我们的一生会经历很多事情，多数事情无关紧要，无关是非，人生就是这些琐碎的堆积。

年轻的时候看《约翰.克里斯多夫》的时候多半内心里充满了激情，原因很简单，傅雷翻译的好，准确的翻译里面也能把握准确的情绪。所以在他的年代，拥有江小燕这样的粉丝不奇怪。

网上有很多江小燕因为文革期间收留了傅雷骨灰而面临后来的各种的人生困惑和改变，她的人生按照互联网上的标题来说叫“江小燕”放慢的一生。

《Denial 》电影是真实事件改编的电影，纳粹关于犹太人集中营的屠杀到底怎么定义成为了一个道德，言论自由，历史事实等各方面对女主人公的一个考验，以下摘录了其中的经典quotes.有一段话最让人思考：

“”When people glibly say,”oh,if I ‘d have have been in Germany,I would never collaborated, I ‘d have resisted” I just want to laugh do you have any ideas how dangerous and difficult it was?

Standing up to the enemy was arduous, and uncertain, and exhausting,

But they have to do it

Only in hindsight that things get called “heroic.” ,at the time you are just afraid, afraid of how things will turn out ?”

现在人会轻巧的说，如果当年在德国会怎么不和纳粹合作，怎么会拒绝。但说话的人何以懂得当时的情况多危险和困难？和敌人面对在当时来说已经非常的不确定和困难的，但总有很多人站起来面对了。我们不能事后诸葛亮的称之为“英雄”，谁知道事情的结果会怎样？

Freedom of speech means you can say whatever you want. What you can't do is lie, and then expect not to be held accountable for it. Not all opinions are equal and some things happen, just like we say they do. Slavery happened. The Black Death happened. The Earth is round. The ice caps are melting and Elvis is not alive.  
===  
I don't see any reason to be tasteful about Auschwitz. I say to you quite tastelessly that more women died on the back seat of Senator Edward Kennedy's car at Chappaquiddick than ever died in a gas chamber at Auschwitz.

Well, all historians make mistakes.  
-But there is a difference between negligence, which is random in its effect, and a deliberateness which is far more one-sided. All Mr. Irving's little fictions, all his tweaks of the evidence, all tend in the same direction,  
the exculpation of Adolf Hitler. He is, to use an analogy, like the waiter who always gives the wrong change.  
If he is honest, we may expect sometimes his mistakes to favor the customers, sometimes himself. But Mr. Irving is the dishonest waiter. All his mistakes work in his favor. How far, if at all, Mr. Irving's anti-Semitism is the cause of his Hitler apology, or vice versa, is unimportant. Whether they are taken together or individually  
it is clear that they have led him to prostitute his reputation as a serious historian in favor of a bogus  
rehabilitation of Adolf Hitler and the dissemination of virulent anti-Semitic propaganda.

I'd be prepared to accept that the BBC should have a dinner-jacketed gentleman reading the important news... followed by a lady reading the less important news... followed by Trevor McDonald giving us all the latest news on muggings and drug busts.  
===  
My question is this, if somebody is anti-Semitic and extremist, he is perfectly capable of being honestly  
anti-Semitic, yes? He's holding those views and expressing those views because they are indeed his views?  
-Well, yes.  
And so it seems to me, if it comes down to it, that the anti-Semitism is a completely separate allegation and has precious little bearing on your broader charge that he has manipulated the data?