

Any multiset which is complete after removing any two
elements remains complete after removing a specific
infinite set

Wouter van Doorn

Abstract

For an infinite multiset $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ of positive integers, define

$$P(A) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in B} B \subset A \text{ finite} \right\},$$

and assume that the equality $P(A \setminus \{a_i, a_j\}) = \mathbb{N}$ holds for all indices i and j . We then prove that a set $S \subset A$ of infinite cardinality exists for which $P(A \setminus S)$ still contains all positive integers.

1 Introduction

The set A of powers of two has the property $P(A) = \mathbb{N}$, while $P(B)$ misses infinitely many positive integers for any proper subset B of A . Similarly, the set A of Fibonacci numbers has the property that for all $a, b \in A$, $P(A \setminus \{a\}) = \mathbb{N}$, while $|\mathbb{N} \setminus P(A \setminus \{a, b\})| = \infty$.

In [1] Erdős and Graham more generally ask for which non-negative integers $m < n$ a multiset A exists for which $\mathbb{N} \setminus P(A \setminus S)$ is finite for any set S with $|S| = m$, whereas $\mathbb{N} \setminus P(A \setminus S)$ is infinite for any set S with $|S| = n$. This is listed as problem #348 at [2] and remains unsolved as of writing this. However, if we replace 'finite' and 'infinite' by 'empty' and 'non-empty' instead, then the aim of this short note is to show that for all $m \geq 2$ such an A does not exist for any n . In fact, we will even see that it is possible to remove an infinite subset S from A while still having $P(A \setminus S) = \mathbb{N}$.

2 Main result

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ with $1 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots$ be a multiset of positive integers and let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. We can then state our main result right away.

Theorem. *If $P(A \setminus S) = \mathbb{N}$ for all subsets $S \subset A$ with $|A| = m$, then an infinite subset $S \subset A$ exists such that $P(A \setminus S)$ still contains all positive integers.*

Proof. To prove this, we use the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 1. *If $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\} \subset A$ with $1 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots$, then $P(B) = \mathbb{N}$ if, and only if, the following inequality holds for all $k \geq 0$:*

$$b_{k+1} \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i. \tag{1}$$

A quick corollary to Lemma 1 is the following.

Lemma 2. *The multiset A has the property that $P(A \setminus S)$ contains all positive integers for all $S \subset A$ with $|S| = m$ if, and only if, the following inequality holds for all $k \geq -m$:*

$$a_{k+m+1} \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i. \quad (2)$$

Proof. If inequality (2) holds for all $k \geq -m$, then inequality (1) also holds for $B = A \setminus S$ with $|S| = m$. Conversely, if (2) does not hold for some k , then the integer $a_{k+m+1} - 1$ is not contained in $P(A \setminus \{a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_{k+m}\})$. \square

Assume from now on that A indeed has the property that $P(A \setminus S)$ contains all positive integers, for all $S \subset A$ with $|S| = m$. We may furthermore assume without loss of generality that a_i goes to infinity with i . The goal is to remove an infinite subset from A to get a multiset B for which $P(B)$ still contains all positive integers.

Choose $s_1 = a_1$ and, for any $j \geq 2$, $s_j = a_k$ where k is the smallest index such that $a_{k-1} > s_1 + \dots + s_{j-1}$. Note that this latter inequality implies in particular that for all $k \geq 2$, out of a_{k-1} and a_k at most one is in S . With $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\} = A \setminus S$, we then need to show inequality (1) for all $k \geq 0$.

Let $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_j = a_{j'}$ be those elements of S with $j' < k$. If $k = 0$, we deduce $b_{k+1} = a_2 = 1$ by Lemma 2. On the other hand, for $k \geq 1$ we have $b_k = a_{k+j}$, $b_{k+1} \leq a_{k+j+2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k+j} a_i - \sum_{i=1}^j s_i \\ &> 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k+j-1} a_i \\ &\geq a_{k+j+m} \\ &\geq a_{k+j+2} \\ &\geq b_{k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

References

- [1] P. Erdős, R.L. Graham, *Old and New Problems and Results in Combinatorial Number Theory*. Enseign. Math. (2), Volume 28, Enseignement Math., Geneva, 1980. Also available here.
- [2] T. F. Bloom, <https://www.erdosproblems.com>.