The cardinality of a set containing the pairwise sums of four integers

Wouter van Doorn

Abstract

Choi, Erdős and Szemerédi proved the existence of a constant c such that for any set $A \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$ with $|A| \ge n + c$ there exist four integers b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 with $b_i + b_j \in A$ for $1 \le i < j \le 4$. We show that one can take c = 2044.

1 Introduction

For an integer $k \geq 3$, let $g_k(n)$ be the smallest integer such that for all sets $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$ with $A \geq n + g_k(n)$, one can find distinct integers b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k (not necessarily contained in A) with $b_i + b_j \in A$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Choi, Erdős and Szemerédi introduced this function in [1], and proved many bounds on it. For example, they proved $g_3(n) = 2$, $g_4(n) \leq c$ for some constant c, $c_1 \log(n) < g_5(n) < c_2 \log(n)$ for some constants c_1, c_2 and $c_3\sqrt{n} < g_6(n) < c_4\sqrt{n}$ for some constants c_3, c_4 . They did not necessarily attempt to optimize all these constants however, and estimating the value of $g_k(n)$ is listed as problem #866 at [2]. In this short note we will (without claiming much originality ourselves) revisit their proof that $g_4(n)$ is bounded, and find an explicit value for it.

2 An explicit upper bound

The goal is to find an explicit value of c in the theorem of Choi, Erdős and Szemerédi.

Theorem 1. Let c be equal to 2044. If $A \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$ is any set with $|A| \ge n+c$ elements, then there exist distinct integers b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 with $b_i+b_j \in A$ for $1 \le i < j \le 4$.

In order to be able to prove this, we need a few lemmas, the first of which will essentially be a stronger version of the base case of Lemma A in [1].

Lemma 1. Let $y_1 < y_2 < \ldots < y_t$ be a sequence of integers and set $y := y_t - y_1$. If $t \ge y^{1/2} + y^{1/4} + 1$, then there exist integers x_1, x_2, x_3 with $x_2 \ne x_3$ such that $x_1, x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_3, x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ are all elements of the sequence. That is, the sequence contains all subset sums containing x_1 .

Proof. If a positive integer m and indices $i_1 < i_2$, $j_1 < j_2$ exist with $y_{j_1} - y_{i_1} = y_{j_2} - y_{i_2} = m$, then one can choose $x_1 = y_{i_2}, x_2 = y_{i_1} - y_{i_2}, x_3 = m$. However, if such an m and indices do not exist, then the sequence forms a so-called Sidon set, for which it is known that $t < y^{1/2} + y^{1/4} + 1$.

We will use the previous lemma to prove what is essentially the induction step of Lemma A in [1].

Lemma 2. Let $y_1 < y_2 < \ldots < y_t$ be a sequence of integers and set $y := y_t - y_1$. If $t \ge 2y^{3/4} + 1.03y^{1/2} + 5$, then there exist integers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 with x_2, x_3, x_4 distinct such that the sequence contains all subset sums containing x_1 .

Proof. There are a total of $\frac{1}{2}t(t-1)$ differences y_j-y_i in the interval [1,y-1]. Since one can check the inequality $\frac{1}{2}t(t-1)>2y(y^{1/2}+y^{1/4}+1)$, this implies there is an integer m that can be written in more than $t':=y^{1/2}+y^{1/4}+1$ fully disjoint ways as a difference $y_{j_1}-y_{i_1}=y_{j_2}-y_{i_2}=\ldots=y_{j_{t'}}-y_{i_{t'}}=m$. Now we apply Lemma 1 to this sequence $y_{i_1},y_{i_2}\ldots,y_{i_{t'}}$ to obtain x_1,x_2,x_3 , and set $x_4=m$. And x_4 is distinct from x_2 and x_3 , because if, say, $x_2=m$, then $x_1+x_2+x_4$ is both a y_{i_l} and a $y_{j_{l'}}$, contradicting the fact that these were disjoint.

As in [1], the previous lemma can be used to deduce the existence of integers whose pairwise sums are contained in A, if A contains sufficiently many even integers.

Lemma 3. Let $y_1 < y_2 < \ldots < y_t$ be a sequence of even integers and set $y := y_t - y_1$. If $t \ge 2y^{3/4} + 1.03y^{1/2} + 5$, then there exist distinct integers b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 such that the sequence contains all pairwise sums $b_i + b_j$ with $1 \le i < j \le 4$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 2 with
$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2}x_1$$
 and $b_i = \frac{1}{2}x_1 + x_i$ for $2 \le i \le 4$.

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1. With t the number of even elements of A, write t = c + d for some $d \ge 0$. Let us first assume that there are no even elements of A contained in the interval [4d + 4, 2n - 4d - 4].

In that case, there are either at least $t':=\left\lceil\frac{1}{2}t\right\rceil\geq\frac{1}{2}c+\frac{1}{2}d$ even elements of A in [2,4d+2], or at least t' even elements of A in [2n-4d-2,2n]. In either situation, with y=4d+2 we have an interval of length y with at least t' even elements. If we can prove $t'\geq 2y^{3/4}+1.03y^{1/2}+5$, then we are done with this case by Lemma 3. But this follows from the inequality $t'\geq\frac{1}{2}c+\frac{1}{8}(y-2)$ and the fact that $\frac{1}{2}c+\frac{1}{8}(y-2)\geq 2y^{3/4}+1.03y^{1/2}+5$ holds for all $y\geq 1$.

We may therefore freely assume the existence of an even element $2m \in A$ with $2d+2 \le m \le n-2d-2$. Now let (p,q) be a pair of odd integers in the interval I:=[m-4d-2,m+4d+2] with p < q and p+q=2m. With b_1,b_2 even integers such that $b_1+b_2=2m$ and $m-2 \le b_1 < b_2 \le m+2$, we have $p+b_1 \ge m-4d-2+m-2=0$, which implies $p+b_1 \ge 1$ as this sum is odd. On the other hand, $q+b_2 \le m+4d+2+m+2=2m+4d+4 \le 2n$. Since we have 2d+1 such distinct pairs (p,q) in I, while A misses t-c=d odd integers in the interval [1,2n], there must be a pair (p,q) in I such that b_1+p,b_2+p,b_1+q,b_2+q are all in A. We can then take $b_3=p,b_4=q$.

References

- [1] S.L.G. Choi, P. Erdős, E. Szemerédi, Some additive and multiplicative problems in number theory. Acta Arithmetica, vol. 27, 37–50, 1975. Also available here.
- [2] T. F. Bloom, https://www.erdosproblems.com.
- [3] A. Dimitromanolakis, Analysis of the Golomb ruler and the Sidon set problems and determination of large, near-optimal Golomb rulers.. Diploma thesis, Department of Electronic and Computer Engineering, Technical University of Crete, 2002. Also available here.