

Automated Debugging ws 2022/2023

Prof. Dr. Andreas Zeller Paul Zhu Marius Smytzek

Exercise 4 (10 Points)

Due: 21. December 2022

Submit your solutions as a Zip file on your status page in the CMS.

We will provide you a structure to submit your solutions where each task has a dedicated file. You can add new files and scripts if you want, but you must not delete any provided ones. You can verify whether your submission is valid by calling python3.

```
python3 verify.py
```

The output provides an overview if a required file, variable, or function is missing and if a function pattern was altered. If you do not follow this structure or change it, we cannot evaluate your submission. A non evaluable exercise will result in 0 points, so make sure to verify your work before submitting it. Note that the script does not reveal if your solutions are correct.

Exercise 4-1: Do You Remember? (5 Points)

Do you remember *Exercise 1-2*? Please read this exercise again. You implemented a dynamic tracer and manually injected logging statements. Your goal for this exercise is to leverage a **NodeTransformer** to instrument the code and achieve the same results. Implement your solution in **exercise_1.py**.

As suggested by the debugging book, an alternative (but more efficient) way to implement a tracer is to statically inject code into the traced program. Now, you need to reimplement the RecursiveTracer class, but via AST transformation. You should first read this section to get a better understanding of how ASTs are transformed in Python. Your task is to implement a Transformer class that extends NodeTransformer from Python's ast module:

```
In [2]: from ast import FunctionDef, NodeTransformer

def log(*objects: Any):
    print(*objects)

class Transformer(NodeTransformer):
    def visit_FunctionDef(self, node: FunctionDef) -> FunctionDef:
        self.ori_name = node.name
        self.traced_name = node.name + '_traced'
        # TODO: your implementation
```

Call the provided log function for output in your transformed code. Please don't call print because the grading script will modify the body of log (but the type signature is kept). Also, don't modify self.ori_name and self.traced_name because the grading script will use them.

To figure out which AST transformations are required for tracing recursive calls, you may take an

example function and do the transformation manually. In the following, we introduce one such possible method for your reference, using the fib function as an example. Here is the transformed version fib_traced:

```
In [4]: _ = fib_traced(4)
        call with n = 4
          call with n = 3
            call with n = 2
              call with n = 1
              return 1
              call with n = 0
              return 0
            return 1
            call with n = 1
            return 1
          return 2
          call with n = 2
            call with n = 1
            return 1
            call with n = 0
            return 0
          return 1
        return 3
```

Compared with fib, the following changes are introduced:

- 1. An additional argument level (with default value 0) is used to keep track of the indentation level, or equivalently, the call stack depth.
- 2. At the beginning of the function body, a log statement (this log function provided by us) is inserted to output the calling arguments (following the format we defined earlier).
- 3. Every recursive call to fib is changed to fib_traced and the increased level level + 1 is passed as the additional argument.
- 4. Every return value (or expression) is wrapped with returned , a helper function that outputs the return value (following the format we defined earlier).

Now, it's your turn to generalize the above changes into the needed transformation on the AST of the original function. You may use functions <code>parse_expr</code> and <code>parse_stmt</code> (see <code>exercise_1.py</code>) to directly create ASTs from Python source code:

```
In [5]: from ast import expr, stmt
   from exercise_1 import parse_expr, parse_stmt
   from ast import dump
```

```
e: expr = parse expr('level + 1')
print(dump(e, indent=2))
s: stmt = parse_stmt('return foo(x)')
print(dump(s, indent=2))
# Note in Python, an expression `e` is also a statement `Expr(e)`
s1: stmt = parse stmt('foo(x)')
print(dump(s1, indent=2))
BinOp(
  left=Name(id='level', ctx=Load()),
 op=Add(),
  right=Constant(value=1))
Return(
 value=Call(
    func=Name(id='foo', ctx=Load()),
      Name(id='x', ctx=Load())],
    keywords=[]))
Expr(
  value=Call(
    func=Name(id='foo', ctx=Load()),
    args=[
      Name(id='x', ctx=Load())],
    keywords=[]))
```

Exercise 4-2: Slice It in Half! (5 Points)

In this exercise, you will reduce the source code of a program or items based on the slice of executions to produce a functional part of the code that reproduces the same results for the dependencies in this slice.

As an example, consider the middle() function below.

```
In [10]: def middle(x, y, z): # type: ignore
    if y < z:
        if x < y:
            return y
        elif x < z:
            return y
    else:
        if x > y:
            return y
        elif x > z:
            return z
```

When calling this function with middle(2, 1, 3), we would retrieve the following slice:

```
In [12]: with Slicer(middle) as slicer:
    middle(2, 1, 3)
    slicer.code()
```

```
1 def middle(x, y, z): # type: ignore
     2
           if y < z: # <= z (1), y (1)
*
     3
               if x < y: # <= x (1), y (1); <- <test> (2)
*
     4
*
     5
               elif x < z: # <= z (1), x (1); <- <test> (3)
                   return y # <= y (1); <- <test> (5)
     6
     7
          else:
     8
              if x > y:
     9
                  return y
    10
               elif x > z:
    11
                   return x
    12
           return z
```

The reduced function would then look something like this.

```
def middle(x, y, z):
    if y < z:
        if x < y:
        pass
    elif x < z:
        return y</pre>
```

To reduce the code, you need to implement the ReduceSlicer.reduce(self, sources: Dict[str, Tuple[str, int]]) -> str method in the ReduceSlicer class.

ReduceSlicer.reduce(self, sources: Dict[str, Tuple[str, int]]) -> str gets a dictionary of item names to the source code of an item and the line the source begins (you need both and remember the __name__ field on the first exercise). Your task is to find the essential lines based on the data and control-flow dependencies collected by the slicer; we recommend looking at the representation of said dependencies. You can access the dependencies with self.dependencies().data and self.dependencies().control inside the ReduceSlicer.reduce(self, sources: Dict[str, Tuple[str, int]]) -> str method.

We provide you with a NodeTransformer in the Reducer class that you can leverage to build the final code. You can use the Reducer in your ReduceSlicer.reduce(self, sources: Dict[str, Tuple[str, int]]) -> str method like the following:

```
result = ''
# For each item
reducer = Reducer('set of line numbers that are essential for this item')
# The signature of this constructor is Reducer.__init__(lines: Set[int])
tree = ast.parse('source of the current item')
# You need to put the actual source string of the item here that you get in
sources
new_tree = reducer.visit(tree)
result += ast.unparse(new_tree)
The output of ReduceSlicer.reduce(self, sources: Dict[str, Tuple[str, int]]) ->
str should be a single string that comprises all items reduced with the help of the slice.
```

The reduced part only needs to produce results for the execution of the slice and should result in None for other executions.

You may encounter empty blocks when reducing an item, but do not hesitate; the Reducer will automatically add a pass statement in those blocks to make your code executable.

Implement your solution in exercise_2.py.

```
The ReduceSlicer will be handled in the same way as a Slicer:
```

```
with ReduceSlicer(middle) as rs:
    m = middle(2, 1, 3)
```

The following code reduces the code:

```
_, start = inspect.getsourcelines(middle)
f = rs.reduce({middle.__name__: (inspect.getsource(middle), start)})
With exec(f) we can overwrite the existing items and use the newly reduced items instead.
```

Currently, there is a bug in the debuggingbook pip package within the Slicer module. If you encounter the following error:

```
NameError: name '_data' is not defined
```

You need to add the following fix to the python file where the Slicer is used:

```
from debuggingbook.Slicer import DependencyTracker
_data = DependencyTracker()
```

We already added these lines to the beginning of exercise_2.py to enable you a safe start.