

From: Patrick Walsh pwalsh@ccsa.org 
Subject: Re: Reject Aspire ERES application for Measure G1 money
Date: August 22, 2017 at 4:27 PM
To: Jumoke Hinton Hodge jumoke.hintonhodge@ousd.org

PW

Thanks.

Also, I think Nina is playing games again. The Commission approved some county-authorized proposals but they were not included in this distribution. It really upsets me that she's going back on her word again.

Thanks,

Patrick

From: Jumoke Hinton <jumoke.hintonhodge@ousd.org>
Date: Tuesday, August 22, 2017 at 4:18 PM
To: Patrick Walsh <pwalsh@ccsa.org>
Subject: Fwd: Reject Aspire ERES application for Measure G1 money

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: jim mordecai <jim2812@hotmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 22, 2017 at 4:10 PM
Subject: Reject Aspire ERES application for Measure G1 money
To: Shanthi Gonzales <shanthigonzales@gmail.com>, Nina Senn <nina.senn@ousd.k12.ca.us>, Aimee Eng <Aimee.Eng@ousd.k12.ca.us>, Jody London <Jody.London@ousd.k12.ca.us>, James Harris <james.harris@ousd.k12.ca.us>, Roseann Torres <roseann.torres@ousd.k12.ca.us>, Jumoke Hodge <jumoke.hodge@ousd.k12.ca.us>
Cc: Trish Gorham <oaklandeapresident@yahoo.com>, Becky Flanigan <bflanigan@cta.org>, Doug Appel <dappel@cta.org>

Board Members:

Wednesday night, 8/23/17, ou should vote no to reject Aspire ERES Academy application for \$16,769 of Measure G1 parcel tax funding because they did not follow the template that the Measure G1 Commission created.

Community's assessment of a school's curriculum and the Community's priority in improving a school curriculum is suppose to be important basis for Measure G1 spending decisions. And, the Board values Community being involved in assessment of instruction.

But. the onlv minutes and dates provided by ERES application regarding Community

involvement was a single meeting with the ERES Community in March called the Chat with the Principal. Keep in mind that the Commission did not become active and organized until May, yet ERES application was referencing a single Community Meeting from March. The minutes show no indication that the Community was asked to assess the school's instructional program and set priorities for instructional improvement.

Measure G1 requires the Board only to approve education improvement plan that include, at minimum:

- Completion of a diagnostic self-evaluation of the school's curricular and safety needs, including an assessment of community demand for arts, music, and language education.
- Annual and Three-Year accountability indicators toward achieving one or more of the stated goals of this Measure.
- Evidence-based strategies designed to meet the accountability indicators.

Clearly ERES application Community meeting did not assess Community priorities for arts, music and language education as a minimum required above and therefore the Board must vote to reject this application.

Keep in mind that the funding according to Measure G1 was not suppose to begin until the end of this fiscal year. ERES has plenty of time to hold Community meeting and do the right kind of assessment called for by Measure G1.

Mohammad Mordecai

Sent from [Outlook](#)

--

Jumoke Hinton Hodge, Director

Board of Education

Oakland Unified School District (OUSD)

Suite 300, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94607-4099

Phone: (510) 918-4403 (c)

Email: jumoke.hintonhodge@ousd.org

www.ousd.org

Every Student Thrives!
!Cada Estudiante Progresa!