

---

## DOCUMENT SUMMARY

This document provides a comprehensive synthesis of the landmark 2025 Nature Genetics paper by Litman et al., "Decomposition of phenotypic heterogeneity in autism reveals underlying genetic programs." The research challenges the monolithic view of autism by using a person-centered computational model on a large cohort (over 5,000 children) to identify four robust, clinically relevant, and genetically distinct autism subtypes. The study demonstrates that these subtypes are not arbitrary but correspond to unique genetic profiles, including different patterns of common, de novo, and rare inherited variants. Most profoundly, the paper reveals that the genes associated with these subtypes have different developmental expression timelines, with some active prenatally and others postnatally, aligning directly with the clinical presentation and age of diagnosis for each group. This groundbreaking work provides a new, multi-dimensional framework for understanding the biological basis of autism's heterogeneity, moving from a single "puzzle" to distinct, solvable puzzles with unique mechanistic pathways.

## FILENAME

litman\_2025\_research\_report\_autism\_phenotypic\_heterogeneity\_genetics.md

## METADATA

Category: RESEARCH

Type: report

Relevance: Core

Update Frequency: Static

Tags: [#autism, #neurodiversity, #genetics, #phenotype, #subtypes, #person-centered, #spark-cohort, #developmental-neuroscience, #heterogeneity, #paradigm-shift]

Related Docs: This paper provides the direct scientific evidence for the claims made in the "Neurodiversity Learning and Retention Research" guide and should be linked to it as a primary source. It provides a biological basis for the "Kaleidoscope of Mind" concept. It also complements the Miller et al. (2002) paper by demonstrating the critical importance of moving beyond group averages to understand individual differences.

Supersedes: N/A

## FORMATTED CONTENT

# Decomposition of Phenotypic Heterogeneity in Autism Reveals Underlying Genetic Programs

Based on the work of Aviya Litman et al. (2025)

## Introduction: Deconvoluting the Complexity of Autism

Unraveling the phenotypic and genetic complexity of autism is one of the most significant challenges in neuroscience, yet it is critical for understanding the biology, inheritance, and clinical manifestations of the many forms of the condition. At its core, autism is characterized by persistent deficits in social communication and interaction, alongside restricted and repetitive patterns of behavior. However, with the widening of diagnostic criteria, the **heterogeneity** within the autistic population has become immense.

Previous research has been limited by "trait-centric" approaches, attempting to link single traits to genetic factors. This method is flawed because traits are not independent; they interact in complex ways during development. This study pioneers a **person-centered approach**, considering the holistic combination of traits within each individual.

Using a generative mixture modeling framework, we decompose phenotypic information to identify, validate and replicate four latent classes, allowing us to associate each of them with different genetic programs.

By analyzing broad phenotypic data (239 features) and matched genetics for over 5,000 autistic children in the **SPARK cohort**, this research identifies robust, clinically meaningful subtypes. The study demonstrates that these distinct phenotypic classes correspond to different underlying genetic and molecular programs, including common, **de novo (DNVs)**, and **rare inherited variants**. Most remarkably, it reveals that class-specific differences in the developmental timing of affected genes align directly with the different clinical outcomes, providing a new map for understanding the diverse realities of autism.

## Identifying the Four Biologically Distinct Subtypes of Autism

Using a general finite mixture model (GFMM) that accommodates diverse data types, the study identified four stable and replicable latent classes, or subtypes, of autism. These classes differed not only in the severity of core symptoms but also in the profile of co-occurring cognitive, behavioral, and psychiatric conditions.

The four subtypes identified are:

1. **Social/Behavioral (37% of participants):** This group demonstrates high scores across core autism categories (social communication, repetitive behaviors) as well as co-occurring challenges like **ADHD**, anxiety, and depression. Critically, they tend to reach early developmental milestones (like walking and talking) on time, similar to their non-autistic peers.
2. **Mixed ASD with Developmental Delay (DD) (19% of participants):** This class is characterized by significant delays in developmental milestones. However, they show a more nuanced profile of core traits and, importantly, do not typically present with the co-occurring psychiatric conditions (ADHD, anxiety, depression) common in the first group.
3. **Moderate Challenges (34% of participants):** Individuals in this class score consistently lower (fewer difficulties) on core autism traits compared to other autistic children. They typically meet developmental milestones on time and do not have co-occurring psychiatric diagnoses. While their challenges are "moderate" relative to other autistic groups, they still score significantly higher on diagnostic questionnaires than their non-autistic siblings.
4. **Broadly Affected (10% of participants):** This group displays the most significant and wide-ranging challenges, with high scores across all measured categories: core autism

traits, developmental delays, and co-occurring psychiatric conditions like ADHD and anxiety.

These data-driven classes were validated with external clinical data not included in the model. For example, the **Mixed ASD with DD** and **Broadly Affected** classes had the earliest age of diagnosis and the highest rates of reported cognitive impairment. The **Social/Behavioral** class had high rates of ADHD and depression diagnoses, perfectly matching their phenotypic profile. The classes were also successfully replicated in an independent, deeply phenotyped autism cohort, the Simons Simplex Collection (SSC), confirming their robustness.

## The Dissimilar Genetic Signals Underlying Each Subtype

The study's most significant contribution is the discovery that these four distinct phenotypic classes are underpinned by different genetic architectures. By separating the cohort into these meaningful subtypes, previously masked genetic signals became clear.

### Common Variants and Polygenic Scores (PGS)

The analysis of polygenic scores (PGS)—which measure the cumulative effect of thousands of common genetic variants—revealed patterns that matched the clinical profiles:

- The **Broadly Affected** and **Social/Behavioral** classes showed significantly higher PGS for **ADHD** than other classes and non-autistic siblings.
- The **Social/Behavioral** class also had the highest PGS for **major depressive disorder**.
- The **Broadly Affected** class, which has the most significant cognitive challenges, exhibited significantly lower PGS for educational attainment and IQ.

This demonstrates that the co-occurring conditions that define these subtypes are not random but are linked to a shared, common genetic architecture.

### Rare Variants: De Novo vs. Inherited Mutations

The analysis of rare, high-impact genetic variants revealed a clear divergence between the subtypes:

- **De Novo Variants (DNVs):** These are spontaneous mutations that are not inherited from parents. The **Broadly Affected** class had the greatest burden of high-impact DNVs, significantly more than any other group. This suggests that for this group, a significant portion of their neurobiology is driven by spontaneous genetic events.
- **Rare Inherited Variants:** These are uncommon variants passed down from parents. The **Mixed ASD with DD** class was the *only* group to show a statistically significant increase in rare inherited variants. Their genetic profile suggests a stronger inherited component compared to the other classes.

Our analyses differentiated the two classes with greater intellectual disability and developmental delays, showing that the Broadly affected class had more high-impact de novo variants (DNVs), whereas the Mixed ASD with DD class had a combination of high-impact de novo and rare inherited variants... suggesting a stronger inherited component for the children in this class.

By parsing the heterogeneity, the study also uncovered a previously hidden signal. While prior research found no link between autism and variants in genes of "intermediate constraint," this study revealed that the **Moderate Challenges** class *does* have a significant enrichment of DNVs in these less-essential genes. This suggests that the nature of the genes affected—not just the presence of a mutation—is critical to the outcome.

## Unique Molecular Pathways and Developmental Timelines

The genetic differences between the subtypes point to distinct underlying biological mechanisms. When the researchers analyzed which biological processes and molecular functions were affected by the high-impact variants in each class, they found very little overlap.

- The **Moderate Challenges** and **Social/Behavioral** classes showed enrichment for processes related to **chromatin organization** and **histone modification**—fundamental mechanisms that regulate which genes get turned on or off.
- The **Mixed ASD with DD** class was uniquely characterized by disruptions in processes related to **neuronal action potential** and **voltage-gated sodium channel activity**—the basic mechanics of how neurons fire and communicate.
- The **Broadly Affected** class showed strong associations with **FMRP target genes**. FMRP is the protein absent in Fragile X syndrome, a condition associated with intellectual disability, anxiety, and hyperactive behaviors—a profile that closely mirrors this subtype.

### The Most Profound Finding: Developmental Gene Expression Timelines

The study's most revolutionary finding came from analyzing when the genes affected in each class are typically expressed during brain development.

- **Prenatal Expression:** The **Mixed ASD with DD** class was highly enriched for variants in genes that are primarily expressed *prenatally* (in utero and during the neonatal stage), with their expression declining later in development.
- **Postnatal Expression:** In stark contrast, the **Social/Behavioral** class was enriched for variants in genes that are most highly expressed *postnatally*, becoming active later in infancy and childhood.

These developmental gene expression patterns were aligned with the developmental clinical milestones of the classes.

This neurobiological finding provides a stunning explanation for the clinical observations:

- The **Mixed ASD with DD** class, with its prenatally expressed genetic variants, shows the earliest signs of difference, including significant delays in early milestones like walking and talking, and receives the earliest average age of diagnosis.
- The **Social/Behavioral** class, with its postnatally expressed genetic variants, shows less impact on early development. They tend to meet early milestones on time, with their distinct social and behavioral traits becoming more apparent later, leading to a later average age of diagnosis.

This discovery fundamentally reframes our understanding of autism's origins. It moves away from a single, prenatal narrative and provides a concrete biological basis for the reality that for a

significant portion of autistic individuals, their unique neurobiology unfolds on a postnatal timeline.

## Discussion and Implications

This research demonstrates that a **person-centered** quantitative analysis is crucial for uncovering the distinct phenotypic and genetic realities hidden under the single umbrella of "autism."

By leveraging a person-centered approach, we reveal that these robust, phenotypically separable classes display characteristic patterns of genetic variation. Unlike previous approaches, our analyses directly associate genetic signals with sets of co-occurring phenotypes and further implicate specific affected pathways, brain cell types and developmental stages.

The clear separation of genetic signals for each class establishes a concrete set of hypotheses that can now be tested, pointing to new directions for biologists and neuroscientists. This work offers the potential for more precise clinical diagnosis and guidance, and it raises the possibility that different subtypes may respond differently to various interventions. It confirms that autism is not one thing, but many things, and that only by embracing this heterogeneity can we truly begin to understand its complexity and support the diverse needs of autistic individuals.