## 1 Basics

### 1.1 List Of Games

- Büchi
- Staiger-Wagner
- weak Parity
- Reachability (E-condition)
- Safety (A-condition)
- Muller
- Parity
- Rabin
- Streett

## 1.2 List Of Properties

• Determined

For every node v, either player has a winning strategy.

- Positionally Determined
  - For every node v, either player has a positional winning strategy.
- Uniform determined

There are disjoint sets  $W_0 \cup W_1 = V$  and strategies  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1$  for player 0 and 1 respectively, such that  $\sigma_0$  is winning from all  $v \in W_0$  and  $\sigma_1$  is winning from all  $v \in W_1$ .

• Prefix Independent

 $\forall x \in C^*, \alpha \in C^\omega : \alpha \in Win \leftrightarrow x\alpha \in Win$ 

#### 1.3 Definitions

**Definition 1.** A game graph / arena is a tuple  $G = (V_0, V_1, E, c)$  where  $V_0 \cap V_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $E \subseteq V \times V$  where  $V = V_0 \cup V_1$ , and  $c : V \to C$  for a finite set of colors C.

A game is a pair G = (G, Win) where G is an arena and  $Win \subseteq C^{\omega}$ .

A strategy for player i is a function  $\sigma: V^*V_i \to V$  with  $(u,v) \in E$  for all  $\sigma(xu) = v$ .  $\sigma$  is a winning strategy from  $v \in V$ , if all plays from v that are according to  $\sigma$  are won by player i.  $\sigma$  is positional if for all  $x, y \in V^*, v \in V$ :  $\sigma(xv) = \sigma(yv)$ .

# 2 Memory & Reductions

**Definition 2.** A strategy automaton for player 0 in a game  $\mathcal{G}$  is a tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (M, C, m_{in}, \sigma^u, \sigma^n)$  with  $\sigma^n : M \times V_0 \to V$  and  $\sigma^u : M \times C \to M$ . The automaton defines a strategy  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(xv) = \sigma^n(m, v)$  where  $m = (\sigma^u)^*(m_{in}, x)$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}'$  be games.  $\mathcal{G}$  reduces to  $\mathcal{G}'$  with memory m if there is an  $f_{in}$ :  $V \to V'$  such that a player wins from  $v \in V$  iff that player wins from  $f_{in}(v) \in V'$ . For a winning strategy with memory n from  $f_{in}(v)$ , one can compute a winning strategy with memory  $n \cdot m$  from v.

**Definition 4.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V_0, V_1, E, c, Win)$  be a game and let  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, C, q_0, \delta, Acc)$  be a finite automaton with  $L(\mathcal{A}) = Win$ . The **product game** is defined as  $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{A} = (V'_0, V'_1, E', c', Acc)$  with

- $V_0' = V_0 \times Q$
- $V_1' = V_1 \times Q$
- $E' = \{((u, p), (v, q) \in (V \times Q)^2 \mid (u, v) \in E \text{ and } q = \delta(p, c(u))\}$
- c'(v,q) = q

**Theorem 1.**  $\mathcal{G}$  reduces to  $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{A}$  with memory |Q|.

**Example** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, C, q_0, \delta, F)$  be a DFA and let  $\mathcal{G} = (G, C^*L(\mathcal{A}C^{\omega}))$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is a reachability game. Hence,  $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{A}$  is determined with memory size |Q|.

# 3 Prefix Dependent Games

## 3.1 Reachability & Safety

 $F \subseteq C$  and Win =  $C^*FC^{\omega}$  (reachability) or Win =  $(C \setminus F)^{\omega}$  (safety)

**Theorem 2.** Reachability games and safety games are positionally determined. The winning regions and winning strategies can be computed in  $\mathcal{O}(|G|)$ .

??

### 3.2 Weak Parity

 $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and Win =  $\{\alpha \in C^{\omega} \mid \max \operatorname{Occ}(\alpha) \text{ is even}\}.$ 

**Theorem 3.** Weak parity games are positionally determined. The winning regions and winning strategies can be computed in  $\mathcal{O}(|C| \cdot |G|)$ .

## 3.3 Staiger-Wagner

 $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^C$  and Win =  $\{\alpha \in C^{\omega} \mid \operatorname{Occ}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{F}\}.$ 

**Theorem 4.** Staiger-Wagner games can be reduced to weak parity games with memory  $2^{|C|}$ .

*Proof.* Similar to proof from SWA to WDBA.

**Theorem 5.** For every n > 0, there is an arena  $G_n$  with  $|G_n| \in \mathcal{O}(n)$  and a set  $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq 2^C$  with  $|\mathcal{F}_n| \in \mathcal{O}(n)$  such that player 0 has a winning strategy in the Staiger-Wagner game  $(G_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$  but every winning strategy requires memory of size  $2^n$ .

# 4 Prefix Independent Games

### 4.1 Büchi Games

 $F \subseteq C$  and Win =  $\{\alpha \in C^{\omega} \mid Inf(\alpha) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}.$ 

**Theorem 6.** Büchi games are uniformly positionally determined. The winning regions and winning strategies can be computed in polynomial time in |G|.

??

### 4.2 Parity Games

 $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and Win =  $\{\alpha \in C^{\omega} \mid \max \operatorname{Inf}(\alpha) \text{ is even}\}.$ 

**Theorem 7.** Parity games are uniformly positionally determined. The winning regions and winning strategies can be computed in non-deterministic polynomial time in |G|, or in deterministic time  $\mathcal{O}\left(|V|\cdot|E|\cdot|C|\cdot(\frac{|V|}{|C|}+1)^{2|C|}\right)$ .

Proof.

### 4.3 Muller Games

 $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^C$  and Win =  $\{\alpha \in C^{\omega} \mid \text{Inf}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{F}\}.$ 

**Theorem 8.** Muller games can be reduced to parity games with memory  $|C| \cdot |C|!$ .

*Proof.* A Muller automaton can be transformed to a DPA using the LAR construction.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 9.** For every n > 0, there is an arena  $G_n$  with  $|G_n| \in \mathcal{O}(n)$  and a set  $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq 2^C$  such that player 0 has a winning strategy in the Muller game  $(G_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$  but every winning strategy requires memory of size n!.

Proof.

**Theorem 10.** Let  $(G, \mathcal{F})$  be a finite Muller game. Player 0 and player 1 have uniform winning strategies from their respective winning regions of size at most  $m_{\mathcal{F}}^0 / m_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ . (the automata use V for the update function instead of C)

Proof.

**Theorem 11.** For every  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^C$ , there is an arena  $G_{\mathcal{F}}$  such that player 0 wins  $(G_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{F})$  but every winning strategy requires memory at least  $m_{\mathcal{F}}^0$ .

Proof.

**Theorem 12.** Muller games can be reduced to parity games with memory  $l_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

#### 4.3.1 Split Trees

**Definition 5.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^C$ . We write  $\mathcal{F}|_D = \mathcal{F} \cap 2^D$  for all  $D \subseteq C$ . The **split tree** of  $\mathcal{F}$  is called  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$  and is defined as follows:

- Nodes in the tree are labeled by  $2^C \times \{0,1\}$ .
- If  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ , the root is labeled (C,0). Otherwise, the root is labeled (C,1).
- For every  $\subseteq$ -maximal set D with  $D \notin \mathcal{F}$ , the root has the subtree  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}|_D}$  as a child.

**Definition 6.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^C$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_n \subseteq 2^C$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}_n}$  are the direct subtrees of the root in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . We define the **memory number** 

$$m_i(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \max_j m_i(S_{\mathcal{F}_j}) & \text{if the root is } (C, i) \\ \sum_j m_i(S_{\mathcal{F}_j}) & \text{if the root is } (C, 1 - i) \end{cases}.$$

For a short form, we write  $m_{\mathcal{F}}^i = m_i(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}})$ . We write  $l_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{N}$  for the number of leaves in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Theorem 13. •  $m_{\mathcal{F}}^0 = m_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ 

- $m_{\mathcal{F}}^i \leq l_{\mathcal{F}}$
- $l_{\mathcal{F}} \leq |C|!$

### 4.4 Rabin & Streett Games

```
\Omega = \{ (E_i, F_i) \mid 1 \le i \le n \} \subseteq C \times C \text{ and} 

\text{Win} = \{ \alpha \in C^{\omega} \mid \exists i : \text{Inf}(\alpha) \cap E_i = \emptyset \land \text{Inf}(\alpha) \cap F_i \ne \emptyset \} \text{ (Rabin)} 

\text{Win} = \{ \alpha \in C^{\omega} \mid \forall i : \text{Inf}(\alpha) \cap E_i \ne \emptyset \land \text{Inf}(\alpha) \cap F_i = \emptyset \} \text{ (Streett)}.
```

**Theorem 14.** Rabin and Streett games are determined. In a Rabin game, player 0 has a uniform positional winning strategy from their winning region. In a Streett game, player 1 has a uniform positional winning strategy from their winning region.

For every n, there is a game graph  $G_n$  and a condition  $\Omega_n$  with  $|\Omega_n| = n$  such that the opposite player requires memory n! for a winning strategy from their winning region.

 $\square$ 

### 4.5 Logic Games

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a logic and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then  $Win_{\varphi} = \{ \alpha \in C^{\omega} \mid \alpha \models \varphi \}$ .

**Theorem 15.** For  $\mathcal{L} = LTL$ , logic games are uniformly positionally determined and the winning strategies can be computed in  $2^{2^{|\varphi|}}$ .

*Proof.* One can compute an NBA for  $\varphi$  in exponential time which can then be transformed to a DPA.

**Theorem 16.** For  $\mathcal{L} = S1S$ , logic games are uniformly positionally determined and the winning strategies can be computed in  $2 \uparrow |\varphi|$ .

*Proof.* One can compute an NBA for  $\varphi$  in non-elementary time which can then be transformed to a DPA.

#### 4.5.1 Church Synthesis

Goal: given a specification  $\varphi(\alpha, \beta)$ , construct a function/program f such that  $f(\alpha) = \beta$  iff  $\models \varphi(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Define a game  $(G, Win_{\varphi})$  where G defines a game in which player 0 and player 1 alternatingly choose bits 0 or 1. By using the previous results, the game can be solved. A winning strategy for player 0 can be used as a program f.