of moves in *ko* will be odd, allowing black to make them even, by taking the white stone at H.

After white did play at H and black answered, black could have won the game, had he not played at B. The move at B had acquired the same value as a move at D and that means there was no hurry to play either one of them. Black could have taken the stone at the bottom. That would even the number of moves in a *ko* and allow black to become victorious.

1.23 Glossary

Sente A *sente* move is a move that should be answered, because the answer is larger than a *gote* move elsewhere [1].

[1] Olof Hanner. *Mean play of sums of positional games*. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, vol. 9, issue 1.

Gote A move that loses *sente* is called *gote*.

Ko A *ko* is a situation where one stone captures one stone, leaving the capturing stone vulnerable to capture. Except that the *ko* rule forbids such an immediate recapture.

Miai Two moves are *miai* if they are interchangeable. In the case of the endgame that means they have the same value.


Komi Compensation that white receives, because black is allowed to move first, building up an advantage. The purpose of the *komi* is to make the contest even, allowing both players, if they are equal in strength, a winning percentage of around 50%.

1.24 Move trees

Move-trees of the other moves can also be created. They are not necessary but might be helpful in understanding this kind of notation.

1.24.1 Location C



0.75 points.



A move at this location is worth 0.5 points. But, as pointed out, there is a bonus of 0.25 points at location B, bringing the value to 0.75 points.

1.24.2 Location D



0.5 points.



A move at this location is worth 0.5 points.

1.24.3 Location E



0.33 points.



A move at this location is worth 0.33 points.

1.24.4 Location F

0.66 points.



A move at this location is worth 0.66 points.