

1. What is the result of executing the following code snippet?

Assume all required libraries are included and no compile-time/runtime errors occur.

```
int main() {
    list<int> myList;
    for (int i=1; i<6; i++)
        myList.push_back(i);

    for (list<int>::iterator it = myList.begin(); it != myList.end(); it++)
        *it = *it - 2;

    for (list<int>::iterator it = myList.begin(); it != myList.end(); it++)
        cout << *it << " ";

    return 0;
}
```

- A. **Correct Answer** -1 0 1 2 3
- B. None of the other options is correct.
- C. 1 2 3 4 5
- D. **Your Answer** 1 2 3 4
- E. -1 0 1 2

2. We have implemented the Stack ADT as an array. Every time the array is full, you resize the array creating a new array that can hold 3 elements more than the previous array and copy values over from the old array. What is the total running time for  $n$  pushes to the stack.

- A. **Correct Answer** **Your Answer**  $O(n^2)$ .
- B.  $1/3 * O(n)$ .
- C.  $O(n)$ .
- D.  $O(1)$ .
- E.  $O(\log n)$ .

3. In implementing Queue ADT, using which of the following data structure gives best asymptotic runtime for enqueue and dequeue? (Assume best possible implementation for queue using provided data structure)

- A. Doubly linked list with head pointer only.
- B. **Your Answer** Singly linked list with head and tail pointer.
- C. Doubly linked list with head and tail pointer.
- D. **Correct Answer** Exactly two of the other options are correct.
- E. Singly linked list with head pointer only.

4. Suppose we have implemented the Stack ADT as a singly-linked-list with head and tail pointers and no sentinels. Which of the following best describe the running times for the functions push and pop, assuming there are  $O(n)$  items in the list, and that the top of the Stack is at the head of the list?

- A.  $O(n)$  for push and  $O(1)$  for pop.
- B. None of the options is correct
- C.  $O(1)$  for push and  $O(n)$  for pop.
- D. **Correct Answer** **Your Answer**  $O(1)$  for both.
- E.  $O(n)$  for both.

5. Suppose `queue<int> q` contains 6 elements 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 (enqueued in that order). What is the result of executing the following code snippet? (Assume member function `front()` returns the value found at the front of the queue without removing it.)

```
for(int i = 1; i < 7; i++) {
    if(i % 2 == 1) {
        q.enqueue(q.front());
        q.dequeue();
    }
}
```

- A. even numbers in q are reversed.
- B. **Correct Answer** **Your Answer** elements in the front half of the original q are now in the back half.
- C. the front half of q contains even elements and the back half of q contains odd elements.
- D. odd numbers in q are reversed.
- E. q remains the same.