

# 1 General comments

Throughout the code for both RJMCMC and SMC the prior parameter assumed for the number and location of the changepoints is a Poisson process, so that if  $k$  is the number of changepoints over  $[0, T]$  and  $\tau_{1:k} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$  then

$$p(\tau_{1:k}, k) = \nu^k e^{-\nu T} \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{T}_k}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k).$$

where  $\mathbb{T}_k = \{\tau_{1:k} : 0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_k < T\}$ .

## 2 Input options

- For a Poisson process modelprior1=  $\alpha$  and modelprior2=  $\beta$ , see Section 3.
- For SNCP modelprior1=  $\alpha$  and modelprior2=  $\kappa$ , see Section 4.
- To get results from Turcotte and Heard (2015), use the settings specified in the examples when using the -h option with the compiled code.

## 3 Poisson process

The data generating process  $y(t)$  is assumed to be the increments of an inhomogeneous Poisson process with piecewise constant intensity  $\lambda(t)$ . So the stochastic process  $y(t) = 0$  almost everywhere, otherwise  $y(t) = 1$  at finitely many  $t$ , which will be referred to as the event times of the process.

For a data process observed over the interval  $[0, T]$  the jumps in the intensity will correspond to the vector of changepoints  $\tau_{1:k}$ . Define the parameter vector  $\lambda_{0:k} = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , such that  $\lambda(t) = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i \mathbb{I}_{(\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]}(t)$ , where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is the intensity of the process between  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_{i+1}$ . The likelihood of the observed process data is

$$\mathcal{L}(y([0, T]) | \tau_{1:k}, k, \lambda_{0:k}) = \prod_{i=0}^k \lambda_i^{r_i} e^{-\lambda_i(\tau_{i+1} - \tau_i)},$$

where  $r_i = \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_{i+1}} y(t) dt$  is the number of events between  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_{i+1}$ .

The  $(k+1)$  intensities will be assumed to follow the independent conjugate priors,  $\lambda_i \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ .

For posterior inference the intensities  $\lambda_{0:k}$  can be integrated out to give the posterior distribution for the changepoints, which is known only up to proportionality through

$$\gamma(\tau_{1:k}) = \nu^k e^{-\nu T} \prod_{i=0}^k \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + r_i)}{(\beta + \tau_{i+1} - \tau_i)^{\alpha + r_i}},$$

since  $Z$  does not have an analytical solution.

And it follows that, conditional on the changepoints  $\tau_{1:k}$ ,  $\{\lambda_i\}$ , for  $i = 0 \dots, k$ , have the independent posterior distributions

$$[\lambda_i | \tau_{1:k}, k, y([0, T])] \equiv \text{Gamma}(\alpha + r_i, \beta + \tau_{i+1} - \tau_i).$$

## 4 Shot noise Cox process

SNCP. See Turcotte and Heard (2015).

## 5 RJMCMC

For a conjugate model the RJMCMC moves employed are similar to those of Green (1995), who also applies them to a Poisson process, and Denison et al. (2002) where the transitions are: (a) ‘birth’ of a new changepoint uniformly chosen over the time period; (b) ‘death’ of a randomly chosen changepoint; and (c) ‘move’ a randomly chosen changepoint uniformly over some interval around the changepoint.

For the non-conjugate model another transition is added (d) ‘move’ the parameter, see Turcotte and Heard (2015) for a description of the proposal distributions for the transitions.

## 6 SMC

Refer to Turcotte and Heard (2015) for a detailed description of the algorithm.

## References

- D. G. T. Denison, C. C. Holmes, B. K. Mallick, and A. F. M. Smith. *Bayesian Methods for Nonlinear Classification and Regression*. Wiley, West Sussex, 2002.
- P. J. Green. Reversible jump Markov chain Monte Carlo computation and Bayesian model determination. *Biometrika*, 82:711–732, 1995.
- M. J. M. Turcotte and N. A. Heard. Adaptive sequential monte carlo for multiple changepoint analysis. *to be submitted*, 2015.