FinTech Industrial Project Progress Check Form (Final)

Student Name & ID: DU Jiaxing, 1155204280

Project title: Graph Neural Network Implementation

Sponsoring Organisation: CEFAR

Industrial Supervisor: Cobi XU, A.I. Phoenix Technology Co

Instructions: This form is to report the student's progress up to the final. Please complete the form using Microsoft Word and add rows if necessary. Completion percentage refers to the percentage of the original plan completed. If the percentage is less than 100%, explanation must be provided. Please report your progress accurately and evidence should be provided to the supervisors for them to verify.

Task	Actual achievements	Completion	Explanation
		Percentage	
Federated	1. Construct a simple framework to	100%	
learning	realize federated learning		
environment	aggregation function locally.		
setup	2. Set up <i>FedScale</i> environment on		
	MacOS and CentOS.		
	3. Set up <i>FATE</i> environment on		
	CentOS VM.		
Federated	1. Train CIFAR10 and FEMNIST	100%	
learning on	dataset using ResNet18, under IID		
computer	and non-IID settings.		
vision (CV)	2. Use <i>WandB</i> to visualize gradients		
datasets	and parameters of trained model to		
	ensure the model convergence.		
	3. Compare the federated learning		
	result with centralized training. In		
	both IID and non-IID setting, train		
	accuracy is almost the same as		
	centralized training.		

Federated	1. Understand three kinds of	80%	Mainly focus on GNN implementation in graph-level
learning on	Federated GNN tasks.		classification that is easy to handle, since its structure is
graph neural	2. Implement Federated GCN on		similar to CNN. The set-up process for deploying
network	graph classification tasks like		FedScale and FATE finally succeeds after many attemps,
(GNN)	MoleculeNet, under uniform and		due to incompatibility of arm64 architecture. Besides,
datasets	non-uniform partition.		training ResNet18 locally takes up a lot of memory, which
	3. Compared with Federated CNN,		crasher the computer frequently.
	Federated GNN performs a little		
	worse relative to centralized		
	training. This may be due to the		
	high heterogeneity and complex		
	structure of samples.		