Outline

The most powerful unfalsified model

Ivan Markovsky

University of Southampton

(Lecture 5)

The most powerful unfalsified model

1 / 35

(Lecture 5)

Algorithms

The most powerful unfalsified model

2/35

4/35

An exact identification problem

Problem P1 (Exact identification)

• Exact identification problems

• from data to kernel representation

• impulse response identification

• N4SID-type algorithms

MOESP-type algorithms

· Identifiability conditions

Given two vector time series

$$u_{d} = (u_{d}(1), \dots, u_{d}(T)) \in (\mathbb{R}^{m})^{T}$$
 "inputs"
 $y_{d} = (y_{d}(1), \dots, y_{d}(T)) \in (\mathbb{R}^{p})^{T}$ "outputs"

find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and LTI system \mathscr{B} of order n, with m inputs and p outputs, s.t.

$$w_d := (u_d, y_d) \in \mathscr{B},$$

i.e., w_d is a trajectory of \mathscr{B} .

How can we check that " $w_d \in \mathcal{B}$ "?

Exact identification problems $(w_d \mapsto \mathcal{B} \text{ such that } w_d \in \mathcal{B})$

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 3 / 35 (Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model

Checking that $w_d \in \mathcal{B} = \ker(R(\sigma))$

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\mathsf{d}} \in \mathscr{B} &\iff R(\sigma)w_{\mathsf{d}} = 0 \\ &\iff R_0w_{\mathsf{d}}(t) + R_1w_{\mathsf{d}}(t+1) + \dots + R_\ell w_{\mathsf{d}}(t+\ell) = 0 \\ &\text{for } t = 1, \dots, T - k \end{aligned}$$

$$\iff \begin{bmatrix} R_0 & R_1 & \cdots & R_\ell \\ & R_0 & R_1 & \cdots & R_\ell \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots \\ & & & R_0 & R_1 & \cdots & R_\ell \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_d(1) \\ w_d(2) \\ \vdots \\ w_d(T) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\iff [R_0 \quad R_1 \quad \cdots \quad R_\ell] \begin{bmatrix} w_{d}(1) & w_{d}(2) & \cdots & w_{d}(T-\ell) \\ w_{d}(2) & w_{d}(3) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ w_{d}(\ell+1) & w_{d}(\ell+2) & \cdots & w_{d}(T) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model

Checking that $w_d \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{i/s/o}(A, B, C, D)$

Let \mathscr{B} be defined by a minimal input/state/output representation

$$\mathscr{B} := \mathscr{B}_{i/s/o}(A, B, C, D) = \{ (u, y) \mid \sigma x = Ax + Bu, \ y = Cx + Du \}$$

 $(u_{\mathsf{d}},y_{\mathsf{d}})\in\mathscr{B}_{\mathsf{i}/\mathsf{s}/\mathsf{o}}(A,B,C,D)\quad\iff\quad \mathsf{there\ exists\ }x_{\mathsf{ini}}\in\mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{n}},\ \mathsf{such\ that}$

$$y_{d} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^{2} \\ \vdots \\ CA^{T-1} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{C}_{T}(A|C)} \underbrace{x_{ini}}_{X_{ini}} + \begin{bmatrix} D \\ CB & D \\ CAB & CB & D \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ CA^{T-1}B & \cdots & CAB & CB & D \end{bmatrix} u_{d}$$

 (y_d) is the response of \mathcal{B} under input u_d and initial condition x_{ini}

Checking that $w_d \in \mathcal{B} = \text{image}(M(\sigma))$

$$w_d \in \mathscr{B} \iff \text{there is } v, \text{ such that } w_d = M(\sigma)v$$

$$\iff$$
 there is v , such that for $t=1,\ldots,T$ $w_{\rm d}(t)=M_0v(t)+M_1v(t+1)+\cdots+M_\ell v(t+\ell)$

 \iff there is solution v of the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} w_{d}(1) \\ w_{d}(2) \\ \vdots \\ w_{d}(T) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{0} & M_{1} & \cdots & M_{\ell} \\ & M_{0} & M_{1} & \cdots & M_{\ell} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots \\ & & & M_{0} & M_{1} & \cdots & M_{\ell} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v(1) \\ v(2) \\ \vdots \\ v(T+\ell) \end{bmatrix}$$

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 6 / 35

Comments

- P1 is an exact fitting problem, a most basic SYSID problem
- easily generalizable to a set of N time series $u_{d,1}, \ldots, u_{d,N} \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^T$ and $y_{d,1}, \ldots, y_{d,N} \in (\mathbb{R}^p)^T$
- the realization problem

impulse response
$$\mapsto$$
 (A, B, C, D)

8 / 35

is a special case of P1 for a set of m time series

- while m is given, finding n is part of the problem any observable system of order n ≥ pT is a (trivial) solution
- we are actually interested is a solution of a minimal order

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 7 / 35 (Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model

Revised exact identification problem

Problem P1' (Exact identification)

Given two vector time series

$$u_{d} = (u_{d}(1), \dots, u_{d}(T)) \in (\mathbb{R}^{m})^{T}$$
 "inputs" $y_{d} = (y_{d}(1), \dots, y_{d}(T)) \in (\mathbb{R}^{p})^{T}$ "outputs"

find the smallest $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and LTI system \mathscr{B} of order n, with m inputs and p outputs, such that

$$w_d = (u_d, y_d) \in \mathscr{B}.$$

(Lecture 5)

The most powerful unfalsified model

9 / 35

Another exact identification problem

Problem P2 (Exact identification)

Given a vector time series

$$w_{d} = (w_{d}(1), \dots, w_{d}(T)) \in (\mathbb{R}^{w})^{T}$$

find the smallest $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and LTI system $\mathscr{B} \in \mathscr{L}^w_{m,\ell}$, s.t. $w_d \in \mathscr{B}$.

Comments:

- no separation between inputs and outputs
- the complexity is defined by (m, ℓ)

Set of LTI systems with a bounded complexity

Notation: $\mathcal{L}_{m\ell}^{w,n}$ is the set of all LTI systems with

- w (external) variables
- at most m inputs
- minimal state dimension at most n and
- lag (= observability index) at most ℓ

For $t \ge n$, the set $\mathcal{B}|_t$ of all t samples long traj. of \mathcal{B} has dimension

$$\dim(\mathscr{B}|_t) \leq t_m + n \leq t_m + p\ell$$

(where $p(\ell-1) \le n \le p\ell$)

 $\implies (\mathfrak{m},n) \text{ and } (\mathfrak{m},\ell) \text{ specify the } \underset{}{\text{complexity of the model class}} \mathscr{L}^{w,n}_{\mathfrak{m},\ell}$

(Lecture 5)

The most powerful unfalsified model

10 / 35

Most powerful unfalsified model

The most powerful unfalsified model in the model class $\mathscr{L}^w_{\mathfrak{m},\ell}$ of a time series $w_d \in (\mathbb{R}^w)^T$ is the system $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{mpum}}$ that is

- 1. in the model class, i.e., $\mathscr{B}_{mpum} \in \mathscr{L}_{m,\ell}^{w}$,
- 2. unfalsified, i.e., $w_d \in \mathcal{B}_{mpum}|_{\mathcal{T}}$, and
- 3. most powerful among all LTI unfalsified systems, i.e.,

$$\mathscr{B}' \in \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{m},\ell}^{\mathrm{w}}$$
 and $w_{\mathrm{d}} \in \mathscr{B}'|_{\mathcal{T}} \implies \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{mpum}}|_{\mathcal{T}} \subseteq \mathscr{B}'|_{\mathcal{T}}.$

MPUM may not exist, but if it does, then it is unique

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 11 / 35 (Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 12 / 35

Identifiability

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model

Fundamental Lemma

Let $\overline{\mathscr{B}} \in \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{w},\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{m}}$ be controllable and let $w_{\mathrm{d}} := (u_{\mathrm{d}},y_{\mathrm{d}}) \in \overline{\mathscr{B}}|_{\mathcal{T}}$. Then, if u_{d} is persistently exciting of order $L+\mathrm{n}$,

$$\text{image} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_{d}(1) & w_{d}(2) & w_{d}(3) & \cdots & w_{d}(T-L+1) \\ w_{d}(2) & w_{d}(3) & w_{d}(4) & \cdots & w_{d}(T-L+2) \\ w_{d}(3) & w_{d}(4) & w_{d}(5) & \cdots & w_{d}(T-L+3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ w_{d}(L) & w_{d}(L+1) & w_{d}(L+2) & \cdots & w_{d}(T) \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \overline{\mathcal{B}}|_{L}$$

 \implies under the conditions of the FL, any L samples long response y of \mathscr{B} can be obtained as $y = \mathscr{H}_L(y_d)g$, for certain $g \rightsquigarrow \text{algorithms}$

 \implies with $L = \ell_{max} + 1$, the FL gives conditions for identifiability

Identifiability question

P2 is the problem of computing the MPUM of w_d in \mathscr{L}^w

The following related question is of interest:

Suppose that

$$W_{d} \in \overline{\mathscr{B}} \in \mathscr{L}^{W}$$

and upper bounds n_{max} , ℓ_{max} of the order n and lag ℓ of $\overline{\mathscr{B}}$ are given.

Under what conditions $\mathscr{B}_{mpum}(w_d)$ is equal to the system \mathscr{B} ?

the answer is given by the following lemma

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 14 / 35

Persistency of excitation

 $u_d = (u_d(1), \dots, u_d(T))$ is persistently exciting of order L if

$$\mathscr{H}_{L}(u_{d}) := \begin{bmatrix} u_{d}(1) & u_{d}(2) & u_{d}(3) & \cdots & u_{d}(T-L+1) \\ u_{d}(2) & u_{d}(3) & u_{d}(4) & \cdots & u_{d}(T-L+2) \\ u_{d}(3) & u_{d}(4) & u_{d}(5) & \cdots & u_{d}(T-L+3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{d}(L) & u_{d}(L+1) & u_{d}(L+2) & \cdots & u_{d}(T) \end{bmatrix}$$
is full row rank

System theoretic interpretation:

 u_{d} is persistently exciting of order L \iff there is no LTI system with # of inputs < m and lag < L for which u_{d} is a trajectory

16 / 35

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 15 / 35 (Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model

Overview of algorithms

Algorithms for exact identification $(w_d \mapsto \text{representation of the MPUM})$

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model

$$w_d \mapsto R(\xi)$$

under the assumptions of the FL, image $(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_{max}+1}(w_d)) = \mathcal{B}|_{\ell_{max}+1}$ \Longrightarrow a basis for left ker $(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_{max}+1}(w_d))$ defines a kernel repr. of \mathcal{B} let

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{R}_0 & \widetilde{R}_1 & \cdots & \widetilde{R}_{\ell_{max}} \end{bmatrix} \mathscr{H}_{\ell_{max}+1}(w_d) = 0, \quad \text{where } \widetilde{R}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{g \times w}$$
 and define $\widetilde{R}(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell_{max}} \xi^i \widetilde{R}_i$

then $\mathscr{B} = \ker(\widetilde{R}(\sigma))$ is, in general, a nonminimal kernel representation

1.
$$W_d \mapsto R(\xi)$$

2. $w_d \mapsto \text{impulse response } H$

3.
$$W_d \mapsto (A, B, C, D)$$

(possibly balanced)

3.1
$$w_d \mapsto R(\xi) \mapsto (A, B, C, D)$$
 or $w_d \mapsto H \mapsto (A, B, C, D)$

3.2
$$W_d \mapsto \mathscr{O}_{\ell_{max}+1}(A,C) \mapsto (A,B,C,D)$$

3.3
$$W_d \mapsto (X_d(1), \dots, X_d(n_{max} + m + 1)) \mapsto (A, B, C, D)$$

(Lecture 5)

The most powerful unfalsified model

18 / 35

20 / 35

$$w_d \mapsto R(\xi)$$

 \widetilde{R} can be made minimal by standard polynomial linear algebra alg. find a unimodular matrix U, such that

$$U\widetilde{R} = \begin{bmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and R is full row rank

then $\ker(R(\sigma)) = 0$ is minimal

Refinements:

- efficient recursive computation (exploiting the Hankel structure)
- as a byproduct find an input/output partition of the variables
- find a shortest lag kernel representation (i.e., R row proper)

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 19 / 35 (Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model

$$w_d \mapsto H$$

Under the conditions of FL, there is G, such that $H = \mathcal{H}_t(y_d)G$ the problem reduces to the one of finding a particular G. Define

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathscr{H}_{\ell_{\mathsf{max}}+t}(u_{\mathsf{d}}) \\ \mathscr{H}_{\ell_{\mathsf{max}}+t}(y_{\mathsf{d}}) \end{bmatrix} =: \begin{bmatrix} U_{\mathsf{p}} \\ U_{\mathsf{f}} \\ Y_{\mathsf{p}} \\ Y_{\mathsf{d}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{rowdim}(U_{\mathsf{p}}) & = & \mathsf{rowdim}(Y_{\mathsf{p}}) & = & \ell_{\mathsf{max}} \\ \mathsf{rowdim}(U_{\mathsf{f}}) & = & \mathsf{rowdim}(Y_{\mathsf{f}}) & = & t \end{bmatrix}$$

Let u_d be p.e. of order $t + \ell_{max} + n_{max}$. Then there is G, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_p \\ Y_p \\ U_f \end{bmatrix} G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} I_m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
 zero ini. conditions
$$\leftarrow \text{ impulse input}$$

$$Y_f \quad G = H$$

$$(1)$$

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model

$$w_{d} \mapsto (A, B, C, D)$$

- $W_d \mapsto H(0:2\ell_{max})$ or $R(\xi) \xrightarrow{\text{realization}} (A,B,C,D)$
- $W_d \mapsto \mathscr{O}_{\ell_{\mathsf{max}}+1}(A,C) \xrightarrow{(2)} (A,B,C,D)$
- $W_d \mapsto (X_d(1), \dots, X_d(n_{max} + m + 1)) \xrightarrow{(3)} (A, B, C, D)$

(2) and (3) are easy:

$$\mathscr{O}_{\ell_{\text{max}}+1}(A,C)\mapsto (A,C) \quad \text{and} \quad (u_{\text{d}},y_{\text{d}},A,C)\mapsto (B,C,x_{\text{ini}})$$
 (2

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_d(2) & \cdots & x_d(n_{max}+\mathfrak{m}+1) \\ y_d(1) & \cdots & y_d(n_{max}+\mathfrak{m}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_d(1) & \cdots & x_d(n_{max}+\mathfrak{m}) \\ u_d(1) & \cdots & u_d(n_{max}+\mathfrak{m}) \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3)

$$w_d \mapsto H$$

Block algorithm for computation of (H(0),...,H(t-1)):

- 1. Input: u_d , y_d , ℓ_{max} , and t.
- 2. Solve the system of eqs (1). Let \bar{G} be the computed solution.
- 3. Compute $H = Y_f \bar{G}$.
- 4. Output: the first *t* samples of the impulse response *H*.

Refinements:

21 / 35

- solve (1) efficiently by exploiting the Hankel structure
- do the computations iteratively for pieces of H → iterative alg.
- automatically choose t, for a sufficient decay of H

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 22 / 35

$$otag \mathcal{O}_{\ell_{\mathsf{max}}+1}(A,C) \mapsto (A,B,C,D)
otag$$

C is the first block entry of $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_{\max}+1}(A,C)$ and A is given by

$$\left(\sigma^*\mathscr{O}_{\ell_{\mathsf{max}}+1}(A,C)\right)A = \left(\sigma\mathscr{O}_{\ell_{\mathsf{max}}+1}(A,C)\right) \quad \text{shift equation}$$

 $(\sigma^*$ removes the last block entry and σ removes the first block entry)

Once C and A are known, the system of equations

$$y_{d}(t) = CA^{t}x_{d}(1) + \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} CA^{t-1-\tau}Bu_{d}(\tau) + D\delta(t+1), \text{ for } t = 1, \dots, \ell_{max} + 1$$

is linear in D, B, $x_d(1)$ (can be solved using Kronecker products)

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 23 / 35 (Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 24 / 35

$$w_d \mapsto \mathscr{O}_{\ell_{\mathsf{max}}+1}(A,C)$$

The columns of $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_{max}+1}(A,C)$ are n linearly indep. free responses of \mathscr{B} Under the conditions of FL, such resp. can be computed from data

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathscr{H}_t(u_{\mathsf{d}}) \\ \mathscr{H}_t(y_{\mathsf{d}}) \end{bmatrix} G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathsf{Y}_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow & \mathsf{zero\ inputs} \\ \leftarrow & \mathsf{free\ responses} \end{array}$$

in order to obtain lin. indep. free responses, G should be maximal rank. Once we have a maximal rank matrix of free responses Y_0

$$Y_0 = \mathscr{O}_{\ell_{\max}+1}(A,C) \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_{\mathrm{ini},1} & \cdots & x_{\mathrm{ini},j} \end{bmatrix}}_{X_{\mathrm{ini}}}$$
 rank revealing factorization

 $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{O}_{\ell_{max}+1}(A,C)$ and X_{ini} , the factorization fixes the state space basis

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 25 / 35

Refinements

- Solve (4) efficiently exploiting the Hankel structure
- Iteratively compute pieces of Y₀
 - → iterative algorithm
 - requires smaller persistency of excitation of u_d
 - · could be more efficient

(Solve a few smaller systems of eqns instead of a single bigger one)

$$w_{d} \mapsto (x_{d}(1), \dots, x_{d}(n_{max} + m + 1))$$

If the free responses are sequential, *i.e.*, if Y_0 is block-Hankel, then X_{ini} is a state sequence of \mathcal{B}

Computation of sequential free responses is achieved as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_p \\ Y_p \\ U_f \end{bmatrix} G = \begin{bmatrix} U_p \\ Y_p \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \text{sequential ini. conditions} \\ \text{zero inputs} \end{cases}$$

$$(4)$$

$$Y_f \quad G = Y_0$$

Note: now we use the splitting of the data into "past" and "future"

$$Y_0 = \mathcal{O}_{\ell_{\text{max}}+1}(A,C) \begin{bmatrix} x_{\text{d}}(1) & \cdots & x_{\text{d}}(n_{\text{max}}+m+1) \end{bmatrix}$$
 rank revealing factorization

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 26 / 35

MOESP type algorithms

Orth. projection of the rows of $\mathscr{H}_{n_{\mathsf{max}}}(y_{\mathsf{d}})$ on $\Big(\mathsf{row}\,\mathsf{span}\,\big(\mathscr{H}_{n_{\mathsf{max}}}(u_{\mathsf{d}})\big)\Big)^{\perp}$

$$Y_0 := \mathscr{H}_{n_{\mathsf{max}}}(y_{\mathsf{d}}) \Pi^{\perp}_{u_{\mathsf{d}}}$$

where

$$\Pi_{u_{\mathsf{d}}}^{\perp} := \left(I - \mathscr{H}_{\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{max}}}^{\top}(u_{\mathsf{d}}) \big(\mathscr{H}_{\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{max}}}(u_{\mathsf{d}}) \mathscr{H}_{\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{max}}}^{\top}(u_{\mathsf{d}}) \big)^{-1} \mathscr{H}_{\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{max}}}(u_{\mathsf{d}}) \right)$$

Observe that $\Pi_{u_n}^{\perp}$ is maximal rank and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathscr{H}_{\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{max}}}(u_{\mathsf{d}}) \\ \mathscr{H}_{\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{max}}}(y_{\mathsf{d}}) \end{bmatrix} \Pi_{u_{\mathsf{d}}}^{\perp} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathsf{Y}_{\mathsf{0}} \end{bmatrix}$$

⇒ the orthogonal projection computes free responses

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 27 / 35 (Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 28 / 35

Comments

- $T n_{\text{max}} + 1$ free responses are computed via the orth. proj. while n_{max} such responses suffice for the purpose of exact identification
- The orth. proj. is a geometric operation, whose system theoretic meaning is not revealed
- The condition for rank(Y_0) = n, given in the MOESP literature,

$$\mathsf{rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix} X_{\mathrm{ini}} \\ \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{n_{max}}}(u_{\mathrm{d}}) \end{bmatrix}\right) = \mathrm{n} + \mathrm{n_{max}} \mathrm{m}$$

is not verifiable from the data $(u_d, y_d) \implies$ can not be checked whether the computation gives $\mathcal{O}(A, C)$, cf., p.e. condition of FL

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 29 / 35

N4SID-type algorithms

Observe that

$$\begin{bmatrix} W_{\mathsf{p}} \\ U_{\mathsf{f}} \\ Y_{\mathsf{f}} \end{bmatrix} \Pi_{\mathsf{obl}} = \begin{bmatrix} W_{\mathsf{p}} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ Y_{\mathsf{0}} \end{bmatrix}$$

(in fact Π_{obl} is the least-norm, least-squares solution)

 \implies the oblique projection computes sequential free responses

N4SID-type algorithms

Consider the splitting of the data into "past" and "future"

$$\mathscr{H}_{2n_{\mathsf{max}}}(\mathit{u}_{\mathsf{d}}) =: \left[egin{array}{c} \mathit{U}_{\mathsf{p}} \\ \mathit{U}_{\mathsf{f}} \end{array} \right], \qquad \mathscr{H}_{2n_{\mathsf{max}}}(\mathit{y}_{\mathsf{d}}) =: \left[egin{array}{c} \mathsf{Y}_{\mathsf{p}} \\ \mathsf{Y}_{\mathsf{f}} \end{array} \right]$$

with $row dim(\textit{U}_p) = row dim(\textit{U}_f) = row dim(\textit{Y}_p) = row dim(\textit{Y}_f) = n_{max}$ and let

$$W_{\mathsf{p}} := \left[egin{array}{c} U_{\mathsf{p}} \\ \mathsf{Y}_{\mathsf{p}} \end{array} \right]$$

The key step of the N4SID algorithms is the oblique projection of the rows of Y_f along row span(U_f) onto row span(U_D)

$$Y_0 := Y_f /_{U_f} W_p := Y_f \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} W_p^\top & U_f^\top \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W_p W_p^\top & W_p U_f^\top \\ U_f W_p^\top & U_f U_f^\top \end{bmatrix}^+ \begin{bmatrix} W_p \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\Pi_{obl}}$$

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 30 / 35

Comments

- T 2n_{max} + 1 sequential free responses are computed via the oblique projection while n_{max} + m + 1 such responses suffice for exact ident.
- The oblique proj. is a geometric operation, whose system theoretic meaning is not revealed
- The conditions for rank(Y_0) = n, given in the N4SID literature,
 - 1. u_d persistently exciting of order $2n_{max}$ and
 - 2. $\operatorname{row}\operatorname{span}(X_{\operatorname{ini}})\cap\operatorname{row}\operatorname{span}(U_{\operatorname{f}})=\{0\}$

are not verifiable from the data (u_d, y_d)

(Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 31/35 (Lecture 5) The most powerful unfalsified model 32/35

- System theoretic interpretation of the orth. and oblique proj.
- The FL gives conditions for identifiability, verifiable from the data
- We clarified the role of the splitting: the "past" assigns the initial conditions and in the "future" a desired response is computed
 - \implies "past" should be chosen at least ℓ samples long; the length of "future" is free as long as the p.e. condition is satisfied

(Lecture 5)

The most powerful unfalsified model

33 / 35

Software

A MATLAB toolbox for exact SYSID is available from:

In exercise 2 you will use the algorithms

- $w_d \mapsto R(\xi)$ (w2r) and
- $w_d \mapsto (x_d(1), \dots, x_d(n_{max} + m + 1)) \mapsto (A, B, C, D)$ (uy2x2ss)

in order to find the MPUM for given trajectory of an LTI system.

References

1. J. C. Willems.

From time series to linear system—Part II. Exact modelling. *Automatica*, 22(6):675–694, 1986.

- J. C. Willems, P. Rapisarda, I. Markovsky, and B. De Moor. A note on persistency of excitation.
 Systems & Control Letters, 54(4):325–329, 2005.
- 3. I. Markovsky, J. C. Willems, P. Rapisarda, and B. De Moor. Algorithms for deterministic balanced subspace identification. *Automatica*, 41(5):755–766, 2005.
- I. Markovsky, J. C. Willems, S. Van Huffel, and B. De Moor. Exact and Approximate Modeling of Linear Systems SIAM, 2006

(Lecture 5)

The most powerful unfalsified model

34 / 35

(Lecture 5)

The most powerful unfalsified model