0.0.1 Alternative computation

As we have seen, using delayed simulation to build a quotient automaton delivers good results in the number of removed states. The downside is the computation time which is much higher than that of our approach in section ??. In the following we will consider alternations to the delayed simulation algorithm with the goal to increase the number of removed states or to reduce computation time.

Iterated Moore equivalence

Our next approach differs greatly in its computation from the delayed simulation (or rather, it is not related at all to the delayed simulation automaton anymore) but will yield a result that is at least as good. As before, we focus on normalized DPAs here.

Definition 0.0.1. Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, \delta, c)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (P, \Sigma, p_0, \varepsilon, d)$ be DPAs and $S \subseteq Q$. We say that \mathcal{A} prepends S to \mathcal{B} if

- $q_0 \in S$
- $Q = P \dot{\cup} S$
- $\delta \upharpoonright_{P \times \Sigma} = \varepsilon$
- $c \upharpoonright_P = d$

We assume S to be an SCC in these use cases, i.e. from every $s \in S$, every other $s' \in S$ is reachable in A.

Definition 0.0.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a DPA with SCCs $\mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^Q$ without unreachable states. Let $\preceq \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ be a total preorder such that $S \preceq S'$ implies that S' is reachable from S. For the i-th element w.r.t. this order, we write S_i , i.e. $S_0 \prec S_1 \prec \cdots \prec S_{|\mathcal{S}|}$.

For every state q in \mathcal{A} , let $\mathrm{SCC}(q)$ be the SCC of q and let $\mathrm{SCCi}(q)$ be the index of that SCC , i.e. $\mathrm{SCC}(q) = S_{\mathrm{SCCi}(q)}$. Let $\preceq_Q \subseteq Q \times Q$ be a total preorder on the states such that $q \preceq_Q q'$ implies $\mathrm{SCCi}(q) \leq \mathrm{SCCi}(q')$.

We inductively define a sequence of automata $(\mathcal{B}_i)_{0 \le i \le |\mathcal{S}|}$. For every i, we write $\mathcal{B}_i = (Q_i, \Sigma, q_0^i, \delta_i, c_i)$.

- The state sets are defined as $Q_i = \bigcup_{j=i}^{|\mathcal{S}|} S_j$.
- The base case is $\mathcal{B}_{|\mathcal{S}|} = \mathcal{A} \upharpoonright_{S_{|\mathcal{S}|}}$.
- Given that \mathcal{B}_{i+1} is defined, let $\mathcal{B}'_i = (Q_i, \Sigma, q_0'^i, \delta_i', c_i')$ be a DPA that prepends S_i to \mathcal{B}_{i+1} such that $\delta \upharpoonright_{Q_i \times \Sigma} = \delta_i'$ and $c \upharpoonright_{S_i} = c_i' \upharpoonright_{S_i}$. If i = 0, we require $q_0'^i = q_0$.
- Let $q_0^i = q_0^{\prime i}$ and $\delta_i = \delta_i^{\prime}$.
- Let M_i' be the Moore equivalence on \mathcal{B}_i' . If $S_i = \{q\}$ is a trivial SCC, q is not M_i' -equivalent to any other state, and there is a $p \in Q_{i+1}$ such that for all $a \in \Sigma$ $(\delta_i'(q, a), \delta_i'(p, a)) \in M_i'$, then let p_0 be \leq_Q -maximal among those p and let $c_i(r) = \begin{cases} c_{i+1}(p_0) & \text{if } r = q \\ c_{i+1}(r) & \text{else} \end{cases}$. If any of the three conditions is false, simply set $c_i = c_i'$.

Let M_i be the Moore equivalence on \mathcal{B}_i . We define $\sim_{IM} := M_0$ and call this the *iterated Moore equivalence* of \mathcal{A} .

At first, this definition might seem complex and confusing when written down formally like this. More casually explained, we continuously add the SCCs of \mathcal{A} starting from the "back". In addition to computing the usual Moore equivalence on our automaton, we also take trivial SCCs into special consideration; as their priority cannot appear infinitely often on any run, its value is effectively arbitrary. We can therefore perform extra steps to more liberally merge it with other states.

In the upcoming statements we prove the important properties of iterated Moore equivalence.

Lemma 0.0.1. Let variables be as in definition 0.0.4. For all i and all $p, q \in Q_{i+1}$, $(p, q) \in M'_i$ iff $(p, q) \in M_{i+1}$. For all i and all $p, q \in Q_{i+1}$, $(p, q) \in M_i$ iff $(p, q) \in M'_i$.

Proof.

Theorem 0.0.2. Let variables be defined as in definition 0.0.4 and let \sim_M be the Moore equivalence of \mathcal{A} . Then $\sim_M \subseteq \sim_{IM}$.

Proof. Let p, q be two states with $p \sim_M q$ but $p \not\sim_{IM} q$ such that $\max\{SCCi(p), SCCi(q)\}$ is maximal among all possible pairs; if there are multiple pairs with the highest value, choose a pair which has maximal $\min\{SCCi(p), SCCi(q)\}$ as well.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $c_0(p) \neq c_0(q)$ as we can always find such a pair with the definition of Moore equivalence. Since c(p) = c(q), at least one of the two states must have a different priority in c_0 compared to c. By symmetry, assume that state is q. $\{q\}$ must be a trivial SCC in \mathcal{A} . Let q' be the \leq_Q -maximal state s.t. $(\delta(q,a),\delta(q',a)) \in M'_{\mathrm{SCCi}(q)}$ for all $a \in \Sigma$, that is the state whose priority was copied to q.

We can make the assumption that SCCi(q) > SCCi(p): if $c(p) \neq c_0(SCCi(p))$, then $\{p\}$ is a trivial SCC and the choice of the states is symmetric. Otherwise, if we would have SCCi(p) > SCCi(q) and $c(p) = c_0(SCCi(p))$, then $(p,q) \in M_{SCCi(q)} \subseteq \sim_{IM}$.

Consider the case that SCC(p) is a non-trivial SCC, so there is a non-empty word w with $\delta^*(p,w)=p$. Because of the congruence property, we know $q\sim_M p\sim_M \delta^*(p,w)\sim_M \delta^*(q,w)$. As $\{q\}$ is a trivial SCC, $SCCi(\delta^*(q,w))>SCCi(q)$. That means that every state that is \sim_M -equivalent to $\delta^*(q,w)$ must also be \sim_{IM} -equivalent to it; otherwise, the choice of (p,q) as a pair with maximal value of SCCi(q) would be contradicted. In particular, $q\sim_{IM} \delta(q,w)\sim_{IM} p$, which breaks our initial assumption.

Finally, we look at the case that $\mathrm{SCC}(p) = \{p\}$ is trivial. First, if $c(p) \neq c_0(p)$, then there must be a state p' s.t. $(\delta(p,a),\delta(p',a)) \in M'_{\mathrm{SCCi}(p)}$ for all $a \in \Sigma$; consider the \preceq_Q -maximal state that satisfies this. We can show that p' must be the same as q' and therefore $c_0(p) = c_0(q') = c_0(q)$, which would be a contradiction. The only possibility for this to be false is that there is an $a \in \Sigma$ such that $(\delta(p,a),\delta(p',a)) \in M'_{\mathrm{SCCi}(p)}$ but $(\delta(p,a),\delta(q',a)) \notin M'_{\mathrm{SCCi}(p)}$. Remember that $(\delta(q,a),\delta(q',a)) \in M'_{\mathrm{SCCi}(p)}$ and $\delta(q,a) \sim_M \delta(p,a)$. If now $(\delta(p,a),\delta(q',a)) \notin M'_{\mathrm{SCCi}(p)}$ would hold, then $(\delta(p,a),\delta(q,a)) \notin M'_{\mathrm{SCCi}(p)} \subseteq M_0$, so $\delta(p,a) \not\sim_{IM} \delta(q,a)$. Since $\{q\}$ is a trivial SCC, SCCi $(q) < \mathrm{SCCi}(q) < \mathrm{SCCi}(\delta(p,a))$, meaning that the pair $(\delta(p,a),\delta(q,a))$ would contradict our choice of (p,q).

Second, if $c(p) = c_0(p)$, then there must be a state $p' \neq p$ s.t. $(p, p') \in M'_{SCCi(p)} \subseteq M_0$. Let that p' be \leq_Q -maximal. SCC(p') cannot be a trivial SCC: note that $q \sim_{IM} q'$ and $p \sim_{IM} p'$.

means $\delta(p',a) \sim_{IM} \delta(q',a)$ for all a and therefore $c_0(p) = c_0(p') = c_0(q') = c_0(q)$.

Hence, SCC(p') is a non-trivial SCC. There is a non-empty word w s.t. $\delta^*(p',w) = p'$.

Theorem 0.0.3. For a DPA \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{A}/_{\sim_{IM}}$ is also a DPA with $L(\mathcal{A}/_{\sim_{IM}}) = L(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. From the definition of the \mathcal{B}_i sequence in the construction of \sim_{IM} , it becomes clear that \mathcal{B}_0 and \mathcal{A} are isomorphic up to the priority function at some trivial SCCs. As those priorities are only seen finitely often anyway, they do not impact the acceptance of a word.

Another nice and maybe surprising result is the relation of iterated Moore equivalence to delayed simulation.

Theorem 0.0.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a DPA. Then $\equiv_{de} \subseteq \sim_{IM}$.

Furthermore, $\delta(p,a) \sim_{IM} \delta(q,a)$ for all a, as to not contradict the pair (p,q). Put together this