**Prehľadávanie stavového priestoru - Assignemnt 1**

1. Task definition

In this assignment I was given problem D. Which was to compare results of 2 different heuristics with the use of greedy algorithm. The algorithm is used to try to solve given puzzle board to look like another board. The program will either print out that it is not possible or give you the correct order of operators to use to solve the puzzle.

For example if picture A can be moved around to look like picture B.

**Picture A)** **Picture B)**

A picture containing text, clock

Description automatically generated Table

Description automatically generated with low confidence

The only way you can change the board is to move the neighboring tiles to an empty slot. In this scenario it is possible by using move operators in this order: [RIGHT, DOWN, LEFT, UP]. By using this order of operators, the board on picture A will look like the board on picture B. Therefore, it is solvable.

Operators = [UP, DOWN, LEFT, RIGHT]

2. Algorithm used

To solve this problem a simple algorithm is used:

1. Create first node with starting board and store in yet not processed nodes.
2. If no unprocessed nodes exist, end with failure, meaning no possible solution exists
3. Fetch best next node from still unprocessed nodes and set it to current node (best = node with lowest heuristic value, how I calculate heuristic is explained down in point 3)
4. If this node has heuristic value 0 end with success and print out solution
5. From possible operators (where can you move next) create children nodes and store current node to processed nodes.
6. Store children nodes to yet unprocessed nodes.
7. Go back to step 2

After this algorithm successfully runs, we will know if given boards are possible to solve or not. And if yes how to solve them



3. Heuristic calculation

As I mentioned before the point of my assignment is to compare results of 2 different heuristics. So, let’s see how we calculate them.

3.1 Heuristic 1:

This is calculated by sum number of tiles that are different from wanted board. Example:

Board A: Wanted board:

In this case tiles with values 5,6,8 are not in the correct state meaning heuristic value for board A =3.

3.2 Heuristic 2

This is calculated offset of X and Y coordinates from wanted board.

Board A: Wanted board:

A picture containing text, clock

Description automatically generated Calendar

Description automatically generated with medium confidence

Now value for board A will look like this: 4 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 = 15

This value is stored in node and based on it we choose which node will be processed next.

4. Code

4.1 Node

Text

Description automatically generated

This node stores current state of board, it’s parent node which is used at the end to determine the correct order of operators to solve given matrix and heuristic value of current board state. This is all the information that I needed to store in order to solve this problem. These nodes get stored in dictionaries by creating a hash from their board. They get stored in dictionary processed nodes if they have been already processed or in dictionary unprocessed nodes if they yet still wait to be processed.

4.2 Hashmaps class

Text

Description automatically generated

This class stores 2 dictionaries. One for already processed nodes and one for still unprocessed nodes.

In the picture you can also see how I create hash. The function takes one argument matrix from which is created unique hash that is used to store nodes in dictionaries. Class also has other methods like add\_processed, check\_processed, add\_unprocessed and others that are self-explanatory.

4.2.1 Find best next node

Text

Description automatically generated

This is the function that I use to find node with the lowest heuristic value from unprocessed node. This is a crucial part of the algorithm because it narrows down which operator was best to use and removes that node from unprocessed. Meaning we will know that node was already processed.

4.3 Helper class

Text

Description automatically generated

This class contains many methods. Heuristic calculations, creation of nodes, finding possible operators and overall processing of a node and others. The main class Puzzle uses this class to solve this puzzle

4.4 Puzzle class

Text

Description automatically generated

This is the main class that start the program. At takes as an argument starting state board, wanted state board and heuristic\_config to set which heuristic calculation it should use. The correct examples of arguments:

start/end = [[1, 2, 3], [4, 5, 6], [7, 8, ‘m’]] – where ‘m’ represents empty slot in board

heuristic\_config = 1 or 2 | where 1 = heuristic\_1 calculation, and 2 = heuristic\_2 calculation

One thing to note is that ‘start’ and ‘end’ have to have the same m\*n size, but ‘m’ and ‘n’ can be whatever value you want

4.4.1 Solve method

Text

Description automatically generated

This method solves the problem. The while loop inside repeats itself until it finds a node with heuristic value 0 meaning solution does exists or when dictionary with unprocessed nodes is empty meaning a solution does not exist. After that it find the correct order of operators and prints it out to console

5. Testing

In these tests I mainly focus on how much time it took to run, how much memory was used, how many nodes where processed and a comparison of time between the 2 heuristic calculations. These results have been generated on my PC and way wary based on which machine you run them. Results are printed into the console by running program test.py. Here are my results:

Test table

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Test name | Heuristic type | Total time(s) | Number of nodes | Peak memory (KB) |
| 1\_1 | 1 | 0.043994 | 188 | 103.1563 |
|  |
| 1\_2 | 2 | 0.042003 | 119 | 83.53125 |  |
|  |
| 2\_1 | 1 | 0.06 | 191 | 114.4688 |  |
|  |
| 2\_2 | 2 | 0.089598 | 191 | 159.5938 |  |
|  |
| 3\_1 | 1 | 381.2928 | 181439 | 13877.16 |  |
|  |
| 3\_2 | 2 | 313.0597 | 181439 | 13872.41 |  |
|  |
| 4\_1 | 1 | 0.666605 | 2084 | 1347.219 |  |
|  |
| 4\_2 | 2 | 0.630565 | 1124 | 785.8125 |  |
|  |
| 5\_1 | 1 | 0.683589 | 1770 | 1394.906 |  |
|  |
| 5\_2 | 2 | 2.209301 | 2692 | 2459.625 |  |
|  |
| 6\_1 | 1 | 22.08319 | 28120 | 19753.13 |  |
|  |
| 6\_2 | 2 | 0.701382 | 992 | 762.6875 |  |
|  |

5.1 Test table legend

Test name – name of the function in test.py

Heuristic type – type of heuristic used to calculate heuristic value, as mentioned above (type 1 or 2)

Total time – total time to run algorithm

Number of nodes - number of processed nodes until a conclusion is reached

Peak memory – peak memory of what algorithm needed to allocate

6. Conclusion

Results show heuristic type 2 is faster in most cases. It also mostly processes less nodes than heuristic type 1. That may be caused by the value calculation itself. By calculating heuristic 1 we only get number of tiles not in the correct place. But by calculating heuristic 2 we also get how far away the tile from its correct place is. Therefore, the next selected node with lowest heuristic value is most likely closer to solution than just lowest value node from heuristic type 1. Not to be confused by test 3 results but they were deliberately made to not have a solution. We can see a large number of processed nodes until we run out of them. Meaning the solution exists. This test however ran a long time. Therefore, I am also including a test\_demo.py that skips this test

7.Dependencies

In order to run this program, you will need:

1. Python 3.10.7
2. NumPy 1.23.3

8. Sources

“Picture A, Picture B, Wanted board “- http://www2.fiit.stuba.sk/~kapustik/z2d.html, 2022