## Homework 4

# 萃英学院 2022 级 王一鑫 2025 年 3 月 26 日

### Problem 1.

- (a) Every subspace of a Hausdorff space is Hausdorff.
- (b) Every subspace of a second countable space is second countable.

#### SOLUTION.

- (a) Let X be a Hausdorff space, and let Y be a subspace of X. Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be elements of Y such that  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Since X is Hausdorff, there exist disjoint neighborhoods  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  in X of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , respectively. Hence a set containing  $x_1$  in Y is  $V_1 = U_1 \cap Y$ , which is open in Y by definition of the subspace topology on Y. Thus  $V_1$  is a neighborhood of  $x_1$  in Y. Similarly, a set containing  $x_2$  in Y is  $V_2 = U_2 \cap Y$ , which is open in Y by the definition of the subspace topology on Y. Thus  $V_2$  is a neighborhood of  $x_2$  in Y. Now since  $V_1 \subset U_1$  and  $V_2 \subset U_2$ , and  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are disjoint, it follows that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are disjoint. Thus, Y is Hausdorff.
- (b) Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be second countable, and let  $(A, \mathcal{T}_A)$  be a subspace. Since  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is second countable, let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable basis for  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Now consider  $\mathcal{B}' = \{B \cap A \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}'$  is a countable basis for  $\mathcal{T}_A$ . The subspace  $(A, \mathcal{T}_A)$  is second countable.

Problem 2.

Let X be a topological space, and let  $A \subset X$ . Prove that if X is metrizable, then for any  $x \in \overline{A}$ , there is a sequence of points of A converging to x.

SOLUTION.

**Step 1**: We show X is first countable first. Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a metrizable topological space and let  $x \in X$ . Since the space is metrizable, there is a metric d that induces  $\mathcal{T}$ .

We aim to show that

$$B_x = \left\{ B_{1/n}(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is a countable neighborhood basis of x.

Note first that  $B_x$  is countable because the natural numbers are countable. Moreover, x is clearly a member of each set in  $B_x$ . And since d induces  $\mathcal{T}$ , the sets in  $B_x$  are open.

Since d induces  $\mathcal{T}$ , any open set U with  $x \in U$  is a union of open balls with the metric d. One of these open balls contains x, so we have  $B_r(x) \subset U$  for some radius r. Pick some number m such that mr > 1. Then

$$B_{1/m}(x) \subset B_r(x) \subset U$$
.

**Step 2**: For each n we pick  $x_n \in \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_{1/i}\right) \cap A$ . Now if U is any open neighbourhood of x, some  $B_{1/N} \subset U$  and then all  $x_n$  for  $n \geq N$  are in U. So  $x_n \to x$ , and we have the required sequence.

Problem 3.

Prove that a surjective topological embedding is a homeomorphism.

SOLUTION.

Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is a surjective topological embedding, so  $f: X \to f(X)$  is a homeomorphism, but f(X) = Y since f is surjective, so  $f: X \to Y$  is a homeomorphism.

Problem 4.

Let X be a topological space. The **diagonal** of  $X \times X$  is the subset  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\} \subseteq X \times X$ . Show that X is Hausdorff if and only if  $\Delta$  is closed in  $X \times X$ .

SOLUTION.

 $\Leftarrow$  Suppose first that  $\Delta$  is closed in  $X \times X$ . To show that X is Hausdorff, we must show that if x and y are any two points of X, then there are open sets U and V in X such that  $x \in U, y \in V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

For  $p=(x,y)\in X\times X$ . Since  $x\neq y,\,p\notin\Delta$ . This means that p is in the open set  $(X\times X)\setminus\Delta$ . Thus, there must be an open set W in the product topology such that  $p\in W\subset (X\times X)\setminus\Delta$ . Consider the basis in the product topology are sets of the form  $U\times V$ , where U and V are open in X, so let  $p\in U\times V\subseteq W$  for such  $U,V\subset X$ . Since  $x\neq y,\,x\in U$  and  $y\in V$ , we have two disjoint neighborhoods U and V. Thus X is Hausdorff.

 $\Rightarrow$  Now suppose that X is Hausdorff. To show that  $\Delta$  is closed in  $X \times X$ , we need only show that  $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$  is open.

Take any point  $p \in (X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ . Since X is Hausdorff, for  $x \neq y \in X$ , there are disjoint open neighborhoods U and V containing x and y respectively. Let  $W = U \times V$ , then W is an open neighborhood of p, and  $W \subseteq (X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ . Thus  $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$  is open.

Problem 5.

Show that real projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is an *n*-manifold. [Hint: consider the subsets  $U_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  where  $x_i = 1$ .]

SOLUTION.

By definition,

$$\mathbb{RP}^n = \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}\right) / \sim,$$

where  $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x = \lambda y$ .

The topology on  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  is, by definition, the quotient topology induced by the canonical projection

$$\pi: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{RP}^n \quad \text{where} \quad (x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto [x_0, \dots, x_n]$$

where  $[x_0, \ldots, x_n] \in \mathbb{RP}^n$  denotes the equivalence class of  $(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ . This makes  $\pi$  a quotient map.

**Second Countability**: Second countability simply follows from second countability of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Locally Euclidean**: To show that  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  is locally Euclidean, we need to exhibit a cover for  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  by coordinate charts. For each  $0 \le i \le n$ , define

$$U_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$$
 by  $U_i = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} : x_i = 1\}$ .

 $U_i$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ . Define  $V_i \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$  to be  $\pi(U_i)$ . Then,  $V_i$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  and  $\pi_i = \pi|_{U_i}$  is also a quotient map. The sets  $V_i, 0 \leq i \leq n$ , form an open cover of  $\mathbb{RP}^n$ .

For each  $0 \le i \le n$ , define the map

$$f_i: V_i \to \mathbb{R}^n$$
 by  $f_i[x_0, \dots, x_n] = (x_0, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ .

The map  $g_i = f_i \circ \pi_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$g_i(x_0,\ldots,x_n) = (x_0,x_{i-1},\ldots,x_n).$$

Since  $g_i$  is continuous, by the characteristic property of quotient maps,  $f_i$  is also continuous.

By our definition of  $f_i$ , it is bijective. Moreover, for each  $0 \le i \le n$ , consider the map

$$h_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$$
 given by  $h_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (u_1, \dots, u_i, 1, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_n)$ .

Then,  $h_i$  is continuous and its image is contained in  $V_i$ . Since  $\pi_i \circ h_i = \varphi_i^{-1}$ . So,  $\varphi_i^{-1}$  is continuous.

Hence,  $\varphi_i$  is a homeomorphism for each  $0 \le i \le n$ . Now we have shown that  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  is locally Euclidean.

#### Hausdorff:

To show that  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  is Hausdorff, choose  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$ , two distinct points in  $\mathbb{RP}^n$ .

If there exists  $0 \le i \le n$  such that both points lie in  $V_i$ , then  $f_i(\bar{x})$  and  $f_i(\bar{y})$  are two distinct points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is Hausdorff, there exists a pair of disjoint open sets A and B with  $f_i(\bar{x}) \in A$  and  $f_i(\bar{y}) \in B$ . Hence,  $f_i^{-1}(A)$  and  $f_i^{-1}(B)$  are disjoint open subsets of  $V_i$  (and hence of  $\mathbb{RP}^n$ ) such that  $\bar{x} \in f_i^{-1}(A)$  and  $\bar{y} \in f_i^{-1}(B)$ .

On the other hand, suppose there is no  $i, 0 \le i < n$ , such that  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  both lie in  $V_i$ . Let  $(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$  and  $(y_0, \ldots, y_n)$  be representatives of  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$ , respectively. There exists  $i \ne j, 0 \le i, j \le n$ , such that

$$x_i \neq 0, y_j \neq 0$$
, and  $x_j = 0, y_i = 0$ .

Fix the representatives so that  $x_i = 1 = y_j$ . WLOG, let i < j. Choose  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . The sets

$$A = \{ [a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}, 1, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n] : |a_k - x_k| < \varepsilon, k \neq i \} \subset V_i$$
$$B = \{ [b_0, \dots, b_{j-1}, 1, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_n] : |b_k - y_k| < \varepsilon, k \neq j \} \subset V_j$$

are open sets containing  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$ , respectively. This is because  $f_i(A)$  is an open rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  centered on  $f_i(\bar{x})$  having side length  $2\varepsilon$ , and similarly  $f_j(B)$  is an open rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  centered on  $f_j(\bar{y})$  having side length  $2\varepsilon$ . They are disjoint because if

$$[a_0, \ldots, a_{i-1}, 1, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n] = [b_0, \ldots, b_{i-1}, 1, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n],$$

then we must have  $a_j \neq 0, b_i \neq 0$ , and  $a_j b_i = 1$ . But,  $|a_j| < 1$  and  $|b_i| < 1$ , so this is not possible.

Hence,  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  is Hausdorff, and so  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  is an *n*-manifold.