

# Exercise Sheet #6: Trees and Forests and Supports etc.

Mhd Jawad Al Rahwanji, Wojciech Ptaś  
7038980, 7042843

January 24, 2023

## Problem 1 – Interpretability

- (a) *Interpretability* is our ability to interpret model inference. In other words, it is a measure of how easy it is for the user to understand the reasoning behind the output.
- (b) We rank the methods by their interpretability thus:
1. *Decision Trees*. Tracing the decision path down the tree shows exactly how the model got to the result.
  2. *Generalized Linear Models*. The intercept along with coefficient estimates can illustrate the weighting of each predictor and how it contributes to the estimation of the response.
  3. *Ridge Regression*. Similar to *GLMs* but regularizes the coefficients and scales them down without removing them.
  4. *LASSO*. Similar to *Ridge Regression* but uses L1-Norms so it allows predictor exclusion. Hence, it may produce more compact illustrations of predictor contribution.
  5. *Random Forests*. The ensemble models produce results that cannot be traced back to a single model nor to the original predictors for that matter.
  6. *Neural Networks*. Described as black boxes, are the toughest to interpret since they're made up of large weight matrices with hardly any patterns.
- (c) Of course. The model  $M$ , in this case *Neural Networks*, would cease to be a black box. On another note, *Distillation* would effectively provide us with an equivalent *Decision Tree* that is cheaper to compute with a marginal performance trade-off.