

---

# Consumer choice

income changes preference

---

Weng Wei

April 2023

# What if consumer preference changes as income changes?

Yup, it's safe to say it does in reality.

But how to represent it in economic model?

**ChatGPT 4:** Just add income as parameter to utility function

**ChatGPT 4:** use Cobb-Douglas form for the utility function

- $U(\text{pizza}, \text{sushi}, I) = \text{pizza}^\alpha * \text{sushi}^\beta * I^\gamma$

**Bard:** income is also a good

- $U(x, y, I) = x^2 + y^2 + aI$

**Weng Wei:** income changes preference

- $U(\text{bread}, \text{steak}, I) =$   
 $\text{bread}^{(1 - \text{scale\_income}(I))} * \text{steak}^{(\text{scale\_income}(I))}$
- $0 < \text{scale\_income}(I) < 1$

No matter what  $I$  is, but if it's given as constant, it becomes a normal utility maximizing problem.

Or, can just treat  $I$  as a variable, in Econ 6022 Notes Chapter 1, we have:

- $\max U(x, y, z) = x^2 * y^3 * z$  subject to  $x + y + y = 12$

It's similar to:

- $\max U(\text{bread}, \text{steak}, I) = \text{bread}^{(1 - \text{scale\_income}(I))} * \text{steak}^{(\text{scale\_income}(I))}$
- subject to  $2 * \text{bread} + 20 * \text{steak} - I = 0$ 
  - Assume  $0 < I < 200$ ,  $\text{scale\_income}(I)$  could just be  $I / 200$

Mathematically, above should work.

But, does it have any implication on actual life?

After all, my question starts: In reality...

“The criterion of congruence with reality should have been sharpened - sharpened into the insistence that theories be examined for their implications for observable behavior. Not only where such implications not sought and tested, but there was a tendency, when there appeared to be a threat of an empirical test, to reformulate the theory to make the test ineffective. Economist did not anxiously seek the challenge of the facts.” - Geoge. J. Stigler

# Consumer choice by Quota

# Consumer are subjected to two constraints

- Budget
- Quota



# Categories

Both budget and quota are separated into different categories, like:

- Food
  - Bread vs Steak
- Transport
  - Bus vs Taxi

# Consumer Preference

- Budget distributions among categories
- Different consumer distribute budget differently
  - Tom treat food and transport as equal important
  - Jerry prefer spend more on food

# Model Solution

- Maximizing budget usage within quota in each category

# Model Setup

- Both Tom & Jerry has \$200 total budget per week:
  - Tom: \$100 on food and \$100 on transport
  - Jerry: \$175 on food and \$25 on transport
- Same quota per week for food & transport:
  - Food: 14 units (2 meals per day)
  - Transport: 21 units (3 trips per day)
- Prices:
  - Food: Bread: \$2 Steak: \$20
  - Transport: Bus: \$1 Taxi: \$15

# Tom's situation

- Weekly consumption:
  - Fbread unit of bread, Fsteak unit of steak
  - Tbus unit of bus, Ttaxi unit of taxi
- Food:
  - $F_{\text{bread}} + F_{\text{steak}} = 14$
  - $\text{Budget}(F_{\text{bread}}, F_{\text{steak}}) = 2 * F_{\text{bread}} + 20 * F_{\text{steak}} \leq 100$
- Transport:
  - $T_{\text{bus}} + T_{\text{taxi}} \leq 21$
  - $\text{Budget}(T_{\text{bus}}, T_{\text{taxi}}) = 1 * T_{\text{bus}} + 15 * T_{\text{taxi}} \leq 100$
- Goal: maximize  $\text{Budget}(F_{\text{bread}}, F_{\text{steak}})$  &  $\text{Budget}(T_{\text{bus}}, T_{\text{taxi}})$

# Jerry's situation

- Food:
  - $F_{\text{bread}} + F_{\text{steak}} = 14$
  - $\text{Budget}(F_{\text{bread}}, F_{\text{steak}}) = 2 * F_{\text{bread}} + 20 * F_{\text{steak}} \leq 175$
- Transport:
  - $T_{\text{bus}} + T_{\text{taxi}} = 21$
  - $\text{Budget}(T_{\text{bus}}, T_{\text{taxi}}) = 1 * T_{\text{bus}} + 15 * T_{\text{taxi}} \leq 25$

# By simple algebra

- Tom:
  - $F_{\text{bread}} = 10, F_{\text{steak}} = 4, \text{Budget}(F_{\text{bread}}, F_{\text{steak}}) = 100$
  - $T_{\text{bus}} = 16, T_{\text{taxi}} = 5, \text{Budget}(T_{\text{bus}}, T_{\text{taxi}}) = 91 \leq 100$
- Jerry:
  - $F_{\text{bread}} = 6, F_{\text{steak}} = 8, \text{Budget}(F_{\text{bread}}, F_{\text{steak}}) = 172 \leq 175$
  - $T_{\text{bus}} = 21, T_{\text{taxi}} = 0, \text{Budget}(T_{\text{bus}}, T_{\text{taxi}}) = 21 \leq 25$

# Implications from this model

- Let's just consider's Jerry's choice for food
  - $F_{\text{bread}} = 6$ ,  $F_{\text{steak}} = 8$ ,  $\text{Budget}(F_{\text{bread}}, F_{\text{steak}}) = 172 \leq 175$
- What if steak's price drop to \$15?
  - $F_{\text{bread}} = 3$ ,  $F_{\text{steak}} = 11$ ,  $\text{Budget}(F_{\text{bread}}, F_{\text{steak}}) = 171 \leq 175$
- Price drop  $\rightarrow$  demand increase
  - law of demand proved  $\wedge \_ \wedge$



# What if...

- There are 3rd option for food: lobster with unit price \$40?
  - “Indifference curve” may comes in
- Jerry’s budget increases to \$1000?
  - More expensive goods will be consumed.
- Can it explain Lipstick effect?
  - Yes!
- How about Giffen good?
  - All goods exhibitions same behavior, no difference between Giffen or normal good
  - Law of demand always obeyed

# Seek the challenge of the facts!

- Unlike “Utility”, quota & budget are something we could measure in real life
- Consumer choice by quota model would have predictions
  - It's a refutable model
  - Can be tested with empirical result
- Hawker food's price has been increasing, how will consumption in food court be affected?
- HDB price are raising, will it effect condo market?
- How would inflation affect our spending?

Thank You!