Proof techniques: construction, contradiction, counterexample, case enumeration, induction, pigeonhole principle, proving cardinality, diagonalization Languages, Strings
Alphabet: finite set of symbols

Replication: where set of symbols from alphabet Replication: $w^0 = \varepsilon$, $w^{i+1} = w^i w$ Reverse: $w^R = w = \varepsilon$ if |w| = 0, else $\exists a \in \Sigma$ and $\exists u \in \Sigma^*$ such that w = ua, then define $w^R = au^R$ (wx) $w^R = x^r w^r$: induction on |x|, base case |x| = 0 so $x = \varepsilon$, consider any string

x where |x| = n + 1, then x = ua for some character a and |u| = n, so $(wx)^R = n$ $(w(ua))^R = (wu)a^R = a(wu)^R = a(u^Rw^R) = (au^R)w^R = (ua)^Rw^R = x^Rw^R$

Language: set of strings (finite/infinite) from alphabet, uncountably infinite number of these (power set of Σ^*) Σ^* : countably infinite with non-empty alphabet, enumerate with lexicographic order

 \mathbf{L}^* : countably infinite with non-empty alphabet, enumerate with lexicographic order $\mathbf{L}_1\mathbf{L}_2$: $\{w \in \Sigma^* : \exists s \in L_1(\exists t \in L_2(w = st))\}$ L^* : $\{\varepsilon\} \cup \{w \in \Sigma^* : \exists k \geq 1(\exists w_1, w_2, \dots w_k \in L(w = w_1w_2 \dots w_k))\}$ or $L^0 \cup L^1 \cup L^2 \cup \dots$ L^+ : LL^* or $L^* - \{\varepsilon\}$ iff $\varepsilon \notin L$ or $L^0 \cup L^1 \cup L^2 \cup \dots$ $(\mathbf{L}_1\mathbf{L}_2)^R = \mathbf{L}_2^R \mathbf{L}_1^R$: $\forall x (\forall y ((xy)^R = y^R x^R))$ from before, then $(L_1L_2)^R = \{(xy)^R : x \in L_1 \text{ and } y \in L_2\} = \{y^R x^R : x \in L_1 \text{ and } y$

Decision problem: problem to which answer is yes/no or true/false Decision procedure: answers decision problem

Machine power hierarchy: FSM (regular), PDA (context-free), TM (semi-decidable & decidable)

Rule of least power: use least powerful language suitable for expressing info, constraints or programs on WWW

firstchars(L): $\{w : \exists y \in L(y = cx \land c \in \Sigma_L \land x \in \Sigma_L^* \land w \in c^*)\}$, closed under FIN but not INF (since result is first character *)

chop(L): $\{w: \exists x \in L(x=x_1cx_2,x_1 \in \Sigma_L^*,x_2 \in \Sigma_L^*,c \in \Sigma_L,|x_1|=|x_2|, \text{ and } x \in L(x=x_1cx_2,x_1 \in \Sigma_L^*,x_2 \in \Sigma_L^*,c \in \Sigma_L,|x_1|=|x_2|, \text{ and } x \in L(x=x_1cx_2,x_1 \in \Sigma_L^*,x_2 \in \Sigma_L^*,c \in \Sigma_L,|x_1|=|x_2|, \text{ and } x \in L(x=x_1cx_2,x_1 \in \Sigma_L^*,x_2 \in \Sigma_L^*,c \in \Sigma_L,|x_1|=|x_2|, \text{ and } x \in L(x=x_1cx_2,x_1 \in \Sigma_L^*,x_2 \in \Sigma_L^*,c \in \Sigma_L$ $w = x_1 x_2)$

, language where all strings have exact middle character removed, must have had odd length to begin with, closed under FIN but not INF (can get empty set if never odd length)

Extra: to describe language with at least 2 different substrings of length 2 $L = \{w \in \{a,b\}^* : \exists x, y(x \neq y \land |x| = 2 \land |y| = 2 \land Substr(x,w) \land Substr(y,w))\}$ Finite State Machines
DFSM Quintuple: $M = (K, \Sigma, \delta, s, A), K = \text{finite set of states}, \Sigma = \text{alphabet}, \delta$

= transition function from $(K \times \Sigma)$ to $K, s \in K$ = initial state, $A \subseteq K$ = set of accepting states

Configuration: element of $K \times \Sigma^*$, current state and remaining input

Yields relation: $|-_M$, relates 2 configurations if M can move from the first to the second in 1 step, $|-_M^*$ for 0 or more **Computation**: finite sequence of configurations for some $n \geq 0$ such that C_0

is an initial configuration, C_n is of the form (q, ε) for some state $q \in K_M$ and $C_0 | -M C_1 | -M C_2 | -M \ldots | -M C_n$ **DFSM will halt in** |w| **steps**: execute computation from C_0 to C_n , each step will

consume one character, so n = |w|, C_n is either accepting or rejecting configuration, so will halt after |w| steps

Parity: odd if number of 1 is odd for binary string MinDFSM(M:DFSM):

Initialise classes with an accepting class & non-accepting class

For each class with more than 1 state

For each state and character check which class it goes to If behaviour differs between states split them

End for End for

Go through all the classes again until no splitting happens

Each class becomes its own state, transitions already defined above

Number of states \geq equivalence classes in L: suppose it is less than equivalence classes, then by pigeonhole principle there must be at least 1 state that contains strings from 2 equivalence classes, but then future behaviour on these two strings will be identical, which is not consistent with the fact that they are in different

equivalence classes

NDFSM Quintuple: replace δ with Δ , transition relation, finite subset of $(K \times (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\})) \times K$ **NDFSM vs DFSM**: can enter configuration with input symbols left but no move

available (halt without accepting), can enter configuration from which 2 or more competing transitions available (ε -transition, more than 1 transition for single input

enhanced (p, ε) : $\{p \in K : (q, w) | -_M^*(p, w)\}$, closure of $\{q\}$ under relation $\{(p, r)$: there is a transition $(p, \varepsilon, r) \in \Delta\}$, to calculate initialise $result = \{q\}$, add all transitions $(p, \varepsilon, r) \in \Delta$ where $p \in result$, $r \notin result$ to result, then return result ndfsmtodfsm(M: NDFSM):

Compute eps(q) for each state q, s' = eps(s) (initial state)

Set active-states = $\{s'\}$ (set of set of states) and $\delta' = \emptyset$

While $\exists Q \in active\text{-}states$ for which δ' has not been computed //computing δ' For each $c \in \Sigma_M$

 $Set\ new\text{-}state = \varnothing$

For each state $q \in Q$

For each state $p:(q,c,p)\in\Delta$

Set new-state = new-state $\cup eps(p)$

End for

Add (Q, c, new-state) to δ' , if $new\text{-}state \notin active\text{-}states$ insert it

End for

End for

End while

Set K' = active-states and $A' = \{A \in K' : Q \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$

Extra: when making FSM may start with complement

Regular expressions:

Allowable: \emptyset, ε , every element of Σ , if α, β are regex then so are $\alpha\beta, \alpha \cup \beta, \alpha^*, \alpha^+, (\alpha)$, no actual need for rules for ε and α^* **Order of operations:** Kleene star, concatenation, union (high to low)

FSM & Regex equivalence: can create FSM to accept regex (do so for each rule),

algorithm exists for other way fsmtoregexheuristic(M:FSM): remove unreachable states, if no accepting

states return \varnothing , if start state part of loop create new start state s & connect s to original start via ε -transition, if multiple accepting states create new accepting state & connect old ones to new with ε -transition, if only 1 state return ε , rip out all states other than start & accept, return regex

fsmtoregex(M : FSM): buildregex(standardize(M))

standardize(M:FSM): remove unreachable states, create start & accepting if needed (from heuristic), if multiple transitions exist between 2 states collapse, create any missing transitions with \emptyset buildregex(M:FSM):

If no accepting return \varnothing , if only 1 state return ε

While states exist that are not start or accepting

Select some state rip

For every transition from p to q

If both p & q are not rip compute new transition $(R'(p,q) = R(p,q) \cup R(p,rip)R(rip,rip)^*R(rip,q)$, either direct or via rip), then remove ripEnd for

End while

Return final regex

Regular grammar:

Quadruple: (V, Σ, R, S) , V = rule alphabet, both nonterminals & terminals, Σ = terminals, $\subseteq V$, R = finite set of rules, LHS single nonterminal, RHS is ε , single terminal or single terminal + single nonterminal, S= nonterminal

Regular Languages & Grammar equivalence: construction, both ways grammartofsm(G): create separate state for each nonterminal, start state is S, if rule exist with single terminal RHS create new state labeled #, for each rule $X \to aY$ create transition from X to Y labeled a, if $X \to a$ go to #, if $X \to \varepsilon$ mark as accept, mark state # as accept, if undefined (state, input) pairs remaining point them to dead state & add loops to dead state for each character