Any multiset which is complete after removing any two elements remains complete after removing a specific infinite set

#### Wouter van Doorn

#### Abstract

For an infinite multiset  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, \}$  of positive integers, define

$$P(A) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in B} B \subset A \text{ finite} \right\},\,$$

and assume that the equality  $P(A \setminus \{a_i, a_j\}) = \mathbb{N}$  holds for all indices i and j. We then prove that a set  $S \subset A$  of infinite cardinality exists for which  $P(A \setminus S)$  still contains all positive integers.

## 1 Introduction

The set A of powers of two has the property  $P(A) = \mathbb{N}$ , while P(B) misses infinitely many positive integers for any proper subset B of A. Similarly, the set A of Fibonacci numbers has the property that for all  $a, b \in A$ ,  $P(A \setminus \{a\}) = \mathbb{N}$ , while  $|\mathbb{N} \setminus P(A \setminus \{a,b\})| = \infty$ .

In [1] Erdős and Graham more generally ask for which non-negative integers m < n a multiset A exists for which  $\mathbb{N} \setminus P(A \setminus S)$  is finite for any set S with |S| = m, whereas  $\mathbb{N} \setminus P(A \setminus S)$  is infinite for any set S with |S| = n. This is listed as problem #348 at [2] and remains unsolved as of writing this. However, if we replace 'finite' and 'infinite' by 'empty' and 'non-empty' instead, then the aim of this short note is to show that for all  $m \ge 2$  such an A does not exist for any n. In fact, we will even see that it is possible to remove an infinite subset S from A while still having  $P(A \setminus S) = \mathbb{N}$ .

## 2 Main result

Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots\}$  with  $1 \le a_1 \le a_2 \le \ldots$  be a multiset of positive integers and let  $m \ge 2$  be an integer. We can then state our main result right away.

**Theorem.** If  $P(A \setminus S) = \mathbb{N}$  for all subsets  $S \subset A$  with |A| = m, then an infinite subset  $S \subset A$  exists such that  $P(A \setminus S)$  still contains all positive integers.

*Proof.* To prove this, we use the following well-known lemma.

**Lemma 1.** If  $B = \{b_1, b_2 ...\} \subset A$  with  $1 \le b_1 \le b_2 \le ...$ , then  $P(B) = \mathbb{N}$  if, and only if, the following inequality holds for all  $k \ge 0$ :

$$b_{k+1} \le 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i. \tag{1}$$

A quick corollary to Lemma 1 is the following.

**Lemma 2.** The multiset A has the property that  $P(A \setminus S)$  contains all positive integers for all  $S \subset A$  with |S| = m if, and only if, the following inequality holds for all  $k \ge -m$ :

$$a_{k+m+1} \le 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i. \tag{2}$$

*Proof.* If inequality (2) holds for all  $k \ge -m$ , then inequality (1) also holds for  $B = A \setminus S$  with |S| = m. Conversely, if (2) does not hold for some k, then the integer  $a_{k+m+1} - 1$  is not contained in  $P(A \setminus \{a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_{k+m}\})$ .

Assume from now on that A indeed has the property that  $P(A \setminus S)$  contains all positive integers, for all  $S \subset A$  with |S| = m. We may furthermore assume without loss of generality that  $a_i$  goes to infinity with i. The goal is to remove an infinite subset from A to get a multiset B for which P(B) still contains all positive integers.

Choose  $s_1 = a_1$  and, for any  $j \geq 2$ ,  $s_j = a_k$  where k is the smallest index such that  $a_{k-1} > s_1 + \ldots + s_{j-1}$ . Note that this latter inequality implies in particular that for all  $k \geq 2$ , out of  $a_{k-1}$  and  $a_k$  at most one is in S. With  $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots\} = A \setminus S$ , we then need to show inequality (1) for all  $k \geq 0$ .

If k = 0, we deduce  $b_{k+1} = a_2 = 1$  by Lemma 2. On the other hand, if  $k \ge 1$ , let j be the largest index such that with  $s_j = a_{i_1}$  and  $b_k = a_{i_2}$  we have  $i_1 < i_2$ . We then get  $b_k = a_{k+j}$ ,  $b_{k+1} \le a_{k+j+2}$  and

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k+j} a_i - \sum_{i=1}^{j} s_j$$

$$> 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k+j-1} a_i$$

$$\geq a_{k+j+m}$$

$$\geq a_{k+j+2}$$

$$> b_{k+1}.$$

# References

- [1] P. Erdős, R.L. Graham, Old and New Problems and Results in Combinatorial Number Theory. Enseign. Math. (2), Volume 28, Enseignement Math., Geneva, 1980. Also available here.
- [2] T. F. Bloom, https://www.erdosproblems.com.