



Offender Search: Offender Details

Details

Name: JOSEPH HARDEMON **Registration #:** 2317198
Aliases: JOSEPH HARDEMON
Level: Tier 2 - Moderate Risk

Physical Description

• Age: 59 (DOB: 08/08/1965)	• Height: 5'08"
• Sex: M	• Weight: 230lbs
• Race: Black	• Eyes: Brown
• Hair: Black	
• Scars/Tattoos:	

Address

1525 ALOE st Galloway Township, NJ
08205

Offenses

- **Description:** 2C:24-4A - ENDANGER WELFARE OF CHILDREN
- **Date Convicted:** 08/31/2005
- **Conviction State:**
- **Release Date:**
- **Details:** OFFENDER ENGAGED IN INAPPROPRIATE SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH CHILD.
- **County of Conviction:** Atlantic

Comments



JOSEPH HARDEMON

[Submit a tip or correction for this offender](#)

[Register to track this offender](#)

Warrants

Warrants

OFFENDER HAS NO PUBLISHABLE WARRANT INFORMATION

Vehicles

Vehicles

Plate	Make	Model	Year	Color
NJ B39NDJ	RAM	RAM 1500	2018	Gray

The individual named in this notification is a convicted sex offender. As required by New Jersey Megans Law, you have received this notification from law enforcement because this individual resides in your area. Use this information to assist you in protecting your family, employees, and those you supervise. This information is made available for the purpose of protecting the public. Anyone who uses this information to commit a criminal act against another person is subject to criminal prosecution. Additional information is available online at: www.njsp.org.

October 16, 2025

Clerk of the Court

Superior Court of New Jersey
Law Division – Civil Part, Atlantic County
1201 Bacharach Boulevard
Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401

Re: *Barber v. Tumelty, et al.* — Docket No. **ATL-L-002794-25** (Team 102)

Subject: JEDS Submission — *Supplemental Memorandum and Certification in Support of Track 3 Assignment and Coordination with NJDOL Wage Collection (Complaint No. 369572)*

Dear Clerk:

Please accept for filing, via the **Judiciary Electronic Document Submission System (JEDS)**, the enclosed **Supplemental Memorandum and Certification** submitted by **Plaintiff, Devon Tyler Barber (pro se)**, seeking **Track 3 reclassification** pursuant to **R. 4:5A-2** and coordination with the pending **New Jersey Department of Labor Wage Collection** proceeding (**Complaint No. 369572**).

This filing references **Exhibits A–G**, which were previously submitted in this docket on October 14–15, 2025, and are incorporated herein by reference.

Enclosures:

1. Supplemental Memorandum and Certification (referencing Exhibits A–G)
2. Proposed Form of Order
3. Certification of Filing and Service

Kindly forward a copy to the **Hon. Sarah B. Johnson, J.S.C. (Team 102)**. Thank you for your time and assistance.

Respectfully submitted,

BY: X(+)X, dTb, Devon Tyler of the Barber family, in Good Faith.

Devon Tyler Barber (Pro Se)

c/o 325 E. Jimmie Leeds Rd., Suite 7-333

Galloway, New Jersey 08205

(609) 665-9350 • DTB33@ProtonMail.com

Cc (service):

John W. Tumelty, Esq.

The Law Office of John W. Tumelty

539 Route US 9 South, Marmora, NJ 08223

Barber, Devon Tyler.
 Pro se, in proper person.
 Atlantic County, New Jersey.
 (609) 665-9350 |
 DTB33@ProtonMail.com

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LAW DIVISION – CIVIL PART, ATLANTIC COUNTY

DEVON TYLER BARBER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOHN W. TUMELTY, ESQ., DEFENDANT,
 (PARTY NO. 2); AND
THE LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. TUMELTY,
 DEFENDANT (PARTY NO. 3).

Docket No.: ATL-L-002794-25

RE:

Indictment No. **22-09-01413-I** (Dkt. ATL-22-002292)

Indictment No. **22-10-01440-I** (Dkt. ATL-22-002313)

Team 102, Assigned Judge:

Hon. Sarah B. Johnson, J.S.C.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM AND
 CERTIFICATION**

**In Further Support of Track 3 Assignment and
 Judicial Coordination with NJ DOL Wage Collection
 Proceeding**

A. Procedural Posture

Plaintiff **Devon Tyler Barber**, appearing *pro se*, commenced this civil-malpractice and wage-related action on **October 3, 2025**. Since filing, Plaintiff has obtained (i) certified **IRS Wage and Income Transcripts (2019–2022)** and (ii) written confirmation that **NJDOL Wage Complaint No. 369572**, filed **October 12, 2025**, has been **accepted and transferred to the Wage Collection Section** pursuant to **N.J.S.A. 34:11-58(b)–(c)**. The administrative proceeding arises from the same underlying facts alleged here—**non-payment of earned wages and employee misclassification by Joe’s Painting & Renovations 11 LLC (Hardemon)** in connection with the rehabilitation of the **Aloe Street property** in Galloway Township, New Jersey.

18 In **July 2022**, after repeated demands for payment were ignored, Plaintiff terminated his work
19 with Hardemon and immediately began new employment with **NAC/Nicholas**, as reflected by
20 the **2022 IRS Wage and Income Transcript showing \$432 in reported wages** (Exh. D). That
21 record corroborates that Plaintiff **mitigated his losses promptly** and that the Hardemon
22 engagement ended solely due to non-payment. Within weeks of that departure, Plaintiff was
23 **arrested while operating a newly assigned NAC work truck**. Plaintiff asserts—and will
24 substantiate through discovery, including the timeline declaration and authenticated ESI/photo
25 exhibits (Exh. G)—that the **timing and circumstances of that arrest indicate retaliatory**
26 **intent directly linked to the unresolved wage dispute**.

27 In addition, following the July 2022 dispute and Plaintiff's departure from the Aloe Street
28 property, **Defendant Joseph Hardemon unlawfully removed Plaintiff's personal property**
29 **and animals from the premises without notice or legal process**, resulting in the death of
30 **companion pets, destruction of personal effects, and loss of a family silver collection**
31 **belonging to Plaintiff's late grandmother**. These acts occurred outside any landlord–tenant
32 proceeding and constitute **unlawful eviction, conversion, and property damage**, compounding
33 the underlying wage dispute. Plaintiff reserves all rights to pursue recovery of the associated
34 losses and emotional distress damages in this and related proceedings.

35 **A(1). Statement of Facts and Notice Regarding Prosecutorial Omission**

36 Subsequent investigation and public-record verification confirm that the **original complainant**
37 whose allegations prompted Plaintiff's 2022 arrest warrant was **Joseph Hardemon Sr., a Tier II**
38 **registered sex offender** convicted in **Atlantic County** under **N.J.S.A. 2C:24-4a** for sexual

conduct with a child under thirteen. (*See New Jersey State Police Offender Watch Record, Reg. No. 2317198, attached in the PCR record and incorporated herein by reference.*) Neither the **Atlantic County Prosecutor's Office** nor prior defense counsel, **John W. Tumelty, Esq.**, performed the due-diligence review or disclosure required by **Rule 3:13-3(b)(1)(A)** and the constitutional obligations set forth in **Brady v. Maryland**, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), **Napue v. Illinois**, 360 U.S. 264 (1959), and **State v. A.R.**, 234 N.J. 82 (2018).

Had this information been investigated or disclosed, the defense could have demonstrated **bias, motive to fabricate, and credibility impairment** of the complainant—material facts that would have substantially changed both plea discussions and trial posture. The omission constitutes **ineffective assistance of counsel** under **Strickland v. Washington**, 466 U.S. 668 (1984), and **State v. Fritz**, 105 N.J. 42 (1987), as well as a **Brady/Napue violation** warranting equitable relief under **State v. Preciose**, 129 N.J. 451 (1992).

Plaintiff further notes that his **Petition for Post-Conviction Relief**, filed **May 4, 2025**, remains **unanswered by the State** despite the requirement of **Rule 3:22-9** that an answer be filed within 20 days. The State's silence for over five months constitutes **procedural default and denial of due process** under **Art. I, ¶¶ 1 & 10 of the N.J. Constitution** and the **Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments** to the **U.S. Constitution**. Good cause for filing and equitable tolling were demonstrated through documented homelessness, new evidence, and the State's withholding of material facts.

Collectively, these failures—non-disclosure of the complainant's record, lack of adversarial testing by counsel, and prosecutorial inaction—show a **systemic breakdown of due process** that directly intersects with the civil malpractice and wage-related claims now before this Court.

61 **B. Material New Evidence**

62 × **IRS Transcripts (2019–2022) — Exhs. A–D.**

63 The **2019** Form W-2 shows wages of **\$5,686** from “Joe’s Painting and Renovations,” EIN
64 ****9559; **2020** shows **\$232** from the same EIN; **2022** shows **no Hardemon wages** but
65 reflects **\$432** from **NAC/Nicholas** (Exh. D). This pattern corroborates Plaintiff’s
66 departure from Hardemon and transition to NAC in July 2022 because agreed pay was
67 not honored.

68 × **Digital Messages / ESI — Exh. E.**

69 Screenshots of text messages between Plaintiff and **Joseph Hardemon** corroborate both
70 a **lodging agreement** and an **employment relationship** connected to the Aloe Street
71 rehabilitation project. In one exchange dated mid-2022, Hardemon states, “*This house*
72 *bruh is killing us man ... it’s been 3 years ... still not done.*” Plaintiff responded by
73 offering to help and asked:

74 “Can Kelly and I stay there while working on it? And when I mean stay, I mean park the car and
75 maybe live outside.”

76 Hardemon replied, in capital letters:

77 **“YES YOU CAN!!!”**

78 This exchange directly evidences (1) **permission to reside on the property**, (2) **an**
79 **understanding that Plaintiff would perform labor in exchange for housing and**

compensation, and (3) Hardemon’s own acknowledgment of urgency and dependence on the completion of the project (“this house is killing us man”).

Following this message, Hardemon invited Plaintiff to live on-site under a work-for-lodging and pay agreement, later stating that “*the crib is yours*” and emphasizing that the job “needed to get done.” These statements, paired with contemporaneous communications regarding day-rate or per-job payments and repeated requests for payment, demonstrate **direction and control of labor** — the hallmark of an employment relationship under *Hargrove v. Sleepy’s LLC*, 220 N.J. 289 (2015).

Such **electronically stored information (ESI)** constitutes highly probative evidence under **R. 4:10-2 (scope of discovery includes ESI)** and **R. 4:18-1 (requests for production)**. As reaffirmed in *Endus v. N.J. Transit*, 460 N.J. Super. 517 (App. Div. 2019), ESI of this nature must be preserved and produced in discovery when it documents the factual basis for wage, employment, or contractual claims.

× **NJ DOL Wage Collection Proceeding**

The New Jersey Department of Labor and Workforce Development (NJDOL) formally accepted **Wage Complaint No. 369572** and transferred it to the **Wage Collection Section** for adjudication pursuant to **N.J.S.A. 34:11-58(b)–(c)**, part of the **New Jersey Wage Theft Act**. In that forum, the **Commissioner of Labor and Workforce Development** is authorized to issue binding determinations, **award unpaid wages with interest**, impose **liquidated damages up to 200 percent of the wages due**, and **assess additional penalties for retaliation or record-keeping violations**. See also

N.J.A.C. 12:56-1.1 et seq. (administrative enforcement procedures). The Commissioner may certify any award as a **judgment of the Superior Court** and, where warranted, **suspend or revoke the employer's licenses or registrations** for continued non-compliance. The pendency of this DOL action confirms the same factual nucleus underlying this civil matter—**unpaid wages, misclassification, and retaliatory conduct** by Joe's Painting & Renovations 11 LLC.

× **Transition & Arrest Timeline — Exh. G.**

A sworn timeline plus photo(s) of the **NAC work truck**, with corresponding message timestamps, support the sequence: unpaid wages under Hardemon → departure to NAC/Nicholas in July 2022 → arrest **shortly after** while operating the NAC vehicle. Plaintiff **asserts** the arrest was **retaliatory** and part of a civil wage dispute recast as criminal conduct.

C. Argument

× **Track 3 Is Required for Comprehensive Discovery.**

R. 4:5A-2 provides for Track 3 where substantial discovery, multiple experts, and complex issues are anticipated. Here, discovery will involve **IRS and bank subpoenas, ESI forensics and authentication, expert opinions on standard of care and economic loss, and integration of NJDOL findings**. Tracks 1–2 are inadequate. See **Tadeusz Jatzyszyn v. Marcal Paper Mills, Inc., 418 N.J. Super. 505, 512 (App. Div. 2011); Pressler & Verniero, Current N.J. Court Rules**, cmt. 2 on R. 4:5A-2 (Gann 2025).

× **Coordination with NJDOL Promotes Judicial Economy and Consistency.**

The active Wage Collection case concerns the **same nucleus of fact** (non-payment,

misclassification, retaliation). Coordinated scheduling will avoid duplication and inconsistency. Courts may **relax procedures to prevent injustice and coordinate with parallel proceedings**. See **State v. Rue, 175 N.J. 1 (2002)** (R. 1:1-2); **In re Petition of Adamar of N.J., 222 N.J. 134 (2015)** (recognizing the value of agency records/findings). See also **R. 1:6-2(a)** (motion practice) and **R. 4:10-2; R. 4:18-1** (ESI scope and production).

× **Fairness to a Pro Se Litigant Requires Adequate Time and Tools.**

Undue restriction on discovery would impair Plaintiff's due-process rights under **N.J. Const. art. I, ¶ 1**. Parties must have a **reasonable opportunity to present evidence** before dispositive motions. See **Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 322–24 (1986)**. The record here spans malpractice issues, ESI, agency findings, and economic damages; Track 3 is the proper vehicle to uphold fairness and accuracy.

D. Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, having set forth the record of fact, law, and conscience, and having come before this Honorable Court in truth and without counsel, **Plaintiff, Devon Tyler Barber**, prays that equity and justice be done according to the rule of law and the spirit of righteousness. Guided by the principle that "*judgment and mercy meet together, righteousness and peace kiss each other*" (Psalms 85:10, Geneva 1599), Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court exercise its inherent power under **Article VI, § 3, ¶ 4 of the New Jersey Constitution** and **Rule 1:1-2** to secure a just determination, prevent manifest injustice, and ensure that the rights of a working man not be lost through deceit, silence, or neglect.

Plaintiff therefore prays that the Court enter an Order granting the following relief:

× **Track 3 Reclassification.**

That this matter be reclassified to **Track 3** pursuant to **R. 4:5A-2**, recognizing the complexity of issues involving professional negligence, wage misclassification, and electronic evidence requiring extended discovery.

× **Judicial Coordination.**

That the Court acknowledge and, where appropriate, coordinate the record of this civil proceeding with the parallel **New Jersey Department of Labor Wage Collection case (Complaint No. 369572)** to promote consistency and judicial economy.

× **Evidentiary Preservation and Subpoena Authorization.**

That the Court, upon Track 3 assignment, authorize issuance of preservation notices and subpoenas under **R. 4:10-2**, **R. 4:18-1**, and **R. 1:9-2** for the collection and safeguarding of relevant electronically stored information (ESI), including Apple iCloud communications and mobile-carrier records associated with Plaintiff's number ending in *312* during **June–August 2022**, to ensure that truth may be established through lawful discovery.

× **Equitable Relief and Good-Faith Protection.**

That the Court recognize Plaintiff's diligent pursuit of redress, his good-faith reliance upon Rule 1:1-2, and the necessity of equitable relaxation of procedures where strict form would defeat substantial justice.

× **Further Relief as Just and Proper.**

That this Honorable Court grant such other and further relief, legal or equitable, as conscience and law may warrant, that truth be brought to light and right prevail over wrong.

“⁸ He hath showed thee, O man, what is good, and what the Lord requireth of thee: ^[a]surely to do justly, and to love mercy, and to humble thyself, to walk with thy God.”

— **Micah 6:8 (Geneva Bible, 1599)**

Respectfully submitted,

this **16th day of October, 2025**, in good faith and in reliance upon both law and conscience.

E. Certification (R. 1:4-4(b))

I, **Devon Tyler Barber**, certify as follows:

- × The New Jersey Department of Labor acknowledged my **Wage Complaint No. 369572** on October 12, 2025 and transferred it to the **Wage Collection Section** (Exh. F).
- × IRS Wage & Income Transcripts (2019–2022) confirm: **2019** wages of \$5,686 from Joe's Painting & Renovations (EIN ****9559); **2020** wages of \$232 from the same EIN; and **2022 no Hardemon wages** but **\$432** from **NAC/Nicholas** (Exh. D).
- × Hardemon directed my labor on the Aloe Street job, promised day or job-based pay, and offered lodging; I repeatedly requested payment (Exh. E).
- × After leaving to work for **NAC/Nicholas in July 2022**, I was **arrested shortly thereafter** while operating an NAC work truck. I **assert** that the timing and surrounding circumstances indicate **retaliation** relating to the unpaid-wage dispute (Exh. G).
- × Good cause exists for **Track 3 reclassification** to allow expert discovery, ESI forensics, and coordination with NJDOL.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Dated: **October 16, 2025**

BY: X(+)X, dTb, Devon Tyler of the Barber family, in Good Faith.

Devon Tyler Barber (Pro Se)

c/o 325 E. Jimmie Leeds Rd., Suite 7-333

Galloway, NJ 08205 • (609) 665-9350 • DTB33@ProtonMail.com

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LAW DIVISION – CIVIL PART, ATLANTIC COUNTY

DEVON TYLER BARBER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOHN W. TUMELTY, ESQ., DEFENDANT,
(PARTY NO. 2); AND
THE LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. TUMELTY,
DEFENDANT (PARTY NO. 3).

Docket No.: **ATL-L-002794-25**

RE:

Indictment No. **22-09-01413-I** (Dkt. **ATL-22-002292**)

Indictment No. **22-10-01440-I** (Dkt. **ATL-22-002313**)

Team 102, Assigned Judge:

Hon. Sarah B. Johnson, J.S.C.

**ORDER GRANTING RECLASSIFICATION TO
TRACK 3 AND RELATED RELIEF**

This matter having been opened to the Court by **Plaintiff, Devon Tyler Barber (pro se)**, upon submission of a **Supplemental Memorandum and Certification dated October 16, 2025**, and the Court having considered the application, supporting exhibits, and good cause appearing, **IT IS on this 16th day of October, 2025, ORDERED as follows:**

× **Track 3 Assignment.**

This matter is **reclassified to Track 3** pursuant to **Rule 4:5A-2**, in light of the complexity of the issues, anticipated expert discovery, and related administrative proceedings.

× **B. Case-Management Conference.**

Within **20 days** of this Order, the parties shall meet and confer and submit an **Amended Case-Management Plan** addressing (1) expert discovery; (2) electronically stored information (ESI) protocols; and (3) coordination with the pending **New Jersey Department of Labor Wage Collection proceeding (Complaint No. 369572)**.

211 × **C. ESI Preservation.**

212 Upon Track 3 reclassification, **Plaintiff is authorized to serve preservation notices** and,
213 thereafter, to seek subpoenas under **R. 4:10-2, R. 4:18-1, and R. 1:9-2** for relevant
214 electronically stored information (ESI), including Apple iCloud communications and
215 mobile-carrier data associated with Plaintiff's number ending in 312 for the period **May**
216 **25, 2022 – July 12, 2022.**

217
218 × **D. Further Relief.**

219 The Court shall retain jurisdiction and may grant such other and further relief, legal or
220 equitable, as is just and proper to secure a fair and efficient determination of the issues
221 herein.

222 **Hon. Sarah B. Johnson, J.S.C.**

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LAW DIVISION – CIVIL PART, ATLANTIC COUNTY

DEVON TYLER BARBER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOHN W. TUMELTY, ESQ., DEFENDANT,
(PARTY NO. 2); AND
THE LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. TUMELTY,
DEFENDANT (PARTY NO. 3).

Docket No.: **ATL-L-002794-25**

RE:

Indictment No. **22-09-01413-I** (Dkt. **ATL-22-002292**)

Indictment No. **22-10-01440-I** (Dkt. **ATL-22-002313**)

Team 102, Assigned Judge:

Hon. Sarah B. Johnson, J.S.C.

CERTIFICATION OF FILING AND SERVICE

I, **Devon Tyler Barber**, certify as follows:

Filing via JEDS. On **October 16, 2025**, I submitted through the Judiciary Electronic Document Submission System (JEDS) the document titled “**Supplemental Memorandum and Certification in Support of Track 3 Assignment and Coordination with NJ DOL Wage Collection Proceeding (Complaint No. 369572)**” together with the **Proposed Form of Order and Exhibits A–G** for filing in **Barber v. Tumelty, et al., Docket No. ATL-L-002794-25 (Team 102)**, Law Division, Civil Part, Atlantic County. **Service on Defendants (Electronic Mail).** On **October 16, 2025**, I served true copies of the foregoing filing, including the Proposed Order and Exhibits A–G, upon the following defendants, by **electronic mail** addressed as follows: **John W. Tumelty, Esq.** and **The Law Office of John W. Tumelty**, 539 Route US 9 South, Marmora, New Jersey 08223 **Service on the Court.** Filing via **JEDS** constitutes delivery to the Court. A copy of the JEDS confirmation will be maintained with my records. I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true.

I am aware that if any statement is willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

Dated: **October 16, 2025**

By X of Ty Devon Tyler of the Barber family, in Good Faith.
s/ ~~Barber, Devon Tyler~~
Pro se, in proper person.
Atlantic County, New Jersey.
(609) 665-9350 | DTB33@ProtonMail.com

Devon T. Barber
 Pro Se Plaintiff
 325 E. Jimmie Leeds Road, Suite 7-333
 Galloway, NJ 08205
 (609) 665-9350 | DTB33@ProtonMail.com

**SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
 LAW DIVISION – CIVIL PART
 ATLANTIC COUNTY**

DEVON TYLER BARBER,
 Plaintiff,

v.

**John W. Tumelty, Esq., and The Law
 Office of John W. Tumelty,**
 Defendants.

DOCKET NO.: ATL-L-002794-25

**EXHIBIT: Factual Background of
 Underlying Criminal Proceedings**

CRITICAL LEGAL ANALYSIS OF POLICE BIAS AND FALSE NARRATIVE CONSTRUCTION

Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Petition for Post-Conviction Relief (R. 3:22-2(a))

Demonstrating the False Criminalization of a Wage and Employment Dispute

I. PROCEDURAL POSTURE AND PURPOSE

This memorandum supports pending post-conviction relief and related civil proceedings arising from criminal complaints filed in 2022 in Galloway Township, New Jersey. It addresses investigative bias and factual omissions that converted a private wage dispute into criminal charges, resulting in an involuntary plea and continuing collateral harm. The analysis is offered to assist the Court in evaluating whether the record satisfies constitutional standards of due process and effective assistance under *Boykin v. Alabama*, 395 U.S. 238 (1969); *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668 (1984); and *State v. Fritz*, 105 N.J. 42 (1987). This memorandum is submitted pursuant to R. 3:22-2(a) as a supplemental filing in support of the pending petition for post-conviction relief in both criminal dockets ATL-22-002313 and ATL-22-002292.

II. FACTUAL SUMMARY

A. Employment Relationship and Gradual Misclassification

From 2019 through mid-2022, I performed renovation work for **Joe's Painting & Renovations II, LLC**, managed by Joseph and Joshua Hardemon. Initial jobs were paid hourly in cash. By 2021–2022, compensation shifted to a daily or per-project rate. At the employer's urging I obtained business insurance and registered my own trade name, while the same employer continued to control scheduling, materials, and oversight—constituting **employee-to-contractor misclassification**.

B. Wage Dispute and 2022 Criminal Allegations

In **July 2022**, I lived on-site at **1525 W. Aloe Street** to complete work efficiently. I left my parents' home voluntarily (which increased living costs) to focus on the job, expecting prompt payment. After repeated delays in pay, I sent **Facebook lien and ownership notices (July 4–10, 2022)** to **Oak Tree Investments LLC**, the property investor. These communications were commercial in nature, though urgent in tone. Within days, the Hardemons filed a **Galloway Township Police Department (GTPD)** report that re-cast my lien and payment messages as “threats.” The ensuing criminal complaints (22-09-01413 and 22-10-01440) flowed directly from that report.

C. Department of Labor Action (Post-Event Corroboration)

On **October 12, 2025**, I filed **NJDOL Complaint No. 369572** alleging unpaid wages and misclassification. The Department accepted the claim and transferred it to the **Wage Collection Division** for enforcement review. Although filed later, this action **confirms that a genuine wage**

dispute existed and that my 2022 communications were grounded in economic concerns, not criminal intent.

D. Personal Context and Emotional State

When payment was withheld I faced food insecurity, loss of a long-term relationship, and financial exhaustion. My messages reflected **panic and disbelief**, not violence. I possessed no weapon, issued no explicit or unconditional threat, and caused no harm. These facts explain the tone of my communications but do not transform them into unlawful threats.

III. RE-ANALYSIS OF THE GTPD REPORT

A. Missing Evidence and Internal Gaps

1. **No corroboration:** No witness statements, digital records, or recordings verify any threat or communication method.
2. **No timeline verification:** Dispatch and narrative times conflict; alleged statements are undated and unquoted.
3. **No employment context:** Officers omitted that I was the on-site laborer seeking wages.
4. **No identified threat language:** The report uses boilerplate (“made threats”) without quoting words.
5. **No physical or digital proof:** No phone extraction, screenshot, or property-damage evidence appears.

B. Misuse of Character Descriptors

The report substitutes subjective adjectives—“angry,” “unstable,” “erratic”—for factual observation. Such credibility conclusions violate **Attorney General Directive 2020-11 (Bias-Free Policing)**, which prohibits unsupported character judgments. Bias-based descriptors absent factual basis contravene N.J. Attorney General Directive 2020-11, § 3.3(a).

C. False Framing of Speech as Threat

The Facebook thread shows **Conditional or defensive statements made during a contractual dispute, defensive expressions** (e.g., “trespassers will be met with force,” linked to property defense). Conditional statements in economic disputes do **not** satisfy the elements of **N.J.S.A. 2C:12-3(a)** (*State v. Boffa*, 70 N.J. 219 (1976)).

D. Omission of Economic Motive

By excluding the wage disagreement, the report removed the commercial context and misrepresented motive. I was seeking compensation and lien enforcement—rights protected by state labor and property law.

E. Resulting Harm

The defective report led to pretrial detention, coerced plea negotiations, and reputational injury. Allegations of violence or racial bias have **no evidentiary support**. My speech addressed **work, money, and contractual fairness**, not race or hostility.

IV. HOW THIS EVIDENCE REBUTS THE REPORT

Police Assertion	Verified Record	Legal Effect
“Threats made to employer/investor.”	No verbatim threat; communications were lien notices and wage inquiries.	Fails to meet elements of N.J.S.A. 2C:12-3(a).
“Subject unstable or aggressive.”	Descriptive opinion without witness or medical corroboration.	Subjective labeling; violates bias-free standard.
“Motivated by racial animus.”	No racial content in any message; dispute purely economic.	Unfounded allegation; prejudicial and irrelevant.
“Probable cause established.”	No chain of evidence, corroboration, or physical proof.	Probable cause unsustainable as a matter of law.

V. LEGAL SUMMARY AND ARGUMENT

1. Absence of Probable Cause

The GTPD report lacks the factual foundation required under *Boffa*, supra. Without corroboration or explicit threat language, the charge cannot satisfy N.J.S.A. 2C:12-3(a).

2. Malicious-Prosecution Framework

The record supports elements recognized in *LoBiondo v. Schwartz*, 199 N.J. 62 (2009): initiation without probable cause, malice, and special injury through misuse of criminal process.

3. Investigative Bias and Equal Protection

Reliance on stereotype and omission of economic motive constitute arbitrary enforcement prohibited by **AG Directive 2020-11** and the Fourteenth Amendment (*Yick Wo v. Hopkins*, 118 U.S. 356 (1886)).

4. Due-Process and Counsel Failure

Trial counsel's advice to plead guilty solely to obtain release rendered the plea involuntary under *Boykin*, *Strickland*, and *Fritz*. The resulting judgment violates fundamental fairness and requires vacatur or evidentiary review.

VI. REQUESTED RELIEF

WHEREFORE, in the interest of justice and pursuant to R. 3:22-2(a) and the constitutional authorities cited herein, the undersigned respectfully requests that the Court:

1. Vacate or set aside the guilty plea entered under coercive circumstances;
2. Grant an evidentiary hearing on investigative bias, omitted context, and counsel performance;
3. Order limited discovery and *in-camera* review of:
 - a. 911, CAD, and body-camera data for July 2022 incidents;
 - b. Digital or social-media records within sixty days before and after the incident window, limited to relevant posts or communications; and

4. Grant such further relief as the Court deems equitable, including coordination of related civil and administrative matters to ensure consistent treatment of factual findings and prevent duplication of judicial effort.

VII. CERTIFICATION

I, **Devon Tyler Barber**, certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true to the best of my knowledge. My July 2022 communications arose from efforts to obtain payment for completed labor during a period of hardship and were not intended to harm or intimidate any person. I respectfully request full contextual review and corrective action to prevent further misrepresentation. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements are willfully false I am subject to punishment.

Signature: s/ **Devon T. Barber** Date: October 20th, 2025
Devon Tyler Barber, Pro Se

Label	Exhibit Description	Purpose / Relevance
A.	Galloway Township Police Report (2022)	Underlying criminal report counsel failed to challenge.
B.	Facebook Messages with Oak Tree Investments LLC (July 2022)	Shows work-related communications mischaracterized as threats.
C.	NJ Dept. of Labor Wage Complaint No. 369572 (Transferred to Wage Collection Division 10/12/25)	Demonstrates existence of bona-fide wage dispute forming context of criminal case.
D.	Employment and License Records – Tillerstead LLC (f/k/a Devon’s Home Improvement LLC)	Establishes professional background and legitimate business activity counsel could have used in defense.
E.	OffenderWatch Summary for Joseph Hardemon (Credibility Evidence)	Shows complainant’s impeachable background that counsel failed to investigate or use.
F.	Judgment of Conviction and Motion to Vacate Plea (ATL-22-002292 / 002313)	Demonstrates plea outcome and post-conviction filings at issue in malpractice claim.

Devon T. Barber

Pro Se Plaintiff

325 E. Jimmie Leeds Road, Suite 7-333

Galloway, NJ 08205

(609) 665-9350 | DTB33@ProtonMail.com

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LAW DIVISION – CIVIL PART

ATLANTIC COUNTY

DEVON TYLER BARBER,

Plaintiff,

v.

**JOHN W. TUMELTY, ESQ., and
THE LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W.
TUMELTY,**

Defendants.

DOCKET NO.: ATL-L-002794-25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, **Devon Tyler Barber**, certify as follows:

1. On **October 20, 2025**, I electronically filed through the **New Jersey Judiciary Electronic Document Submission (JEDS)** system the document titled:
“Factual Background of Underlying Criminal Proceedings.”
2. The filing was made in connection with the above-captioned civil action, *Barber v. Tumelty*, ATL-L-002794-25.
3. Pursuant to **R. 1:5-1(a)** and **R. 1:5-2**, service of this filing was automatically effected by the JEDS system upon the attorney of record for defendants, **John W. Tumelty, Esq.**, via his registered Judiciary e-Courts service email.
4. No additional paper or electronic copies were transmitted outside the JEDS system, as service through JEDS constitutes valid and complete service under the Rules of Court.

21 **I certify** that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the
22 foregoing statements are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

23 **Date:** October 20, 2025

24 **Respectfully submitted,**

25 s/ **Devon T. Barber**

26 **DEVON TYLER BARBER**, Pro Se Plaintiff

27 325 E. Jimmie Leeds Road, Suite 7-333

28 Galloway, NJ 08205

29 (609) 665-9350 | DTB33@ProtonMail.com

10/22/2025

**SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION – CIVIL PART
ATLANTIC COUNTY**

DEVON TYLER BARBER,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN W. TUMELTY, ESQ., and THE LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. TUMELTY,

Defendants.

**DOCKET NO.: ATL-L-002794-25
Civil Action**

LETTER / CORRESPONDENCE TO THE COURT

Re: Clarification of Filing – “Factual Background of Underlying Criminal Proceedings”

Date: October 22, 2025

To: Case Management / Hon. Sarah B. Johnson, J.S.C. (Team 102)

From: Devon Tyler Barber, Pro Se Plaintiff

Dear Ms. Kristen and the Case Management Team:

Thank you for your time and patience during our recent call. I am still learning the electronic-filing process and would like to clarify the purpose of my submission so that the record can be properly docketed and directed to Hon. Judge Johnson.

On **October 20, 2025**, I submitted through **JEDS** a document titled “*Factual Background of Underlying Criminal Proceedings*,” with supporting Exhibits (A–F) and a Certificate of Service. That filing is **not a motion**. It is a **supplemental factual and evidentiary submission** intended to ensure that relevant materials are **entered into the record** for the Court’s consideration. The filing contains **exculpatory and contextual evidence** that former trial counsel failed to present, and it provides factual background directly relevant to the claims in *Barber v. Tumelty* regarding counsel performance. It also supports my previously filed **October 16, 2025 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum**.

Accordingly, the *Factual Background* should be docketed as a **supplemental exhibit or correspondence in support of Plaintiff’s prior motion**, not as a new motion or separate pleading. Please direct it to **Team 102 (Hon. Sarah B. Johnson, J.S.C.)** for inclusion with the existing record.

I appreciate your professionalism and guidance as I navigate these procedures *pro se*, and I thank you all for your patience in ensuring the record remains accurate.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ **Devon T. Barber**

Devon Tyler Barber, Pro Se Plaintiff

325 E. Jimmie Leeds Road, Suite 7-333

Galloway, NJ 08205

(609) 665-9350 | DTB33@ProtonMail.com

