

Secure By Design

August 2022



- 1. SPEC
- 2. CODE
- 3. TEST
- 4. SHIP





- what are your goals? uses cases? non-use cases?
- what invariants must hold?
  - e.g. for Compound, safety first
    - S+R=C+B (fundamental accounting equation)
- what attributes are desirable? what guiding principles?
  - e.g. for Compound III, when in doubt:
    - capital efficiency, gas efficiency
    - deployable on other chains
    - simplicity, especially for users

## enormous design space for a protocol

- o goal is to explore space collectively, as exhaustively as possible
- tons of decisions, only partial information

### do what it takes to move discourse forward now

- model / simulate unknowns to gain insight
- e.g. Compound III liquidation analysis

## the more you do it, the better you get

- like anything else
- incorporate learnings/regrets from previous experience

# highest level design doc

- cheapest to iterate + easiest to collaborate
- o code and tests should fall directly out, if done well





- mostly just a matter of translating the spec
  - o e.g. into Solidity
- hard decisions should already have been made
  - if not, go back and fix the spec

- contract code should have ~100% unit test coverage
  - keep the main development branch covered
  - only merge PRs which cover all contract changes
- branches in code should be covered by branches in spec
  - o at least 1 test for each branch
  - writing these together 1:1 is most straightforward



## high level properties of the system, such as:

- fundamental accounting equation holds
- exchange rate should always increase
- assets supplied should be able to be withdrawn
- an account which goes underwater should be liquidatable

## avoid more imperative steps, such as:

- initiate a supply of X for user A, then withdraw X
- create a borrower, move the prices against them, then liquidate

## start with the **strongest** invariants you'd like to claim

- then figure out strategies for getting at them
- uncover any implicit assumptions or pre-conditions and make explicit



- write invariants in something like CVL
  - might prove exhaustively over entire state space
- chances are you'll need some approximations
  - o use state constraints, lemmas, and harnesses to model as closely as possible
- exercise is beneficial in itself
  - the act alone causes you to think differently about the problem



- Compound III built using a brand new 'scenario' framework
  - define properties which are sequences of actions by actors in a world & context
  - each property can have explicit assumptions declared
    - e.g. assume utilization is 50%, or Alice has token balance ≥ X
- framework produces sets of worlds in which assumptions hold
  - worlds are derived from real chain state, either deployed locally or forked
  - properties are checked against each of these worlds
  - o assumptions can also embed *fuzzed* terms
- actual proposals to change protocol written as migration scripts
  - o automatically run hypothetical changes against entire scenario suite
  - same exact code used to propose changes for real



```
scenario(
  'Comet#supply > base asset',
   tokenBalances: {
     albert: { $base: 100 }, // in units of asset, not wei
   },
 async ({ comet, actors }, context) => {
   const { albert } = actors;
   const baseAssetAddress = await comet.baseToken();
   const baseAsset = context.getAssetByAddress(baseAssetAddress);
   const scale = (await comet.baseScale()).toBigInt();
   expect(await baseAsset.balanceOf(albert.address)).to.be.equal(100n * scale);
   // Albert supplies 100 units of base to Comet
   await baseAsset.approve(albert, comet.address);
   const txn = await albert.supplyAsset({ asset: baseAsset.address, amount: 100n * scale })
   const baseIndexScale = (await comet.baseIndexScale()).toBigInt();
   const baseSupplyIndex = (await comet.totalsBasic()).baseSupplyIndex.toBigInt();
   const baseSupplied = getExpectedBaseBalance(100n * scale, baseIndexScale, baseSupplyIndex);
   expect(await comet.balanceOf(albert.address)).to.be.equal(baseSupplied);
   return txn; // return txn to measure gas
);
```

```
[KOVAH] KUHHING COMEC#WICHUIAW FEVELCS WHEN WICHUIAW IS PAUSEU ...
[kovan] ... ran Comet#withdraw reverts when withdraw is paused on 1 solution
[kovan] Running Comet#withdrawFrom reverts when withdraw is paused ...
[kovan] ... ran Comet#withdrawFrom reverts when withdraw is paused on 1 solution
[kovan] Running Comet#withdraw base reverts if position is undercollateralized ...
[kovan] ... ran Comet#withdraw base reverts if position is undercollateralized on 1 solution
[kovan] Running Comet#withdraw collateral reverts if position is undercollateralized ...
[kovan] ... ran Comet#withdraw collateral reverts if position is undercollateralized on 1 solution
[kovan] Running Comet#withdraw reverts if borrow is less than minimum borrow ...
[kovan] ... ran Comet#withdraw reverts if borrow is less than minimum borrow on 1 solution
▼ Results: 175 successes, 0 errors, 10 skipped [avg time: 2089ms] [mainnet]

▼ Results: 175 successes, 0 errors, 10 skipped [avg time: 515ms] [development]

▼ Results: 175 successes, 0 errors, 10 skipped [avg time: 667ms] [fuji]

▼ Results: 175 successes, 0 errors, 10 skipped [avg time: 759ms] [kovan]
```



### • Get More Eyes On It

# triple and quadruple check your work

- hire auditors
- share with community

## share your ideas and the spec

- the more you share, the better the responses will be
- the better people understand the intention, the more useful the feedback

## don't take feedback personally

- goal is to deliver the best product
- the sooner you discover issues the better

# be grateful when people take the time to help you

- whether they find issues or not
- many thanks to ChainSecurity, Certora, OpenZeppelin, Gauntlet for Compound III





## more holistic approach to Compound III

- deployments and migrations integrate closely with scenarios
- practices designed around emergent governance processes

# create a deployment for a network

- deploy script describes how each of the 'root' contracts gets created
- set of relations to all other contracts which are deployed
  - these can be crawled and discovered from the roots
- o automatically simulated hypothetically and tested against scenario suite



- create a migration script in the deployment directory
  - primary purpose is to make an actual governance proposal
  - o automatically simulated hypothetically and tested against scenario suite
- straightforward story for contributors
  - o open a PR with script and any new tests needed, and share with community
    - the PR should pass CI
    - artifacts can be seen/generated directly from CI
    - easy for governance to review
  - o run the migration script for real and make the proposal
    - can also be done through CI
  - once the proposal is executed, merge the PR



- @jmflatow
- Compound <u>Discord</u>
- We're Hiring →



