

# Learning and memory with complex synaptic plasticity

Subhaneil Lahiri and Surya Ganguli

Stanford University, Applied Physics

February 15, 2016

# Introduction

Synaptic plasticity is often modelled as the change of a single number (synaptic weight). In reality, there is a complex dynamical system inside a synapse.

Discrete models of synaptic plasticity have terrible memory without synaptic complexity.

We will study the entire space of a broad class of models of complex synapses to find upper bounds on their performance.


This leads to understanding of what structures are useful for storing memories for different timescales.

# Timescales of memory

Memories stored in different places  
for different timescales

[Squire and Alvarez (1995)]

[McClelland et al. (1995)]




[Born and Wilhelm (2012)]

Also: Cerebellar cortex → nuclei.

[Attwell et al. (2002)]

[Cooke et al. (2004)]

Different synapses have different  
molecular structures.



[Emes RD, Grant SGN, 2012.  
Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 35:111–31]

[Emes and Grant (2012)]

# Storage capacity of synaptic memory


A classical perceptron has a capacity  $\propto N$ , ( $\#$  synapses).

Requires synapses' dynamic range also  $\propto N$ .

With discrete, finite synapses:

$\implies$  new memories overwrite old,

$\implies$  stability-plasticity dilemma.




[Petersen et al. (1998), O'Connor et al. (2005)]

When we store new memories rapidly, memory capacity  $\sim \mathcal{O}(\log N)$ .

[Amit and Fusi (1992), Amit and Fusi (1994)]


# Recognition memory

Synapses given a sequence of patterns (pot & dep) to store




# Recognition memory

Synapses given a sequence of patterns (pot & dep) to store




# Recognition memory

Synapses given a sequence of patterns (pot & dep) to store



# Recognition memory


Synapses given a sequence of patterns (pot & dep) to store






# Recognition memory

Synapses given a sequence of patterns (pot & dep) to store




# Recognition memory

Synapses given a sequence of patterns (pot & dep) to store




# Recognition memory

Synapses given a sequence of patterns (pot & dep) to store



# Recognition memory


Synapses given a sequence of patterns (pot & dep) to store



Later: presented with a pattern. Has it been seen before?

# Recognition memory

Synapses given a sequence of patterns (pot & dep) to store



Later: presented with a pattern. Has it been seen before?

Compare  $\vec{s} \cdot \vec{w}(t)$  to threshold.

[?]


$$\text{SNR}(t) = \frac{\langle \vec{s} \cdot \vec{w}(t) \rangle - \langle \vec{s} \cdot \vec{w}(\infty) \rangle}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\vec{s} \cdot \vec{w}(\infty))}}, \quad \overline{\text{SNR}}(\tau) = \int d\tau \frac{e^{-t/\tau}}{\tau} \text{SNR}(t).$$

# Models of complex synaptic dynamics



# Models of complex synaptic dynamics

- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak  
● strong




States: #AMPA, #NMDAR, NMDAR subunit composition,  
CaMK II autophosphorylation, activating PKC, p38 MAPK,...

[Fusi et al. (2005), Fusi and Abbott (2007), Barrett and van Rossum (2008)]

# Models of complex synaptic dynamics


- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak      ● strong






# Models of complex synaptic dynamics

- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak      ● strong




# Models of complex synaptic dynamics

- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak      ● strong



# Models of complex synaptic dynamics


- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak      ● strong



# Models of complex synaptic dynamics

- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak      ● strong


Potential event



Depression event


# Models of complex synaptic dynamics

- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak      ● strong



# Models of complex synaptic dynamics


- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak      ● strong



Metaplasticity: change propensity for plasticity  
(independent of change in synaptic weight).

# Models of complex synaptic dynamics


- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak      ● strong



Metaplasticity: change propensity for plasticity  
(independent of change in synaptic weight).

# Models of complex synaptic dynamics

- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak      ● strong




Metaplasticity: change propensity for plasticity  
(independent of change in synaptic weight).



# Models of complex synaptic dynamics

- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak  
● strong

Potential event




Depression event

Metaplasticity: change propensity for plasticity  
(independent of change in synaptic weight).

# Models of complex synaptic dynamics

- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak      ● strong

Potential event




Depression event

Metaplasticity: change propensity for plasticity  
(independent of change in synaptic weight).

# Models of complex synaptic dynamics

- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak  
● strong

Potential event




Depression event

Metaplasticity: change propensity for plasticity  
(independent of change in synaptic weight).

# Models of complex synaptic dynamics

- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
  - Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states
- weak      ● strong

Potential event



Depression event

Metaplasticity: change propensity for plasticity  
(independent of change in synaptic weight).


# Models of complex synaptic dynamics

- Internal functional state of synapse  $\rightarrow$  synaptic weight.
- Candidate plasticity events  $\rightarrow$  transitions between states

● weak

● strong

Potentiation




Depression

Metaplasticity: change propensity for plasticity  
(independent of change in synaptic weight).


# Proven envelope: memory frontier

Upper bound on memory curve at *any* time.




# Proven envelope: memory frontier


Upper bound on memory curve at *any* time.



Serial topology:




# Models that maximise memory for one timescale







# Models that maximise memory for one timescale




# Models that maximise memory for one timescale




# Models that maximise memory for one timescale




# Models that maximise memory for one timescale



# Models that maximise memory for one timescale



# Models that maximise memory for one timescale





# Synaptic structures for different timescales of memory

Real synapses limited by molecular building blocks.  
Evolution had larger set of priorities.

What can we conclude?


Short timescales  $\longrightarrow$  Intermediate timescales  $\longrightarrow$  Long timescales



# Synaptic structures for different timescales of memory

Real synapses limited by molecular building blocks.  
Evolution had larger set of priorities.

What can we conclude?






# Synaptic structures for different timescales of memory


Real synapses limited by molecular building blocks.  
Evolution had larger set of priorities.

What can we conclude?




# Proposed Experimental design

Subject a synapse to a sequence of candidate plasticity events.  
Observe the changes in synaptic efficacy.




# Proposed Experimental design

Subject a synapse to a sequence of candidate plasticity events.  
Observe the changes in synaptic efficacy.




# Proposed Experimental design

Subject a synapse to a sequence of candidate plasticity events.  
Observe the changes in synaptic efficacy.




# Proposed Experimental design

Subject a synapse to a sequence of candidate plasticity events.  
Observe the changes in synaptic efficacy.




# Proposed Experimental design

Subject a synapse to a sequence of candidate plasticity events.  
Observe the changes in synaptic efficacy.




# Proposed Experimental design

Subject a synapse to a sequence of candidate plasticity events.  
Observe the changes in synaptic efficacy.



# Proposed Experimental design

Subject a synapse to a sequence of candidate plasticity events.  
Observe the changes in synaptic efficacy.



## EM algorithms:


Sequence of hidden states  $\rightarrow$  estimate transition probabilities

Transition probabilities  $\rightarrow$  estimate sequence of hidden states


[Baum et al. (1970), Rabiner and Juang (1993), Dempster et al. (2007)]




# Fitting algorithm




# Fitting algorithm




# Fitting algorithm




# Fitting algorithm



# Fitting algorithm



# Fitting algorithm



# Summary

- We have formulated a general theory of learning and memory with complex synapses.
- We find a memory envelope: a single curve that cannot be exceeded by the memory curve of *any* synaptic model.
- Synaptic complexity ( $M$  internal states) raises the memory envelope linearly in  $M$  for times  $> \mathcal{O}(M^2)$ .
- We understood which types of synaptic structure are useful for storing memories for different timescales.

# Acknowledgements

Thanks to:

- Surya Ganguli
- Stefano Fusi
- Marcus Benna
- David Sussillo
- Jascha Sohl-Dickstein



# References I



Larry R Squire and Pablo Alvarez.

“Retrograde amnesia and memory consolidation: a neurobiological perspective”.

*Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 5(2):169–177, (April, 1995) .

3



James L McClelland, Bruce L McNaughton, and Randall C O'Reilly.

“Why there are complementary learning systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: Insights from the successes and failures of connectionist models of learning and memory.”, 1995.

3



Jan Born and Ines Wilhelm.

“System consolidation of memory during sleep.”.

*Psychological research*, 76(2):192–203, (mar, 2012) .

3

# References II



Phillip J.E. Attwell, Samuel F. Cooke, and Christopher H. Yeo.

“Cerebellar Function in Consolidation of a Motor Memory”.

*Neuron*, 34(6):1011–1020, (jun, 2002) .

3



Samuel F Cooke, Phillip J E Attwell, and Christopher H Yeo.

“Temporal properties of cerebellar-dependent memory consolidation.”.

*The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 24(12):2934–41, (mar, 2004) .

3



Richard D. Emes and Seth G.N. Grant.

“Evolution of Synapse Complexity and Diversity”.

*Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 35(1):111–131, (2012) .

3

# References III



Carl C. H. Petersen, Robert C. Malenka, Roger A. Nicoll, and John J. Hopfield.

“All-or-none potentiation at CA3-CA1 synapses”.

*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 95(8):4732–4737, (1998) .

4



Daniel H. O'Connor, Gayle M. Wittenberg, and Samuel S.-H. Wang.

“Graded bidirectional synaptic plasticity is composed of switch-like unitary events”.

*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 102(27):9679–9684, (2005) .

4



D. J. Amit and S. Fusi.

“Constraints on learning in dynamic synapses”.

*Network: Computation in Neural Systems*, 3(4):443–464, (1992) .

4

# References IV



D. J. Amit and S. Fusi.

“Learning in neural networks with material synapses”.

*Neural Computation*, 6(5):957–982, (1994) .

4



S. Fusi, P. J. Drew, and L. F. Abbott.

“Cascade models of synaptically stored memories”.

*Neuron*, 45(4):599–611, (Feb, 2005) .

15



S. Fusi and L. F. Abbott.

“Limits on the memory storage capacity of bounded synapses”.

*Nat. Neurosci.*, 10(4):485–493, (Apr, 2007) .

15

# References V



A. B. Barrett and M. C. van Rossum.

“Optimal learning rules for discrete synapses”.

*PLoS Comput. Biol.*, 4(11):e1000230, (Nov, 2008) .

15



LE Baum, T Petrie, George Soules, and Norman Weiss.

“A maximization technique occurring in the statistical analysis of probabilistic functions of Markov chains”.

*The annals of mathematical statistics*, 41(1):164–171, (1970) .

42

43

44

45

46

47

48



Lawrence R Rabiner and Biing-Hwang Juang.

*Fundamentals of speech recognition*, volume 14 of *Signal Processing*.

Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 1993.

ISBN 0-13-015157-2.

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

# References VI

 A. P. Dempster, N. M. Laird, and D. B. Rubin.

“Maximum Likelihood from Incomplete Data via the EM Algorithm”.

*Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, (October, 2007) .

42 43 44 45 46 47 48

 J.G. Kemeny and J.L. Snell.

*Finite markov chains*.

Springer, 1960.

63

## Technical detail: ordering states

Let  $\mathbf{T}_{ij}$  = mean first passage time from state  $i$  to state  $j$ . Then:

$$\eta = \sum_j \mathbf{T}_{ij} \mathbf{p}_j^\infty,$$

is independent of the initial state  $i$  (Kemeney's constant).

[Kemeny and Snell (1960)]


We define:

$$\eta_i^+ = \sum_{j \in \text{strong}} \mathbf{T}_{ij} \mathbf{p}_j^\infty, \quad \eta_i^- = \sum_{j \in \text{weak}} \mathbf{T}_{ij} \mathbf{p}_j^\infty.$$

They can be used to arrange the states in an order (increasing  $\eta^-$  or decreasing  $\eta^+$ ). [back](#)

# Technical detail: upper/lower triangular

With states in order:



Endpoint: potentiation goes right, depression goes left.

[back](#)