Project: complex, non-routine, one-time effort limited by time, budget, resources, perf specs designed to meet customer needs
Project characteristics: established objective, defined life span with beginning & end, requires participation across the org, typically involves doing sth never done before, has specific time, cost, perf reqs
Project life cycle:
Defining: goals, specs, tasks, responsibilities
Planning: schedules, budgets, resources, risks, staffing

Executing: status reports, changes, quality, forecasts

Closing: train customer, transfer docs, release

Closing: train customer, transfer docs, release resources, evaluation, lessons learned Factors leading to increased use of PM: compression of product life cycle, knowledge explosion, triple bottom line (planet, people, profit), corporate downsizing, increased customer focus, small projects represent big problems. Project Manager: manages temporary, non-

repetitive activities, frequently acts indepen-dently of formal org, marshals resources for project, linked directly to customer interface. project, linked directly to customer interface, provides direction, coordination & integration to the project team, responsible for perfor-mance & success of the project, must induce right people at right time to address the right and make right decisions

PM Technical Aspects: scope, WBS, schedules, resource allocation, baseline budgets, status reports PM Sociocultural Aspects: leadership,

em solving, teamwork, negotiation, politics, ustomer expectations Integrated management of projects

gic alignment, portfolio management, PM, with

gic alignment, portfolio management, PM, with org culture env wrapped around Integrative PM approach benefits: provide senior management with overview of all PM activities, big picure of how org resources used, risk assessment of project portfolio, rough metric of org's improvement in managing projects rolleting to the wind industry. relative to others in industry, linkages of senior management with actual project execution Management Functions:

Portion Management Functions: oversee project selection, monitor aggregate resource levels & skills, encourage use of best practices, balance projects in portfolio in order to represent risk level appropriate to the organisation. improve communication among all stakeholders, create total ord perspective that goes beyond silo thinking, improve overall management of wm: a series of coordinated, related, the projects that continue over an ex-time and are intended to achieve a goal

entire project, requires high degree of predictability to be effective relies on incremental, iterative dev cy-complete less predictable projects, ideal loratory projects in which requirements be discovered and new tech tested (un-

Traditional PM: focus on thorough,

certain ablut how long, what is required, allows change in reqs), focus on active collaboration between project tem & customer reps

Freeze design as early as possible Low uncertainty Low customer interaction

Low customer interaction night Conventional project teams self-orga gile Advantages: useful in developing crital breakthrough tech or defining essential atures; continuous integration, verification validation of the evolving product; frequent demonstration of progress to increase likelihood end product will satisfy customer needs:

nat end product will sausily customer needs, arly detection of defects & problems ugile Limitations: does not satisfy top man-gement's need for budget, scope & schedule ontrol; self-organisation & close collaboration principles can be incompatible with corporate cultures; appears to work best on small project with 5-9 people, requires active customer in-volvement & cooperation Agile Principles: focus on customer value, it-erative & incremental delivery, experimentation & adaptation, self-organisation, continuous im-

provement **Project uncertainty dimensions**: scope &

holistic (interconnected emphasis)

Scrum: holistic (interconnected emphasis) approach for use by cross-functional team collaborating to develop new product, defines product features as deliverables & priorities them by perceived highest value to the customer, re-evaluates priorities after each iteration/sprint to produce fully functional features have a proper product of the product functional features, phases: analysis, design, build, test

features, phases: analysis, design, build, test Scrum roles & responsibilities:

Product owner: acts on behalf of customer to represent interests, responsible for product backlog priorities & process selection

Development team: 5-9 people with crossfunctional skillsets responsible for delivering product to several expressions itself makes.

product, sets own goals, organises itself, makes

saster: facilitates scrum process and aimpediments at the team & org level ng as buffer between team & outside

time-controlled mini-project that impleoprime: time-controlled mini-project that impor-ments specific portion of a system, 30 day time box with specific goals & deliverables, frozen scope defined from sprint backlog Daily Scrum: daily meeting of all team members to report progress (15 min max), also called standar

Sprint final half-day review meeting: review & idenchanges needed for following sprints

Sprint meetings: sprint planning, daily scrum, sprint review, sprint retrospective

int review, sprint retrospective oduct backlog: customer's prioritised list of sired key features for the completed project, a only be changed by product owner rint backlog: amount of work team commits complete during the next sprint, developed

& controlled by team Scaling: using several teams to work on different features of large scale project at same time Staging: upfront planning to manage interdependencies of the different features to develop, involves developing protocols & defining roles to coordinate efforts & assure compatibility & Strategy Importance: Project managers must

respond to changes to organisation mission and strategy appropriately, if understand strategy can become effective advocates of projects can become effective advaligned with firm's mission

Mistakes by not understanding role of projects in accomplishing strategy: focus on problems/solutions with low strategic priority, focus on immediate customer rather than whole marketplace & value chain, overemphasising tech that results in projects that emphasising tech that results in projects that pursue exotic tech that does not fit strategy or customer need, trying to solve customer issues with product/service rather than focusing on 20% with 80% of value (Pareto's law), engaging in never-eding search of perfection that only team really cares about Strategic management: requires every

ct to be clearly linked to strategy; pro-theme & focus of organisational future direction (responding to changes in external env — env scanning, allocating scarce resources of firm to improve competitive position — internal responses to new programs); requires strong links among mission, goals, objectives, strategy, impl Strategic management activities:

Strategic management activities: Review & define org mission: identify & commu-nicate purpose of org to stakeholders, identify scope of org in terms of product/service, pro-vides focus for decision making, used for eval org perf Set long-range goals & objectives: translate

Set tong-range goats & objectives: translate mission to specific, concrete & measurable terms; sets targets for all levels of org in a cascaded manner; where is org headed and when it will get there; focus managers on where org should move to Analyse & formulate strategies to reach objectives: focus on what needs to be done to reach object. focus on what needs to be done to reach objectives, relaistic view of past & current position,

tives, relaistic view of past & current position, SWOT analysis, alternatives generated & assessed, strategy formulation & assignation Implement strategies through projects: focus on how strategies will be realised with resources, maintain link between strategy (what) & impl (how), requires resource allocation, action & completion of tasks, prioritisation

SMART objectives: Specific, Measurable (indinters of programs) Accimable (transport

competion of tasks, prioritisation SMART objectives: Specific, Measurable (indicators of progress), Assignable (to one person for completion), Realistic (what can realistically be done with avail resources), Time related (state when objective can be achieved) SWOT analysis: internal (strengths, weaknesses) & external (opportunities, threats) analysis: Scenario planning: longer term, steps: clar-

Scenario planning: longer term, steps: clarifying core business & assessing drivers of change in industry env, dev potential scenatios & assess impact of STEEP factors, dev potential contingency strategies & best future strategic options, identifying early indicators & establishing triggers for strategic action STEEP factors: social tech env according

STEEP factors: social, tech, env, economic, political portfolio management benefits:

Agile Project portfolio management benefits:
Continuous hishighiscipline to project selection process, Flexible link project selection to strategic metrics, pri-Features/requitise project proposal across common set of as late as possibhia rather than politics/emotion, allocate high embrace high gid direction, balance risk across all projects, high justifies stopping projects that don't support self-organisetrategy, improves comms & supports agreeing critesesential

Project portfolio management problems:

ment on project goals

Project portfolio management problems:

Implementation gap: lack of understanding & consensus on strategy among to management & middle-level (functional) managers who independently implement strategy

pendently implement strategy
Orp politics: project selection based on persuasiveness & power of people advocating projects
Resource conflicts & multitasking: Multiproject encreates interdependency relationships of shared
resources which results in starting, stopping &
resources of projects estarting of projects Project types: co

restarting of projects
Project types: compliance (must-do, incl
emergency, meet regulations, usually have
penalties if not impl), strategic (directly support long-run mission, increase revenue/market
share, ex: new products, R&D), operational
(support current ops, improve perf, reduce
product cost, improve efficiency of delivery sys,
ex: ungrade building green rating) ex: upgrade building green rating)
Financial Selection Criteria: payback, NPV,

IRR (internal rate of return, inverse of pay-

Payback model: measures time project takes to recover investment; uses more desirable, shorter paybacks; emphasises cash flows (key

Payback limitations: ignores time value of money, assumes casf inflow only for investment

money, assumes cast inflow only for investment period, does not consider profitability Net Present Value: $I_0 + \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{F_t}{(1+k)^t}$, I_0 is initial investment (negative), F_t is net cash inflow for period t, k is required rate of return, want positive

want positive

Non-financial strategic criteria: capture
larger market share, make it difficult for competitors to enter the market, develop enabler
product which by interduction will increase
sales in more profitable products, develop core
tech to be used in next-gen products, reduce
decendancy on unreliable suppliers, prevent dependency on unreliable suppliers, prevent government intervention & regulation

government intervention & regulation Multicriteria selection models: Checklist model: use list of questions to review potential projects & to determine accept/reject, fails to answer relative importance/value of potential project & doesn't allow for comparison with the comparison of the comparison o with others

Multiweighted scoring model: use several weighted

qualitative and/or quantitative selection crite ria to evaluate project proposals, can use for

comparison

Selection model advantages: bring projects
to closer alignment with org strategic goals,
reduce number of wasteful projects, help identify proper goals for projects, help everyone
involved understand how & why project is

Project relativity matrix: 2 dimensions

(technical feasibility, NPV), white elephant (low, low, showed promise at one time but are no longer viable), oyster (low, high, technobreakthroughs with high commercial payoffs), bread-and-butter (high, low, lutionary improvements to current products & services), pearl (high, high, revolutional commercial opportunities using proven te Challenges to organising projects: need to

balance needs of project with org, uniqueness & short duration of projects relative to ongoing longer term org activities, multidisciplinary & cross-functional nature of projects creates authority & responsibility dilemmas Functional org: different segments of project delegated to functional units, coordination

maintained through normal management chan-nels, used when interest of 1 functional area dominates project or has dominant interest in success
onal +: no structural change, Functional in-depth expertise, easy post-project

transition
Functional -: lack of focus, poor integration,

Functional -: lack of focus, poor integration, slow, lack of ownership

Dedicated project teams: teams operate as separate units under leadership of full-time project manager, in projectised org where projects are dominant form of business functional depts are responsible for providing tional depts are responsible for providing support to teams

Dedicated +: simple, fast, cohesive, crossfunctional integration

Dedicated -: expensive, internal strife, limited tech expertise, difficult post-project transition
Hybrid/Matrix: overlaid on normal functional structure, 2 chains of command (functional & project). Project participants report simultane-

project), project participants report simultaneously to both functional & project managers, optimise use of resource (allows pariticipation on multiple projects while performing normal functional duties) ties)
efficient, strong project focus,

flexible, easy post-project transition

Matrix -: dysfunctional conflict, infighting,

Weak matrix: authority of functional manager predominates, project manager has indirect authority

Balanced matrix: the project manager overall plan & the functional manager determines how work is to be done

Strong matrix: project manager has broader control, functional departments act as subcontractors to are interested.

slow, stressful

control, functional departments act as succontractors to project Matrix division of responsibilities: Project manager: what has to be done, when should the task be done, how much money is available to do the task, how well has the total project been done
Functional manager: how will it be done, how will

Functional manager: how will it be done, how will project involvement impact normal functional activities, how well has the functional input been integrated

Negotiated issues: who will do the task, where will the task be done, why will the task be done, is the task satisfactorily completed

Organizations system of shared norms be-

Org culture: system of shared norms, be-liefs, values & assumptions that bind people

together, thereby creating shared meanings personality of org that sets it apart from other

orgs
Org culture benefits: provides sense of identity to members, helps legitimise management
system of org, clarifies & reinforces standards
of behaviour, helps create social order of behaviour, helps create social order Diagnosing org culture: study physical characteristics (architecture, office layout, decor, attire), read about org (annual reports, internal newsletters, vision statements), observe how people interact within org (pace, lang, meetings, issues discussed, decision-making style, comm patterns, rituals), interpret stories & folklore surrounding org (anecdotes, heroines, heroes, villains)

villains)

Org culture dimensions: member identity (job, org), team emphasis (individual, group), management focus (task, people), unit integration (independent, interdependent), control (loose, tight), risk tolderance (low, high), reward criteria (performance, other), conflict reward criteria (performance, other), conflict tolerance (low, high), means-ends orientation (means, ends), open-system focus (internal, external, degree to which org monitors & re-sponds to changes in external env)

Defining the project: defining project scope,

establishing project priorities, creating WBS, integrating WBS with org, coding WBS for

information sys

Project scope: definition of end result or
mission of project — a product/service for
clinet/customer — in specific, tangible & mea-

Scope statement: statement of work (SOW) Scope statement purpose: clearly defin-deliverables for end user, focus project or successful completion of its goals, to be used by project owner & participants as planning participants as planning

by project owner & participants as planning tool & measuring project success Project scope checklist: project objective; deliverables; milestones; technical reqs; limits & exclusions; reviews with customer Project charter: can contain expanded ver-sion of scope statement, document authorising project manager to initiate & lead project Scope creep: tendency for project scope to expand over time due to changing requirements, specs priorities

Priority matrix: budget/cost, schedule/time

akdown Structure: hierarchical Work Breakdown Structure: merarennear outline (map) that identifies products & work elements involved in project, defines relationship of final deliverable to subdeliverables & in turn their relationships to work packages, best suited for design & build projects that have tangible outcomes rather than process-oriented

WBS Hierarchy: project, deliverable, sub-deliverable, lowest sub-deliverable (lowest management responsibility level), cost account group of work packages for monitoring progress responsibility), work package

WBS benefits for project manager: facili-tates evaluation of cost, time & technical per of org on project; provides management with info appropriate to each org level; helps in dev

to org units & individuals; help manage plan, schedule & budget; define comm channels & assists in coordinating various project elements Work Package: defines work (what), identifies Work Package: defines work (what), identifies time to complete, time-phased budget to com-plete (cost), resources needed to complete (how much), person responsible for units of work, monitoring points/milestones for measuring success (how well) success (how well)
Org Breakdown Structure: how

company organised to discharge work responsibility for

project

OBS details: provides framework to summarise org work unit perf, identifies org units
responsible for work packages, ties org units to cost control accounts
Intersection of WBS & OBS: project control

Intersection of WBS & OBS: project control point/cost account
WBS coding system: defines levels & elements of WBS, org elements, work packages, budget & cost info, allows reports to be consolidated at any level in org structure
Responsibility Matrix: linear responsibility chart, summarises tasks to be accomplished & who is responsible for what on the project
who is responsible to the total structure of the project activities & par-

ils: list project activities & par-clarifies critical interfaces between R.M details ticipants, clarifies c units & individuals need coordination,

units & individuals that need coordination, provides means for all participants to view re-sponsibilities & agree on assignments, clarifies extent/type of authority that can be exercised by each participant **Comm plan steps**: stakeholder analysis, info info, dissemination modes, needs, sources of

responsibility & timing process of forecast-Estimating ing/approximating time & cost of completing project deliverables, task of balancing exepcta-tions of stakeholders & need for control while

project is implemented

Estimating importance: support good decisions, schedule work, determine how long project should take & cost, determine whether project worth doing, develop cash flow needs, determine how well the project is progressing, develop time=phased budgets & establish project baseline

Estimation accuracy factors: planning horizon, project duration, people, project structure & org, padding estimates, org culture, other non-project factors

Estimating guidelines: have people familiar

Estimating guidelines: have people familiar

with tasks make estimate; use several people to make estimates; base estimates on normal conditions, efficient methods & normal level of resources; use consistent time units; treat each task as independent; don't make allowances for contingencies, adding risk assessment helps avoid surprises to stakeholders Top-down estimates: derived from someone actived from someone who uses experience and/or info to determine the project duration & total cost, are made by top managers who have little knowledge of the processes used to complete the project, time & costs are not considered, grouping tasks may lead to omissions & unrealistic times & costs, accuracy, 20% to 1.0.2%

accuracy -20% to +60%, cost 0.1-0.3% Conditions for top-down: strategic decision making; high uncertainty; internal, small project, unstable scope

project, unstable scope

Top-down intended use: feasibility/conceptual phase, rough time/cost estimate, fund reqs, resource capacity planning

Bottom-up approach: can serve as a check
on cost elements in WBS by rolling up work

on cost elements in WBS by rolling up work packages & associated cost accounts to major deliverables at work package level, more accu-rate but takes more time, accuracy level may not be required for some projects, accuracy -10% to +30%, cost 0.3-1% Conditions for bottom-up: cost & time im-portant, fixed-price contract, customer wants details

Bottom-up intended use: budgeting, schedul-

Bottom-up intended use: budgeting, scheduling, resource reqs, fund timing
Preferred estimating approach: rough
top-down estimates, dev WBS/OBS, make
bottom-up estimates, dev schedules & budgets,
reconcile diffs between top-down & bottom-up

Top-down approaches: Consensus: use xp of senior and/or mid managers to estimate total project duration & cost; typically involve meeting where experts discuss, argue & ultimately reach decision for

cost, wp.o..., discuss, argue & ultimately reach collections, argue & ultimately reach collections best guessestimate

Delphi: about likelihood that certain events collections about likelihood that certain events experts, then return summer to reconstitutions. Delphi: about likelihood that certain events will occur, ask experts, then return summary of opinions (anon), encourage to reconsider/change based on others' opinions, repeat 2-3x, median will move toward 'correct' estimate, avoid ego, domineerring, bandwagon, halo effect, no need for physical contact Ratio: use cost/time per area/capacity size/features/complexity Ratio: use cost/time per area/capacity size/features/complexity
Apportion: extension of ratio, use if projects closely follow past projects in features & cost, pay contractor by completion of parts or split

osts based on deliverables in WBS (each has percent allocated)
Function point: for software & system projects, take several elements (input, output, inquiries,

files, interfaces), rate complexity, multiply number of each with complexity, total is esti-Learning curve: take number of units & improve-

Bottom-up approaches:

Template: start wirh standard task cost/time
estimates then adjust specifics

Parametric applied to specific tasks: need to do X
work, 1 person can do Y work in Z time

Range estimates for work packages: low, average,
high for each, useful if work packages have
significant uncertainty

Phase estimating: hybrid top-down &
bottom-up, macro long-term (rest of project) &
micro short-term (current phase, need, specs,
design, produce, deliver), for projects with high

micro short-term (current phase, need, specs, design, produce, deliver), for projects with high uncertainty, customers may be able to change features & re-evaluate project at each stage, but customers & clients typically want form estimates of the contraction. out customers & clients typically want form stimates of time & overall cost up front

ost types: irect: clearly chargeable to specific work pack-

age, ex: labour, materials, equipment
Direct (project) overhead: directly tied to identifiable deliverable/work package, ex: salary, rents, supplies, specialised machinery

General & administrative overhead: indirectly linked to specific package apportioned to project, carried for project duration, ex: ads, accounting, senior management Cost views: committed, scheduled budget, actual cost

Reasons for adjusting estimates: interaction

actual cost
Reasons for adjusting estimates: interaction
costs hidden in estimates, normal conditions do
not apply, things go wrong in projects, changes
in project scope & plans
Project network: flow chart that graphically
depicts sequence, interdependencies, start &
finish times of project job plan of activities
Critical path: longest activity paths through
network that allows for completion of all activities; shortest expected time in which entire
project can be completed; 0 slack, also consider
deps caused by resource constraints
Project network benefits: provides basis
for scheduling labour & equipment, enhance
comms among project participants, provides
estimate of project duration, provides basis
for budgeting cash flow, highlights 'critical'
activities that cannot be delayed, highlights
activities that can be compressed to meet
deadline, help managers get & stay on plan
Activity: project element that requires time
Event: point in time when activity
started/completed, does not consume time

Activity: project element that requires time Event: point in time when activity started/completed, does not consume time Total slack: amount of time activity can be delayed & not delay project, time activity can exceed early finish date without affecting project end date/imposed completion date, LS—ES or LF—EF Sensitivity: likelihood original critical paths will change once project initiated Free slack (float): amount of time activity can be delayed after start of longer parallel activities, how long activity can exceed EF without affecting ES of successors, allows flexibility in scheduling scarce resources Laddering: activities broken into segments so following activity can begin sooner & not delay work

following activity can begin sooner & not delay work

Lags: minimum amount of time dependent activity must be delayed to begin/end, lengthy activities broken down to reduce delay in start of successor (if successor only dep on part finished early), with lags start and finish can have different slacks

Lag types:

Finish-to-start: order materials, 1 day to place order & 19 days to receive goods, can use for

order & 19 days to receive goods, can use for

order & 19 days to receive goods, can use for laddering start-to-start: can also be used for laddering, reduce network detail & project delays, often used in concurrent engineering (instead of completely sequential, can start next stage once part of predecessor complete) Finish-to-finish: test cannot be completed any earlier than 4 days after prototype complete, cannot be f-s because subcomponent test does

cannot be f-s because subcomponent test does not qualify as complete sys test, which takes 4 days
Start-to-finish: system doc cannot end until 3 days after test start, since relevant info is generated after 3 days of testing
Risk: uncertain/chance events that planning cannot overcome/control, focus on future, deals with probabilities, tends to emphasise negative consequences

with probabilities, tends to emphasise negative consequences
Threat: risk event external to org (inflation, market acceptance, laws), not within project manager/team's responsibility area, normally considered before decision to proceed with project, if project initiated contingency funds placed in management reserve budget. placed in management reserve budget

placed in management reserve budget
Risk project life cycle: high chance of risk
occurring initially (defining & planning) but
low cost to fix, both swap by delivering
Risk anatomy: cause (how & why), event
(what can go wrong, occurrence, outcome),
effects (consequence)
Certainty: knowns, decision-maker aware of
alternatives & outcomes
Uncertainty: unknown unknowns future

unknown unknowns, future

alternatives outcomes Uncertainty: unknown unknowns, future unknowable to probabilities & consequences unknown Risk: known unknowns, situation where future

can be analysed & planned for Risk management attributes: is a decision-

Risk management attributes: is a decision-making process (informs decisions), should have structure & formality (helps effective management), has to have continuity through the project (iterative, continuous monitoring), has a project focus (for project performance & outcomes, such as time, cost, perf) Risk management benefits: proactive rather than reactive approach, reduce surprises & neg-stive consequences, prepares project.

than reactive approach, reduce surprises & neg-ative consequences, prepares project manager to take advantage of appropriate risks, provides better control over future, improves chances of reaching project perf objectives within budget & on time Risk management process: Planning & context: defines factors (inter-pal (extensib) to take into account risk man-

Risk management process: Planning & context: defines factors (internal/external) to take into account, risk management plan fisk identification: identify potential risks & causes (list of risks) Risk analysis & evaluation: analyse risk likelihood & potential consequences, risk evaluation for management Risk treatment: strategies Implementation & control: implement, monitor, control, review, happens throughout

Implementation & control: implement, monitor, control, review, happens throughout Risk management plan: objectives, methodology, roles & responsibilities, budgeting, timing, risk categories, scoring interpretation, tolerance thresholds, reporting formats, tracking

ing Context types:

External: environment, such as political, social,

External: environment, such as political, social, legal, financial, geographical Organisational: culture, values, governance, capabilities, policies, processes, strategic objectives Project: fill set of objectives & project outcomes Project: fill set of objectives & project outcomes Risk breakdown structure: split into categories (technical, external, organisational, PM), then split to subcategories Risk evaluation: need to do anything?, classify (how acceptable), determine risk tolerance,

know risk appetite
Risk consequence matrix: effect & probability, contents are how important it is
(insignificant almost certain is moderate)

Risk assessment form/matrix: columns risk events, likelihood, impact, detection diffi-

severity matrix: impact & likelihood, zones (red zone for high likelihood &

impact)
Failure Mode & Effects Analysis: add detection to severity matrix, risk value does not differentiate between what part contributes

most
Risk treatment options:
Avoidance: change plan to eliminate threat,
refuse to accept risk

Reduction/Mitigation: reduce likelihood/consequences pre/post risk, contingency Retention: accept with no further action, often

for low risk Transfer: shift responsibility & consequences to another party (contract/insurance) though it still exists

Contingency plan: alt plan that will be used if possible foreseen risk occurs, plan of actions to reduce/mitigate consequences of risk event,

having no plan may slow managerial response, decisions made under pressure can be poten-tially dangerous & costly Technical Risks: backup strategies if chosen

tech fails, assessing whether tech uncertainties can be resolved

can be resolved
Schedule risks: use of slack increase risk of
late project finish, imposed duration dates
(absolute project finish date), compression
of project schedules due to shortened project
duration date (crash or shortening project duration using contingency funds, run activities
concurrently/laddered)
Costs risks, costs increase then problem take Costs risks: costs increase then problem take longer to solve than expected (time/cost de-

longer to solve than expected (time/cost de-pendency links), price protection risks increase for long projects, evaluate item by item for cost sensitive projects Funding risks: changes in supply of funds can dramatically affect likelihood of implementa-tion/successful completion of project Piek response matrix: columns are risk

columns are risk response matrix: event, response, contingency plan, trigger, who is responsible

Principles for selection for treatment: Practicality: realistic, achievable, easy to implement

Effectiveness: rating comparative effectiveness of options Acceptability: agreement & commitment of stake-

holders Cost: balancing cost of treatment option against

Capability: effective allocation for responsibility
Timelines: implemented at the time to be successful Precautions: need to take action as risk event

Treatments: need to take action as risk event has serious consequences

Time buffers: amounts of time used to compensate for unplanned delays in project schedule, allocate at critical project times (activities with severe risk, merge activities that may become late due to predecessors being late, noncritical activities to stop them from becoming critical, activities that require that may become late due to predecessors being late, noncritical activities to stop them from becoming critical, activities that require scarce resources to ensure adequate time to get the resources), if overall schedule is uncertain could add at end of project but requires top management & project owner authorisation Implementation & control: use progress meetings & risk audits to evaluate identified risks remain valid, any changes in level of risk, implementation process, new treatments identified, new risks identified Risk register: columns are number, risk, probability, consequences, rating, treatment, residual probability, residual consequences, residual rating, who, when, cost, status, contingency reseves through float (schedule) & reserves (financial) to cover/reduce risk, updated at status meeting

Contingency funds: funds to cover project risks — identified & unknown, size of funds reflects overall risk of project, use needs to

dated at status meeting Contingency funds: funds to cover project risks — identified & unknown, size of funds reflects overall risk of project, use needs to be closely monitored, independent of original time/cost estimates (risk may not occur so not included in baseline, if occurs then draw and add to baseline, if not take out from reserve) Contingency fund types: Budget reserves: linked to identified risks of spe-

Budget reserves: linked to identified risks of spe-cific work packages, allocated to specific work packages/activities, communicated to project team but allocated by PM if required Management reserves: large funds used to cover major unforeseen risks (ex: change in scope), allocated to entire project, established after budget reserves identified & funded, controlled

by PM & project owner (internal/external), may contain technical reserves for project involving highly innovative process/product as fallback plan in case process/product is unsuccessful

Opportunity management tactics:

Exploit: seek to eliminate uncertainty associated with opportunity to ensure it definitely

ated with opportunity to ensure it definitely happens
Share: allocating some/all of ownership of opportunity who is best able to capture it for benefit of project
Enhance: take action to increase probability and/or positive impact of opportunity
Accept: be willing to take advantage if it occurs but not taking action to pursue
Resource scheduling: used to assign time-phased costs to provide project budget baseline
Time-phased baseline: cost baseline derived

Time-phased baseline cost baseline derived Time-phased baseline: cost baseline derived from WBS & rpoject schedule, budgeted costs are distributed to mirror schedule Time-phased budgets: planned costs broken down by distinct time periods for work package, provides better cost control

Resource problem:

Resources & priorities: project network times not a schedule until resources assigned, implicit assumption is that resources will be available in required amounts when needed, adding new

projects requires making realistic judgments of resource availability & project durations, cost estimates not a budget until they have been time-phased

Resource smoothing/leveling: involves attempting Resource smoothing/leveling: involves attempting to even out varying demands on resources by using slack (delay non-critical activities) to manage resource utilisation when resources are adequate over life of project
Resource-constrained scheduling: duration of project may be increased by delaying late start of some activities if resources are not adequate to meet rapid departs.

start of some activities if resources are not adequate to meet peak demands

Project constraint types:

Technical/logic: constraints related to networked sequence in which project activities must occur

Physical: activities that cannot occur in parallel or are affected by contractual or environmental conditions, room cannot hold equipment to test Resource: absence, shortage or unique inter-relationship and interaction characteristics of resources that require a particular sequencing of project activities, types: people, materials, equipment
Classification of scheduling problems: time-

constrained & resource-constrained Resource allocation assumptions:

Hesource allocation assumptions:
Limiting: splitting activities not allowed (once
started carried to completion), level of resources used for activity cannot be changed
Risk: activities with most slack pose least
risk, reduction of flexibility does not increase
risk, nature of activity (easy, complex) doesn't
increase risk

increase risk
Time-constrained projects: must be completed by imposed date, require use of leveling techniques that focus on balancing/smoothing resource demands, use positive slack (delay noncritical activities) to manage resource utilisation over duration of project
Resource leveling advantages: peak resource demands reduced, resources over life of project reduced, fluctuation in resource demand minimized.

Imised
Resource leveling disadvantages: loss of flexibility that occurs from reducing slack, increases criticality of all activities
Resource-constrained heuristic: minimum lack, smallest/least duration, lowest ID

Impacts of resource-constrained scheduling: reduces delay & flexibility, increase ing: reduces delay & flexibility, increase criticality of events, increase scheduling complexity, may make traditional critical path no longer meaningful, can break sequence of events, may cause parallel activities to become sequential & critical activities with slack to become activities.

Splitting: scheduling technique used to get better project schedule and/or increase resource utilisation, pause activity to use resources on sth else, feasible when startup & shutdown costs low, considered major reason why projects fail to meet schedule

Benefits of scheduling --

Benefits of scheduling resources: leave time for consideration of reasonable alternatives (cost-time tradeoffs, priority change), provide info for time-phased work package budgets to assess impact of unforeseen events & amount of flexibility in available resources

Managing multiproject scheduling: create project offices/depts to oversee scheduling of resources across projects, use project priority queuing system (FCFS for resources), centralise consideration of reasonable alternatives

PM (treat all projects as part of megaproject), PM (treat all projects as part of megaproject), outsource projects to reduce number of projects handled internally Multiproject scheduling problems: Overall project slippage: delay on one create delays for others

Inefficient resource application: peaks & valleys of resource demands create scheduling problems

s bottlenecks: critical resource shortage for multiple projects
Reasons for imposed project duration dates

time-to-market pressures, unforeseen delays, in-centive contracts (bonus for early completion), imposed deadlines & contract commitments Options for accelerating completion: No resource constraint: add resources, outsource work, overtime, establish core project team, do it twice (fast & correctly)

Resource constraint: fast-tracking, critical chain,

Resource constraint: fast-tracking, critical chain, reduce scope, compromise quality

Cost-duration: direct costs decrease but indirect cost increase with longer duration

Cost-cutting: reduce scope, have owner take more responsibility, outsource activities or whole project, brainstorm cost savings options

Managing: coping with complayity formu-

whole project, brainstorm cost savings options Managing: coping with complexity, formulate plans & objectives, monitor results, take corrective action, expedite activities, solve technical problems, serve as peacemaker, make tradeoffs among time, costs & project scope Leading: coping with change, recognise need to keep project on track, initiate change, provide direction & motivation, innovate & adapt as necessary, integrate assigned resources Stakeholders: individuals/orgs who are involved in project & exert influence over results (project specialists, professionals who work on parts of project, senior management, functional managers/depts, contractors involved,

on parts of project, senior management, runc-tional managers/depts, contractors involved, customers, admin, sponsors, government) Law of reciprocity: one good deed deserves another, same for bad Quid pro quo: mutual exchange of resource & service (back-scratching) build relationships

Influence currencies: cooperative relationships build on exchange of these or favours Org currencies:

Task: resources, assistance, info, coop

Position: advancement, recognition, networks,

on: excellence, vision, ethics Inspirati Friendship: acceptance, understanding, personal

support Personal: learning, involvement, gratitude Management by wandering around: managers spend majority of time in face-to-face interactions with employees building cooperative relationships

Characteristics of effective PM: initiate

contact with key players, anticipate potential problems, provide encouragement, reinforce objectives & vision of project, intervene to

objectives & vision or project, intervene to resolve conflicts & prevent stalemates

Distinguishing traits of character: consistency, openness, sense of purpose

Qualities of effective PM: systems thinker, personal integrity, proactive, high emotional intelligence, general business perspective, effective time management, skillful politician, ontimist optimist

Contradictions of PM: innovate & maintain

stability, see big picture while getting hands dirty, encourage individuals but stress team, hands off/on, flexible but firm, team vs org loyalties
Suggestions for PM: build relationships
before you need them, sustain trust through

frequent face-to-face contact, what goes around comes around Characteristics of high-performing teams:

share sense of common purpose, make effective use of individual talents & expertise, have balanced & shared roles, maintain problemsolving focus, accept differences of opinion & expression, encourage risk taking & creativity, set high personal perf standards, identify with tanny 5-stage team dev model:

Forming: orientation to project, testing & de-

pendence
Storming: emotional response to project de-

Storming: emotional response to project de-mands, intragroup conflict Norming: open exchange of relevant info, dev of group cohesiveness

group cohesiveness Performing: emergence of colution, functional roles emerge Adjourning: dissolution of group Conditions favouring high team perf: ≤ 10 members, voluntary team membership, continuous service on team, full-time assignment to team, org culture of coop & trust, members report only to project manager, all relevant functional areas represented, project has compelling objective, members within speaking distance of each other pelling objective, me distance of each other

distance of each other

Creating high perf project team: conduct
project meetings, establish team identity, create shared vision, build reward system, manage
decision making, manage conflict, rejuvenate
project team

Team criteria for success: team composition,
though goals, intendependence, interpressoral

shared goals, interdependence, interpersonal skills, open comms & positive feedback, commitment

mitment
Synergy: positive if whole greater than sum of
parts, negative otherwise
Who to recruit: problem-solving ability,
availability, tech expertise, credibility, political
connections, ambition, initiative, energy
Recognise individual perf: letters of commendation, public recognition for outstanding
work, desirable job assignments, increased
personal flexibility
Managing conflict: encourage functional.

personal nexionity
Managing conflict: encourage functional,
manage dysfunctional (mediate, arbitrate, control, accept, eliminate)
Managing virtual project teams:

Dev trust: exchange of social info, set clear roles for each team member

for each team member

Dev effective patterns of comm: keep members
informed on how overall project going, don't let
members vanish, establish code of conduct to
avoid delays, establish clear norms & protocols
for surfacing assumptions & conflicts, share
pain pain

Groupthink: members lose critical evaluative

capabilities, decisions made quickly with little consideration of alts

Groupthink symptoms: illusion of invulnerability, whitewash of critical thinking, negative stereotypes of outsiders, direct pressure on dissenters

Bureaucratic bypass syndrome: get used to bypassing bureaucratic channels, end up in rejection of them, result in workers bound

being alienated

Team spirit becomes team infatuation: can leave broken prefessional & personal relationships contributing to burnout & disorientation

on completion of project

Going native: customer interests take too
much precedence over corporate interests

Project control steps: set baseline plan, measure progress & perf, compare plan against

actual, take action Project control tools: tracking & baseline Gantt charts, control charts (how ahead/behind

Progress report format: progress since last report, current project status (schedule, cost, scope), cumulative trends, problems & issues since last report (actions, resolutions), corrective action planned

since last report (actions, resolutions), corrective action planned
Earned value cost/schedule sys: integrated
PM sys based on earned value concept that
uses time-phased budget baseline to compare
actual & planned schedule & costs
Cost/schedule variance: EV — AC or PV
BAC (budgeted cost at completion, total
PV), EAC (estimated cost at completion,
work remaining/CPI), ETC (estimated
cost to complete remaining work), VAC
(expected cost variance at completion)
Percent complete rule: costs periodically
assigned to baseline as units of work completed
over duration of work package, most frequently
used in measuring project progress
Methods of variance analysis: CV & SV
Performance indexes: CPI (EV/AC), SPI
(EV/PV), percent complete indexes (EV/BAC
or AC/EAC)
Additional EV rules: 0/100% rule (only count

or AC/EAC)
Additional EV rules: 0/100% rule (only count when complete), 50/50 rule (half on start, half when complete), % complete with weighted monitoring gates (subjective estimated % combined with hard, tangible monitoring points)
Revise estimates of future cost: EAC_{re} revised by experts due to new info, EAC_f is ETC+AC

Control issues: scope creep, baseline changes, data acquisition costs & problems