

§ 0. Double coset formulas.

$\alpha \in \underline{GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})}$. I_1, I_2 , congruence of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then I_1 acts on

$I_1 \backslash I_2$, we have orbit $I_1 \backslash I_1 \backslash I_2 = \bigcup I_1 \beta_j$. $GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ guarantees

that there are finite orbits.

Hence we can define operator $[I_1 \alpha I_2]_k : M_k(I_1) \rightarrow M_k(I_2)$ by

$$f[I_1 \alpha I_2]_k(\tau) = \sum_j f[\beta_j]_k(\tau) = \sum_j \det \beta_j^{k-1} j(\beta_j \tau)^{-k} f(\beta_j \tau).$$

• $f[I_1 \alpha I_2] \in M_k(I_2)$: $g \in M_k(I_2)$ means that $\forall \gamma_2 \in I_2$,

$$f[\gamma_2]_k(\tau) = j(\gamma_2, \tau)^{-k} f(\gamma_2 \tau) = f(\tau). \text{ Hence}$$

$$(f[I_1 \alpha I_2]_k)[\gamma_2]_k(\tau) = (\sum_j f[\beta_j]_k) [\gamma_2]_k(\tau)$$

$$= \sum_j j(\gamma_2, \tau)^{-k} f[\beta_j]_k(\gamma_2 \tau)$$

$$= \sum_j \det \beta_j^{-k} j(\gamma_2, \tau)^{-k} j(\beta_j, \gamma_2 \tau)^{-k} f(\beta_j \gamma_2 \tau)$$

$$= \sum_j \det \beta_j \gamma_2^{-k} j(\beta_j \gamma_2, \tau)^{-k} f(\beta_j \gamma_2 \tau)$$

$$= \sum_j f[\beta_j \gamma_2]_k(\tau) = \sum_j f[\beta_j]_k(\tau) = f[I_1 \alpha I_2]_k$$

Since the action of γ_2 on orbit $\{\beta_j\}$ is just permutation.

Facts : $\{I_1 \cap I_2\}_k : M_k(I_1) \rightarrow M_k(I_2)$, sends cusp forms to cusp.

§ 1 Geometric interpretations (in terms of divisors).

- Consider $\alpha = I$. $I \setminus I_1 I_2 = I \cdot \beta_j$, with β_j representative of $I_1 \cap I_2 \setminus I_2$. [of course $\cup I_i \beta_j = I_1 I_2$. If $I_i \cdot \beta_i \cap I_j \beta_j \neq \emptyset$, then $\gamma_1 \beta_i = \gamma_2 \beta_j \Rightarrow \gamma_2^{-1} \gamma_1 \beta_i = \beta_j \Rightarrow \beta_j \in I_1 \cap I_2 \beta_i$. Note that $\gamma_2^{-1} \gamma_1 = \beta_j \beta_i^{-1} \in I_2$.]

Geometric : For $I_2 \tau \xrightarrow{\text{break}} \{I \cap I_2 \beta_j \tau\}_j \xrightarrow{\text{extend}} \{I_1 \beta_j \tau\}_j$

On modular curves .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Sigma I \cap I_2 \beta_j \tau & \\ I_2 \tau & \downarrow \pi_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{many ramify}} \downarrow \pi_1 \\ Y(I_2) & & Y(I_1) \end{array}$$

$$\pi_{1*} \pi_2^* : \text{Div}(X(I_2)) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X(I_1)), \quad I_2 \tau \mapsto \sum_j I_1 \beta_j \tau$$

functions : $f \in M_k(I_1) \xrightarrow{\pi_1^*} \pi_1^* f \in M_k(I_1 \cap I_2)$ is natural, consider

as pullback of $\Omega^{\otimes k}$, while on π_2 , one can pushforward a differential form via tr/Nr (I don't understand)

• Generally, $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$. Consider $I_1 \backslash I_1 \alpha I_2$, two elts are in

the same orbit $\Leftrightarrow \gamma_1 \gamma_1 \alpha \beta_1 = \gamma_2 \alpha \beta_2 \Leftrightarrow \alpha^{-1} \gamma_2^{-1} \gamma_1 \alpha \beta_1 = \beta_2$.

Hence $\exists t \in \alpha^{-1} I_1 \alpha \cap I_2$ s.t. $t \beta_1 = \beta_2$. Hence, $I_1 \backslash I_1 \alpha I_2 =$

$I_1 \alpha \gamma_j$, γ_j 's are representatives of $\alpha^{-1} I_1 \alpha \cap I_2 \backslash I_2$. [see DS 5.1.2]

$$\begin{matrix} \{I_3(\gamma_j \tau)\}_j & \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1} I_1 \alpha \cap I_2} & I_1 \cap \alpha I_2 \alpha^{-1} \frac{\{I_3' \alpha \gamma_j \tau\}_j}{\beta_j} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I_2 \tau & & I_1 \quad \{I_3' \alpha \tau\} \end{matrix}$$

The horizontal map induces $X(I_3) \rightarrow X(I_3')$ $I_3 \tau \mapsto I_3' \alpha(\tau)$

$$\begin{matrix} X(I_3) & \xrightarrow{\cdot \alpha} & X(I_3') \\ \pi_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\ X(I_2) & & X(I_1) \end{matrix} \quad \text{Div}(X(I_2)) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X(I_1))$$

$$I_2 \tau \hookrightarrow \sum_j I_1 \beta_j \tau$$

the action on $\mathbb{S}^{\otimes k}$, is pullback along $\pi_2 \circ \alpha$
the pushforward along π_1 .

§ 2. Diamond, Atkin - Lehner, Hecke operators

§ 2.1. Diamond operator $\langle d \rangle = I_1(N) \alpha I_1(N)$, $\alpha \in I_0(N)$ with

$$\alpha \equiv \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}. \quad s \equiv d \pmod{N}.$$

Recall that $I_0(N) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & b \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

$$\mathbb{I}_1(N) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_1(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_0(N) \xrightarrow{\pi} (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow 0$ is exact, $\pi: \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto$

$d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$. Now lets compute β_j 's. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \mathbb{I}_1(N)\mathcal{I} & & \mathbb{I}_1(N)d\mathcal{I} & \\
 \alpha^{-1}\mathbb{I}_1(N)\alpha \cap \mathbb{I}_1(N) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{I}_1(N) \cap \alpha^{-1}\mathbb{I}_1(N)\alpha & \xrightarrow{\quad X_1(N) \quad} & X_1(N) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{I}_1(N) & & \mathbb{I}_1(N) & & X_1(N) \\
 & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & \mathbb{I}_1(N)\mathcal{I} \\
 & & & & \mathbb{I}_1(N)d\mathcal{I}
 \end{array}$$

Hence β_j is just a . Since $\mathbb{I}_1(N)\alpha = \mathbb{I}_1(N)\alpha'$ iff $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\alpha') = d \in$

$(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$. Hence we define $\langle cd \rangle : [\mathbb{I}_1(N)\alpha \mathbb{I}_1(N)]_K$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{I}_0(N)$ with

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & s \end{bmatrix}, s \equiv d \pmod{N}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bullet \quad Y_1(N) &\hookrightarrow \left\{ (E, Q) \mid Q \text{ has exact order } n \right\} / \sim \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ (E_{\mathcal{I}}, \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathcal{I}}) \right\} / \sim \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & s \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} c \geq 0 \\ s \equiv d \pmod{N} \end{matrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

In this case,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{I}_1(N)\mathcal{I} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{I}_1(N)d\mathcal{I} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (E_{\mathcal{I}}, \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathcal{I}}) & & (E_{d\mathcal{I}}, \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{d\mathcal{I}})
 \end{array}$$

$\Lambda_{d\mathcal{I}} = \mathbb{Z} \frac{c\tau+b}{c\tau+s} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$
 $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}(c\tau+b) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(c\tau+s)$
 $\Lambda_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathbb{Z}\tau \oplus \mathbb{Z}$
 $(E_{\Lambda}, \frac{c\tau+s}{N} + \Lambda) = (E_{\mathcal{I}}, \frac{d}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathcal{I}})$

§ 2.2. Atkin-Lehner. $w_N = [I_N^{-1}]_k$. $I_1(N) \rightarrow I_1(N)$

let $\alpha = [I_N^{-1}]$, then for $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}$.

$$\alpha\beta\alpha = \left[\frac{1}{N} \right] \left[\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ N \end{bmatrix} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} d & -\frac{c}{N} \\ -Nb & a \end{bmatrix} \in I_1(N)$$

Hence $\alpha I_1(N) \alpha^{-1} \subseteq I_1(N)$, for the same reason $\alpha^{-1} I_1(N) \alpha \subseteq I_1(N)$

$\Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} I_1(N) \alpha = I_1(N)$. Diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_1(N)\mathbb{Z} & & I_1(N)\mathbb{Z} \\ X_1(N) & \longrightarrow & X_1(N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I_1(N)\mathbb{Z} & & X_1(N) \\ & & I_1(N)\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

Remark: The above calculation also holds for $I_0(N)$

$$\text{Now } I_1(N)\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow I_1(N) - \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & \downarrow & \Lambda - \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \\ (E_{\mathbb{Z}}, \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}) & (E_{-\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}}, \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{-\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}}) & \text{ss} \\ & \text{ss} & \Lambda' = \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{N} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z} \\ & & (E_{\Lambda'}, \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda') \end{array}$$

Note that $\Lambda' \supseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\Lambda'/\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} = \langle \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle$ is an order n

subgroup of $E_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We can view $E_{\Lambda'} = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda' \cong (\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}})/(\Lambda'/\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}})$

$= E_{\mathbb{Z}}/\langle \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle$. At the meanwhile. $\langle \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda' \rangle = E_{\mathbb{Z}}[N]/\langle \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle$

where $E_{\mathbb{Z}}[N] = \langle \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}, \frac{I}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle$. For $E_{\mathbb{Z}}[N] = (\mathbb{Z} \frac{I}{N} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{N}) / \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

$$\langle \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle = (\mathbb{Z} \frac{I}{N} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{N}) / \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \Rightarrow E_{\mathbb{Z}}[N] / \langle \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle = \langle \frac{I}{N} + \Lambda' \rangle$$

Remark : The operator w_N is called the Atkin Lehner involution.

For $w_N \circ w_N : I_1(N)\tau \mapsto I_1(N) \frac{\tau^2}{\tau} = I_1(N) \begin{bmatrix} -N & 0 \\ 0 & -N \end{bmatrix} \tau = I_1(N)\tau$ is

identity on $X_1(N)$ or $X_0(N)$.

$$(E_{\mathbb{Z}}, \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}) \mapsto (E_{\Lambda'}, \frac{I}{N} + \Lambda') \mapsto (E_{\Lambda''}, \frac{1}{N^2} + \Lambda'')$$

$\mathbb{Z}\tau \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ $\mathbb{Z}\tau \oplus \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{N}$ $\mathbb{Z}\frac{I}{N} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{N}$

§ 2.3 Hecke operators T_p . $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. $I_1(N) \rightarrow I_1(N)$

$$\beta_j = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix} \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1 \quad \text{for } p|N. \quad \downarrow \in I_0(N)$$

$$\beta_j = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix} \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1. \quad \beta_{\infty} = \begin{bmatrix} m & n \\ N & p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad p \nmid N.$$

$$I_1(N)\tau \xrightarrow{\sim} (E_{\mathbb{Z}}, \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}), \quad I_1(N) \frac{\tau+j}{p} \text{ corresponds to}$$

$$(E_{\frac{\tau+j}{p}}, \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\frac{\tau+j}{p}}). \quad \text{Now the lattice } \Lambda_{\frac{\tau+j}{p}} = \mathbb{Z} \frac{\tau+j}{p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

need a picture

$$\text{Note that } \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \Lambda_{\frac{\tau+j}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{\frac{\tau+j}{p}} / \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} = \langle \frac{\tau+j}{p} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle \subseteq E_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is a subgroup of order p of $E_{\mathbb{Z}}$ intersect $\langle \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle = \{0\}$

So if $p|N$, there are exactly p subgroup of order p of $E_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

they are $\langle \frac{\tau+j}{p} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle$. If $p \nmid N$, there are another one $\langle \frac{1}{p} + \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle$

In this case, let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\tau \oplus \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{p}$, $(E_{\Lambda}, \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda) \cong (E_{p\mathbb{Z}}, \frac{p}{N} + \Lambda_{p\mathbb{Z}})$

!!

In another direction $I_1(N) \xrightarrow{\frac{mp\tau+n}{Np\tau+p}} (E_?, \frac{1}{N} + \Lambda_?) \cong (E_{\Lambda}, \frac{Np\tau+p}{N} + \Lambda)$

where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}(mp\tau+n) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(Np\tau+p) = \Lambda_{p\mathbb{Z}}$ for $\begin{bmatrix} mp\tau+n \\ Np\tau+p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m & n \\ N & p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p\tau \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

and $\begin{bmatrix} m & n \\ N & p \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore $(E_{\Lambda}, \frac{Np\tau+p}{N} + \Lambda) = (E_{p\mathbb{Z}}, \frac{p}{N} + \Lambda_{p\mathbb{Z}})$.

To summarize, $T_p : (E, Q) \mapsto \sum_C (E(C, Q+C))$ where Q has

exact order N and, C is a order p subgroup of E $\langle Q \rangle \cap C = \{0\}$.

§§ 2.3 | The intermediate modular curve. [See DS 1.5.6]

$\alpha^{-1} I_1(N) \alpha \cap I_1(N) = I_1^0(N, p) = I_1(N) \cap I^0(p)$, where

$I^0(p) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{p} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}$. The corresponding

modular curve is $Y_1^0(N, p) \cong \{(E, C, Q) \mid Q \text{ exact order } N,$

C an order p subgroup of E with $\langle Q \rangle \cap C = \{0\}\}$.

On the otherhand, $I_1(N) \cap \alpha I_1(N) \alpha^{-1} = I_{1,0}(N, p) =$

c.f. 7.9.3 D.S.

$I_1(N) \cap I_{1,0}(N, p)$, don't know what it parametrize. $X_{1,0}(N, p)$.

The action of T_p can factor through:

$$(E, Q) \leftarrow \sum_c (E, c \cdot Q) \rightarrow \boxed{\sum_c (E/c, E[p]/c, Q)} \xrightarrow{\text{my guess}} \sum_c (E/c, Q)$$
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1(N) & X_1^0(N, p) & \vdots & X_1(N) \\ \mathbb{I}_1(N)^T & \sum_j \mathbb{I}_1^0(N, p)^T \cdot j & \sum_j \mathbb{I}_{1,0}(N, p)^T \frac{T+j}{p} & \sum_j \mathbb{I}_1(N)^T \frac{T+j}{p} \end{array}$$

§ 3. Old forms and New forms.

Why these things matter?

For $S_k(\mathbb{I}_1(N))$, want to find an orthogonal basis.

Approach: $\{T_n, \langle n \rangle \mid \gcd(n, N) = 1\}$ acts on $S_k(\mathbb{I}_1(N))$.

when $\gcd(n, N) = 1$, these operators are normal, i.e. $TT^* = T^*T$

Then by standard linear algebra, $S_k(\mathbb{I}_1(N))$ has a basis of

simultaneously eigenforms for $\{T_n, \langle n \rangle : (n, N) = 1\}$ orthogonal to each other. The limitation comes from $\langle n \rangle, T_n$ are normal when $\gcd(n, N) = 1$.
c.f. DS 5.5.2.

Remark: $[\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I}]_k^* = [\mathbb{I} \otimes' \mathbb{I}]_k$, $\lambda' = \det(\lambda) \lambda^{-1}$. In particular,

if $\alpha^{-1}P\alpha = P$, then $[\alpha]_k^* = [\alpha']_k \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle^* = \langle p \rangle^{-1}$.

Remark: In any case, $T^* = w_N T w_N^{-1}$, $T = T_n$ or $\langle n \rangle$,

without the constraints on $\gcd(n, N)$.

To remove the limitation of $\gcd(n, N) = 1$, it is necessary to introduce newforms. Then $S_k(I_1(N)) = S_k(I_1(N))^{\text{new}} \oplus S_k(I_1(N))^{\text{old}}$

with eigenforms in new part are eigenforms of all $\{T_n, \langle n \rangle\}$.

An old form can be obtained by some new forms in lower level.

§ 3.1 Definitions

If $M|N$, then $I_1(N) \subseteq I_1(M)$, hence $S_k(I_1(M)) \hookrightarrow S_k(I_1(N))$

If $d = N/M$, $f \in S_k(I_1(M))$. Then $f[[d]]_k(\tau) = d^{k-1} f(d\tau)$

$\in S_k(I_1(N))$. For $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ x & y \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d^{k-1} f(d\gamma\tau) &= d^{k-1} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} da & db \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}\tau\right) = d^{k-1} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & db \\ x/d & y \end{pmatrix} d\tau\right) \\ &= d^{k-1} (x\tau + y)^{-k} f(d\tau). \end{aligned}$$

So we define $i_d : S_k(I_1(M))^2 \rightarrow S_k(I_1(N))$

$$i_d : (f, g) \mapsto f + g [I_d]_K ,$$

and $S_K(P_i(N))^{old} := \sum_{d|N} i_d (S_K(P_i(N/d))^2)$. This definition can be reduced to the equivalent one

$$S_K(P_i(N))^{old} := \sum_{p|N} i_p (S_K(P_i(N/p))^2)$$

This is because i_{mn} factors as

$$(S_K(P_i(N/mn))^2 \longrightarrow (S_K(P_i(N/m))^2 \xrightarrow{i_m} S_K(P_i(N))$$

$$(f, g) \mapsto (f, g [I_n]_K) \mapsto f + g [I_m]_K$$

And we define $S_K(P_i(N))^{new} = (S_K(P_i(N))^{old})^\perp$.

§ 3.2. Properties .

- $S_K(P_i(N))^{new}$ and $S_K(P_i(N))^{old}$ are preserved by all $T_n, \langle n \rangle, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. \Rightarrow orthogonal basis (eigenforms) in each new or old space.

- Criterion ***** : modify $[I_d]_K : S_K(P_i(N/d)) \rightarrow S_K(P_i(N))$

by $(d : f(\tau) \mapsto f(d\tau))$. If $f(\tau) = \sum a_n(f) q^n$, then

$\text{L}_d f(\tau) = \sum a_n(f) q^{dn}$, $q = e^{2\pi i \tau/h}$. This implies. if $f \in S_k(I_1(N))$

with $f = \sum_p L_p f_p$ for some $p|N$, $f_p \in S_k(I_1(N/p))$, then

$f(\tau) = \sum a_n(f) q^n$, $a_n(f) = 0$ for all $(n, N) = 1$. The converse is

also true : [D.S. 5.7.1] [Atkin-Lehner]

If $f \in S_k(I_1(N))$ with $f(\tau) = \sum a_n(f) q^n$, $a_n(f) = 0$ for all $(n, N) = 1$, then $f = \sum_{p|N} L_p f_p$, $f_p \in S_k(I_1(N/p))$.

- If f is an eigenform for $\langle T_n, \langle n \rangle, \text{gcd}(n, N) = 1 \rangle$, then

$a_n(f) = c_n a_1(f)$. c_n e-value of T_n over f , $\text{gcd}(n, N) = 1$.

So if $a_1(f) = 0 \Rightarrow a_n(f) = 0$ for all $\text{gcd}(n, N) = 1 \Rightarrow f$ is old.

So suppose f is a new eigenform, then $a_1(f) \neq 0$, assume $a_1(f) = 1$.
↙ is new

Now let $g_m = T_m f - a_m(f) f$. Then $T_n g_m = c_n g_m$ for all $(n, N) = 1$.

,, $a_m(f)$ always true

But $a_1(g_m) = a_1(T_m f) - a_m(f) = 0 \Rightarrow g_m$ is old $\Rightarrow g_m = 0$.

Thus f a new eigenform for $\{T_n, \langle n \rangle; (n, N) = 1\}$ is actually a new eigenform for $\{T_n, \langle n \rangle\}$.

Remark : We also show that if f, g new eigenform , corresponds

to the same weight , i.e. $\lambda_f = \lambda_g : T_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then $a_n(f) = a_n(g)$,

$a_n(g) = a_n(\lambda_g(g)) \Rightarrow g = c_f f$. That is the eigenspace for Hecke alg \mathbb{T} are

all 1-dimensional.

Remark : If $f \in S_k(I_1(N))$ is an eigenform for \mathbb{T} , then either

f old or new . Clearly if $a_1(f) = 0$, then f is old . If $a_1(f) \neq 0$. $= 1$

Then $T_n f = a_n(f) g + a_n(f) h = T_n g + T_n h \Rightarrow T_n g = a_n(f) g$, $T_n h =$

$a_n(f) h$. Do the same with $\langle n \rangle$ shows . g, h are both eigenform for

\mathbb{T} . If $h \neq 0$, i.e. $a_1(h) \neq 0$, then $T_n h = a_n(h)/a_1(h) \cdot h \Rightarrow$

$a_n(f) = a_n(h)/a_1(h) \Rightarrow f = h/a_1(h)$ is new .