



## Byte and Bit Order Dissection

Sep 02, 2003 By [Kevin Kaichuan He \(/user/1001337\)](#)  
in

*Discussing the differences between big and little endianness, bit and byte order and what it all means.*

Editors' Note: This article has been updated since its original posting.

Software and hardware engineers who have to deal with byte and bit order issues know the process is like walking a maze. Though we usually come out of it, we consume a handful of our brain cells each time. This article tries to summarize the various areas in which the business of byte and bit order plays a role, including CPU, buses, devices and networking protocols. We dive into the details and hope to provide a good reference on this topic. The article also tries to suggest some guidelines and rules of thumb developed from practice.

### Byte Order: the Endianness

We probably are familiar with the word endianness. First introduced by Danny Cohen in 1980, it describes the method a computer system uses to represent multi-byte integers.

Two types of endianness exist, big endian and little endian. Big endian refers to the method that stores the most significant byte of an integer at the lowest byte address. Little endian is the opposite; it refers to the method of storing the most significant byte of an integer at the highest byte address.

Bit order usually follows the same endianness as the byte order for a given computer system. That is, in a big endian system the most significant bit is stored at the lowest bit address; in a little endian system, the least significant bit is stored at the lowest bit address.

Every effort is made to avoid bit swapping in software when designing a system, because bit swapping is both expensive and tedious. Later sections describe how hardware takes care of it.

### Documentation Guideline

Just as most people write a number from left to right, the layout of a multi-byte integer should flow from left to right, that is, from the most significant to the least significant byte. This is the most clear way to write integers, as we can see in the following examples.

Here is how we would write the integer 0x0a0b0c0d for both big endian and little endian systems, according to the rule above:

#### Write Integer for Big Endian System

| byte | addr   | 0        | 1        | 2        | 3        |
|------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| bit  | offset | 01234567 | 01234567 | 01234567 | 01234567 |
|      | binary | 00001010 | 00001011 | 00001100 | 00001101 |
|      | hex    | 0a       | 0b       | 0c       | 0d       |

#### Write Integer for Little Endian System

| byte | addr   | 3        | 2        | 1        | 0        |
|------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| bit  | offset | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 |
|      | binary | 00001010 | 00001011 | 00001100 | 00001101 |
|      | hex    | 0a       | 0b       | 0c       | 0d       |

In both cases above, we can read from left to right and the number is 0x0a0b0c0d.

If we do not follow the rule, we might write the number in the following way:

```


byte  addr      0      1      2      3
bit   offset    01234567 01234567 01234567 01234567
      binary    10110000 00110000 11010000 01010000

```

As you can see, it's hard to make out what number we're trying to represent.

### Simplified Computer System Used in this Article

Without losing generality, a simplified view of the computer system discussed in this article is drawn below.



CPU, local bus and internal memory/cache all are considered to be CPU, because they usually share the same endianness. Discussion of bus endianness, however, covers only external bus. The CPU register width, memory word width and bus width are assumed to be 32 bits for this article.

### Endianness of CPU

The CPU endianness is the byte and bit order in which it interprets multi-byte integers from on-chip registers, local bus, in-line cache, memory and so on.

Little endian CPUs include Intel and DEC. Big endian CPUs include Motorola 680x0, Sun Sparc and IBM (e.g., PowerPC). MIPS and ARM can be configured either way.

The CPU endianness affects the CPU's instruction set. Different GNU C toolchains for compiling the C code ought to be used for CPUs of different endianness. For example, mips-linux-gcc and mipsel-linux-gcc are used to compile MIPS code for big endian and little endian, respectively.

The CPU endianness also has an impact on software programs if we need to access part of a multi-byte integer. The following program illustrates that situation. If one accesses the whole 32-bit integer, the CPU endianness is invisible to software programs.

```

union {
    uint32_t my_int;
    uint8_t  my_bytes[4];
} endian_tester;
endian_tester et;
et.my_int = 0x0a0b0c0d;
if(et.my_bytes[0] == 0x0a )
    printf( "I'm on a big-endian system\n" );
else
    printf( "I'm on a little-endian system\n" );

```

### Endianness of Bus

The bus we refer to here is the external bus we showed in the figure above. We use PCI as an example below. The bus, as we know, is an intermediary component that interconnects CPUs, devices and various other components on the system. The endianness of bus is a standard for byte/bit order that bus protocol defines and with which other components comply.

Take an example of the PCI bus known as little endian. It implies the following: among the 32 address/data bus line AD [31:0], it expects a 32-bit device and connects its most significant data line to AD31 and least significant data line to AD0. A big endian bus protocol would be the opposite.

For a partial word device connected to bus, for example, an 8-bit device, little endian bus-like PCI specifies that the eight data lines of the device be connected to AD[7:0].

For a big endian bus protocol, it would be connected to AD[24:31].

In addition, for PCI bus the protocol requires each PCI device to implement a configuration space. This is a set of configuration registers that have the same byte order as the bus.

Just as all the devices need to follow bus's rules regarding byte/bit endianness, so does the CPU. If a CPU operates in an endianness different from the bus, the bus controller/bridge usually is the place where the conversion is performed.

An alert reader nows ask this question, "so what happens if the endianness of the device is different from the endianness of the bus?" In this case, we need to do some extra work for communication to occur, which is covered in the next section.

### Endianness of Devices

Kevin's Theory #1: When a multi-byte data unit travels across the boundary of two reverse endian systems, the conversion is made such that memory contiguousness to the unit is preserved.

We assume CPU and bus share the same endianness in the following discussion. If the endianness of a device is the same as that of CPU/bus, then no conversion is needed.

In the case of different endianness between the device and the CPU/bus, we offer two solutions here from a hardware wiring point of view. We assume CPU/bus is little endian and the device is big endian in the following discussion.

### Word Consistent Approach

In this approach, we swap the entire 32-bit word of the device data line. We represent the data line of device as D[0:31], where D(0) stores the most significant bit, and bus line as AD[31:0]. This approach suggests wiring D(i) to AD(31-i), where i = 0, ..., 31. Word Consistent means the semantic of the whole word is preserved.

To illustrate, the following code represents a 32-bit descriptor register in a big endian NIC card:

| Byte Address | 0        | 1        | 2        | 3        |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Bit Offset   | 01234567 | 01234567 | 01234567 | 01234567 |
| data         | 10101010 | 10010111 | 10101011 | 11001101 |
| hex          | 2 a a    | 1 7 a b  | c d      |          |
| field        | tag      |          | rx       | vlan     |

tag[0:9]=0x2aa, rx[0:5]=0x17, vlan[0:15]=0xabcd

After applying the Word Consistent swap (wiring D[0:31] to AD[31:0]), the result in the CPU/bus is:

| Byte Address | 3        | 2        | 1        | 0        |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Bit Offset   | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 |
| data         | 10101010 | 10010111 | 10101011 | 11001101 |
| hex          | 2 a a    | 1 7 a b  | c d      |          |
| field        | tag      |          | rx       | vlan     |

Notice that it automatically is little endian for CPU/bus. No software byte or bit swapping is needed.

The above example is for those simple cases where data does not cross a 32-bit memory boundary. Now, let's take a look at a case where it does. In the following code, vlan[0:24] has a value of 0xabcd and crosses a 32-bit memory boundary.

| Byte Address | 0        | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6        | 7        |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Bit Offset   | 01234567 | 01234567 | 01234567 | 01234567 | 01234567 | 01234567 | 01234567 | 01234567 |
| data         | 10101010 | 10010111 | 10101011 | 11001101 | 00000000 | 00000000 | 00000000 | 00000000 |
| hex          | 2 a a    | 1 7 a b  | c d e f  |          |          |          |          |          |
| field        | tag      |          | rx       | vlan     |          |          |          |          |

[\(/files](#)

[/linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/o67/6788/6788f4.jpg](http://linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/o67/6788/6788f4.jpg)

After the Word Consistent swap, the result is:

| Byte Address | 7        | 6        | 5        | 4        | 3        | 2        | 1        | 0        |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Bit Offset   | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 |
| data         | 11101111 | 00000000 | 00000000 | 00000000 | 10101010 | 10010111 | 10101011 | 11001101 |
| hex          | e f      |          |          |          | 2 a a    | 1 7 a b  | c d      |          |
| field        | vlan     |          |          |          | tag      |          | rx       | vlan     |

[\(/files](#)

[/linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/o67/6788/6788f5.jpg](http://linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/o67/6788/6788f5.jpg)

Do you see what happened? The vlan field has been broken into two noncontiguous

memory spaces: bytes[1:0] and byte(7). It violates Kevin's Theory #1, and we are not able to define a nice C structure to access the in-contiguous vlan fields.

Therefore, the Word Consistent solution works only for data within word boundaries and does not work for data that may cross a word boundary. The second approach solves this problem for us.

### Byte Consistent Approach

In this approach, we do not swap bytes, but we do swap the bits within each byte lane (bit at device bit-offset i goes to bus bit-offset (7-i), where i=0...7) in hardware wiring. Byte Consistent means the semantic of the byte is preserved.

After applying this method, the big endian NIC device value in above results in this CPU/bus value:

| Byte Address | 7        | 6        | 5        | 4        | 3        | 2        | 1        | 0        |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Bit Offset   | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 |
| data         | 00000000 | 00000000 | 00000000 | 11101111 | 11001101 | 10101011 | 10010111 | 10101010 |
| hex          |          |          |          | e f      | c d      | a b      | 2 1      | 7 2      |
| field        |          |          |          | vlan     |          |          | rx       | tag      |

(/files

[/linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/067/6788/6788f6.jpg](http://linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/067/6788/6788f6.jpg)

Now, the three bytes of the vlan field are in contiguous memory space, and the content of each byte reads correctly. But this result still looks messy in byte order. However, because we now occupy a contiguous memory space, let the software do a byte swap for this 5-byte data structure. We get the following result:

| Byte Address | 7        | 6        | 5        | 4        | 3        | 2        | 1        | 0        |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Bit Offset   | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 | 76543210 |
| data         | 00000000 | 00000000 | 00000000 | 10101010 | 10010111 | 10101011 | 11001101 | 11101111 |
| hex          |          |          |          | 2 a      | a 7      | a b      | c d      | e f      |
| field        |          |          |          | tag      | rx       | vlan     |          |          |

(/files

[/linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/067/6788/6788f7.jpg](http://linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/067/6788/6788f7.jpg)

We see that software byte swapping needs to be performed as the second procedure in this approach. Byte swapping is affordable in software, unlike bit swapping.

Kevin's Theory #2: In a C structure that contains bit fields, if field A is defined in front of field B, then field A always occupies a lower bit address than field B.

Now that everything is sorted out nicely, we can define the C structure as the following to access the descriptor in the NIC:

```
struct nic_tag_reg {
    uint64_t vlan:24 __attribute__((packed));
    uint64_t rx :6 __attribute__((packed));
    uint64_t tag :10 __attribute__((packed));
};
```

### Endianness of Network Protocols

The endianness of network protocols defines the order in which the bits and bytes of an integer field of a network protocol header are sent and received. We also introduce a term called wire address here. A lower wire address bit or byte always is transmitted and received in front of a higher wire address bit or byte.

In fact, for network endianness, it is a little different than what we have seen so far. Another factor is in the picture: the bit transmission/reception order on the physical wire. Lower layer protocols, such as Ethernet, have specifications for bit transmission/reception order, and sometimes it can be the reverse of the upper layer protocol endianness. We look at this situation in our examples.

The endianness of NIC devices usually follow the endianness of the network protocols they support, so it could be different from the endianness of the CPU on the system. Most network protocols are big endian; here we take Ethernet and IP as examples.

### Endianness of Ethernet

Ethernet is big endian. This means the most significant byte of an integer field is placed at a lower wire byte address and transmitted/received in front of the least significant byte. For example, the protocol field with a value of 0x0806(ARP) in the Ethernet header has a wire layout like this:

```
wire byte offset:    0      1
hex                :    08    06
```

Notice that the MAC address field of the Ethernet header is considered as a string of characters, in which case the byte order does not matter. For example, a MAC address 12:34:56:78:9a:bc has a layout on the wire like that shown below, and byte 12 is transmitted first.

|                  |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| wire byte offset | 0        | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        |
| binary           | 00010010 | 00110100 | 01010110 | 01111000 | 10011010 | 10111100 |
| hex              | 12       | 34       | 56       | 78       | 9a       | bc       |

[./files](#)

[/linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/o67/6788/6788f8.jpg](http://linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/o67/6788/6788f8.jpg)

### Bit Transmission/Reception Order

The bit transmission/reception order specifies how the bits within a byte are transmitted/received on the wire. For Ethernet, the order is from the least significant bit (lower wire address offset) to the most significant bit (higher wire address offset). This apparently is little endian. The byte order remains the same as big endian, as described in early section. Therefore, here we see the situation where the byte order and the bit transmission/reception order are the reverse.

The following is an illustration of Ethernet bit transmission/reception order:

|                       |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| in memory byte offset | 0        | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        |
| value in memory       | 00010010 | 00110100 | 01010110 | 01111000 | 10011010 | 10111100 |
| hex                   | 12       | 34       | 56       | 78       | 9a       | bc       |

|             |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| On the Wire | 0        | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        |
|             | 01001000 | 00101100 | 01101010 | 00011110 | 01011001 | 00111101 |

↑  
1st bit appearing on LAN (Gfi bit)

[./files](#)

[/linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/o67/6788/6788f9.jpg](http://linuxjournal.com/linuxjournal/articles/o67/6788/6788f9.jpg)

We see from this that the group (multicast) bit, the least significant bit of the first byte, appeared as the first bit on the wire. Ethernet and 802.3 hardware behave consistently with the bit transmission/reception order above.

In this case, where the protocol byte order and the bit transmission/reception order are different, the NIC must convert the bit transmission/reception order from/to the host(CPU) bit order. By doing so, the upper layers do not have to worry about bit order and need only to sort out the byte order. In fact, this is another form of the Byte Consistent approach, where byte semantics are preserved when data travels across different endian domains.

The bit transmission/reception order generally is invisible to the CPU and software, but is important to hardware considerations such as the serdes (serializer/deserializer) of PHY and the wiring of NIC device data lines to the bus.

### Parsing Ethernet Header in Software

For either endianness, the Ethernet header can be parsed by software with the C structure below:

```
struct ethhdr
{
    unsigned char    h_dest[ETH_ALEN];
    unsigned char    h_source[ETH_ALEN];
    unsigned short    h_proto;
};
```

The **h\_dest** and **h\_source** fields are byte arrays, so no conversion is needed. The **h\_proto** field here is an integer, therefore a `ntohs()` is needed before the host accesses this field, and `htons()` is needed before the host fills up this field.

### Endianness of IP

IP's byte order also is big endian. The bit endianness of IP inherits that of the CPU, and the NIC takes care of converting it from/to the bit transmission/reception order on the wire.

For big endian hosts, IP header fields can be accessed directly. For little endian hosts, which are most PCs in the world (x86), byte swap needs to be performed in software for the integer fields in the IP header.

Below is the structure of `iphdr` from the Linux kernel. We use `ntohs()` before reading integer fields and `htons()` before writing them. Essentially, these two functions do

nothing for big endian hosts and perform byte swapping for little endian hosts.

```
struct iphdr {
#ifdef __LITTLE_ENDIAN_BITFIELD
    __u8    ihl:4,
           version:4;
#elif defined (__BIG_ENDIAN_BITFIELD)
    __u8    version:4,
           ihl:4;
#else
#error "Please fix <asm/byteorder.h>"
#endif

    __u8    tos;
    __u16    tot_len;
    __u16    id;
    __u16    frag_off;
    __u8    ttl;
    __u8    protocol;
    __u16    check;
    __u32    saddr;
    __u32    daddr;
    /*The options start here. */
};
```

Take a look at some interesting fields in the IP header:

**version and ihl fields:** According to IP standard, version is the most significant four bits of the first byte of an IP header. ihl is the least significant four bits of the first byte of the IP header.

There are two methods to access these fields. Method 1 directly extracts them from the data. If `ver_ihl` holds the first byte of the IP header, then `(ver_ihl & 0x0f)` gives the ihl field and `(ver_ihl > > 4)` gives the version field. This applies for hosts with either endianness.

Method 2 is to define the structure as above, then access these fields from the structure itself. In the above structure, if the host is little endian, then we define ihl before version; if the host is big endian, we define version before ihl. If we apply Kevin's Theory #2 here that an earlier defined field always occupies a lower memory address, we find that the above definition in C structure fits the IP standard pretty well.

**saddr and daddr fields:** these two fields can be treated as either byte or integer arrays. If they are treated as byte arrays, there is no need to do endianness conversion. If they are treated as integers, then conversions need to be performed as needed. Below is a function with integer interpretation:

```
/* dot2ip - convert a dotted decimal string into an
 *          IP address
 */
uint32_t dot2ip(char *pdot)
{
    uint32_t i, my_ip;
    my_ip=0;
    for (i=0; i<IP_ALEN; ++i) {
        my_ip = my_ip*256+atoi(pdot);
        if ((pdot = (char *) index(pdot, '.')) == NULL)
            break;
        ++pdot;
    }
    return my_ip;
}
```

And here is the function with byte array interpretation:

```
uint32_t dot2ip2(char *pdot)
{
    int i;
    uint8_t ip[IP_ALEN];
    for (i=0; i<IP_ALEN; ++i) {
        ip[i] = atoi(pdot);
        if ((pdot = (char *) index(pdot, '.')) == NULL)
            break;
    }
}
```

```
    ++pdot;
}
return *((uint32_t *)ip);
}
```

### Summary

The topic of byte and bit endianness can go even further than what we discussed here. Hopefully this article has covered the main aspects of it. See you next time in the maze.

**Kevin Kaichuan He** is a senior system software engineer at Solustek Corp. He currently is working on board bring-up, embedded Linux and networking stacks projects. His previous work experience includes being a software engineer at Cisco Systems and a research assistant in Computer Science at Purdue University. In his spare time, he enjoys digital photography, PS2 games and movies.

email: [hek\\_u5@yahoo.com](mailto:hek_u5@yahoo.com) ([mailto:hek\\_u5@yahoo.com](mailto:hek_u5@yahoo.com))

## Download VPN for China

Unblock any Site. Try it Risk Free. 256-Bit SSL. High Speed Guaranteed!

○ ○

### Comments

#### Comment viewing options

Select your preferred way to display the comments and click "Save settings" to activate your changes.

#### [Memory bit order of int \(/node/6788/print#comment-357300\)](#)

Submitted by Anonymous (not verified) on Thu, 10/21/2010 - 20:51.

On a system with little endian and int holding 32 bits. If one want to bit twiddle and set bits by memory order, would this be correct?

\* To set bit 1,2,8,29 of int my\_int in memory, in c, one would set bit:

```
bit 1: my_int |= 1 << 7;
10000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 (0x80000000)
bit 2: my_int |= 1 << 6;
11000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 (0xc0000000)
bit 8: my_int |= 1 << 15;
11000000 10000000 00000000 00000000 (0xc0800000)
bit 29: my_int |= 1 << 26;
11000000 10000000 00000000 00000100 (0xc0800004)
```

If so, is there any trick to calculate the left-shift from a given value, i.e. "Set bit 29" - give 26.

As the left-shift order would be:

```
set  left
bit  shift
0    7
1    6
2    5
3    4
4    3
5    2
6    1
7    0
8   15
```



```

9    14
10   13
11   12
12   11
..   ..

```

have tried with various  $((\text{set\_bit}\% \text{BITS\_PER\_INT} / \text{CHAR\_BIT}) * \text{CHAR\_BIT}) + \dots$ ; variants but always become a big huge pile of mods and so on.

Any good trick for this?

(I'm perhaps thinking wrong here, - starting to get to late)

#### **Bit order?** ([/node/6788/print#comment-349435](#))

Submitted by Anonymous on Thu, 03/11/2010 - 16:13.

What is bit order? In which machines do bits have addresses?



#### **Ethernet Address Endianess** ([/node/6788/print#comment-130326](#))

Submitted by Nick Collins (not verified) on Fri, 02/24/2006 - 02:34.

I think your description of Ethernet Addressing is mistaken. In your example where the MAC address 12:34:56:78:9a:bc, you say that "12" will appear on the line first. This is not correct. The "bc" will appear first. Refer to section 3.2 of the 802.3 spec. It explicitly states the byte ordering of the Length field and the CRC are high-order byte first. So, I'm led to believe that the SA and DA are low-order byte first.

This would make sense because we know that the first bit on the wire determines multicast or unicast and that this is the LSB of the entire field...which is the last byte (not of the 1st byte).



#### **Void** ([/node/6788/print#comment-130350](#))

Submitted by Nick Collins (not verified) on Fri, 02/24/2006 - 14:01.

Nevermind my previous posting. It was a late night.



#### **Errata: dot2ip() function** ([/node/6788/print#comment-7965](#))

Submitted by kingchurch (not verified) on Wed, 09/03/2003 - 01:00.

It's incomplete in this on-line version which should be:

```

/* dot2ip - convert a dotted decimal string into an
 * IP address
 */
uint32_t dot2ip(char *pdot)
{
    uint32_t i, my_ip;

    my_ip=0;
    for (i=0; i
my_ip = my_ip*256+atoi(pdot);
    if ((pdot = (char *) index(pdot, '.')) == NULL)
        break;
    ++pdot;
    }
    return my_ip;
}

```



#### **Re: Errata: dot2ip() function** ([/node/6788/print#comment-7966](#))

Submitted by kingchurch (not verified) on Tue, 09/09/2003 - 01:00.

Already fixed by LJ, thanks!



#### **Errata for ASCII Graphs** ([/node/6788/print#comment-7963](#))

Submitted by kingchurch (not verified) on Wed, 09/03/2003 - 01:00.

Most of the ASCII graphs inlined in this on-line version of the article are not formatted properly.

I'm contacting LJ to correct the format. In the meanwhile you can reference my original article here if you get confused of the ASCII graphs:

<http://www.employees.org/~hek2000/articles/endianess-v0.7.html> (<http://www.employees.org/~hek2000/articles/endianess-v0.7.html>)



#### **Re: Errata for ASCII Graphs** ([/node/6788/print#comment-7964](#))

Submitted by kingchurch (not verified) on Tue, 09/09/2003 - 01:00.

Already fixed. by LJ. Thanks!



#### **Re: Byte and Bit Order Dissection** ([/node/6788/print#comment-7961](#))



Submitted by feiyunw (not verified) on Wed, 09/03/2003 - 01:00.

1. A great article;
2. I suggest you create a HOWTO in the Linux Documentation Project ([www.tldp.org](http://www.tldp.org) (<http://www.tldp.org>)) so that more people can benefit from your article;
3. As I know, bit0 is the MSB in Motorola PowerPC Manual; maybe you should clarify your bit numbering explicitly;



**Re: Byte and Bit Order Dissection** (</node/6788/print#comment-7962>)

Submitted by kingchurch (not verified) on Wed, 09/03/2003 - 01:00.

Thank you!

I'll consider the HOWTO suggestion.

About the 3rd comment, have you seen the "Typo" discussion thread other readers brought up ?

Hopefully my correction to the typo can address your doubt too.

- kevin



**Typo?!** (</node/6788/print#comment-7956>)

Submitted by Anonymous on Tue, 09/02/2003 - 01:00.

"That is, in a big endian system the most significant bit is stored at the lowest bit address; in a little endian system, the least significant bit is stored at the lowest bit address."



**Re: Typo?! -- -Yes, it's an error** (</node/6788/print#comment-7960>)

Submitted by kingchurch (not verified) on Wed, 09/03/2003 - 01:00.

In fact, it is an error. In the original article I submitted to LJ , I wrote:

"That is, in a big endian system, the most significant bit is stored at the lowest bit address and in a little endian system, the least significant bit is stored at the lowest bit address." ---- Correct  
AAAAAA

But somehow it was changed to the following in the on-line version without notifying me.

"That is, in a big endian system, the most significant bit is stored at the lowest bit address and in a little endian system, the least significant bit is stored at the highest bit address." ---- Wrong  
AAAAAA

I'm contacting LJ to correct this error now, in the meanwhile please reference my original sentence.

Thanks,

Kevin



**Big and Little Endians** (</node/6788/print#comment-7959>)

Submitted by Anonymous on Tue, 09/02/2003 - 01:00.

Thank you for the pow wow concerning big endians and little endians. One thing is clear, although there are several kinds of endians, there are neither good endians nor bad endians. It would be nice to have but one type of endian, but uniting all endian tribes of thought under one teepee is not likely for the foreseeable future. Nevertheless, it would be nice to hold a big council, so let me know when and where, and I'll make a reservation to attend.



**Re: Typo?!** (</node/6788/print#comment-7958>)

Submitted by kingchurch (not verified) on Tue, 09/02/2003 - 01:00.

The sentence you quoted follows "Bit order usually follows the same endianness as the byte order for a given computer system. ".

So I'm illustrating what the bit order will look like if it follows the byte order on the same architecture. In another word, in some systems where bit order doesn't follow byte order, the quoted sentence is not applicable.

Thanks,

Kevin



**Re: Typo?!** (</node/6788/print#comment-7957>)

Submitted by Anonymous on Tue, 09/02/2003 - 01:00.

No not really. What is meant is that in big-endian, bit 0 is the most significant bit and in little-endian, bit 7 is the most significant bit (for a single byte).

Example:

In most RISC architectures a 64-bit bus would be represented as 64bus



In an Intel system a 64-bit bus would be represented as 64bus

---

**Re: Byte and Bit Order Dissection** (/node/6788/print#comment-7953)

Submitted by Anonymous on Tue, 09/02/2003 - 01:00.

You left out everyone's favorite forgotten case: [Middle endian](http://www.jargon.net/jargonfile/m/middle-endian.html) (<http://www.jargon.net/jargonfile/m/middle-endian.html>)! And a mention of the origin of "endian" (we have the Lilliputians to thank for this).

Seriously, though -- good article.



---

**Re: Byte and Bit Order Dissection** (/node/6788/print#comment-7954)

Submitted by kingchurch (not verified) on Tue, 09/02/2003 - 01:00.

Thank you for the input. It must be more complete to include "Middle Endian" in the discussion.

On the other hand, I have a word count limitation for the article which forces me include only the most typical cases :p

Kevin



---

**Re: Byte and Bit Order Dissection** (/node/6788/print#comment-7955)

Submitted by Anonymous on Tue, 09/02/2003 - 01:00.

No, I was kidding about Middle Endian. It's an obsolete format (or rather, they're obsolete formats). But no [byte order](#) (<http://www.everything2.org/index.pl?node=endianness>) discussion is complete without a mention of "[Gulliver's Travels](#) (<http://info.astrian.net/jargon/terms/b/big-endian.html>)". Right after "First introduced by Danny Cohen in 1980, it describes the method a computer system uses to represent multi-byte integers." should be something like, "This was a reference to the disagreement about which side of an egg was the proper side to crack first."

