

法律声明

□ 本课件包括：演示文稿，示例，代码，题库，视频和声音等，小象学院拥有完全知识产权的权利；只限于善意学习者在本课程使用，不得在课程范围外向任何第三方散播。任何其他人或机构不得盗版、复制、仿造其中的创意，我们将保留一切通过法律手段追究违反者的权利。

□ 课程详情请咨询

■ 微信公众号：小象学院

■ 新浪微博：小象AI学院



数据清洗和特征选择



小象学院
ChinaHadoop.cn

邹博

主要内容

□ 内容

- 庄家与赔率
- Pandas数据读取和处理
- Fuzzywuzzy字符串模糊查找
- 数据清洗和校正
- 特征提取主成分分析PCA
- One-hot编码

□ 思考：

- 字符串编辑距离
- ROC与AUC
- 分类器：随机森林、Logistic回归

赔率

- 赔率最早出现在赛马中,1790年由英国人奥格登发明。
 - 中国从2001年发行足彩开始引入赔率。
- 赔率的举例定义:
- 浔阳江畔艚公张横和张顺正进行400米自由泳比赛,宋江开赌场做庄,规定:张横赢赔率为3,张顺赢赔率为2。假定不存在平局。赌徒李逵为张横下注10两。
 - 比赛结束后,若最终张横赢,则宋江付赌徒李逵30两(10×3),赌资10两归庄家宋江所有,即李逵赚20两。
 - 若张顺赢,赌资10两归庄家宋江所有,即李逵赔10两。

X	张横	张顺
P	0.8	0.2
Y	1.25	5

问题分析

- 假定张横赢的概率为0.8，宋江给出的赔率为张横1.25，张顺5，则宋江的盈亏分析如下：
 - 为表述方便，张横赢简称“张横”，张顺赢简称“张顺”。
- 假定所有赌徒中，共有a元买张横，b元买张顺，则开赛前宋江收入为a+b元
- 开赛后的赔付期望为：

$$E(y) = \sum_i p_i y_i = 0.8 \times 1.25 \times a + 0.2 \times 5 \times b = a + b$$

X	张横	张顺
P	0.8	0.2
y	1.25	5

赔率分析

- 从上述结论知：使用 $y=1/p$ 作为赔率，会使得庄家在期望上不赔不赚。
 - 这即“公平赔率”： y_{fair}
 - ——没有利润，这显然是庄家不希望看到的。
- 实际问题中，庄家总是会将公平赔率乘以某小于1的系数 α ，即得到真实赔率：

$$y = \alpha \cdot y_{fair} = \alpha / p$$
 - 庄家对于 α 取值不公开。

上一期参团人数估计

□ 8月2日《机器学习 升级版VI期》

最终参团人数是素数还是合数？

□ 我坐庄，我开出的赔率是：

■ 素数：5.5

■ 合数：1.1

□ 以素数下注1元为例：

■ 若最终人数为素数，则返还5.5元，赌资1元为庄家所有。

■ 若最终人数是合数，则无返还，赌资1元为庄家所有。



《机器学习》升级版第六期

原 价 ￥899.00

拼团价

¥499.00 50人以上

¥399.00 100人以上

(当前价)

团长:小象学院

958 人参团

已结束

```

p = np.array(filter(is_prime3, range(2, b+1)))
p = p[p >= a]
print p
p_rate = float(len(p)) / float(b-a+1)
print '素数的概率: ', p_rate, '\t',
print '公正赔率: ', 1/p_rate
print '合数的概率: ', 1-p_rate, '\t',
print '公正赔率: ', 1 / (1-p_rate)

```

Prime

[751 757 761 769 773 787 797 809 811 821 823 827 829 839 853 857 859 863 877 881 883 887]

素数的概率: 0.145695364238

公正赔率: 6.86363636364

合数的概率: 0.854304635762

公正赔率: 1.17054263566

计算赔率

□ 拼团人数当时是749人，尚有两天结束，根据历史先验，1天参团人数为100人，则最终参团人数为850左右。结合业务逻辑，往往后期每日参团人数略多于前期，因此大体参团区间可能是[750,900]。

□ 计算该区间的素数

■ [751 757 761 769 773 787 797 809 811 821 823 827 829 839 853 857 859 863 877 881 883 887]

■ 素数的概率: 0.1457 公正赔率: 6.8636

■ 合数的概率: 0.8543 公正赔率: 1.1705

计算庄家的盈亏期望

- 实际给出的赔率为5.5和1.1，带入赔率公式 $y = \alpha/p$ 得到 α 分别是0.8013和0.9397，则庄家盈利期望为：

$$\begin{aligned} E &= (a+b) - E(y) = a+b - (\alpha_1 \cdot a + \alpha_2 \cdot b) \\ &= (1-\alpha_1) \cdot a + (1-\alpha_2) \cdot b \end{aligned}$$

- 若假定 $a=b$ ，则庄家盈利率为12.94%

- 即：赌徒不分析场景，随机选边下注。

- 若假定 $a/b=0.1457/0.8543$ ，则庄家盈利率为8.04%

- 即：赌徒下注前经过了细致分析，下注比例与实际估计场景概率相符。

- 结论：无论如何，庄家肯定赚。

- VI期最终报名人数为958人，原区间估计结果略显保守。

Pandas

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
1	account	name	street	city	state	postal-code	Jan	Feb	Mar
2	211829	Kerluke, Koepp and Hilpert	34456 Sean Highway	New Jaycob	Texas	28752	10000	62000	35000
3	320563	Walter-Trantow	1311 Alvis Tunnel	Port Khadijah	NorthCarolina	38365	95000	45000	35000
4	648336	Bashirian, Kunde and Price	62184 Schamberger Underpass Apt. 231	New Lilianland	Iowa	76517	91000	120000	35000
5	109996	D'Amore, Gleichner and Bode	155 Fadel Crescent Apt. 144	Hyattburgh	Maine	46021	45000	120000	10000
6	121213	Bauch-Goldner	7274 Marissa Common	Shanahanchester	California	49681	162000	120000	35000
7	132971	Williamson, Schumm and Hettinger	89403 Casimer Spring	Jeremieburgh	Arkansas	62785	150000	120000	35000
8	145068	Casper LLC	340 Consuela Bridge Apt. 400	Lake Gabriellaton	Mississippi	18008	62000	120000	70000
9	205217	Kovacek-Johnston	91971 Cronin Vista Suite 601	Deronville	Rhodelsland	53461	145000	95000	35000
10	209744	Champlin-Morar	26739 Grant Lock	Lake Juliannton	Pennsylvania	64415	70000	95000	35000
11	212303	Gerhold-Maggio	366 Maggio Grove Apt. 998	North Ras	Idaho	46308	70000	120000	35000
12	214098	Goodwin, Homenick and Jerde	649 Cierra Forks Apt. 078	Rosaberg	Tenessee	47743	45000	120000	55000
13	231907	Hahn-Moore	18115 Olivine Throughway	Norbertomouth	NorthDakota	31415	150000	10000	162000
14	242368	Frami, Anderson and Donnelly	182 Bertie Road	East Davian	Iowa	72686	162000	120000	35000
15	268755	Walsh-Haley	2624 Beatty Parkways	Goodwinmouth	Rhodelsland	31919	55000	120000	35000
16	273274	McDermott PLC	8917 Bergstrom Meadow	Kathryneborough	Delaware	27933	150000	120000	70000

□ Fuzzywuzzy - Levenshtein distance

□ 模糊查询与替换

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	account	name	street	city	state	SC	postal-code	Jan	Feb	Mar	total
2	211829	Kerluke, Koepp and Hilpert	34456 Sean Highway	New Jaycob	Texas	TX	28752	10000	62000	35000	107000
3	320563	Walter-Trantow	1311 Alvis Tunnel	Port Khadijah	North Carolina	NC	38365	95000	45000	35000	175000
4	648336	Bashirian, Kunde and Price	62184 Schamberger Underpass Apt. 231	New Lilianland	Iowa	IA	76517	91000	120000	35000	246000
5	109996	D'Amore, Gleichner and Bode	155 Fadel Crescent Apt. 144	Hyattburgh	Maine	ME	46021	45000	120000	10000	175000
6	121213	Bauch-Goldner	7274 Marissa Common	Shanahanchester	California	CA	49681	162000	120000	35000	317000
7	132971	Williamson, Schumm and Hettinger	89403 Casimer Spring	Jeremieburgh	Arkansas	AR	62785	150000	120000	35000	305000
8	145068	Casper LLC	340 Consuela Bridge Apt. 400	Lake Gabriellaton	Mississippi	MS	18008	62000	120000	70000	252000
9	205217	Kovacek-Johnston	91971 Cronin Vista Suite 601	Deronville	Rhode Island	RI	53461	145000	95000	35000	275000
10	209744	Champlin-Morar	26739 Grant Lock	Lake Juliannton	Pennsylvania	PA	64415	70000	95000	35000	200000
11	212303	Gerhold-Maggio	366 Maggio Grove Apt. 998	North Ras	Idaho	ID	46308	70000	120000	35000	225000
12	214098	Goodwin, Homenick and Jerde	649 Cierra Forks Apt. 078	Rosaberg	Tennessee	TN	47743	45000	120000	55000	220000
13	231907	Hahn-Moore	18115 Olivine Throughway	Norbertomouth	North Dakota	ND	31415	150000	10000	162000	322000
14	242368	Frami, Anderson and Donnelly	182 Bertie Road	East Davian	Iowa	IA	72686	162000	120000	35000	317000
15	268755	Walsh-Haley	2624 Beatty Parkways	Goodwinmouth	Rhode Island	RI	31919	55000	120000	35000	210000
16	273274	McDermott PLC	8917 Bergstrom Meadow	Kathryneborough	Delaware	DE	27933	150000	120000	70000	340000
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1462000	1507000	717000	3686000

手机用户流失率分析

1	region	tenure	age	marital	address	income	ed	employ	retire	gender	reside	tollfree	equip	callcard	wireless	longmon	tollmon	equipmon	cardmon	wiremon	longten	tollten	equipten	cardten	wireten	multiline	voice	pager	internet	callwait	forward	confer	ebill	lninc	custcat	churn		
2	Zone 2	13	44	Married	9	64	College degree	5	No	Male	2	No	No	Yes	No	3.7	0	0	7.5	0	37.45	0	0	110	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	4.16	Basic service	Yes		
3	Zone 3	11	33	Married	7	136	Post-undergraduate degree	5	No	Male	6	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4.4	20.75	0	15.25	35.7	42	211.45	0	125	380.35	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	4.91	Total service	Yes		
4	Zone 3	68	52	Married	24	116	Did not complete high school	29	No	Female	2	Yes	No	Yes	No	18.15	18	0	30.25	0	1,300.60	1,247.20	0	2,150.00	0	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	4.75	Plus service	No	
5	Zone 2	33	33	Unmarried	12	33	High school degree	0	No	Female	1	No	No	No	No	9.45	0	0	0	0	288.8	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.5	Basic service	Yes	
6	Zone 2	23	30	Married	9	30	Did not complete high school	2	No	Male	4	No	No	No	No	6.3	0	0	0	0	157.05	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	3.4	Plus service	No	
7	Zone 2	41	39	Unmarried	17	78	High school degree	16	No	Female	1	Yes	No	Yes	No	11.8	19.25	0	13.5	0	487.4	798.4	0	570	0	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.36	Plus service	No		
8	Zone 3	45	22	Married	2	19	High school degree	4	No	Female	5	No	No	Yes	No	10.9	0	0	8.75	0	504.5	0	0	415	0	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	2.94	E-service	Yes		
9	Zone 2	38	35	Unmarried	5	76	High school degree	10	No	Male	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.05	45	50.1	23.25	64.9	239.55	1,873.05	1,820.90	880	2,256.70	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.33	Total service	No
10	Zone 3	45	59	Married	7	166	College degree	31	No	Male	5	Yes	No	Yes	No	9.75	28.5	0	12	0	449.05	1,240.15	0	505	0	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	5.11	Plus service	No		
11	Zone 1	68	41	Unmarried	21	72	Did not complete high school	22	No	Male	3	No	No	Yes	No	24.15	0	0	16.5	0	1,659.70	0	0	1,155.00	0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.28	E-service	No		
12	Zone 2	5	33	Unmarried	10	125	College degree	5	No	Female	1	No	Yes	No	No	4.85	0	26.15	0	0	17.25	0	110.1	0	0	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	4.83	Basic service	Yes
13	Zone 3	7	35	Unmarried	14	80	High school degree	15	No	Female	1	Yes	No	Yes	No	7.1	22	0	23.75	0	47.45	166.1	0	145	0	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	4.38	Plus service	No
14	Zone 1	41	38	Married	8	37	High school degree	9	No	Female	3	No	No	Yes	No	8.55	0	0	41.75	0	308.7	0	0	1,650.00	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	3.61	Basic service	No
15	Zone 2	57	54	Married	30	115	College degree	23	No	Female	3	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	15.6	46.25	46.7	0	61.05	825.35	2,624.25	2,590.95	0	3,348.85	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.74	Total service	Yes	
16	Zone 2	9	46	Unmarried	3	25	Did not complete high school	8	No	Female	2	No	No	No	No	4.4	0	0	0	0	36.8	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.22	Basic service	No
17	Zone 1	29	38	Married	12	75	Post-undergraduate degree	1	No	Male	4	No	Yes	Yes	No	5.1	0	30.25	11.25	0	146.25	0	780.8	295	0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.32	E-service	No
18	Zone 3	60	57	Unmarried	38	162	High school degree	30	No	Male	1	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	16.15	23.75	31.3	30	0	946.9	1,767.60	1,788.95	1,795.00	0	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	5.09	Plus service	No		
19	Zone 3	34	48	Unmarried	3	49	High school degree	6	No	Female	3	Yes	No	No	No	6.65	18.5	0	0	230.8	614.3	0	0	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	3.89	Plus service	No		
20	Zone 1	1	24	Unmarried	3	20	Did not complete high school	3	No	Male	1	No	No	No	No	1.05	0	0	0	0	1.05	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	3	Basic service	No
21	Zone 1	26	29	Married	3	77	College degree	2	No	Male	4	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.7	0	48.1	24.25	38.3	140.95	0	1,132.80	610	910.1	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.34	Total service	Yes
22	Zone 3	6	30	Unmarried	7	16	Some college	1	No	Female	1	No	Yes	No	Yes	3.75	0	33.8	0	18.7	25.65	0	175.3	0	78.2	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	2.77	E-service	Yes	
23	Zone 1	68	52	Married	17	120	Did not complete high school	24	No	Male	2	No	No	Yes	No	20.1	0	0	0	2	1,391.05	0	0	1,505.00	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	4.79	Basic service	No
24	Zone 3	53	33	Unmarried	10	101	Post-undergraduate degree	4	No	Female	2	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	5.3	0	49.6	26.75	51.4	253.35	0	2,499.80	1,340.00	2,645.15	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.62	Total service	Yes
25	Zone 3	55	48	Married	19	67	Did not complete high school	25	No	Male	3	No	No	Yes	No	15.05	0	0	27.25	0	810.45	0	0	1,390.00	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.2	Basic service	No
26	Zone 3	14	43	Married	18	36	Did not complete high school	5	No	Male	5	Yes	No	Yes	No	12.5	19.75	0	18	0	153.75	273.75	0	215	0	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	3.58	Plus service	No		
27	Zone 2	1	21	Unmarried	0	33	High school degree	0	No	Female	3	Yes	No	Yes	No	2.2	20.75	0	40.5	0	2.2	20.75	0	40.5	0	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	3.5	Plus service	No		
28	Zone 2	42	40	Unmarried	7	37	High school degree	8	No	Female	1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8.25	23.5	36.9	28	37.4	399.15	950.65	1,532.90	1,190.00	1,562.00	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3.61	Total service	No
29	Zone 3	25	33	Married	11	31	Did not complete high school	5	No	Male	4	No	No	No	No	9.1	0	0	0	0	234.95	0	0	0	0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	3.43	Plus service	No	
30	Zone 1	9	21	Married	1	17	High school degree	2	No	Female	3	No	No	No	No	2.9	0	0	0	0	25.25	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	2.83	Basic service	No	
31	Zone 2	13	33	Married	9	19	College degree	0	No	Female	2	No	Yes	No	No	5.55	0	27.35	0	0	75.25	0	330.65	0	0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	2.94	E-service	No		
32	Zone 1	56	37	Married	6	36	Did not complete high school	13	No	Female	2	No	No	Yes	No	10.6	0	31.1	18.25	0	582.6	0	1,756.35	1,005.00	0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	3.58	E-service	No	
33	Zone 1	71	53	Married	27	155	Post-undergraduate degree	12	No	Male	2	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	21	56	0	34	49.95	1,519.20	4,064.30	0	2,345.00	3,646.90	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	5.04	Total service	No
34	Zone 1	35	50	Married	26	140	High school degree	21	No	Female	4	Yes	No	Yes	No	6.5	27.5	0	35	0	247.55	1,068.25	0	1,215.00	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	4.94	Plus service	No	
35	Zone 1	11	27	Married	8	55	Post-undergraduate degree	0	No	Male	3	No	Yes	No	No	4.8	0	19.55	0	0	54.1	0	220.4	0	0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	4.01	E-service	No	
36	Zone 2	60	46	Married	13	163	Some college	24	No	Male	2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	33.9	38.25	44.65	13.75	55.25	1,947.95	2,326.35	2,645.85	840	3,125.95	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	5.09	Total service	No
37	Zone 3	20	35	Married	11	52	College degree	0	No	Male	2	No	Yes	No	Yes	4.25	0	30.55	0	0	82.7	0	547.15	0	0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	3.95	E-service	Yes
38	Zone 2	54	60	Unmarried	38	211	College degree	25	No	Male	1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	21.15	39.25	46.35	54.25	54.85	1,228.70	2,102.25	2,421.30	2,785.00	2,895.85	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	5.35	Total service	No
39	Zone 1	44	57	Married	1	186	High school degree	17	No	Male	2	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	9.8	33.5	0	36	41.65	428.25	1,379.55	0	1,535.00	1,826.70	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	5.23	Plus service	No
40	Zone 1	11	41	Married	0	39	Did not complete high school	1	No	Female	2	Yes	No	Yes	No	6.55	23.25	0	19.75	0	67.8	303.65	0	200	0	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	3.66	Plus service	Yes	
41	Zone 2	72	57	Unmarried	34	22	High school degree	35	Yes	Female	1	Yes	No	Yes	No	41.75	49	0	18.75	0	3,043.05	3,581.00	0	1,360.00	0	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	3.09	Plus service	No	
42	Zone 3	10	41	Unmarried	7	30	Did not complete high school	7	No	Male	1	Yes	No	Yes	No	2.5	19.25	0	53.75	0	31.25	178.2	0	490	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	3.4	Plus service	No	
43	Zone 2	15	28	Unmarried	0	29	High school degree	4	No	Female	1	Yes	No	Yes	No	4.25	30	0	17.75	0	78	426.05	0	255	0	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	3.37	Plus service	No	
44	Zone 2	27	28	Married	4	23	High school degree	8	No	Male	5	No	No	No	No	6.2	0	0	0	0	180.15	0	0	0	0	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.14	Basic service	No
45	Zone 1	9	36	Married	14	62	College degree	10	No	Male	6	No	Yes	No	Yes	5.65	0	46.75	0	48.5	43.3	0	386.6	0	364.85	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.13	Total service	Yes	

□ region, tenure, age, marital, address, income, ed, employ, retire, gender, reside, tollfree, equip, callcard, wireless, longmon, tollmon, equipmon, cardmon, wiremon, longten, tollten, equipten, cardten, wireten, multiline, voice, pager, internet, callwait, forward, confer, ebill, lninc, custcat, churn

Code

```
data = pd.read_csv('tel.csv', skipinitialspace=True, thousands=',')
print u'原始数据: \n', data.head(10)

le = LabelEncoder()
for col in data.columns:
    data[col] = le.fit_transform(data[col])

# 年龄分组
bins = [-1, 6, 12, 18, 24, 35, 50, 70]
data['age'] = pd.cut(data['age'], bins=bins, labels=np.arange(len(bins)-1))

# 取对数
columns_log = ['income', 'tollten', 'longmon', 'tollmon', 'equipmon', 'cardmon',
               'wiremon', 'longten', 'tollten', 'equipten', 'cardten', 'wireten', ]
mms = MinMaxScaler()
for col in columns_log:
    data[col] = np.log(data[col] - data[col].min() + 1)
    # data[col] = pd.cut(data[col], bins=10, labels=np.arange(10)) # 可不
    data[col] = mms.fit_transform(data[col].values.reshape(-1, 1))

# one-hot编码
columns_one_hot = ['region', 'age', 'address', 'ed', 'reside', 'c
for col in columns_one_hot:
    data = data.join(pd.get_dummies(data[col], prefix=col))

data.drop(columns_one_hot, axis=1, inplace=True)

columns = list(data.columns)
columns.remove('churn')
x = data[columns]
y = data['churn']
print u'分组与One-Hot编码后: \n', x.head(10)

x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(x, y, train_size=0.75, random_state=0)

clf = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, criterion='gini', max_depth=12, min_samples_split=5,
                             oob_score=True, class_weight={0: 1, 1: 1/y_train.mean()})
clf.fit(x_train, y_train)
```

OOB Score: 0.742666666667

训练集准确率: 0.988

训练集查准率: 0.962264150943

训练集查全率: 0.99512195122

训练集f1 Score: 0.978417266187

训练集准确率: 0.784

训练集查准率: 0.647058823529

训练集查全率: 0.478260869565

训练集f1 Score: 0.55

鸢尾花数据集



- 鸢尾花数据集或许是最有名的模式识别测试数据。
 - 早在1936年，模式识别的先驱Fisher就在论文“The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems”中使用了它（直至今日该论文仍然被频繁引用）。
- 该数据集包括3个鸢尾花类别，每个类别有50个样本。其中一个类别是与另外两类线性可分的，而另外两类不能线性可分。
 - 由于Fisher的最原始数据集存在两个错误(35号和38号样本)，实验中我们使用的是修正过的数据。
- 下载链接：<http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Iris>


数据描述



□ 该数据集共150行，每行1个样本。
每个样本有5个字段，分别是

- 花萼长度(单位cm)
- 花萼宽度(单位: cm)
- 花瓣长度(单位: cm)
- 花瓣宽度(单位: cm)
- 类别(共3类)

- Iris Setosa
- Iris Versicolour
- Iris Virginica




```
4.6, 3.1, 1.5, 0.2, Iris-setosa
5.0, 3.6, 1.4, 0.2, Iris-setosa
5.4, 3.9, 1.7, 0.4, Iris-setosa
4.6, 3.4, 1.4, 0.3, Iris-setosa
5.0, 3.4, 1.5, 0.2, Iris-setosa
4.4, 2.9, 1.4, 0.2, Iris-setosa
4.9, 3.1, 1.5, 0.1, Iris-setosa
5.4, 3.7, 1.5, 0.2, Iris-setosa
4.8, 3.4, 1.6, 0.2, Iris-setosa
4.8, 3.0, 1.4, 0.1, Iris-setosa
4.3, 3.0, 1.1, 0.1, Iris-setosa
5.8, 4.0, 1.2, 0.2, Iris-setosa
5.7, 4.4, 1.5, 0.4, Iris-setosa
5.4, 3.9, 1.3, 0.4, Iris-setosa
5.1, 3.5, 1.4, 0.3, Iris-setosa
5.7, 3.8, 1.7, 0.3, Iris-setosa
5.1, 3.8, 1.5, 0.3, Iris-setosa
5.4, 3.4, 1.7, 0.2, Iris-setosa
5.1, 3.7, 1.5, 0.4, Iris-setosa
4.6, 3.6, 1.0, 0.2, Iris-setosa
```

主成分分析PCA


□ 多项式特征: 2/3

□ 管道Pipeline


鸢尾花Logistic回归分类效果



鸢尾花数据PCA降维




鸢尾花Logistic回归分类效果




数据清洗和数据处理

如何找到下图中的异常值




排污数据的异常值检测与校正


实际排放数据



异常检测



异常值校正




排污数据的异常值检测与校正


实际排放数据



异常检测



异常值校正



车辆数据描述

□ 该数据共1728个样本，每行为1个样本。每个样本有7个特征：

- 购买价格：low/med/high/vhigh
- 维护价格：low/med/high/vhigh
- 车门数量：2/3/4/5more
- 载人数目：2/4/more
- 后备箱大小：small/med/big
- 安全程度：low/med/high
- 接受程度：unacc/acc/good/vgood

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	buy	maintain	doors	persons	boot	safety	accept
2	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	small	low	unacc
3	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	small	med	unacc
4	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	small	high	unacc
5	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	med	low	unacc
6	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	med	med	unacc
7	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	med	high	unacc
8	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	big	low	unacc
9	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	big	med	unacc
10	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	big	high	unacc
11	vhigh	vhigh	2	4	small	low	unacc
12	vhigh	vhigh	2	4	small	med	unacc
13	vhigh	vhigh	2	4	small	high	unacc
14	vhigh	vhigh	2	4	med	low	unacc
15	vhigh	vhigh	2	4	med	med	unacc
16	vhigh	vhigh	2	4	med	high	unacc
17	vhigh	vhigh	2	4	big	low	unacc
18	vhigh	vhigh	2	4	big	med	unacc
19	vhigh	vhigh	2	4	big	high	unacc
20	vhigh	vhigh	2	more	small	low	unacc
21	vhigh	vhigh	2	more	small	med	unacc
22	vhigh	vhigh	2	more	small	high	unacc
23	vhigh	vhigh	2	more	med	low	unacc
24	vhigh	vhigh	2	more	med	med	unacc
25	vhigh	vhigh	2	more	med	high	unacc
26	vhigh	vhigh	2	more	big	low	unacc
27	vhigh	vhigh	2	more	big	med	unacc
28	vhigh	vhigh	2	more	big	high	unacc
29	vhigh	vhigh	3	2	small	low	unacc
30	vhigh	vhigh	3	2	small	med	unacc
31	vhigh	vhigh	3	2	small	high	unacc
32	vhigh	vhigh	3	2	med	low	unacc
33	vhigh	vhigh	3	2	med	med	unacc
34	vhigh	vhigh	3	2	med	high	unacc
35	vhigh	vhigh	3	2	big	low	unacc
36	vhigh	vhigh	3	2	big	med	unacc

决策树和随机森林分类

```
x = data.loc[:, columns[:-1]]
y = data['accept']
x, x_test, y, y_test = train_test_split(x, y, train_size=0.7)
if random_forest:
    clf = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, criterion='gini', max_depth=12, min_samples_split=5)
else:
    clf = DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion='gini', max_depth=12, min_samples_split=5, max_features=5)
if cross_validation:
    model = GridSearchCV(clf, param_grid={'max_depth': np.arange(10,20),
                                           'min_samples_split': np.arange(5, 20),
                                           'max_features': np.arange(1, 7)
                                           }, cv=3)

    model.fit(x, y)
    print model.best_params_
```

3.pca 1.RF

1720	1	1	3	2	2	2	0
1721	1	1	3	2	2	0	1
1722	1	1	3	2	1	1	2
1723	1	1	3	2	1	2	1
1724	1	1	3	2	1	0	3
1725	1	1	3	2	0	1	2
1726	1	1	3	2	0	2	1
1727	1	1	3	2	0	0	3

[1728 rows x 7 columns]


训练集精确度: 0.988420181969

测试集精确度: 0.967244701349

Micro AUC: 0.991795397255

Micro AUC(System): 0.991795397255

Macro AUC: 0.987885354719



One-hot编码

One-hot编码

	buy	maintain	doors	persons	boot	safety	accept
0	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	small	low	unacc
1	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	small	med	unacc
2	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	small	high	unacc
3	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	med	low	unacc
4	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	med	med	unacc
5	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	med	high	unacc
6	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	big	low	unacc
7	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	big	med	unacc
8	vhigh	vhigh	2	2	big	high	unacc
9	vhigh	vhigh	2	4	small	low	unacc


	buy_high	buy_low	buy_med	buy_vhigh	maintain_high	maintain_low	maintain_med	maintain_vhigh	doors_2	doors_3	
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
8	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
9	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
	doors_4	doors_5more	persons_2	persons_4	persons_more	boot_big	boot_med	boot_small	safety_high	safety_low	safety_med
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0

Logistic回归

```
# one-hot 编码
x = pd.DataFrame()
for col in columns[:-1]:
    t = pd.get_dummies(data[col])
    t = t.rename(columns=lambda x: col+'_'+str(x))
    x = pd.concat((x, t), axis=1)
print x.head(10)
# print x.columns
y = pd.Categorical(data['accept']).codes

x, x_test, y, y_test = train_test_split(x, y, train_size=0.7)
clf = LogisticRegressionCV(Cs=np.logspace(-3, 4, 8), cv=5)
clf.fit(x, y)
print clf.C_
y_hat = clf.predict(x)
print '训练集精确度: ', metrics.accuracy_score(y, y_hat)
y_test_hat = clf.predict(x_test)
print '测试集精确度: ', metrics.accuracy_score(y_test, y_test_hat)
n_class = len(data['accept'].unique())
y_test_one_hot = label_binarize(y_test, classes=np.arange(n_class))
y_test_one_hot_hat = clf.predict_proba(x_test)
fpr, tpr, _ = metrics.roc_curve(y_test_one_hot.ravel(), y_test_one_hot_hat.ravel())
print 'Micro AUC:\t', metrics.auc(fpr, tpr)
print 'Micro AUC(System):\t', metrics.roc_auc_score(y_test_one_hot, y_test_one_hot_hat, average='micro')
auc = metrics.roc_auc_score(y_test_one_hot, y_test_one_hot_hat, average='macro')
print 'Macro AUC:\t', auc

mpl.rcParams['font.sans-serif'] = u'SimHei'
mpl.rcParams['axes.unicode_minus'] = False
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 7), dpi=80, facecolor='w')
plt.plot(fpr, tpr, 'r-', lw=2, label='AUC=%.4f' % auc)
plt.legend(loc='lower right')
plt.xlim((-0.01, 1.02))
plt.ylim((-0.01, 1.02))
plt.xticks(np.arange(0, 1.1, 0.1))
plt.yticks(np.arange(0, 1.1, 0.1))
plt.xlabel('False Positive Rate', fontsize=14)
plt.ylabel('True Positive Rate', fontsize=14)
plt.grid(b=True, ls=':')
plt.title(u'ROC曲线和AUC', fontsize=18)
```



训练集精确度: 0.9206

测试集精确度: 0.8651

Micro AUC: 0.9776

Macro AUC: 0.9535

作业

- 除准确率(accuracy)外，还有哪些评价分类模型性能的指标？为什么有这些指标？
- 什么是混淆矩阵？TPR、FPR是什么含义？
 - Precision
 - Recall
 - F1-measure
 - AUC
 - AIC/BIC

我们在这里

□ <http://wenda.ChinaHadoop.cn>

■ 视频/课程/社区

□ 微博

■ @ChinaHadoop

■ @邹博_机器学习

□ 微信公众号

■ 小象学院

■ 大数据分析挖掘

互联网新技术在线教育领航者

小象问答 搜索标题、用户 全站内容搜索 提问 首页 动态 发现 话题 通知

全部 招聘求职 机器学习 大数据平台技术 DCon 大数据行业应用 NoSQL数据库 数据科学 江湖救急

发现 最新 推荐 热门 等待回复

graphviz has no attribute 'write' 贡献
邹博 回复了问题 • 2 人关注 • 1 个回复 • 3 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 15:48

sklearn中如何理解Pipeline机制 贡献
数据分析与数据挖掘 邹博 回复了问题 • 2 人关注 • 1 个回复 • 28 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 15:39

关于9.Logistic回归的ppt中第9页的对数线性函数 贡献
机器学习 邹博 回复了问题 • 3 人关注 • 3 个回复 • 39 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 15:35

关于“贝叶斯估计中，最大后验概率估计就是结构化风险最小化的例子：当模型是条件概率分布，损失函数为对数损失函数，模型的复杂度由模型的先验概率表示，结构化风险最小化就等价于最大后验概率估计” 贡献
机器学习 邹博 回复了问题 • 2 人关注 • 1 个回复 • 26 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 15:27

关于连续值的预测 贡献
咨询 邹博 回复了问题 • 2 人关注 • 1 个回复 • 31 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 15:24

拉格朗日对偶函数为什么一定是凸函数 贡献
数据科学 邹博 回复了问题 • 2 人关注 • 2 个回复 • 26 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 15:20

梯度下降公式中的斯堪J 是 贡献
机器学习 邹博 回复了问题 • 2 人关注 • 1 个回复 • 29 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 15:17

深度学习适合做预测吗？ 贡献
深度学习 邹博 回复了问题 • 2 人关注 • 1 个回复 • 27 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 15:15

关于6.4PCA_FeatureSelection.py中plt.legend的参数疑问 贡献
机器学习 邹博 回复了问题 • 2 人关注 • 1 个回复 • 28 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 15:04

@邹博 有哪些可以下载数据源的网站？ 贡献
数据分析与数据挖掘 邹博 回复了问题 • 4 人关注 • 1 个回复 • 31 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 14:53

LDA主题模型 贡献
机器学习 邹博 回复了问题 • 2 人关注 • 1 个回复 • 29 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 14:45

代码10.6bagging_ridged老师提到了采样率设为0.2能够使峰值部分的数据被体现出来。这是为什么呢？ 贡献
机器学习 邹博 回复了问题 • 2 人关注 • 1 个回复 • 22 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 14:26

GraphViz's executables not found 贡献
机器学习 邹博 回复了问题 • 3 人关注 • 2 个回复 • 23 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 13:47

决策树中关于feature_importances代码的问题 贡献
机器学习 邹博 回复了问题 • 2 人关注 • 1 个回复 • 6 次浏览 • 2017-04-09 13:11

专题
招聘求职
大数据行业应用
数据科学
系统与编程
云计算技术

热门话题 更多 >
机器学习 907 个问题, 230 人关注
spark 387 个问题, 172 人关注
hadoop 1059 个问题, 155 人关注
python数据分析 171 个问题, 28 人关注
数据分析与数据挖掘 54 个问题, 111 人关注

热门用户 更多 >
小心巴 14 个问题, 0 次赞同
又又V 45 个问题, 22 次赞同
铁甲无声 10 个问题, 0 次赞同
带刀锦衣卫 13 个问题, 0 次赞同

感谢大家！

恳请大家批评指正！

联系我们

小象学院：互联网新技术在线教育领航者

- 微信公众号：小象学院
- 新浪微博：小象AI学院

