Zenton's crackme for beginners:

For this one I will be using IDA.

First thing to do is to run the program.

```
Enter the password:
```

This is all we get. But this gives us a string to work with.

In IDA, this is what we start with:

```
; Attributes: thunk

public start

start proc near

jmp start_0

start endp
```

This does not help us much, but a good starting point is to look for strings. By opening up the string subview in IDA, it provides us with a list of strings present in the program.

Address	Length	Туре	String
■ .rdata:000000	00000006	С	_Lock
■ .rdata:000000	00000007	С	_Psave
■ .rdata:000000	000000D	С	_Psave_guard
■ .rdata:000000	00000017	С	Press Enter to exit
■ .rdata:000000	00000011	С	correct_password
■ .rdata:000000	00000006	С	Input Unknown exception
🔳 .rdata:000000	00000012	С	Unknown exception
🗷 .rdata:000000	00000009	С	bad cast
🔳 .rdata:000000	A000000	С	secret123
🗷 .rdata:000000	00000015	C (	Enter the password:
🗷 .rdata:000000	00000023	С	Password correct! Access granted.\n
🗷 .rdata:000000	0000015	С	Incorrect password!\n

Here, we can see the string we knew about, "Enter the password," But we also see something that kind of stands out, "secret123."

Compared to the other strings around it, this is weird. We can check this as the password, but let's go a little deeper to confirm it.

By navigating to where the Enter the password string shows up in the assembly, we can see most of the assembly for the program, outside of packages and imports.

```
sub_140014B90 proc near
var_120= byte ptr -120h
var_100= byte ptr -100h
var_F8= byte ptr -0F8h
var_18= qword ptr -18h
   _unwind { // j___GSHandlerCheck
push
        rbp
push
        rdi
sub
        rsp, 118h
        rbp, [rsp+20h]
rdi, [rsp+120h+var_100]
lea
lea
        ecx, 0Eh
mov
        eax, 0CCCCCCCh
mov
rep stosd
mov
        rax, cs:__security_cookie
xor
        rax, rbp
mov
        [rbp+100h+var_18], rax
        rcx, unk_140028068
call
        sub_1400114F6
nop
lea
        rdx, aEnterThePasswo : "Enter the password: "
                                                            raits@D@std@@@1@A ; std::ostream std::cout
mov
        rcx, cs:?cout@std@@3V?
        sub_1400110A5
call
nop
        rtx [rbp+100h+var_F8]
rcx, cs:?cin@std@@3V?$basic_istream@DU?$char_traits@D@std@@01@A ; std::istream std::cin
lea
call
        sub_1400114BA
nop
lea
        rcx, [rbp+100h+var_F8]
call
        sub_14001114A
mov7x
        eax, al
test
        eax, eax
        short loc_140014C19
```

```
| call | sub_14001148A | noncy | cas, | cas | ca
```

Here, we see the string we're looking for. After this string we can see that it's calling sub\_1400110A5, which through deducing, based on the line above it, that's our cout function, printing the string. Then by the same logic, sub1400111BA is our cin function, scanning for input. Then we have a final call, sub14001114A. We don't know what this is yet, but after this call, we get two possible outcomes. Incorrect or correct. We see that above, the sub14001114A call, we have lea rcx, [rbp+100h+var\_F8]. This is what is being passed into the sub14001114A call. Based on that, and the deduction that after this function the outcomes split, that is our comparison function.

Clicking on it, we see that it jumps to another subprocess, sub\_140014860, which leads us here:

```
[ropizoonivan_zo], rax
                   rcx, unk_140028068
           lea
           call
                   sub 1400114F6
           nop
                   rax, [rbp+100h+Str2]
           lea
           lea
                   rcx, aSecret123 ; "secret123"
                   rdi, rax
          mov
                   rsi, rcx
          mov
                   ecx, 0Ah
          mov
           rep movsb
                   rdx, [rbp+100h+Str2]; Str2
           lea
                   rcx, [rbp+100h+Str1]; Str1
          mov
           call
                   j_strcmp
           test
                   eax, eax
           jnz
                   short loc 1400148E3
💮 💪 🔀
                               💮 💪 🗺
        [rbp+100h+var_1C],
mov
jmp
        short loc 1400148ED
                               loc 1400148E3:
                               mov
                                       [rbp+100h+var_1C], 0
```

Looking at this we see our "secret123" string, which is put in the rcx register, which holds a value, in this case, our string. Which is then moved down to above the call of "j\_strcmp," which takes two strings as parameters, and passes either a 1 or 0, true or false. So we can conclude that the function sub14001114A, can return a true or false value, and that our comparison is between str2, which is our input, the rdx register, and str1, "secret123." Meaning that we were right, secret123 is our password.

```
Enter the password: secret123
Password correct! Access granted.
Press Enter to exit...
```

But, for as simple as this program seems to be, I think this is entirely within my capabilities to reconstruct this back into code. So lets use the ghidra decompiler. When we go to where secret123 shows up in the code we get this:

```
1
 int iVarl;
 longlong lVar2;
 char *pcVar3;
 undefined4 *puVar4;
 char *pcVar5;
 undefined1 local 128 [32];
 undefined4 local 108 [2];
 char local_100 [220];
 uint local_24;
 ulonglong local 20;
 puVar4 = local_108;
 for (1Var2 = 0xc; 1Var2 != 0; 1Var2 = 1Var2 + -1) {
   *puVar4 = 0xcccccccc;
   puVar4 = puVar4 + 1;
 }
 local_20 = DAT_140022000 ^ (ulonglong)local_108;
  CheckForDebuggerJustMyCode(&DAT 140028068);
 pcVar3 = "secret123";
 pcVar5 = local 100;
 for (1Var2 = 10; 1Var2 != 0; 1Var2 = 1Var2 + -1) {
   *pcVar5 = *pcVar3;
  pcVar3 = pcVar3 + 1;
   pcVar5 = pcVar5 + 1;
 iVarl = strcmp(param_1,local_100);
 local 24 = (uint) (iVarl == 0);
 RTC CheckStackVars((longlong)local 128,(int *)&DAT 14001ee40);
 thunk_FUN_140015650(local_20 ^ (ulonglong)local_108);
 return;
}
```

This shows us one, that there is a debugger checker, and that this function is a simple comparison. This also shows that this isn't the main function, so the comparison function is called within main.

So taking some liberties here and there we can come up with a reasonable function:

```
bool passwordcheck(char input){
   if (strcmp(input, "secret123") == 0) {
      return true;
} else {
      return false;
}
```

Next the main function:

```
char cVarl;
longlong 1Var2;
undefined4 *puVar3;
undefined1 local 128 [32];
undefined4 local_108 [2];
char local 100 [224];
ulonglong local_20;
puVar3 = local 108;
for (1Var2 = 0xe; 1Var2 != 0; 1Var2 = 1Var2 + -1) {
 *puVar3 = 0xccccccc;
 puVar3 = puVar3 + 1;
}
local 20 = DAT 140022000 ^ (ulonglong)local 108;
__CheckForDebuggerJustMyCode(&DAT_140028068);
thunk_FUN_140012f30((basic_ostream<> *)cout_exref, "Enter the password: ");
thunk_FUN_140012ed0((longlong *)cin_exref,(longlong)local_100);
cVar1 = thunk FUN 140014860(local 100);
if (cVarl == '\0') {
 thunk_FUN_140012f30((basic_ostream<> *)cout_exref, "Incorrect password!\n");
else {
 thunk_FUN_140012f30((basic_ostream<> *)cout_exref, "Password correct! Access granted.\n");
thunk_FUN_140012f30((basic_ostream<> *)cout_exref, "Press Enter to exit...");
std::basic_istream<>::get((basic_istream<> *)cin_exref);
std::basic_istream<>::get((basic_istream<> *)cin_exref);
_RTC_CheckStackVars((longlong)local_128,(int *)&DAT_14001eec0);
thunk_FUN_140015650(local_20 ^ (ulonglong)local_108);
return;
```

This is essentially just a bunch of output functions, cout, which we know. And some CIN functions for input. SO, we can reasonably make the rest of the program here:

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <stdint.h>
#include <stdbool.h>
#include <windows.h>
void main() {
  char input[128];
  if (IsDebuggerPresent()) {
    printf("Debugger detected! GO AWAY!\n");
  else{
    // Prompt the user for input
  printf("Enter the password: ");
  fgets(input, sizeof(input), stdin);
  // Remove newline character if present
  input[strcspn(input, "\n")] = '\0';
  // Check the password
  if (passwordcheck(input) == true) {
      printf("Password correct! Access granted.\n");
  } else {
      printf("Incorrect password!\n");
  printf("Press Enter to exit...");
  getchar(); // Wait for Enter
  getchar(); // again
```

And by running the recreated executable, we can see it exhibits the same behavior. So maybe not perfect, but close enough!