

# 1 Introduction

In this proposal we approach problems relating to structural graph theory, with the main focuses being on graph product structure theory as well as graph minor theory. The four problems we wish to tackle are as follows:

1. What is the size of the largest grid minor that can be found in a general graph product?
2. What is the best possible bound for the track number of planar graphs?
3. Can one embed any planar graph of max degree  $\Delta$  in the product of  $H \boxtimes P \boxtimes K_c$  where  $H$  has treewidth 2,  $P$  is a path, and  $c$  is bounded by a function of  $\Delta$ ?
4. Is it true that for every planar graph  $G$ , there exists a bounded treewidth graph  $H$  and a path  $P$  such that  $G \subseteq H \boxtimes P$  and  $\text{tw}(H \boxtimes P) \in O(\text{tw}(G))$ ?

We begin by introducing structural graph theory background relevant to multiple problems of interest. We solve the first problem by presenting a tight bound on the size of the largest grid minor in the Cartesian, strong, and lexicographic product, presenting a constructive argument to show that the product of two  $n$ -vertex connected graphs will contain a  $\Theta(\sqrt{n}) \times \Theta(\sqrt{n})$  sized grid minor. A summary of these details is provided in Section 3, while the full work on this problem can be found in [3]. Finally for problems 2, 3, and 4, preliminary work and progress, as well as the relevant literature for each, is presented.

## 2 Background

### 2.1 Treewidth

A *tree-decomposition* of a graph  $G$  is a collection  $(B_x : x \in V(T))$  of subsets of  $V(G)$  (called *bags*) indexed by the vertices of a tree  $T$ , such that for every edge  $uv \in E(G)$ , some bag  $B_x$  contains both  $u$  and  $v$ , and for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , the set  $\{x \in V(T) : v \in B_x\}$  induces a non-empty (connected) subtree of  $T$ . The *width* of  $(B_x : x \in V(T))$  is  $\max\{|B_x| : x \in V(T)\} - 1$ .

The *treewidth* of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{tw}(G)$ , is the minimum width of a tree-decomposition of  $G$ .

It is hard to understate the importance of treewidth in the current literature. It is a ubiquitous parameter in structural graph theory, measuring, in loose terms, how close a graph is to a tree. Treewidth was first introduced as *dimension* by Bertelé and Brioschi [1, pp. 37–38] in 1972, then rediscovered by Hadlin [4] in 1976. The parameter was popularized when it was once again rediscovered by Robertson and Seymour [5] in 1984 and has since been at the forefront of structural graph theory research. Graphs of bounded treewidth are of particular interest due to the wide implications of their tree-like structure. For example, Courcelle’s Theorem [2] proves that for many problems that are

NP-Hard or NP-Complete on general graphs, they can be solved in linear time on graphs of bounded treewidth.

## 2.2 Graph Product Structure Theory

Another important area of structural theory is Graph Product Structure Theory. This area of research studies complex graph classes by modelling them as a product of simpler graphs and investigating the properties of these highly structured supergraphs to investigate their more complex subgraphs. The three graph products we focus on are the Cartesian product, the strong product, and the lexicographic product.

The *Cartesian product* of two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , denoted  $G_1 \square G_2$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G_1 \square G_2) = V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$  where two distinct vertices  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  are adjacent iff

- $u_1 = u_2$  and  $(v_1, v_2) \in E(G_2)$ , or
- $v_1 = v_2$  and  $(u_1, u_2) \in E(G_1)$ .

The *strong product* of two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , denoted  $G_1 \boxtimes G_2$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$  where two distinct vertices  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  are adjacent iff

- $u_1 = u_2$  and  $(v_1, v_2) \in E(G_2)$ ,
- $v_1 = v_2$  and  $(u_1, u_2) \in E(G_1)$ , or
- $(u_1, u_2) \in E(G_1)$  and  $(v_1, v_2) \in E(G_2)$ .

The *lexicographic product* of two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , denoted  $G_1 \cdot G_2$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$  where two distinct vertices  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  are adjacent iff

- $(u_1, u_2) \in E(G_1)$
- $u_1 = u_2$  and  $(v_1, v_2) \in E(G_2)$ .

It is important to note that while the Cartesian and strong products are commutative, the lexicographic product is not. An additional important property that follows from the above definitions is that

$$G_1 \square G_2 \subseteq G_1 \boxtimes G_2 \subseteq G_1 \cdot G_2.$$

## 2.3 Graph Minors and Grids

The final area of structural graph theory that is of particular interest for this proposal is graph minor theory. A graph  $H$  is a *minor* of a graph  $G$  if a graph isomorphic to  $H$  can be obtained from a subgraph of  $G$  through a sequence of edge contractions, edge deletions, and vertex deletions. Finding a highly structured minor of a graph  $G$  allows us to use properties of the minor to study  $G$  itself. In particular, we are interested in studying the largest  $k$  such that the  $k \times k$  grid graph, denoted  $\boxtimes_k$ , is a minor of  $G$ . We denote this number  $\text{gm}(G)$ . The relation between grid minors and graph products is very natural due to the fact that  $\boxtimes_k$  is the Cartesian product of two  $k$ -vertex paths and the fact that the grid graphs are canonical examples of graphs of large treewidth, with  $\text{tw}(\boxtimes_k) = k$ .

## References

- [1] Francesco Bertelè and Umberto Brioschi. *Nonserial Dynamic Programming*. Academic Press, 1972.
- [2] Bruno Courcelle. The monadic second-order logic of graphs. I. Recognizable sets of finite graphs. *Inform. and Comput.*, 85(1):12–75, 1990.
- [3] Vida Dujmović, Pat Morin, David R. Wood, and David Worley. Grid minors and products. 2024.
- [4] Rudolf Halin.  $S$ -functions for graphs. *J. Geometry*, 8(1-2):171–186, 1976.
- [5] Neil Robertson and P.D Seymour. Graph minors. III. Planar tree-width. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, 36(1):49–64, 1984.