

★ EXAMPLE – FOR REFERENCE ONLY ★

CORRECTIVE AND PREVENTIVE ACTION (CAPA)
Form

CAPA Number: CAPA-2025-017	Date Initiated: 2025-01-08
CAPA Owner: Dr. Maria Schmidt, Quality Manager	Target Completion Date: 2025-03-15

Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Internal Audit <input type="checkbox"/> External Audit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customer Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> Nonconformance <input type="checkbox"/> PMS/Vigilance <input type="checkbox"/> Management Review <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier Issue <input type="checkbox"/> Process Deviation <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	Priority / Risk Level: <input type="checkbox"/> Critical (Patient Safety Impact) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High (Regulatory/Major Quality) <input type="checkbox"/> Medium (Moderate Impact) <input type="checkbox"/> Low (Minor / Improvement)
---	--

1. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION [ISO 13485 §8.5.2a / FDA 820.100(a)(1)]	
Problem Statement	<p>On 2025-01-06, customer complaint CC-2025-0042 was received from St. Anna Hospital.</p> <p>The complaint reported that 47 units of sterile urinary catheters (REF UC-200-16FR) from lot #L2024-1187 displayed an incorrect expiration date on the primary packaging.</p> <p>Label showed: EXP 2025-06 (incorrect) Correct date should be: EXP 2027-06</p> <p>The shortened expiration date would cause premature disposal of compliant product, resulting in unnecessary waste and potential supply issues for the customer.</p>
Affected Product(s) / Process(es)	Sterile Urinary Catheter, 16FR (REF UC-200-16FR), Lot #L2024-1187, 2,400 units produced
Reference Documents	CC-2025-0042, DHR-L2024-1187, NC-2025-0023
Impact Assessment	<p>Patient Safety: LOW – Product sterility and function not affected, only label error</p> <p>Regulatory: HIGH – Mislabeling violates 21 CFR 820.120 and EU MDR Annex I §23</p>

Business: MEDIUM – Customer trust affected, potential recall costs
Scope: 2,400 units distributed to 12 customers across EU and US markets

2. IMMEDIATE CONTAINMENT ACTIONS [FDA 820.100(a)(3)]

Containment Actions Taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Production line for UC-200 series stopped immediately (2025-01-06, 14:30)2. Remaining inventory of lot L2024-1187 quarantined (312 units in warehouse)3. Customer notification sent to all 12 affected customers within 24 hours4. Advisory issued: Product safe to use, apply corrective label over expiry date5. Corrective labels printed and shipped to customers (2025-01-08)6. No field safety corrective action (recall) required per risk assessment RA-2025-008
Containment Verified By	Thomas Weber, Production Manager – 2025-01-08

3. ROOT CAUSE INVESTIGATION [ISO 13485 §8.5.2b / FDA 820.100(a)(2)]

RCA Method Used	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5-Why Analysis</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fishbone / Ishikawa Diagram</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Fault Tree Analysis</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Pareto Analysis</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p>
Investigation Team	Dr. M. Schmidt (QM), T. Weber (Prod), K. Hoffmann (Labeling), S. Braun (QC)

5-Why Analysis (Fishbone diagram attached as Appendix A)

Why 1: Why was the wrong expiration date printed?

→ The label template contained an incorrect shelf life parameter (24 months instead of 48 months)

Why 2: Why did the template have the wrong shelf life?

→ Template was copied from UC-100 series (24-month shelf life) when creating UC-200 series

Why 3: Why wasn't the shelf life parameter updated after copying?

→ No checklist exists for verifying all parameters when creating new label templates

Why 4: Why wasn't the error caught during label approval?

→ Label approval SOP focuses on text/graphics review, no explicit verification against DHF/DMR data

Why 5: Why doesn't the SOP require DHF/DMR verification?

→ **SOP-LAB-002 was written in 2018 and has not been updated to include this critical check (ROOT CAUSE)**

Root Cause Statement	<p>ROOT CAUSE: SOP-LAB-002 "Label Design and Approval" lacks a mandatory verification</p> <p>step requiring cross-check of critical label parameters (expiration date, shelf life,</p>
----------------------	--

	<p>storage conditions) against the Device Master Record (DMR) and Design History File (DHF).</p> <p>CONTRIBUTING FACTOR: No standardized template creation checklist exists, allowing copy-paste errors to propagate undetected.</p>
Evidence / Data Supporting Root Cause	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review of SOP-LAB-002 Rev 3 confirms no DHF/DMR verification requirement• Interview with K. Hoffmann confirmed template was copied from UC-100• Label approval record LAB-2024-0892 shows no shelf life verification performed• DMR-UC-200 clearly states 48-month shelf life based on stability study STB-2022-014• Similar near-miss found in UC-150 template (caught before production)

4. CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN [ISO 13485 §8.5.2c-d / FDA 820.100(a)(3-4)]

#	Corrective Action	Responsible	Due Date	Status
1	Correct UC-200 label template, update shelf life to 48 months	K. Hoffmann	2025-01-15	COMPLETE
2	Revise SOP-LAB-002 to include mandatory DHF/DMR verification step	Dr. M. Schmidt	2025-02-01	COMPLETE
3	Create Label Template Creation Checklist (FRM-LAB-015)	K. Hoffmann	2025-02-15	COMPLETE
4	Train all labeling personnel on revised SOP and checklist	S. Braun	2025-02-28	COMPLETE
5	Audit all active label templates against DMR (32 SKUs)	QC Team	2025-03-15	COMPLETE

5. PREVENTIVE ACTION [ISO 13485 §8.5.3 / FDA 820.100(a)(3)]

Preventive Actions	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Implement automated label parameter validation in ERP system (Project IT-2025-04)<ul style="list-style-type: none">- System will flag mismatches between label template and DMR before print approval- Target implementation: Q3 20252. Add annual label audit to internal audit schedule (AUD-PLAN-2025 updated)3. Include labeling process in next Management Review (scheduled 2025-04-15)
Horizontal Deployment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other products reviewed for similar risk<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other processes reviewed for similar risk<input type="checkbox"/> Supplier/vendor notification required<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (justify below)
Justification / Notes	<p>All 32 active product label templates audited. One additional discrepancy found in UC-150 template (storage temperature) - corrected under NC-2025-0031.</p>

6. VERIFICATION OF IMPLEMENTATION [ISO 13485 §8.5.2e / FDA 820.100(a)(4-5)]

Verification Activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Actions completed as planned <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documentation updated (SOPs, WIs, Forms) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Training completed and documented <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Process/Design changes implemented <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Actions do not adversely affect product safety/performance
Evidence of Implementation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• SOP-LAB-002 Rev 4 effective 2025-02-01 (ECO-2025-0012)• FRM-LAB-015 "Label Template Creation Checklist" approved 2025-02-15• Training records TR-2025-0089 through TR-2025-0094 (6 employees)• Label audit report QA-RPT-2025-008 completed 2025-03-15
Verified By / Date	S. Braun, QC Supervisor – 2025-03-15

7. EFFECTIVENESS VERIFICATION [ISO 13485 §8.5.2f / FDA 820.100(a)(4)]

Effectiveness Check Date	2025-06-15 (90 days after last action completed)
Effectiveness Criteria	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Zero label-related nonconformances in 90-day monitoring period2. 100% compliance with new checklist (verified by audit of 10 random label approvals)3. Zero customer complaints related to labeling errors
Effectiveness Results	<p>Monitoring Period: 2025-03-16 to 2025-06-15</p> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Label NCs in period: 0 (vs. 3 in prior 90-day period) ✓• Checklist compliance audit: 10/10 approvals compliant (100%) ✓• Customer complaints (labeling): 0 ✓ <p>New label templates created in period: 4 (all verified against DMR per new SOP)</p>
Effectiveness Determination	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFFECTIVE - Root cause eliminated, no recurrence <input type="checkbox"/> PARTIALLY EFFECTIVE - Improvement observed, monitoring continues <input type="checkbox"/> NOT EFFECTIVE - New CAPA required (Reference: CAPA-____-____)

8. CAPA CLOSURE [ISO 13485 §8.5.2g / FDA 820.100(a)(6-7)]

Management Review Reference	MR-2025-Q2, Agenda Item 5.3, Meeting Date: 2025-07-10
Lessons Learned	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Legacy SOPs require periodic review to ensure they address current risks2. Copy-paste workflow for templates needs systematic verification controls

	3. Automated validation (ERP integration) should be prioritized for critical parameters 4. Cross-functional investigation teams improve root cause identification
--	--

APPROVALS		
CAPA Owner	Dr. Maria Schmidt	2025-06-20
Quality Manager	Dr. Maria Schmidt	2025-06-20
Management Representative	Hans Müller, CEO	2025-07-10

This form complies with ISO 13485:2016 §8.5.2-8.5.3, FDA 21 CFR 820.100, and EU MDR 2017/745 Annex IX requirements.
[Example template provided by QCore Consulting | qcore-consulting.de](#)