# INTENSIVE BIOSURVEY: HABITAT ASSESSMENT

Stream Name:		
County:	State:	
Investigators:		
Site (description):		
Latitude:	Longitude:	
Site or Man Number:		
Site of Map Number.		

Weather in past 24 hours:		Weather now:		
	Storm (heavy rain)		Storm (heavy rain)	
	Rain (steady rain)		Rain (steady rain)	
	Showers (intermittent rain)		Showers (intermittent rain)	
	Overcast		Overcast	
	Clear/Sunny		Clear/Sunny	

Sketch of site					
On your sketch, note features that affect stream habitat, such as: riffles, run outfalls, tributaries, landscape features, logging paths, vegetation, and road	s, pools, ditches, wetlands, dams, riprap, s.				

## **GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS**

1.	Water appearance:			Page 92
	θ Clear	θ Turbid θ Dark brown	θ Orange θ Greenish	
	θ Milky $θ$ Foamy	θ Oily sheen	θOther	
2.	Water odor:			
	θ Sewage		$\theta$ None	Page 92
	$\theta$ Chlorine	θ Rotten eggs	θOther	
3.	Water temperature	:		Page 92
		°C or	°F	
4.	Approximate width	of stream channel:		Page 93
		feet θ Measι	ured θ Estima	

## **LOCAL LAND USE**

(within about 1/4 mile of the site; adjacent and upstream)

5. Land uses in the local watershed can potentially have an impact on a stream. Check "1" if present, "2" if clearly having an impact on the stream.

Page 93

1 2 Residential

- $\theta = \theta$  Single-family housing
- $\theta = \theta$  Multifamily housing
- $\theta$   $\theta$  Lawns
- $\theta = \theta$  Commercial/institutional
- 1 2 Roads, etc.
- $\theta = \theta$  Paved roads or bridges
- $\theta$   $\theta$  Unpaved roads
- 1 2 Construction underway on:
- $\theta = \theta$  Housing development
- $\theta = \theta$  Commercial development
- $\theta = \theta$  Road bridge construction/repair
- 1 2 Agricultural
- $\theta = \theta$  Grazing land
- $\theta = \theta$  Feeding lots or animal holding areas
- $\theta \quad \theta \quad Cropland$
- $\theta = \theta$  Inactive agricultural land/fields
- I 2 Recreation
- $\theta \quad \theta \quad \text{Power boating}$
- $\theta = \theta$  Golfing
- $\theta \quad \theta \quad \text{Camping}$
- $\theta = \theta$  Swimming/fishing/canoeing
- $\theta = \theta$  Hiking/paths
- 1 2 Other
- $\theta = \theta$  Mining or gravel pits
- $\theta$  Logging
- $\theta = \theta$  Industry
- $\theta = \theta$  Oil and gas drilling
- $\theta = \theta$  Trash dump
- $\theta \quad \theta \quad \text{Landfills}$

Habitet	Category			
Parameter	Optimal	Suboptime	Merginal	Poor
1. Attachment Sites for Macro- invertebrates Page 93	Well developed riffle and run; riffle is as wide as stream and length extends 2 times the width of stream; coulders and gravel common.	Riffle is as wide as stream but length is less than 2 times width: cobble tess abundant; boulders and gravel common.	Hun area may be lacking; riffle not as with an attention and its length is less than 2 times the stream width: gravel or large boulders and bedrock prevalent; some cobble present.  10. 9 8 7 6	Riffles or run virtually nanexistent; large boulders and bedrock provalent; cabble lacking.
come		" " "	,	
9. Embaddedness Page 93	Fine sediment surrounds end tills in 0-25% of the living spaces around and in between the gravel, nobble, and boulders.	Fine sectionant surrounds and filts in 25-50% of the living spaces around and in between the gravel, cubble, end houlders.	Fine sediment surrounds and tota in 50 75% of the living spaces around and in between the gravel, cabble, and boulders.	Five entiment surrounds and fills in more than 75% of the bong spaces around and in between the gravel, pubble, and boulders.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10.0 8717 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Shelter for Fish Page 93	Sciegs, submorged logs, undercut banks, cobble and large rocks, or other stable habitet ere found in over 50% of the site.	Snags, submerged logs, undercut banks. cobble and lerge rooks, or other stable habitat are found in over 30-50% of the are.	Snaga, submerged logs, undercot banks, cobble and large rocks. or other stable habitat are found in over 10 30% of the site.	Snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble and large rocks, or other stable habitat are found in less than 10% of the site.
SCORF	20, 19 1B 17, 18	15 44 19 12 31	<u> 10. 9. 8. 7. 8</u>	-5 4 3 2:.1 Ω 1
4. Channel Atteration Page 93	Stream straightening, dredging, artificial embankments, dams or bridge abutments absent or minimal; stream with meandering pattern	Some etream straightening, dredging, artificial embankments or dams present, usually in areas of bridge shutments; no evidence of recent channal alteration activity.	Artificial embankments present to some extent on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream site streightened, drodged, or otherwise altered.	Backe shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream size straightened and disrupted.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	. 1 <b>5</b> 14 13 12 11 -	410 St 48 7 18 1	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Sediment Deposition Page 94 SCORE	Little or no enlargement of islands or point hars and less than 5% of the buttom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in ber formation, mostly trom coarse gravel; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, coarse sand on old and new bars; 30-60% of the borrow affected; sediment deposits at stream observations and bends; moderate deposition in pools.	Heavy deposits of final material, increased bar development; more then 50% of the bottom affected: pouls almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.

Hobitat	Category			
Paremeter	Optimal	Suboptimel	Marginal	Poor
6. Stream Velocity and Depth Combinations Page 94	Slow [< 1 ft/s]/deep (> 1.5 ft]; slow/shallow; fast/deep; tast/shallow combinations all present.	3 of the 4 velocity/depth combinations are present; fast current areas generally dominate.	Only 2 of the 4 velocity/depth combinations present. Score lower if fast current erees missing.	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth category (usually slow/shallow areas).
SCORE	20: 49: 48: 37, 36	18 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 5	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Channel Flow Status Page 94	Water reaches base of both lower banks and minimel emount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills > 75% of the available channel; < 25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Weter fille 25-75% of the avastable channel and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
SCORE	20  19  18  17   16	15 14 .13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Vegetotive Protection (score each bank) Page 95 Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces covered by natural vegetation, including trees, elhobs, or other plants; vegetative disruption, through grazing or mowing, minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70 90% of the streambank surfaces govered by antural vegetation, but one cless of plants is not well-represented; some vegetative disruption evident; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 2 inches or less in average stubble height.
SCORE (LB) SCORE (RB)	Tett Bank 10 .9 Right Bárik 10 .9	8 7 6 e 7 6	5 4::. 3 5 /4 3	2 1 0
9, Candition of Banks (score each bank) Page 95	Banke stable; no evidence of erosion or bank failure; little potential for future problems.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of crosion mostly healed over.	Moderately unstable; up to 60% of banks in site have areas of erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas: "rew" areas frequent along straight sections and hends; obvious bank collapse or failure; 80-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE (LB) SCORE (RB)	Left Bank (10) 9 Blight Bank (10) (19)	8 7 6 9 7 6	6 4 · 3 · 3 · 5 · 4 · · · ·3 ·	2 1 0 2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Page 95 SCORE (LR)	Width of riporian zone > 50 feet: no avidence of human activities (i.e., parking logs, roadhods, clear cuts, mowed areas, or crops) within the riparian zone.	Width of riparies zone 35-40 feet.	Width of riparion zone 20-35 feet.	Width of riparian zone
SCORE (RB)	Left Bank : 10 9. Bight Bank : 10 9	8 7 8 . 8 7 8	6 4 · 3 ·	2 1 0

Parameter  1. Sheher for	Optimal Snags, submerged logs,	Suboptimal	Magginel	
1. Sheher for	Enace submusued luon		Makaman	Poor
Page 99	underson banks, rubble or other stelle habitat found over 50% of the site, logs/snags are old fall.	Snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, rubble or other etaile habitat tound over 30-50% of the site; some old fall, but prequaderance of new fall.	Snags, submerged logs, malereut banks, rubble or other stable habitiat found over 10-30% of the site; appears (matable; some new fell	Sings, submerged logs, undercut broks, rubble or other stable habitish tound over less then 10% of the site; no old or new fall
SCORE	20 : 19 : 18 : 17 : 16	· 15, 14, 13, 112, 14	(O) 3 · 3 · (1) / (6	· B · 4 3 7 1 <u>0</u>
2. Pool Substrate Claracterization Page 100	Pools have mixture of substrate materials, with greval and firm sand prevalent; root mate and submerged vagetation common.	Pools have mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay substitete; mud may be dominant; some root mute and submerged vegetation present.	Pools have all mud or clay or send substrate, little or no roof mat; no submerged vogetation.	Poole have hard pan clay or bedrock substrate; no roof mat or vegetation.
	Even mix of large-	Majority of pools large	Shallow pouls much	Majority of pools
3. Paol Variability Page 100	shallow, large deep, small-shallow, small- deep pools.	desp; very few shallow.	more provolent than deep pools.	smell-shallow or pools absent.
SCORE	1-20 19 (18) 17, 15	-14.33 JZ:11	10 9 5 7 6	6 4 3 2 1 0
4. Channel Alteration Page 100	Stream straightening, dradging, artificial embarkmenta, dams or bridge abutments absent or minimal, stream with meandering pattern.	Some stream straightening, artificial embankments or dams present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; no evidence of recent channel alteration activity.	Artificial enhankments present to some extent on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream site straightened, dredged, or otherwise altered.	Banks shored with gakeen or coment; over 80% of the stream eite stream disnipted.
SCORE	20-19-48 17 18 ·	-15- 14, 13: 12, 11	10 9 8 7 6.	E 4 3 2 1 0
5. Sediment Deposition Page 100	Less than 20% of stream bottom affected by extensive sediment deposition; minor accumulation of fine and coarse parenal at snags and submerged vegetation; little or no enlargement of islands or point.	20-50% of stream bottom affected by extensive sediment deposition; moderate accumulation; substantial sediment movement only during major storm event; some new ancrease in bar formation.	50-80% of stream bottom affected by extensive sediment deposition; pools shallow, heavily silted; embankmants may be present on both banks; frequent end substantial sediment movement.	Greater than 80% of stream bottom affected by extension sediment denosition: Heavy deposits; mud. silt, and/or send in breided or nonbraided channels; pools almost absent due to deposition.
COORE	bars.	25 24 20 40 40 4	during storm events.	15 14 35 0 1 0
6. Channal Sinuosity Page 100	The bends in the stream would increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than it it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream would increase the stream length 2 to 3 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream would increase the stream length 2 to 1 times longer than it it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway ties been channelized.

#### MUDDY BOTTOM SAMPLING

Habitat	Catagory			
Paremeter	Optimal	Subaptimal	Marginal	Pinn
7. Channel Flow Status Page 100	Weter reaches beselved both lower banks and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.  20 18:18:17:18:	Water fills > 75% of the available channel; < 25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel end/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10-9-8-7-6	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing ponts  5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Vegetative Protection  Page 100  Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream	More than 90% of the streambank stufaces covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or non-woody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing mowing, minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by netive vegetation, but one clean of plents is not well represented; some vegetative disruption cyldent; more than unabalf of the potential plant stubble beight remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vagaration; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vagatation common; less than one-half of the potential plant strubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been regioned to 2 inches or less in average stubble height.
SCORE(LB) SCORE(RB)	Left Bánk. 1901 9 . Ríght Bánk 1101 9 .	.876 .8		2 1 0
9. Condition of Banks Page 100	Banks stable; no evidence of erosion or bank failure; little potential for future problems.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of crosion mostly healed over.	Moderately unstable: up to 60% of banks in with have areas of erosion; high crosion potential ditring floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas, "rew" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank collapse or failure, 60-100% of bank has crosional scare.
SCORE(LB) SCORE(AB)	Left Bank: 10 19 1 Alght Bánk: 1.10 (8) 1		.\$ .4 3. 5 .4 3.	.2: 1 0 . 2 1.T. D
10. Riparien Vegetativo Zone Width (score each benk riparian zone) Page 100	Width of spanian zone > 50 feet; human activities (i.e. perking lots, roadbeds, clear cuts, lawns, or crops) have not affected riparism zone.	Width of riperian zone 35-40 feet.	Width of riparian zone : 20 35 feet.	Width of riparian zone < 20 feet.
SCORE (LB) SCORE (RB)	Left Bank 10 .9x Right Bank 10 9	8. 1. 7 . 8.4 8 1 (7 1 8 . )	.5 ··1 3 "5' .'4··· 3;	2 1 0 :

Total Score \_\_\_\_

# **HABITAT ASSESSMENT GUIDE**

Percent Similarity to Reference Score	Habitat Quality Category	General Attributes
> 90%	Excellent	Comparable to the best situation to be expected within an ecoregion. Excellent overall habitat structure conducive to supporting healthy biological community.
75-88%	Good	Habitat structure slightly impaired. Diverse instream habitat generally well-developed. Some degradation of riparian zone and banks. A small amount of channel alteration may be present.
60-73%	Fair	Loss of habitat compared to reference. Habitat is a major limiting factor to supporting a healthy biological community.
< 58%	Poor	Severe habitat alteration at all levels.

NOTE: If your score falls between ranges consider the site's habitat assessment results and chemical data, if available, in making your decision.

0	vora	11	Assessment.	
U	vera	"	ASSESSIIIEIIL	_

Page 106

- Excellent
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Poor

#### **COMMENTS:**